IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

TRENT MAGSBY, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF THOSE SIMILARLY SITUATED

vs.

No. 4:17-cv-<u>86-BRW</u>

CARUSO TRUCKING, LTD, D/B/A CARUSO LOGISTICS; CARUSO LOGISTIC SERVICES, LLC; and CARUSO, INC.

JAMES W Bv: This case assigned to District/Judge and to Magistrate Judge.

PLAINTIFF

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION

COMES NOW Plaintiff Trent Magsby ("Plaintiff") by and through his attorneys Daniel Ford and Josh Sanford of Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, and for his Original Complaint–Class Action ("Complaint") against Caruso Trucking, Ltd. d/b/a Caruso Logistics, Caruso Logistic Services, LLC; and Caruso Inc. (hereinafter referred to collectively as "Defendants") does hereby state and allege as follows:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS

1. This is an action brought by Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, against Defendants for violations of the overtime provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.* (the "FLSA"), and the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, *et seq.* (the "AMWA").

2. Plaintiff seeks declaratory judgment; monetary damages; liquidated damages; prejudgment interest; costs; and a reasonable attorney's fee, as a result of

Page 1 of 11 Trent Magsby, et al. v. Caruso Trucking, Ltd., et al U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:17-cv-____ Original Complaint---Class Action

Case 4:17-cv-00086-BRW Document 1 Filed 02/09/17 Page 2 of 11

Defendants' policy and practice of failing to pay Plaintiff and other similarly situated individuals proper overtime compensation under the FLSA and under the AMWA within the applicable statutory limitations period.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas has subject matter jurisdiction over this suit under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this suit raises federal questions under the FLSA.

4. This Complaint also alleges AMWA violations, which arise out of the same set of operative facts as the federal cause of action herein alleged; accordingly, this state cause of action would be expected to be tried with the federal claim in a single judicial proceeding. This Court has pendent jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' AMWA claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

5. A substantial part of the acts complained of herein were committed and had their principal effect against Plaintiff, within the Western Division of the Eastern District of Arkansas; therefore, venue is proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

III. THE PARTIES

6. Plaintiff Trent Magsby ("Plaintiff") is an individual and resident of Little Rock, Arkansas.

7. Caruso Trucking, Ltd., d/b/a Caruso Logistics, is a foreign for-profit limited liability company founded under the laws of Ohio and registered to do business in the Arkansas, among other states.

Page 2 of 11 Trent Magsby, et al. v. Caruso Trucking, Ltd., et al U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:17-cv-___ Original Complaint—Class Action

Case 4:17-cv-00086-BRW Document 1 Filed 02/09/17 Page 3 of 11

8. The registered agent of Caruso Trucking, Ltd., d/b/a Caruso Logistics is The Corporation Company, 124 West Capitol Avenue, Suite 1900, Little Rock, Arkansas 72201.

9. Caruso Logistic Services, LLC ("Caruso Logistic Services"), is an Ohio forprofit limited liability company.

10. The registered agent of Caruso Logistic Services is Gregory R. Wilson 1411 Sycamore Street, Cincinnati, Ohio 45210.

11. Caruso, Inc., is a for-profit Ohio corporation.

12. The registered agent of Caruso, Inc., is Gregory R. Wilson 1411 Sycamore St., Cincinnati, Ohio 45210.

13. Upon information and belief, Defendants operate in Arkansas, Ohio, Tennessee, Virginia and other states as an operation centered on food delivery and refrigerated trucking.

14. HR and other payment decisions and directives are made for all three Defendants at the headquarters of Caruso, Inc., at 3465 Hauck Road, Cincinnati, Ohio 45241.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

15. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

16. At all relevant times, Defendants employed four or more employees.

17. For some time during each of the three calendar years preceding the filing of this Complaint, Defendants employed at least two employees who handled goods that had been moved in interstate commerce, including, but not limited to, vehicles,

> Page 3 of 11 Trent Magsby, et al. v. Caruso Trucking, Ltd., et al U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:17-cv-___ Original Complaint—Class Action

Case 4:17-cv-00086-BRW Document 1 Filed 02/09/17 Page 4 of 11

perishable food stuffs, refrigerated food stuffs, GPS and temperature monitoring units, and other goods necessary to operate a trucking and food delivery service operation.

18. Defendants' annual gross volume of sales made or business done was not less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are separately stated) during each of the calendar years during the relevant time.

19. Plaintiff performed the duties of a route driver for Defendants from approximately October 3, 2016, through January 20, 2017.

20. In the performance of his duties, Plaintiff handled goods that had been moved in interstate commerce, such as vehicles, perishable food stuffs, refrigerated food stuffs, dry goods, and other goods necessary to operate a trucking and food delivery service operation.

21. Defendant Caruso Trucking, Ltd., working on behalf of and for the benefit of Defendants Caruso Logistic Services, LLC and Caruso Inc., directly hired Plaintiff, paid him wages and benefits, controlled his work schedule, duties, protocols, applications, assignments and employment conditions, and kept at least some records regarding his employment.

- 22. Each Defendant is a covered employer under the FLSA.
- 23. Each Defendant is a covered employer under the AMWA.
- 24. Plaintiff is a covered employee under the FLSA.
- 25. Plaintiff is a covered employee under the AMWA.
- 26. Defendants paid Plaintiff hourly wages for his work.

27. Plaintiff performed his duties for more than forty hours in at least one workweek during his employment with Defendants.

Page 4 of 11 Trent Magsby, et al. v. Caruso Trucking, Ltd., et al U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:17-cv-____ Original Complaint—Class Action 28. Plaintiff regularly performed his duties for more than forty hours per week.

29. As an example, for one pay period Plaintiff worked approximately 120 hours and was paid for only 63.

30. Defendants did not pay Plaintiff a lawful overtime premium for all hours that Plaintiff worked over forty hours per week in any workweek.

31. Plaintiff drove, rode in, worked on, or otherwise handled trucks weighing less than 10,001 pounds in each workweek during the relevant time.

32. Defendants employed other individuals, including other drivers, who were paid an hourly wage; who worked more than forty hours in any workweek; who were not paid an overtime premium for all hours worked over forty per week; and whose work involved driving, riding in, working on, and/or handling vehicles weighing less than 10,001 pounds on a weekly basis.

33. Defendants knew or should have known of its obligation to pay Plaintiff and other hourly-paid individuals one and one-half times their regular rate for all hours worked over forty per week.

V. LEGAL ALLEGATIONS

34. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

A. FLSA Overtime Violations

35. 29 U.S.C. § 207 requires employers to pay employees one and one-half times the employee's regular rate for all hours that the employee works in excess of forty per week. 29 U.S.C.S. § 207 (LEXIS 2013).

Case 4:17-cv-00086-BRW Document 1 Filed 02/09/17 Page 6 of 11

36. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff at a rate of one and one-half times his regular rate for all hours worked over forty (40) hours per week.

37. Defendants knew or should have known of their obligation to pay Plaintiff at a rate of one and one-half times their regular rate for all hours worked over forty (40) hours per week.

38. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, pre-judgment interest, costs, and a reasonable attorney's fee as provided by the FLSA.

B. AMWA Overtime Violations

39. Arkansas Code Annotated § 11-4-211 requires employers to pay employees one and one-half times the employee's regular rate for all hours that the employee works in excess of forty (40) per week.

40. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff at a rate of one and one-half times their regular rate for all hours worked over forty (40) hours per week.

41. Defendants knew or should have known of their obligation to pay Plaintiff at a rate of one and one-half times their regular rate for all hours worked over forty (40) hours per week.

42. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, pre-judgment interest, costs, and a reasonable attorney's fee as provided by the AMWA.

> Page 6 of 11 Trent Magsby, et al. v. Caruso Trucking, Ltd., et al U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:17-cv-____ Original Complaint—Class Action

VI. REPRESENTATIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

A. FLSA § 216(b) Class

43. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.

44. Plaintiff brings his claim for relief for violation of the FLSA as a collective action pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all persons who were, are or will be employed by Defendants as similarly situated employees at any time within the applicable statute of limitations period, who are entitled to payment of the following types of damages:

i. Minimum wages for the first forty (40) hours worked each week;

ii. Overtime premiums for all hours worked for Defendants in excess of forty(40) hours in any week;

iii. Reimbursement for vehicle-related expenses sufficient to bring compensation to minimum wages and overtime premiums;

iv. Liquidated damages; and

v. Costs of this action, including attorney's fees.

45. The proposed class of opt-in Plaintiffs in this case is preliminarily defined

as follows:

Each and every individual who performed as a route driver on Defendants' behalf any time after three years preceding the filing of the Original Complaint.

46. The proposed FLSA class members are similarly situated in that they share these traits:

i. They performed the same or similar job duties;

Page 7 of 11 Trent Magsby, et al. v. Caruso Trucking, Ltd., et al U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:17-cv-____ Original Complaint—Class Action

Case 4:17-cv-00086-BRW Document 1 Filed 02/09/17 Page 8 of 11

ii. They were subject to Defendants' common policy of failing to properly pay overtime-rate wages for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

iii. They were subject to numerous other common policies and practices including but not limited to the use of time logs to document hours worked, routine safety checks, and the utilization of a phone application to locate and arrive at a location.

B. AMWA Rule 23 Class

47. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.

48. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated who were employed by Defendants within the State of Arkansas, brings this claim for relief for violation of the AMWA as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

49. Plaintiff proposes to represent a liability class of individuals defined as follows:

Each and every individual who performed as a route driver on Defendant's behalf in Arkansas any time after three years preceding the filing of the Original Complaint.

50. Upon information and belief, there are more than forty (40) persons in the proposed class. Therefore, the proposed class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

51. Common questions of law and fact relate to all of the proposed liability class members, such as these:

Case 4:17-cv-00086-BRW Document 1 Filed 02/09/17 Page 9 of 11

i. Whether Defendants' policy of failing to properly pay overtime-rate wages to members of the proposed class who worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week was unlawful under the AMWA;

ii. Whether Defendants paid the members of the proposed class one and one-half times their regular wages for hours worked over forty (40) in each week in accordance with the AMWA.

52. The above common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only Plaintiff, and a class action is superior to other available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating the controversy.

53. The class members have no interest in individually controlling the prosecution of separate actions because the policy of the AMWA provides a bright-line rule for protecting all non-exempt employees as a class. To wit: "It is declared to be the public policy of the State of Arkansas to establish minimum wages for workers in order to safeguard their health, efficiency, and general well-being and to protect them as well as their employers from the effects of serious and unfair competition resulting from wage levels detrimental to their health, efficiency and well-being." Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-202. To that end, all non-exempted employees must be paid for time worked over forty (40) hours per week at a rate of one and one-half times their regular rate. Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-211.

54. At the time of the filing of this Complaint, neither Plaintiff nor Plaintiff's counsel know of any litigation already begun by any members of the proposed class concerning the allegations in this complaint.

Case 4:17-cv-00086-BRW Document 1 Filed 02/09/17 Page 10 of 11

55. No undue or extraordinary difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action.

56. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the proposed liability class in that Plaintiff and all others in the proposed liability class will claim that they were misclassified as independent contractors, they were entitled to minimum wages and overtime, and that Defendants failed to pay minimum wages and overtime.

57. Plaintiff and his counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class.

58. Plaintiff's counsel are competent to litigate Rule 23 class actions and other complex litigation matters, including wage and hour cases like this one.

VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiff Trent Magsby respectfully prays that each Defendant be summoned to appear and to answer herein and for declaratory relief and damages as follows:

A. A declaratory judgment that Defendants' practices alleged herein violate the FLSA, the AMWA, and their relating regulations;

B. Judgment for damages for all unpaid overtime compensation under the FLSA, the AMWA, and their relating regulations;

C. Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA, the AMWA, and their relating regulations;

D. An order directing Defendants to pay Plaintiff prejudgment interest, a reasonable attorney's fee and all costs connected with this action; and

Page 10 of 11 Trent Magsby, et al. v. Caruso Trucking, Ltd., et al U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:17-cv-___ Original Complaint—Class Action E. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem necessary, just and

proper

Respectfully submitted,

TRENT MAGSBY, Individually and on behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, PLAINTIFF

SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC ONE FINANCIAL CENTER 650 SOUTH SHACKLEFORD, SUITE 411 LITTLE ROCK, ARKANSAS 72211 TELEPHONE: (501) 221-0088 FACSIMILE: (888) 787-2040

By:

Daniel Ford

Ark. Bar No. 2014162 daniel@sanfordlawfirm.com

and

Josh Sanford Ark. Bar No. 2001037 josh@sanfordlawfirm.com

Page 11 of 11 Trent Magsby, et al. v. Caruso Trucking, Ltd., et al U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:17-cv-____ Original Complaint—Class Action

Case 4:17-cv-00086-BRW Document 1-1 Filed 02/09/17 Page 1 of 1 CIVIL COVER SHEET

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) : 17CV 86-BRIN I. (a) PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS TRENT MAGSBY, individually and on behalf of those similarly situated CARUSO TRUCKING LTD. d/b/a CARUSO LOGISTICS, et al (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Pulaski County, AR County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. NOTE: Attorneys (If Known) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Sanford Law Firm, PLLC; 650 S. Shackleford Rd., Ste. 411, Little Rock, AR 72211; Tel: 501-221-0088 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant) (For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF □ 1 U.S. Government ■ 3 Federal Question DEF PTF Incorporated or Principal Place **d** 4 Citizen of This State **D** 4 Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party) **D** 1 of Business In This State □ 2 Incorporated and Principal Place **D** 5 □ 2 □ 2 U.S. Government □ 4 Diversity Citizen of Another State (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) of Business In Another State Defendant **1** 6 3 Foreign Nation Citizen or Subject of a **3** Foreign Country IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) CONTRACT TORTS FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY **OTHER STATUTES** □ 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 625 Drug Related Seizure 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 375 False Claims Act of Property 21 USC 881 400 State Reapportionment 310 Airplane □ 365 Personal Injury -423 Withdrawal □ 120 Marine □ 690 Other 28 USC 157 🗖 410 Antitrust 130 Miller Act Product Liability 315 Airplane Product 430 Banks and Banking □ 367 Health Care/ Ο 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 450 Commerce 🗖 320 Assault, Libel & Pharmaceutical 150 Recovery of Overpayment 460 Deportation Personal Injury & Enforcement of Judgmen Slander 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and 151 Medicare Act 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability Corrupt Organizations 840 Trademark 152 Recovery of Defaulted 368 Ashestos Personal Liability 340 Marine п 480 Consumer Credit Student Loans Injury Product LABOR SOCIAL SECURITY 490 Cable/Sat TV (Excludes Veterans) 345 Marine Product Liability Π PERSONAL PROPERTY 710 Fair Labor Standards 850 Securities/Commodities/ 153 Recovery of Overpayment Liability 861 HIA (1395ff) B62 Black Lung (923) Exchange 350 Motor Vehicle of Veteran's Benefits 370 Other Fraud Act □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 890 Other Statutory Actions 371 Truth in Lending 720 Labor/Management 160 Stockholders' Suits 1 355 Motor Vehicle □ 864 SSID Title XVI 891 Agricultural Acts 190 Other Contract Product Liability 380 Other Personal Relations 893 Environmental Matters 195 Contract Product Liability 360 Other Personal Property Damage 740 Railway Labor Act 865 RSI (405(g)) □ 895 Freedom of Information 196 Franchise Injury 385 Property Damage 751 Family and Medical 362 Personal Injury -Product Liability Leave Act Act 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 896 Arbitration Medical Malpractice □ 899 Administrative Procedure REAL PROPERTY **CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS** 791 Employee Retirement FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff Act/Review or Appeal of 210 Land Condemnation 440 Other Civil Rights Habeas Corpus: Income Security Act □ 441 Voting □ 220 Foreclosure 463 Alien Detainee or Defendant) Agency Decision □ 442 Employment 3 871 IRS-Third Party □ 950 Constitutionality of □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 510 Motions to Vacate 26 USC 7609 State Statutes 240 Torts to Land 443 Housing/ Sentence □ 530 General 245 Tort Product Liability Accommodations IMMIGRATION 445 Amer. w/Disabilities 535 Death Penalty 290 All Other Real Property Employment Other: 462 Naturalization Application 540 Mandamus & Other 465 Other Immigration 446 Amer. w/Disabilities Other □ 550 Civil Rights Actions ■ 448 Education □ 555 Prison Condition □ 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) □ 2 Removed from 4 Reinstated or □ 5 Transferred from 6 Multidistrict Original Remanded from 201 Appellate Court State Court Another District Litigation Reopened Proceeding (specify) Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity) 29 U.S.C. Sec. 201, et seq. **VI. CAUSE OF ACTION** Brief description of cause: Unpaid overtime VII. REQUESTED IN DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION п UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. 🗖 Yes JURY DEMAND: X No **COMPLAINT:** VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): IF ANY JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER RECORD DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY 02/09/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE **RECEIPT #**

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Caruso Trucking, Two Others Facing FLSA Lawsuit</u>