UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

| DAISY MARTINEZ, on behalf of herself and |) | Case No.: | '17CV1264 BTM MDD |
|--|--------|------------|-------------------|
| all other similarly situated consumers, |) | | |
| Plaintiff, |)) | | |
| vs. |)) | CLASS ACTI | ON COMPLAINT |
| MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT INC., |) | | |
| Defendant. |) | | |
| |) | | |

Plaintiff, Daisy Martinez (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated consumers, by and through undersigned counsel, hereby alleges against Midland Credit Management, Inc. (hereinafter "Defendant"), as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This is an action for damages arising from Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §1692 *et seq.* (hereinafter "FDCPA").

JURSIDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 13 U.S.C. §1367.

3. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C §1391(b)

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff is a natural person, who at all relevant times has resided in Carson, California and is a "consumer" as the phrase is defined and applied under 15 U.S.C. §1692(a) of the FDCPA.

5. Defendant is a corporation doing business in the State of California, with its corporate address as 2365 Northside Drive, Suite 300, San Diego, California 92108 and is "debt collector" as the phrase is defined and applied under 15 U.S.C. §1692(a) of the FDCPA in that they regularly attempt to collect on debts primarily incurred for personal, family or household purposes.

FACTUAL STATEMENT

6. On or about July 1, 2016, Defendant sent Plaintiff the letter attached as Exhibit A, presenting the "current balance" as \$2,030.26, for a personal credit card bill from Synchrony Bank.

7. Said personal bill was a debt incurred for personal, family or household purposes and not for business purposes.

8. Exhibit A is false, deceptive, and misleading for a number of reasons.

9. First, the letter states "if you pay your full balance, we will report your account as Paid in Full. If you pay less than your full balance, we will report your account as Paid in Full for less than the full balance."

10. Under the FDCPA, collection letters are to be judged from the standard of the least sophisticated consumer.

11. If a letter has multiple interpretations, more than one of which are reasonable, the collection letter is confusing and is a violation of the FDCPA.

12. The above language provided by Defendant has many different material interpretations the consumer is left unsure what amount will result in the new reporting offered by Defendant.

13. Will Defendant report "Paid in Full for Less than the full balance" if the consumer pays\$10, \$20, or \$150? In other words, the consumer views the letter and interprets it to say that nomatter the amount he or she pays Defendant will report it as Paid in Full for less than full the

Case 3:17-cv-01264-BTM-MDD Document 1 Filed 06/20/17 PageID.3 Page 3 of 9

balance—because that is the exact wording of the letter. Yet in fact, this is false and misleading
because Defendant will not mark the debt as Paid in Full for less than the full balance if the
consumer only pays \$10. Defendant does not provide for any other clarification for this reporting
statement throughout its uniform letter, and does not make clear that only a certain amount paid,
will entitle the consumer to receive a credit reporting of Paid in Full for less than the full balance.
14. Upon information and belief, Defendant is intentionally providing this vague reporting

language to induce payment. This false language directly impacts whether and how much the consumer will pay to Defendant to resolve the debt.

15. Second, notwithstanding the above, the statement "Paid in Full for less than the full the balance" leaves the least sophisticated consumer confused as to what it means. How can a debt be paid for less than the full balance while at the same time be paid in full. The very language "Paid in Full for less than the full balance" is nonsensical, leaving the consumer confused as to how Defendant's credit reporting will affect his or her credit.

16. Third, Defendant's letter falsely implies that non-payment will result in the consumer being sued. Specifically, the letter states:

"This letter is to remind you that we are considering forwarding this account to an attorney in your state for possible litigation...If we don't hear from you or receive payment by 07-16-2016, we may proceed with forwarding this account to an attorney."

17. The least sophisticated consumer is aware that accounts are only sent to attorney's to proceed with litigation.

18. Exhibit A specifically implies that Defendant will send the debt to an attorney within a short period of time to pursue litigation.

19. Approximately eleven (11) months have passed since Plaintiff received this letter but

Defendant has not sent this account to an attorney. In fact, upon information and belief,

Defendant never intended to send this account to an attorney.

20. Thus, Defendant's threat was false, deceptive, and misleading.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

The Class

21. Plaintiff brings this as a class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23.

22. Plaintiff seeks certification of the following classes, initially defined as follows:

<u>FDCPA Class</u>: All consumers with a California address that have received collection letters from Defendant concerning debts for Synchrony Bank cards used primarily for personal, household, or family purposes within one year prior to the filing of this complaint that provide deceptive a) reporting language or b) litigation threats.

<u>Rosenthal Act Class</u>: All consumers with a California address that have received collection letters from Defendant concerning debts for Synchrony Bank cards used primarily for personal, household, or family purposes within three years prior to the filing of this complaint that provide deceptive a) reporting language or b) litigation threats.

23. Excluded from the Class is Defendant herein, and any person, firm, trust, corporation, or other entity related to or affiliated with the defendant, including, without limitation, persons who are officers, directors, employees, associates or partners of Defendant.

Numerosity

24. Upon information and belief, Defendant has sent collections letters in attempt to collect a debt to hundreds if not thousands of consumers throughout California, each of which violates the FDCPA. The members of the Class, therefore, are believed to be so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

25. The letters sent by Defendant, and received by the Class, are to be evaluated by the objective standard of the hypothetical "least sophisticated consumer."

26. The exact number and identities of the Class members are unknown at this time and can only be ascertained through discovery. Identification of the Class members is a matter capable of ministerial determination from Defendant's records.

Common Questions of Law and Fact

27. There are questions of law and fact common to the class that predominates over any questions affecting only individual Class members. These common questions of law and fact include, without limitation: (i) whether Defendant violated various provisions of the FDCPA; (ii) whether the Plaintiff and the Class have been injured by the conduct of Defendant; (iii) whether the Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages and are entitled to restitution as a result of Defendants wrongdoing and, if so, what is the proper measure and appropriate statutory formula to be applied in determining such damages and restitution; and (iv) whether the Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to declaratory and/or injunctive relief.

Typicality

28. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff's Class defined in this complaint have claims arising out of the Defendants common uniform course of conduct complained of herein. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class, and Plaintiff has no interests adverse or antagonistic to the interests of other members of the Class.

Protecting the Interests of the Class Members

29. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the Class members' interests, in that the Plaintiff's counsel is experienced and, further, anticipates no impediments in the pursuit and maintenance of the class action as sought herein.

30. Neither the Plaintiff nor his counsel have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.

Proceeding Via Class Action is Superior and Advisable

31. A class action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims herein asserted, this being specifically envisioned by Congress as a principal means of enforcing the FDCPA, as codified by 15 U.S.C.§ 1692(k).

32. The members of the Class are generally unsophisticated individuals, whose rights will not be vindicated in the absence of a class action.

33. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications resulting in the establishment of inconsistent or varying standards for the parties.

34. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff's Class predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

35. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify one or more classes only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

36. A class action will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would engender. Class treatment also will permit the adjudication of relatively small claims by many Class members who could not otherwise afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein.

Case 3:17-cv-01264-BTM-MDD Document 1 Filed 06/20/17 PageID.7 Page 7 of 9

37. Absent a class action, the Class members will continue to suffer losses borne from Defendants breaches of Class members' statutorily protected rights as well as monetary damages, thus allowing and enabling: (a) Defendants conduct to proceed and; (b) Defendants to further enjoy the benefit of its ill-gotten gains.

Defendants have acted, and will act, on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class, thereby making appropriate a final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

<u>COUNT I</u> <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT</u> <u>15 U.S.C. §1692 et seq.</u>

38. Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in the above paragraphs and incorporates them as if specifically set forth at length herein.

39. Defendant's false and deceptive representations to Plaintiff violate the below provisions of the FDCPA.

40. Section 1692e provides:

§ 1692e. False or misleading representations

A debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt. Without limiting the general application of the foregoing, the following conduct is a violation of this section: . . .

(5) The threat to take any action that is not intended to be taken;

(10) The use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer.

41. Section 1692f provides:

§ 1692f. Unfair Practices

A debt collector may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt....

<u>COUNT II</u> <u>VIOLATION OF THE ROSENTHAL ACT</u> <u>Cal. Civ. Code § 1788 *et seq.*</u>

•

42. Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in the above paragraphs and incorporates them as if specifically set forth at length herein.

43. Under Cal. Civ. Code §1788.17, a violation of the FDCPA is also a violation of the Rosenthal Act.

44. Accordingly, Defendant's numerous FDCPA violations are also violations of the RosenthalAct.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Daisy Martinez, respectfully requests that this Court do the following for the benefit of Plaintiff:

A. Certify the class described herein and appoint Plaintiff as Lead Plaintiff, and Plaintiff's Counsel as Lead Counsel;

- B. Enter judgment against Defendant for statutory damages pursuant to the FDCPA and Rosenthal Act;
- C. Award costs and reasonable attorneys' fees;
- D. Grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

45. Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

Dated this 20th of June, 2017

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Veronica M. Aguilar

Veronica M. Aguilar LAW OFFICES OF VERONICA M. AGUILAR 4231 Balboa Avenue, #176 San Diego, California 92117 Telephone: 858.213.7853

JS 44 (Rev. 06/16) ase 3:17-cv-01264-BTM-MDD CIVER SHEET 6/20/17 PageID.10 Page 1 of 1

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

| L (a) PLAINTIFFS Daisy Martinez | | | | DEFENDANT Midland Credit M | S anagemen | t Inc. | | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| (b) County of Residence of (E2) | f First Listed Plaintiff <u>S</u> <i>XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA</i> | San Diego, CA ISES) | | County of Residenc NOTE: IN LAND C THE TRAC | (IN U.S. F | ed Defendant PLAINTIFF CASES O ON CASES, USE T NVOLVED. | | OF | |
| (c) Attorneys (<i>Firm Name, A</i> Veronica M. Aguilar 4231 Balboa Avenue, #1 San Diego, California 92 | 76 | r) | | Attorneys (If Known |) | <u>'17CV</u> | 1264 BTN | / MDE |) |
| II. BASIS OF JURISDI | CTION (Place an "X" in C | ne Box Only) | III. CI | FIZENSHIP OF | PRINCIPA | AL PARTIES | | | |
| 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff | 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government) | Not a Party) | | | PTF DEF | Incorporated or Pr of Business In T | | or Defenda PTF d 4 | <i>unt)</i> DEF □ 4 |
| 2 U.S. Government Defendant | □ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh | ip of Parties in Item III) | | | | Incorporated <i>and</i> F of Business In A Foreign Nation | | □ 5 □ 6 | □ 5 □ 6 |
| | - | | | eign Country | | _ | | | |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT | | uly) RTS | FO | RFEITURE/PENALTY | | t here for: <u>Nature o</u> NKRUPTCY | | escription STATUT | |
| Ito Insurance I20 Marine I20 Marine I30 Miller Act I40 Negotiable Instrument I50 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment I51 Medicare Act I52 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) I53 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits I60 Stockholders' Suits I90 Other Contract I95 Contract Product Liability I96 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property | PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & | PERSONAL INJUR BERSONAL INJUR BERSONAL INJUR Product Liability Barbon State Stat | Y □ 62. □ 69. □ 71. □ 72. □ 74. □ 75 NS □ 79 □ 46. | 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other 10 June 20 June | □ 422 App □ 423 With 28 U ■ 820 Cop □ 830 Pate □ 835 Pate New □ 840 Trad ■ 861 HIA □ 862 Blac □ 863 DIW □ 864 SSII □ 865 RSI ■ FEDER □ 870 Taxe or D □ 871 IRS-26 U | eal 28 USC 158 drawal JSC 157 RTY RIGHTS vrights at at - Abbreviated Drug Application emark .SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI | 375 False C 376 Qui Tar 3729(a) 400 State Rd 410 Antitrus 430 Banks a 450 Comme 460 Deporta 470 Rackete Corrupt 480 Consun 490 Cable/S 850 Securiti Exchan 891 Agricul 893 Environ 895 Freedor Act 899 Admini Act/Rev | laims Act n (31 USC)) eapportionr st nd Banking ree tition er Influenc Organizati er Credit at TV es/Commo ge tatutory Act tural Acts mental Mat n of Inform ion strative Pro riew or App Decision ationality o | nent g eed and ons dities/ etions ters nation weedure peeal of |
| | | Remanded from Appellate Court | □ 4 Reins Reop | 1 14110 | her District | □ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer | | Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi | n - |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO | DN 15 U.S.C. §1692 Brief description of ca | tute under which you an use: ions Practices Act | re filing (D | | <i>c</i> , | | | | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: | CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2 | IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P. | N DI | EMAND \$ | | CHECK YES only URY DEMAND: | | i complaii □No | nt: |
| VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY | E(S) (See instructions): | JUDGE | | | DOCKI | ET NUMBER | | | |
| DATE | | SIGNATURE OF ATT | FORNEY C | F RECORD | DOCK | | | | |
| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY | | (mxn) | | | | | | | |
| RECEIPT # AN | AOUNT | APPLYING IFP | | JUDGE | | MAG. JUD | OGE | | |

| Case 1 Man 1264 BTM MDD Document 1-2 | 2 Priginal/Sopplitopage | ID.11 Pa | ge 1 of 1 | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Management, Inc. | | | Synchrony Bank | | | |
| | Original Account Nur | nber | | | | |
| 2365 Northside Drive, Suite 300, San Diego, CA 92108 | | | 8733 | | | |
| | MCM Account Numbe | MCM Account Number | | | | |
| 07-01-2016 | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | 3568 | | | |
| | Current Balance | | | | | |
| | | - | \$2,030.26 | | | |
| P2T23 Daisy Martinez | Current Owner | | | | | |
| | | MIDLAN | | | | |
| յրովինը խոկվի կոնսներին ներերին կուներուն կողություններություններություններություններություններություններությու | CALL BY DATE | \geq | 07-16-2016 | | | |
| | 00) 939-2353 | | | | | |
| | | - | | | | |
| PRE-LEGAL NC | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| RE: Synchrony Bank / Walmart | TIFICATION | | | | | |
| an na ann an Anna an A Anna an Anna an | TIFICATION | BENEF | ITS OF PAYING | | | |
| RE: Synchrony Bank / Walmart | ontact you regarding this ding this ding this account to an | ≻ This last | ITS OF PAYING may be your chance to work us before the | | | |
| RE: Synchrony Bank / Walmart Dear Daisy, Midland Credit Management, Inc. has made several attempts to c account. This letter is to notify you that we are considering forward attorney in your state for possible litigation. Upon receipt of this not | ontact you regarding this ding this account to an btice, please call | > This last with acco | may be your chance to work | | | |
| RE: Synchrony Bank / Walmart Dear Daisy, Midland Credit Management, Inc. has made several attempts to c account. This letter is to notify you that we are considering forward attorney in your state for possible litigation. Upon receipt of this no (800) 939-2353 to discuss your options. | ontact you regarding this ding this account to an btice, please call may proceed with forwarding | > This last with acco attor | may be your chance to work us before the ount goes to an | | | |
| RE: Synchrony Bank / Walmart Dear Daisy, Midland Credit Management, Inc. has made several attempts to c account. This letter is to notify you that we are considering forward attorney in your state for possible litigation. Upon receipt of this no (800) 939-2353 to discuss your options. If we don't hear from you or receive payment by 07-16-2016, we re this account to an attorney. | ontact you regarding this ding this account to an otice, please call may proceed with forwarding continuing? | This last with acco attor After tinal p consi | may be your chance to work us before the ount goes to an rney. receiving your bayment, we will der the account | | | |
| RE: Synchrony Bank / Walmart Dear Daisy, Midland Credit Management, Inc. has made several attempts to c account. This letter is to notify you that we are considering forward attorney in your state for possible litigation. Upon receipt of this not (800) 939-2353 to discuss your options. If we don't hear from you or receive payment by 07-16-2016, we re this account to an attorney. What are some options you can do to stop this process from | ontact you regarding this ding this account to an otice, please call may proceed with forwarding continuing? ts, or payment plans. le options may no longer be | This last with account of account of account of account of account of account of attorn account of attorn account of ac | may be your chance to work us before the ount goes to an ney. receiving your bayment, we will der the account | | | |

Name of Creditor at Charge-On: STNC

Sincerely,

Tim Botin

Tim Bolin, Division Manager (800) 939-2353

*If you pay your full balance, we will report your account as **Paid in Full.** If you pay less than your full balance, we will report your account as **Paid in Full for less than the full balance.**

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Midland Credit Management Sued Over 'Nonsensical' Letters</u>