IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

BRETT MARTIN, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

CASE NO.:

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT - CLASS ACTION

v.

SUNLIGHT SUPPLY, INC.,

Defendants.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF WARN ACT 29 U.S.C. § 2101, ET SEQ.

Plaintiff Brett Martin ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and a putative class of similarly situated former employees of Sunlight Supply, Inc. (the "Defendant"), by way of this Class Action Complaint against Defendant alleges as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Beginning on or about November 16, 2017 and within 90 days of that date, Defendant ordered mass layoffs as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 2101(a)(2), (3), for which it was required to provide 60 days advanced written notice under the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act, 29 U.S.C. § 2101 *et seq.* ("WARN Act") to its employees.
- 1.2 Defendant terminated the Plaintiff and approximately 200 similarly situated employees (the "similarly situated former employees") who worked at or reported to Defendant's Vancouver, Washington facilities as defined herein.

OUTTEN & GOLDEN LLP 685 THIRD AVENUE, 25th FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10017 (212) 245-1000

1.3 The Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself, and approximately 200
similarly-situated former employees who were terminated in mass layoffs from Defendant's
Vancouver, Washington facilities beginning on or about November 16, 2017 and within 90 days
of that date. These employees were not provided 60 days advance written notice of their
terminations by Defendant, as required by the WARN Act.

1.4 Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees seek to recover 60 days wages and benefits, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 2104, from Defendant.

II. PARTIES

- 2.1 Plaintiff Brett Martin was employed by defendant as an IT Desktop Specialist and reported to its facility located at 3204 NW 38th Circle, Vancouver, WA 98660 (the "World Headquarters Facility") until his termination on or about November 27, 2017.
- 2.2 Upon information and belief at all relevant times, Defendant maintained and operated its business at the World Headquarters Facility and maintained and operated other facilities in Vancouver, Washington area including 4525 NW Fruit Valley Road, Vancouver, Washington, as that term is defined by the WARN Act (collectively the "Facilities").
- 2.3 Upon information and belief at all relevant times, Defendant Sunlight Supply, Inc. is a Washington corporation with its principal place of business located at the World headquarters Facility and conducted business in this district.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3.1 This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1334, 1367, and 29 U.S.C. § 2104(a)(5).
 - 3.2 Venue in this Court is proper pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 2104(a)(5).

IV. FACTS

- 4.1 Plaintiff brings this Claim for Relief for violation of 29 U.S.C. § 2101 *et seq.* on his own behalf and on behalf of all similarly situated former employees, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 2104(a)(5) and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 23(a) and (b), who worked at or reported to one of Defendant's Facilities and were terminated without cause beginning on or about November 16, 2017, and within 90 days of that date, or were terminated without cause as the reasonably foreseeable consequence of the mass layoffs ordered by Defendant beginning on or about November 16, 2017, and who are affected employees, within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 2101(a)(5) (the "WARN Class").
- 4.2 The persons in the WARN Class identified above ("WARN Class Members") are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Although the precise number of such persons is unknown, the facts on which the calculation of that number can be based are presently within the sole control of Defendant.
- 4.3 The identity of the members of the class and the recent residence address of each of the WARN Class Members is contained in the books and records, including electronic records, of Defendant.
- 4.4 On information and belief, the rate of pay and benefits that were being paid by Defendant to each WARN Class Member at the time of his/her termination is contained in the books and records, including electronic records, of the Defendant.
- 4.5 Common questions of law and fact exist as to members of the WARN Class, including, but not limited to, the following:
 - (a) whether the members of the WARN Class were employees of the Defendant who worked at or reported to Defendant's Facilities;

- (b) whether Defendant unlawfully terminated the employment of the members of the WARN Class without cause on their part and without giving them 60 days advance written notice in violation of the WARN Act; and
- (c) whether Defendant unlawfully failed to pay the WARN Class members 60 days wages and benefits as required by the WARN Act.
- 4.6 The Plaintiff's claim is typical of those of the WARN Class. The Plaintiff, like other WARN Class members, worked at or reported to Defendant's Facilities and was terminated without cause on or about November 16, 2017, or within 90 days of that date, due to the mass layoffs ordered by Defendant.
- 4.7 The Plaintiff's will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the WARN Class. The Plaintiff has retained counsel competent and experienced in complex class actions, including the WARN Act and employment Litigation.
- 4.8 Class certification of these claims is appropriate under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3) because questions of law and fact common to the WARN Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the WARN Class, and because a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation – particularly in the context of WARN Act litigation, where individual plaintiffs may lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit in federal court against a corporate defendant, and damages suffered by individual WARN Class members are small compared to the expense and burden of individual prosecution of this litigation.
- 4.9 Concentrating all the potential litigation concerning the WARN Act rights of the members of the Class in this Court will obviate the need for unduly duplicative litigation that might result in inconsistent judgments, will conserve the judicial resources and the resource of **OUTTEN & GOLDEN LLP** 685 THIRD AVENUE, 25th FLOOR COMPLAINT - 4

the parties and is the most efficient means of resolving the WARN Act rights of all the members of the class.

4.10 Plaintiff intends to send notice to all members of the WARN Class to the e3xtent required by Rule 23.

V. <u>CLAIMS FOR RELIEF</u>

Violation of the WARN Act, 29 U.S.C. § 2104

- 5.1 Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 5.2 At all relevant times, Defendant employed more than 100 employees who in the aggregate worked at least 4,000 hours per week, exclusive of hours of overtime, within the United States.
- 5.3 At all relevant times, Defendant was an "employer," as that term is defined in 29 U.S.C. § 2101 (a)(1) and 20 C.F.R. § 639(a), and continued to operate as a business until it decided to order mass layoffs at the Facilities.
- 5.4 At all relevant times, Plaintiff and the similarly situated former employees were employees of Defendant as that term is defined by 29 U.S.C. §2101.
- 5.6 On or about November 16, 2017, the Defendant ordered mass layoffs at its Facilities, as that term is defined by 29 U.S.C. § 210l(a)(2) and 2102(d).
- 5.7 The mass layoffs at each of its Facilities resulted in "employment losses," as that term is defined by 29 U.S.C. §2101(a)(2) for at least fifty of Defendant's employees as well as more than one-third of Defendant's workforce at the Facilities, excluding "part-time employees," as that term is defined by 29 U.S.C. § 2101(a)(8).

26

28

	5.8	The Plaintiff and the Class Members were terminated by Defendant without
caus	e on their	part, as part of or as the reasonably foreseeable consequence of the mass layoff
orde	red by De	efendant at the Facilities.

- 5.9 The Plaintiff and the Class Members are "affected employees" of Defendant, within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 2101(a)(5).
- 5.10 Defendant was required by the WARN Act to give the Plaintiff and the Class Members at least 60 days advance written notice of their terminations.
- 5.11 Defendant failed to give the Plaintiff and the Class members written notice that complied with the requirements of the WARN Act.
- 5.12 The Plaintiff is, and each of the Class Members are, "aggrieved employees" of the Defendant as that term is defined in 29 U.S.C. § 2104(a)(7).
- 5.13 Defendant failed to pay the Plaintiff and each of the Class Members their respective wages, salary, commissions, bonuses, accrued holiday pay and accrued vacation for 60 days following their respective terminations, and failed to make the pension and 401(k) contributions and provide employee benefits under ERISA, for 60 days from and after the dates of their respective terminations.
 - 5.14 The relief sought in this proceeding is equitable in nature.

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all similarly situated persons, prays for the following relief as against Defendant:

- 1. Certification of this action as a class action;
- 2. Designation of the Plaintiff as a Class Representative;
- 3. Appointment of the undersigned attorneys as Class Counsel;

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7

4.	A judgment in favor of the Plaintiff and the similarly situated former employees
	equal to the sum of: their unpaid wages, salary, commissions, bonuses, accrued
	holiday pay, accrued vacation pay, pension and 401(k) contributions and other
	COBRA benefits, for 60 days, including medical expenses that would have been
	covered and paid under the then-applicable employee benefit plans had that
	coverage continued for that period, all determined in accordance with the WARN
	Act, 29 U.S.C. § 2104 (a)(1)(A);

- 5. Plaintiff's reasonable attorney's fees and the costs and disbursement of prosecuting this action as authorized by the WARN Act, 29 U.S.C. § 2104(a)(6);
- 6. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

DATED this 24th day of April, 2018.

FRANK FREED SUBIT & THOMAS LLP

By: /s/ Michael C. Subit

Michael C Subit, WSBA #29189
705 Second Avenue, Suite 1200
Seattle, Washington 98104
Phone: (206) 682-6711
Email: msubit@frankfreed.com
and by

By: /s/ Jack A. Raisner

/s/ René S. Roupinian_

Jack A. Raisner René S. Roupinian Outten & Golden LLP 685 Third Avenue, 25th Floor New York, New York 10017 Tel.: (212) 245-1000

Fax: (646) 509-2070 Email: rsr@outtengolden.com

Email: jar@outtengolden.com (pro hac vice admission forthcoming)

Attorneys for Plaintiff Brett Martin and the putative class

Case 2:18-cv-00600 Document 1 1 SHIEL 04/24/18 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Michael C. Subit, Frank Free Suite 1200, Seattle, WA 981 Jack A. Raisner, René S. Ro Avenue, New York, New York	of First Listed Plaintiff CACEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CANADARESS, and Telephone Numbered Subit & Thomas LLP, 04, Telephone: (206) 68 pupinian, OUTTEN & GO	Clark County ASES) r) 705 Second Avenue,	NOTE: IN LAND CO	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES C	DNI V)	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Michael C. Subit, Frank Free Suite 1200, Seattle, WA 981 Jack A. Raisner, René S. Ro Avenue, New York, New York	Address, and Telephone Numbered Subit & Thomas LLP, 04, Telephone: (206) 68 pupinian, OUTTEN & GC	nses) (r) 705 Second Avenue,	NOTE: IN LAND CO	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES C	DALL V	
Suite 1200, Seattle, WA 981 Jack A. Raisner, René S. Ro Avenue, New York, New York	04, Telephone: (206) 68 oupinian, OUTTEN & GC		Attorneys (If Known)	THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
		LDEN LLP, 685 Third				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	One Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government I	Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) PT Citizen of This State			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State	2		
			Citizen or Subject of a	3	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability Bersonal Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	FORFEITURE/PENALTY □ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 690 Other LABOR □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Management Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act X 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION □ 462 Naturalization Application □ 465 Other Immigration Actions	BANKRUPTCY □ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 861 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC	
☐ 1 Original ☐ 2 Ren	moved from a 3 te Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 29 U.S.C. § 2101 Brief description of ca	Appellate Court atute under which you are fi et seq. ause:		utes unless diversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	_	IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$		if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASE	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	NEY OF RECORD	DOCKLI NOMBER		
EOD OFFICE LISE ON W						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD)GE	

Print Save As.. Reset

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
 - (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- **II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 - United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 - Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 - Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- **III. Residence** (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- **V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
 - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407
 - Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Washington				
Brett Martin, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff(s) V.)))) Civil Action No.			
Sunlight Supply, Inc. Defendant(s))			
SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address) Sunlight Supply, Inc. c/o Craig Hargreaves, Registered Agent 5408 NE 88TH ST STE A-101 VANCOUVER, WA 98665				
A lawsuit has been filed against you.				
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Michael C. Subit, Frank Freed Subit & Thomas LLP, 705 Second Avenue, Suite 1200, Seattle, WA 98104, Telephone: (206) 682-6711				
Jack A. Raisner, René S. Roupinian, OUTTEN & GOLDEN LLP, 685 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10017, Telephone: (212) 245-1000				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.				
	CLERK OF COURT			
Date:				
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

		ne of individual and title, if any					
was rec	ceived by me on (date)						
	☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at (place)						
		; or					
	☐ I left the summons a		ce or usual place of abode with (name)				
	person of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,					
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or						
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual) designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)						
	designated by law to a	; or					
		nons unexecuted because	on (date)				
	Other (specify):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00			
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.						
Date:							
			Server's signature				
		_	Printed name and title				
			Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lawsuit: Sunlight Supply Failed to Provide Notice of Mass Layoff from Vancouver, WA Facilities</u>