UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

1.	CHAD McELVEEN, on behalf of)	
	himself and on behalf of all others)	
	similarly situated,)	
	Plaintiff,)	
)	
)	CASE NO. : CIV-18-414-C
V.			JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
2)	
2.	QUALITY INTEGRATED)	
	SERVICES, INC.,)	
	Defendant.)	

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT COLLECTIVE ACTION & JURY DEMAND

- 1. Defendant Quality Integrated Services, Inc. ("Defendant") required Chad McElveen ("Plaintiff") to work more than forty hours in a workweek without overtime compensation. Defendant misclassified Plaintiff and other similarly situated workers throughout the United States as exempt from overtime under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq.
- 2. Defendant's conduct violates the FLSA, which requires non-exempt employees to be compensated for all hours in excess of forty in a workweek at one and one-half times their regular rates of pay. *See* 29 U.S.C. § 207(a). On behalf of himself and all other similarly situated employees, Plaintiff brings this action as a collective action under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Members of the collective action are referred to as the "FLSA Class Members."

SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 4. Venue is proper in this District because a Defendant's United States headquarters is in this District, because Defendant does a sizeable portion of its business in this District, and many of the wrongs herein alleged occurred in this District.

PARTIES AND PERSONAL JURISDICTION

- 5. Plaintiff Chad McElveen is an individual residing in Walthall County, Mississippi. Plaintiff's written consent to this action is attached hereto as Exhibit "1." Plaintiff performed work for Defendant within the last three years for which he did not receive the FLSA's required overtime.
- 6. The FLSA Class Members are all current and former inspectors, and all employees in substantially similar positions, that worked at any time during the three-year period before the filing of this Complaint that were paid on a day rate.
- 7. Defendant Quality Integrated Services, Inc. is a corporation organized under the laws of Oklahoma. Defendant may be served process through its registered agent The Corporation Company, 1833 S Morgan Road, Oklahoma City, OK 73128.
 - 8. Defendant is headquartered in Guymon, Oklahoma.

COVERAGE

9. At all material times, Defendant has been an employer within the meaning of 3(d) of the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

- 10. At all material times, Defendant has been an enterprise within the meaning of 3(r) of the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).
- 11. At all material times, Defendant has been an enterprise or enterprise in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 3(s)(1) of the FLSA because Defendant has had and continues to have employees engaged in commerce. 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1).
- 12. Furthermore, Defendant has an annual gross business volume of not less than \$500,000.
- 13. At all material times, Plaintiff and Class Members were employees who engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as required by 29 USC § 207.

FACTS

- 14. Defendant Quality Inspection Services, Inc. operates in the construction and inspection industry.
- 15. Defendant operates across the country, including in the Marcellus, Utica, Barnett, Eagle Ford, Haynesville, Fayetteville, and Bakkan shale areas.
- 16. Plaintiff worked for Defendant as a utility inspector from approximately January of 2012 to December of 2017.
- 17. Plaintiff worked for Defendant in Alabama, South Carolina, North Carolina, and Virginia.
 - 18. Defendant classified Plaintiff as an employee.

- 19. As an inspector, Plaintiff was responsible for performing visual and non-destructive testing on pipelines, pipeline coating, and facilities owned and operated by Defendant's customers.
- 20. For his labor, Defendant paid Plaintiff a day rate but did not pay him overtime for his hours in excess of forty per week. In other words, Defendant misclassified Plaintiff as exempt.
 - 21. Plaintiff was paid a day-rate.
 - 22. Plaintiff is a non-exempt employee.
- 23. Defendant paid hundreds of other inspectors classified as employees throughout the United States on the same day rate compensation system as Plaintiff.
- 24. Defendant employs a variety of inspectors, such as utility inspectors, trenching inspectors, coating inspectors, welding inspectors, environmental inspectors, and testing inspectors that it pays a day rate.
 - 25. Plaintiff and other inspectors commonly work in excess of 12 hours each day.
- 26. Inspectors usually work five to six days each week, for a schedule that equates into workweeks well exceeding 40 hours.
- 27. However, despite working overtime hours, Defendant does not pay its inspectors overtime because it pays the same flat day rate regardless on the number of hours worked.
 - 28. Defendant also paid Plaintiff and all other inspectors per diem and mileage.
- 29. No exemption in the FLSA shelters Defendant from paying overtime to its inspectors.

- 30. Inspectors like Plaintiff are not guaranteed a set number of days to work per week.
 - 31. Inspectors like Plaintiff are not guaranteed a set weekly payment.
 - 32. Inspectors are paid on a day rate basis, not on a salary basis.
 - 33. Plaintiff was paid on a day rate basis, not on a salary basis.
- 34. Plaintiff was not paid time-and-a-half for all hours worked over forty in a given workweek.
 - 35. Plaintiff worked overtime as defined in the FLSA.
- 36. Other inspectors employed by Defendant worked overtime as defined in the FLSA.
- 37. Because Inspectors are on a day rate, the executive, administrative, or professional exemptions cannot apply. *See* 29 C.F.R. §§ 541.100, 541.200, 541.300.
- 38. Inspectors do not supervise other employees or manage a customarily recognized department of Defendant's company.
 - 39. Inspectors have no authority to hire or fire other employees.
- 40. Inspectors are field employees, not office employees. They perform work related to Defendant's core business, not the management of the company's operations.
- 41. Inspectors also perform extensive physical labor to perform their inspection work.
- 42. The primary duty of an inspector does not require independent judgment or discretion. Instead, inspectors are required to carry out their inspections according to detailed step-by-step procedures promulgated by Defendant or Defendant's customers.

43. The FLSA's regulations even provide that inspection work is non-exempt work:

Ordinary inspection work generally does not meet the duties requirements for the administrative exemption. Inspectors normally perform specialized work along standardized lines involving well-established techniques and procedures which may have been catalogued and described in manuals and other sources. Such inspectors rely on techniques and skills acquired by special training or experience. They have some leeway in the performance of their work but only within closely prescribed limits.

29 C.F.R. 541.203(g).

- 44. Inspectors are not computer-systems analysts, computer programmers, software engineers, or other similar employees.
- 45. Despite these facts, Defendant misclassified its inspectors as exempt from overtime pay.
- 46. As a result of Defendant's pay policies, Plaintiff and other inspectors were denied overtime pay.
 - 47. Inspectors have complained to Defendant about the lack of overtime pay.
- 48. Defendant has been sued before for failing to pay overtime but has continued on with its illegal pay practice.
- 49. Defendant knew or showed reckless disregard for whether Plaintiff and the other inspectors were entitled to overtime pay under the law.

COUNT ONE: VIOLATION OF 29 U.S.C. § 207

50. Plaintiff incorporates all allegations contained in the foregoing paragraphs.

- 51. Defendant's practice of failing to pay Plaintiff time-and-a-half for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) per workweek violates the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 207.
- 52. None of the exemptions provided by the FLSA regulating the duty of employers to pay overtime at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate at which its employees are paid are applicable to Defendant, Plaintiff, or the FLSA Class Members.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 53. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in the preceding paragraphs.
- 54. Plaintiff has actual knowledge that FLSA Class Members have also been denied overtime pay for hours worked over forty (40) hours in a workweek as a result of Defendant's misclassification of its employees.
- 55. Plaintiff's knowledge is based on his personal work experience and through communications with other workers of Defendant. Plaintiff personally worked with other inspectors under the same compensation structure at multiple job sites and in multiple states for Defendant.
- 56. Other workers similarly situated to the Plaintiff worked for Defendant throughout the United States but were not paid overtime at the rate of one and one-half their regular rates of pay when those hours exceeded forty (40) hours in a workweek.
- 57. Although Defendant permitted and/or required FLSA Class Members to work in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek, Defendant denied them full compensation for their hours worked over forty (40).

- 58. Defendant misclassified and continues to misclassify FLSA Class Members as exempt employees.
- 59. FLSA Class Members perform or have performed the same or similar work as Plaintiff and were misclassified as exempt by Defendant.
- 60. Plaintiff had the same job duties as other employees of Defendant who had the same job title as Plaintiff and worked for Defendant at any time during the three years prior to the filing of this lawsuit.
- 61. FLSA Class Members are not exempt from receiving overtime pay under the FLSA.
- 62. As such, FLSA Class Members are similar to Plaintiff in terms of relevant job duties, pay structure, misclassification as exempt employees and/or the denial of overtime pay.
- 63. Defendant's failure to pay overtime compensation at the rate required by the FLSA results from generally applicable policies or practices and does not depend on the personal circumstances of any FLSA Class Member.
- 64. Defendant employed at least 20 other inspectors within the last 3 years who were paid on a day rate.
- 65. Defendant employed at least 100 other inspectors within the last 3 years who were paid on a day rate.
- 66. Defendant employed at least 40 other employees with the same job title as Plaintiff who were not paid overtime.

- 67. Defendant employed at least 50 other employees with the same job title as Plaintiff who worked overtime for at least one week during their employment with Defendant and were not paid one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for all overtime hours worked.
- 68. The experiences of Plaintiff, with respect to his pay, hours, and duties are typical of the experiences of the FLSA Class Members.
- 69. The specific job titles or precise job responsibilities of each FLSA Class Member does not prevent collective treatment.
- 70. All FLSA Class Members, irrespective of their particular job requirements, are entitled to overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty (40) in a workweek.
- 71. Although the exact amount of damages may vary among the FLSA Class Members, the damages for the FLSA Class Members can be easily calculated by a simple formula. The claims of all FLSA Class Members arise from a common nucleus of facts. Liability is based on a systematic course of wrongful conduct by Defendant that caused harm to all FLSA Class Members.
- 72. As such, the class of similarly situated Plaintiffs for the FLSA Class is properly defined as follows:

All current and former inspectors, and all employees with substantially similar duties, who worked for Defendant at any time during the three-year period before the filing of this Complaint to present that were paid a day rate.

JURY DEMAND

73. Plaintiff and Class Members hereby demand trial by jury on all issues.

PRAYER

- 74. For these reasons, Plaintiff prays for:
 - a. An order designating the FLSA Class as a collective action and authorizing notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all inspectors and all similarly situated employees to permit them to join this action by filing a written notice of consent;
 - b. A judgment against Defendant awarding Plaintiff and the FLSA Class Members all their unpaid overtime compensation and liquidated damages;
 - c. An order awarding attorneys' fees and costs;
 - d. Such other and further relief as may be necessary and appropriate.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED THIS 27th DAY OF APRIL, 2018.

HAMMONS, GOWENS, HURST & ASSOCIATES

/s/ Amber L. Hurst

Amber L. Hurst, OBA # 21231 HAMMONS, GOWENS, HURST & ASSOCIATES 325 Dean A. McGee Avenue Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102 Telephone: (405) 235-6100

Facsimile: (405) 235-6111 amberh@hammonslaw.com

OF COUNSEL:

Beatriz Sosa-Morris (will apply for admission pro hac vice)
BSosaMorris@smnlawfirm.com
Texas State Bar No. 24076154
John Neuman (will apply for admission pro hac vice)
JNeuman@smnlawfirm.com
Texas State Bar No. 24083560
SOSA-MORRIS NEUMAN, PLLC

5612 Chaucer Drive Houston, Texas 77005

Telephone: (281) 885-8844 Facsimile: (281) 885-8813

CONSENT FORM FOR WAGE CLAIM

Printed Name:	Chad	McELveen	

- 1. I consent and agree to be represented by Sosa-Morris Neuman Attorneys at Law and to pursue my claims of unpaid overtime and/or minimum wage through the lawsuit filed against my employer under the Fair Labor Standards Act and/or applicable state laws.
- 2. I intend to pursue my claim individually, unless and until the court certifies this case as a collective or class action. I agree to serve as the class representative if the court approves. If someone else serves as the class representative, then I designate the class representatives as my agents to make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation, the method and manner of conducting the litigation, the entering of an agreement with the plaintiffs' counsel concerning attorney's fees and costs, and all other matters pertaining to this lawsuit.
- 3. If my consent form is stricken or if I am for any reason not allowed to participate in this case, I authorize Plaintiffs' counsel to use this Consent Form to re-file my claims in a separate or related action against my employer.

(Signature)	TN = 8)	(Date Signed) 04/24/2018
` '		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Case 5:18-cv-0041 Cry Poctor SHEIR 04/27/18 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do				PRM.)	y i, is required for the use of	the Clork of Count for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
CHAD McELVEEN, on be similarly situated	ehalf of himself and on	behalf of all others	8	QUALITY INTEGRATED SERVICES, INC.			
(b) County of Residence of		Valthall County, Mis	sissipi	County of Residence	-	Texas County, Oklahoma	
(E)	KCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Amber L. Hurst; Hammor 325 Dean A. McGee Ave (405) 235-6100	ns Gowens Hurst & As	·) SOC.		Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintij	
☐ 1 U.S. Government		$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State			
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	F(ORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY Product Liability Product Liability African Personal Injury Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 7385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	X	LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 10 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 11 Family and Medical Leave Act 10 Cother Labor Litigation 11 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 12 Naturalization Application 15 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC □ 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ □ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information □ Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure □ Act/Review or Appeal of □ Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of □ State Statutes	
	moved from te Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta Fair Labor Standa Brief description of ca	Appellate Court tute under which you ar ards Act use:	Reop				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: Failure to pay wag CHECK IF THIS I UNDER RULE 23		IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$		EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint: ∴ ▼ Yes □ No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD						
04/27/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		s/ Amber L. Hu	rst				
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE	

Print Save As...

Reset

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
 - (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- **II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 - United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 - Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 - Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- **III. Residence** (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- **V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
 - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407
 - Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- **VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lawsuit Claims Quality Integrated Services Owes Inspectors Unpaid Overtime</u>