### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

	S	
JERRY McCUTCHEON,	Š	
Individually and on behalf	Š	Civil Action No. 5:18-cv-249
of all others similarly situated,	Š	
•	Š	
Plaintiff,	Š	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	Š	-
V.	Š	
	Š	COLLECTIVE ACTION
PALADIN TOWING & RECOVERY INC. and	Š	PURSUANT TO 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)
BILLY KEVIL	Š	
	Š	<b>CLASS ACTION PURSUANT TO</b>
Defendants.	Š	FED. R. CIV. P. 23
	Š	

#### **ORIGINAL COLLECTIVE AND CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

Jerry McCutcheon brings this action individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated (hereinafter "Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members") who worked for Paladin Towing & Recovery Inc. and Billy Kevil (hereinafter "Defendants" or "Paladin"), at any time during the relevant statutes of limitations through the final disposition of this matter, seeking all available relief, including compensation, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, and costs, pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 201–19, and Texas common law.

#### I. OVERVIEW

This is a collective action brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"),
 29 U.S.C. §§ 201–19, and a class action brought pursuant to Texas common law and FED. R. CIV. P.
 23 to recover unpaid wages, overtime wages, and other applicable damages and penalties.

2. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members are those similarly situated persons who worked for Paladin at any time during the relevant statutes of limitations through the final disposition

#### Case 6:18-cv-00117-RP-JCM Document 1 Filed 03/15/18 Page 2 of 14

of this matter, and were not paid for all hours worked or any overtime compensation in violation of state and federal law.

3. Specifically, Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members were paid a commission only on the number of vehicles they towed, regardless of the number of hours worked each week.

4. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members routinely work (and worked) in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.

5. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members were not only not paid for all hours worked, but they were not paid overtime at the proper rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours each week.

6. The decision by Paladin not to pay for all hours worked or any overtime compensation to Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members was neither reasonable nor in good faith.

7. Paladin knowingly and deliberately failed to compensate Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.

8. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members did not and currently do not perform work that meets the definition of exempt work under the FLSA.<sup>1</sup>

9. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members therefore seek to recover all unpaid overtime and other damages owed under the FLSA as a collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

10. Plaintiff also pray that all similarly situated workers (Putative Class Members) be notified of the pendency of this action to apprise them of their rights and provide them an opportunity to opt-in to this lawsuit.

**11.** Plaintiff also prays that the Rule 23/Texas Common Law class is certified as defined herein, and the Plaintiff designated herein be named as Class Representative.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All exemptions are to be narrowly construed and the burden of proof to establish them lies with the employer. *Vela v. City of Houston*, 276 F.3d 659, 666 (5th Cir. 2001).

#### II. THE PARTIES

12. Plaintiff Jerry McCutcheon ("McCutcheon") worked for Paladin during the relevant time-period. Plaintiff McCutcheon was not paid for all hours worked nor did he receive overtime at the proper rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.<sup>2</sup>

13. The Putative Class Members are those similarly situated employees who worked for Paladin during the relevant statutes of limitations and have been subjected to the same illegal pay system under which Plaintiff McCutcheon worked and was paid.

14. Paladin Towing & Recovery Inc. ("Paladin") is a Texas limited liability company, and may be served through its registered agent for service of process: Billy Kevil, 1193 McLennan Crossing, Woodway, Texas 76712.

15. Billy Kevil ("Kevil") is the owner of Paladin Towing & Recovery Inc. and an employer as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d). Along with Paladin, Defendant Kevil employed or jointly employed Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members. Billy Kevil may be served with process at: **1193 McLennan** 

#### Crossing, Woodway, Texas 76712, or wherever he may be found.

16. Defendants are joint employers pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 791.2. They have common ownership, oversight and control over Paladin Towing & Recovery Inc. and Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members. As a result, all Defendants are responsible, both individually and jointly, for compliance with all of the applicable provisions of the FLSA and Texas common law, including the overtime provisions, with respect to the entire employment for the workweeks at issue in this case.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The written consent of Jerry McCutcheon is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."

#### III. JURISDICTION & VENUE

17. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 as this is an action arising under 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, *et. seq.* 

18. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the additional Texas state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

19. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Paladin because the cause of action arose within this District as a result of Paladin's conduct within this District.

20. Venue is proper in the Western District of Texas because this is a judicial district where a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred.

21. Specifically, Paladin has maintained a working presence throughout the State of Texas and Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members have worked for Paladin throughout the State of Texas, all of which is located in this District and Division.

22. Venue is therefore proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

### IV. ADDITIONAL FACTS

23. Paladin provides general towing, unlocking, off-road recovery and fuel delivery services throughout the State of Texas.

24. To provide their services, Paladin employed (and continues to employ) numerous tow truck drivers/operators—including Plaintiff and the individuals that make up the putative or potential class. While exact job titles may differ, these employees were subjected to the same or similar illegal pay practices for similar work in the towing and recovery business in the State of Texas.

25. Defendants are joint employers pursuant to 229 C.F.R. § 791.2.

26. Specifically, Defendant Kevil dictates the practice goals and what pressing or tactical items need to be done in order to meet the goals of Paladin and/or their clients.

#### Case 6:18-cv-00117-RP-JCM Document 1 Filed 03/15/18 Page 5 of 14

27. Further, Defendant Kevil manages key internal relationships to Paladin—that is, he directs the financials of Paladin and he controls the commissions of Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members who directly or indirectly report to Defendant Kevil.

28. Moreover, Defendant Kevil has the power to hire and fire Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members; supervise and control Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members' work schedules and conditions of their employment; determine their rate and method of payment; and, maintain their employment records.

29. As a result, all Defendants are responsible, both individually and jointly, for compliance with all of the applicable provisions of the FLSA and Texas common law with respect to the entire employment for the workweeks at issue in this case.

30. Plaintiff McCutcheon worked for Paladin from approximately July 2014 until August2015 as a tow truck driver/operator.

31. As a tow truck driver/operator, Plaintiff McCutcheon's primary duties were to drive, maintain and operate the trucks used to tow and recover disabled vehicles throughout the State of Texas.

32. Paladin paid Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members a commission for each tow and/or recovery made. Specifically, Plaintiff McCutcheon was paid \$40.00 commission for each tow and/or recovery, but did not receive overtime compensation at the required rate of time-and-one-half for all hours worked over forty (40) each workweek.

33. Plaintiff McCutcheon would tow between one (1) to ten (10) vehicles per day during his sixteen (16) hour shift.

34. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members worked long hours. Specifically, Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members often worked more than 100 hours per week.

#### Case 6:18-cv-00117-RP-JCM Document 1 Filed 03/15/18 Page 6 of 14

35. Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 778.117, any and all commissions should have been included in Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members' regular rates of pay before any and all overtime multipliers were applied.

36. Not only did Paladin fail to include these commissions in Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members' regular rates of pay before applying any and all overtime multipliers, Paladin did not pay Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members any overtime at all for work in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

37. Paladin applied this pay practice despite clear and controlling law that states that Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members were non-exempt employees entitled to be paid for all hours worked and overtime at the proper rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) each week.

38. Paladin was (and continues to be) aware that Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members were not paid for all hours worked nor were they paid the proper amount of overtime for all hours worked over forty (40) each week.

39. Paladin denied Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members the proper amount of pay as a result of a widely applicable, illegal pay practice.

40. Accordingly, Paladin's pay policies and practices violated (and continue to violate) the FLSA and Texas common law.

#### V. CAUSES OF ACTION

#### A. FLSA COVERAGE

41. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.

42. The FLSA Collective is defined as:

ALL TOW TRUCK DRIVERS/OPERATORS WHO WORKED FOR PALADIN TOWING & RECOVERY INC. AND/OR BILLY KEVIL, AT ANY TIME FROM MARCH 15, 2015 THROUGH THE FINAL DISPOSITION OF THIS MATTER, WERE NOT PAID FOR ALL HOURS WORKED AND DID NOT RECEIVE OVERTIME FOR ALL HOURS

#### Case 6:18-cv-00117-RP-JCM Document 1 Filed 03/15/18 Page 7 of 14

### WORKED OVER FORTY IN ANY WORKWEEK ("FLSA Collective" or "FLSA Collective Members").

43. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendants have been joint employers within the meaning of Section 3(d) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

44. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendants have been an enterprise within the meaning of Section 3(r) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).

45. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendants have been an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of Section 3(s)(1) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1), in that said enterprise has had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, or employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person, or in any closely related process or occupation directly essential to the production thereof, and in that those enterprises have had, and have, an annual gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level which are separately stated).

46. During the respective periods of Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Members' employment by Paladin, these individuals provided services for Paladin that involved interstate commerce for purposes of the FLSA.

47. In performing the operations hereinabove described, Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Members were engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of §§ 203(b), 203(i), 203(j), 206(a), and 207(a) of the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(b), 203(i), 203(j), 206(a), 207(a).

48. Specifically, Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Members are (or were) <u>non-exempt</u> employees who worked for Paladin and were engaged in vehicle towing and recovery services that were directly essential to the production of goods for Paladin and related companies. 29 U.S.C. § 203(j).

#### Case 6:18-cv-00117-RP-JCM Document 1 Filed 03/15/18 Page 8 of 14

49. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Members are (or were) individual employees who were engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as required by 29 U.S.C. §§ 206–07.

50. In violating the FLSA, Paladin acted willfully, without a good faith basis and with reckless disregard of applicable federal law.

51. The proposed collective of similarly situated employees, i.e. putative collective members sought to be certified pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), is defined in Paragraph 42.

52. The precise size and identity of the proposed class should be ascertainable from the business records, tax records, and/or employee or personnel records of Paladin.

#### B. FAILURE TO PAY WAGES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FLSA

53. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.

54. Paladin violated provisions of Sections 6, 7 and 15 of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 207, and 215(a)(2) by employing individuals in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA for workweeks longer than forty (40) hours without compensating such employees for hours worked in excess of forty (40) per workweek at rates at least one and one-half times the regular rate.

55. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Members have suffered damages and continue to suffer damages as a result of Paladin's acts or omissions as described herein; though Paladin is in possession and control of necessary documents and information from which Plaintiff would be able to precisely calculate damages.

56. Moreover, Paladin knowingly, willfully and in reckless disregard carried out its illegal pattern of failing to pay Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees overtime compensation. 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

57. Paladin knew or should have known its pay practices were in violation of the FLSA.

#### Case 6:18-cv-00117-RP-JCM Document 1 Filed 03/15/18 Page 9 of 14

58. Paladin is a sophisticated party and employer, and therefore knew (or should have known) its policies were in violation of the FLSA.

59. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Members, on the other hand, are (and were) unsophisticated laborers who trusted Paladin to pay for all hours worked and overtime at the proper rate in accordance with the law.

60. The decision and practice by Paladin to not pay for all hours worked and overtime at the proper rate was neither reasonable nor in good faith.

61. Accordingly, Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members are entitled to be paid (a) for all hours worked and (b) overtime wages for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) in a workweek pursuant to the FLSA in an amount equal to one-and-a-half times their regular rate of pay, plus liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs.

#### C. FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

62. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.

63. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), this collective claim is made on behalf of all those who are (or were) similarly situated to Plaintiff.

64. Other similarly situated employees have been victimized by Paladin's patterns, practices, and policies, which are in willful violation of the FLSA.

65. The FLSA Collective Members are defined in Paragraph 42.

66. Paladin's failure to pay for all hours worked and overtime at the proper rate results from generally applicable policies and practices, and does not depend on the personal circumstances of the individual FLSA Collective Members.

67. Thus, Plaintiff's experiences are typical of the experiences of the FLSA Collective Members.

#### Case 6:18-cv-00117-RP-JCM Document 1 Filed 03/15/18 Page 10 of 14

68. The specific job titles or precise job requirements of the various FLSA Collective Members does not prevent collective treatment.

69. All of the FLSA Collective Members—regardless of their specific job titles, precise job requirements, rates of pay, or job locations—are entitled to be properly compensated for all hours worked and overtime at the proper rate.

70. Although the issues of damages may be individual in character, there is no detraction from the common nucleus of liability facts. Indeed, the FLSA Collective Members are blue-collar workers entitled to be paid for all hours worked and overtime at the proper rate.

71. Paladin employed a substantial number of similarly situated individuals since March 15, 2015. Upon information and belief, these workers are geographically dispersed, residing and working in locations across the State of Texas.

72. Absent a collective action, many members of the proposed FLSA collective likely will not obtain redress of their injuries and Paladin will retain the proceeds of its rampant violations.

73. Moreover, individual litigation would be unduly burdensome to the judicial system. Concentrating the litigation in one forum will promote judicial economy and parity among the claims of the individual members of the classes and provide for judicial consistency.

74. Accordingly, the FLSA collective of similarly situated plaintiffs should be certified as defined as in Paragraph 42 and notice should be promptly sent.

#### COUNT TWO (Class Action Alleging Violations of Texas Common Law)

#### A. VIOLATIONS OF TEXAS COMMON LAW

75. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.

76. Plaintiff further brings this action pursuant to the equitable theory of *quantum meruit*. See Artemis Seafood, Inc. v. Butcher's Choice, Inc. No. CIV. A. 3:98-0282, 1999 WL 608853, at \*3 (N.D.

#### Case 6:18-cv-00117-RP-JCM Document 1 Filed 03/15/18 Page 11 of 14

Tex. Aug. 11, 1999) (citing Schuchart & Assocs. V. Solo Serve Corp., 1983 WL 1147, at \*23 (W.D. Tex.

June 29, 1983)).

77. The Texas Common Law Class is defined as:

ALL TOW TRUCK DRIVERS/OPERATORS WHO WORKED FOR PALADIN TOWING & RECOVERY INC. AND/OR BILLY KEVIL, IN THE STATE OF TEXAS, AT ANY TIME FROM MARCH 15, 2014 THROUGH THE FINAL DISPOSITION OF THIS MATTER, WERE NOT PAID FOR ALL HOURS WORKED AND DID NOT RECEIVE OVERTIME FOR ALL HOURS WORKED OVER FORTY IN ANY WORKWEEK ("Texas Common Law Class" or "Texas Common Law Class Members").

78. The Texas Common Law Class Members are entitled to recover their unpaid "straight time" or "gap time" wages for services rendered on behalf of Defendants. These claims are independent of Plaintiff's claims for unpaid overtime wages pursuant to the FLSA, and they are therefore not preempted by the FLSA. *See Burns v. Chesapeake Energy, Inc.*, No. SA-15-CV-1016-RP, (ECF No. 67) (W.D. Tex. Mar. 2, 2017) (recognizing that "gap time" or "straight time" claims, where the compensation sought is for hours worked in a week that are not in excess of forty and when the average wage paid is not below minimum wage, are not preempted by the FLSA); *see also Carman v. Meritage Homes Corp.*, 37 F.Supp.3d 860, 867 (S.D. Tex. 2014).

79. Plaintiff and the Texas Common Law Class Members provided valuable services for Defendants, at Defendants' direction and with Defendants' acquiescence.

80. Defendants accepted Plaintiff and the Texas Common Law Class Members' services and benefited from their timely dedication to Defendants' policies and adherence to Defendants' schedule.

81. Defendants were (and continue to be) aware that Plaintiff and the Texas Common Law Class Members expected to be compensated for the services they provided to Defendants.

82. Defendants have therefore been benefited from services rendered by Plaintiff and the Texas Common Law Class Members.

#### Case 6:18-cv-00117-RP-JCM Document 1 Filed 03/15/18 Page 12 of 14

83. Plaintiff and the Texas Common Law Class Members are therefore entitled to recover pursuant to the equitable theory of *quantum meruit*.

#### B. TEXAS COMMON LAW CLASS ALLEGATIONS

84. Plaintiff brings his Texas Common Law Claims as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 on behalf of all similarly situated individuals employed by Defendants to work in Texas since March 15, 2014. *See* TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE ANN. § 16.051.

85. Class action treatment of the Texas Common Law Class Members is appropriate because, as alleged below, all of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23's class action requisites are satisfied.

86. The number of Texas Common Law Class Members is so numerous that joinder of all class members is impracticable.

87. Plaintiff is a member of the Texas Common Law Class, his claims are typical of the claims of other class members, and he has no interests that are antagonistic to or in conflict with the interests of the other class members.

88. Plaintiff and his counsel will fairly and adequately represent the class members and their interests.

89. Class certification is appropriate under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) because common questions of law and fact predominate over questions affecting only individual class members and because a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation.

90. Accordingly, the Texas Common Law Class should be certified as defined in Paragraph77.

#### VI. RELIEF SOUGHT

91. Plaintiff respectfully pray for judgment against Paladin as follows:

a. For an Order recognizing this proceeding as a collective action pursuant to Section 216(b) of the FLSA, certifying the FLSA Collective as defined in Paragraph 42 and requiring Paladin to provide the names, addresses, e-mail addresses, telephone numbers, and social security numbers of all putative collective action members;

b. For an Order certifying the Texas Common Law Class as defined in Paragraph
 77 as a class action under Rule 23 and designating Jerry McCutcheon as the Class Representative of
 the Texas Common Law Class.

c. For an Order approving the form and content of a notice to be sent to all putative FLSA Collective Members advising them of the pendency of this litigation and of their rights with respect thereto;

d. For an Order awarding Plaintiff (and those FLSA Collective Members who have joined in the suit) back wages that have been improperly withheld;

e. For an Order pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA finding Paladin liable for unpaid back wages due to Plaintiff (and those FLSA Collective Members who have joined in the suit), for liquidated damages equal in amount to the unpaid compensation found due to Plaintiff (and those FLSA Collective Members who have joined in the suit);

f. For an Order pursuant to Texas Common Law awarding Plaintiff and the Texas Common Law Class who performed work on behalf of Defendants in the State of Texas all damages allowed by law;

g. For an Order awarding the costs and expenses of this action;

h. For an Order awarding attorneys' fees;

### Case 6:18-cv-00117-RP-JCM Document 1 Filed 03/15/18 Page 14 of 14

i. For an Order awarding pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the highest rates allowed by law;

- j. For an Order awarding the Plaintiff a service award as permitted by law;
- k. For an Order compelling the accounting of the books and records of Paladin,

at Paladin's own expense; and

l. For an Order granting such other and further relief as may be necessary and

appropriate.

Date: March 15, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

By:

#### ANDERSON ALEXANDER, PLLC

/s/ *Clif Alexander*  **Clif Alexander** Texas Bar No. 24064805 clif@a2xlaw.com **Lauren E. Braddy** Texas Bar No. 24071993 lauren@a2xlaw.com **Alan Clifton Gordon** Texas Bar No. 00793838 cgordon@a2xlaw.com 819 N. Upper Broadway Corpus Christi, Texas 78401 Telephone: (361) 452-1279 Facsimile: (361) 452-1284

Attorneys in Charge for Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members

### CONSENT TO JOIN WAGE CLAIM

# Print Name: Jerry McCutcheon

- 1. I hereby consent to participate in a collective action lawsuit against **PALADIN TOWING & RECOVERY, INC.** to pursue my claims of unpaid overtime during the time that I worked with the company.
- 2. I understand that this lawsuit is brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act, and consent to be bound by the Court's decision.
- 3. I designate the law firm and attorneys at ANDERSON ALEXANDER, PLLC as my attorneys to prosecute my wage claims.
- 4. I intend to pursue my claim individually, unless and until the Court certifies this case as a collective action. I agree to serve as the Class Representative if the Court so approves. If someone else serves as the Class Representative, then I designate the Class Representative(s) as my agents to make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation, the method and manner of conducting the litigation, the entering of an agreement with the Plaintiffs' counsel concerning attorneys' fees and costs, and all other matters pertaining to this lawsuit.
- 5. I authorize the law firm and attorneys at ANDERSON ALEXANDER, PLLC to use this consent to file my claim in a separate lawsuit, class/collective action, or arbitration against the company.

Signature: Jerry McCutcheon (Mar 15, 2018)

<sub>Date:</sub> Mar 15, 2018

## Case 6:18-cv-00117-RP-JCM Document 1-2 Filed 03/15/18 Page 1 of 2 JS 44 (Rev. 06/17) CIVIL COVER SHEET 5:18-cv-249

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* **DEFENDANTS** I. (a) PLAINTIFFS PALADIN TOWING & RECOVERY INC. and BILLY KEVIL JERRY McCUTCHEON, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff County of Residence of First Listed Defendant McLennan (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. NOTE: Attorneys (If Known) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name Address, and Telephone Number) Cliff Alexander, Lauren E. Braddy, Alan Clifton Gordon, ANDERSON ALEXANDER, PLLC, 819 N. Upper Broadway, Corpus Christi, Texas 78401 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti and One Box for Defendant) (For Diversity Cases Only) D 1 U.S. Government ✗ 3 Federal Ouestion PTF DEF DEF PTF Citizen of This State I Incorporated or Principal Place Π4 Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party) **D** 1 **1** 4 of Business In This State 2 U.S. Government Incorporated and Principal Place □ 4 Diversity Citizen of Another State □ 2 **D** 5 (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) of Business In Another State Defendant 3 Foreign Nation Citizen or Subject of a **3** Foreign Country IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions FORFEITURE/PENALTY OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT BANKRUPTCY TORTS □ 110 Insurance 625 Drug Related Seizure □ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 375 False Claims Act PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY □ 120 Marine 365 Personal Injury 310 Airplane of Property 21 USC 881 423 Withdrawal 376 Qui Tam (31 USC) □ 315 Airplane Product □ 690 Other □ 130 Miller Act 28 USC 157 3729(a)) Product Liability □ 140 Negotiable Instrument 400 State Reapportionment 367 Health Care/ Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Pharmaceutical 410 Antitrust & Enforcement of Judgmen 430 Banks and Banking Slander Personal Injury 820 Copyrights 151 Medicare Act □ 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability 830 Patent □ 450 Commerce □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability 368 Asbestos Personal 835 Patent - Abbreviated □ 460 Deportation □ 340 Marine □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Student Loans Injury Product New Drug Application ■ 840 Trademark 345 Marine Product (Excludes Veterans) Corrupt Organizations Liability SOCIAL SECURITY □ 480 Consumer Credit PERSONAL PROPERTY □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment Liability LABOR □ 350 Motor Vehicle 370 Other Fraud ★ 710 Fair Labor Standards □ 490 Cable/Sat TV of Veteran's Benefits 3 861 HIA (1395ff) 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 371 Truth in Lending 862 Black Lung (923) 850 Securities/Commodities/ Act 190 Other Contract Product Liability □ 380 Other Personal 720 Labor/Management □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 195 Contract Product Liability **360** Other Personal ■ 864 SSID Title XVI Property Damage Relations 740 Railway Labor Act □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 385 Property Damage **3** 865 RSI (405(g)) 196 Franchise Injury 362 Personal Injury -Product Liability 751 Family and Medical 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Medical Malpractice Leave Act REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS 790 Other Labor Litigation FEDERAL TAX SUITS Act 896 Arbitration 210 Land Condemnation 440 Other Civil Rights Habeas Corpus: 791 Employee Retirement 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff □ 220 Foreclosure □ 441 Voting Π 463 Alien Detainee Income Security Act or Defendant) 899 Administrative Procedure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 442 Employment □ 510 Motions to Vacate 871 IRS—Third Party Act/Review or Appeal of □ 240 Torts to Land □ 443 Housing/ Sentence 26 USC 7609 Agency Decision 245 Tort Product Liability Accommodations □ 530 General 950 Constitutionality of 290 All Other Real Property □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities □ 535 Death Penalty IMMIGRATION State Statutes Employment Other: 462 Naturalization Application □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities n 540 Mandamus & Other 465 Other Immigration □ 550 Civil Rights Other Actions □ 448 Education 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -Conditions of Confinement V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) X 1 Original □ 2 Removed from **3** Remanded from □ 4 Reinstated or □ 5 Transferred from □ 6 Multidistrict ■ 8 Multidistrict Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened Litigation -Litigation -Another District Transfer Direct File (specify Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 29 USC §216(b) 28 USC §1331 VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: **FLSA** VII. REQUESTED IN 5 CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION **DEMAND \$** CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: 🕱 Yes No VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions). IF ANY JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD 03/15/2018 /s/ Clif Alexander FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 06/17)

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

#### Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: <u>Nature of Suit Code Descriptions</u>.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

## **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Tow Truck Driver Seeks to Recover Allegedly Unpaid Wages in Suit Against Paladin Towing & Recovery</u>