

1 HART L. ROBINOVITCH (AZ SBN 020910)
ZIMMERMAN REED, LLP
2 14646 N. Kierland Blvd., Suite 145
Scottsdale, AZ 85254-2762
3 Telephone: (480) 348-6400
4 Facsimile: (480) 348-6415
Email: hart.robinovitch@zimmreed.com

5 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

6 *(Additional counsel for Plaintiff listed below)*

7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

10 Megan MacKay, individually and on behalf
of all others similarly situated,

11 **Plaintiff,**

12 -v-

Case No.

**PLAINTIFF'S CLASS ACTION
COMPLAINT**

(EQUITABLE RELIEF SOUGHT)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

13 (1) Sonic Corp.; (2) Sonic Franchising
14 LLC; (3) Sonic Industries Services Inc.;
15 (4) Sonic Industries LLC; (5) Sonic
Development Of AZ, LLC; (6) Sonic Drive
16 In, Anthem, AZ, LLC; (7) Sonic Drive-In,
Glendale, AZ, 59th Ave., L.L.C.; (8) Sonic
17 Drive-In, Glendale, AZ, Glendale Ave.,
L.L.C.; (9) Sonic Drive-In, Glendale,
18 Northern & 43rd Ave., L.L.C.; (10) Sonic
Drive-In, Glendale, Peoria & 51st Ave,
19 L.L.C.; (11) Sonic Drive-In, Litchfield
Park, AZ, L.L.C.; (12) Sonic Drive-In,
20 Maricopa, AZ, L.L.C.; (13) Sonic Drive-In,
Peoria, AZ, Beardsley, L.L.C.; (14) Sonic
21 Drive-In, Peoria, AZ, Deer Valley & 83rd,
L.L.C.; (15) Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, AZ,
22 67th Avenue, L.L.C.; (16) Sonic Drive-In,
Peoria, AZ, Union Hills Dr. & 83rd Ave,
23 L.L.; (17) Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, 83rd,
L.L.C.; (18) Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ,
24 Baseline & 48th St., L.L.C.; (19) Sonic
25 Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Bethany Home
26 Road, L.L.C.; (20) Sonic Drive-In,
27 Phoenix, AZ, Camelback Road, L.L.C.;
28 (21) Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ,

1 Chandler Boulevard, L.L.C.; (22) Sonic
2 Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Deer Valley Road,
3 L.L.C.; (23) Sonic Drive In, Phoenix, AZ,
4 51st & Baseline, LLC; (24) Sonic Drive-In,
5 Phoenix, AZ, 40th St. & Greenway, L.L.C.;
6 (25) Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ,
7 Greenway Parkway, L.L.C.; (26) Sonic
8 Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Jesse Owens,
9 L.L.C.; (27) Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ,
10 McDowell, L.L.C.; (28) Sonic Drive-In,
11 Phoenix, AZ, McDowell & 7th St., L.L.C.;
12 (29) Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, 19th
13 Ave., L.L.C.; (30) Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix,
14 AZ, 19th Ave., #2, L.L.C.; (31) Sonic
15 Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ. Thomas Road,
16 L.L.C.; (32) Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ,
17 Thomas Road # 2, L.L.C.; (33) Sonic
18 Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Union Hills Dr. &
19 35th Ave, L.L.C.; (34) Sonic Drive-In,
20 Phoenix, 43rd Avenue & Glenrosa, L.L.C.;
21 (35) Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, 7th Street,
22 L.L.C.; (36) Sonic Drive-In, Scottsdale,
23 AZ, Hayden, L.L.C.; (37) Sonic Drive-In,
24 Surprise, AZ, Bell/Reems, L.L.C.;
25 (38) Sonic Drive In, Surprise, AZ,
26 Litchfield & Waddell, LLC; and
27 (39) John DOES 1-50,
28

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF’S CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Plaintiff Megan MacKay, (hereinafter, “Plaintiff”), individually and on behalf of the Classes defined below, alleges the following against Defendants Sonic Corp.; Sonic Franchising LLC; Sonic Industries Services Inc.; Sonic Industries L.L.C., Sonic Development Of AZ, L.L.C., Sonic Drive In, Anthem, AZ, L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Glendale, AZ, 59th Ave., L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Glendale, AZ, Glendale Ave., L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Glendale, Northern & 43rd Ave., L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Glendale, Peoria & 51st Ave, L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Litchfield Park, AZ, L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Maricopa, AZ, L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, AZ, Beardsley, L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, AZ, Deer Valley & 83rd, L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, AZ, 67th Avenue, L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, AZ, Union Hills Dr. & 83rd Ave, L.L., Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, 83rd, L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Baseline & 48th St., L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Bethany Home Road, L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Camelback Road, L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Chandler Boulevard, L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Deer Valley Road, L.L.C., Sonic Drive In, Phoenix, AZ, 51st & Baseline, L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, 40th St. & Greenway, L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Greenway Parkway, L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Jesse Owens, L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, McDowell, L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, McDowell & 7th St., L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, 19th Ave., L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, 19th Ave., #2, L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, Az. Thomas Road, L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Thomas Road # 2, L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Union Hills Dr. & 35th Ave, L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, 43rd Avenue & Glenrosa, L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, 7th Street, L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Scottsdale, AZ, Hayden, L.L.C., Sonic Drive-In, Surprise, AZ, Bell/Reems, L.L.C., Sonic Drive In, Surprise, AZ, Litchfield & Waddell, L.L.C., and John Does 1-50 (hereafter referred to collectively as “Sonic” or “Defendants”) based upon personal knowledge with respect to herself and on information and belief derived from, among other things, investigation of counsel and review of public documents as to

1 all other matters:

2 **NATURE OF THE CASE**

3 1. Plaintiff brings this class action case against Sonic for its failure to secure
4 and safeguard consumers' personally identifiable information ("PII") which Sonic
5 collected from various sources in connection with the operation of its restaurant
6 business.

7 2. Sonic has acknowledged that a cybersecurity incident (the "Data Breach")
8 occurred, resulting in the theft of its customers' PII, mainly consisting of credit card
9 numbers and other information sufficient for wrongdoers to make fraudulent charges to
10 Sonic customers' accounts.

11 3. The PII for Plaintiff and the class of consumers she seeks to represent was
12 compromised due to Sonic's acts and omissions and their failure to properly protect the
13 PII.

14 4. Sonic could have prevented this Data Breach. Data breaches at other
15 restaurants, including some of its competitors (Chipotle, Wendy's, etc.), have occurred
16 and were publically known.

17 5. Sonic disregarded the rights of Plaintiff and Class members by
18 intentionally, willfully, recklessly, or negligently failing to take adequate and reasonable
19 measures to ensure its data systems were protected, failing to disclose to its customers
20 the material fact that it did not have adequate computer systems and security practices to
21 safeguard PII, failing to take available steps to prevent and stop the breach from ever
22 happening, and failing to monitor and detect the breach on a timely basis.

23 6. As a result of the Data Breach, the PII of the Plaintiff and Class members
24 has been exposed to criminals for misuse. The injuries suffered by Plaintiff and Class
25 members, or likely to be suffered by Plaintiff and Class members as a direct result of the
26 Data Breach include:

- 27 a. unauthorized use of their PII;
- 28 b. theft of their personal and financial information;

1 c. costs associated with the detection and prevention of identity theft
2 and unauthorized use of their financial accounts;

3 d. damages arising from the inability to use their PII;

4 e. loss of use of and access to their account funds and costs associated
5 with inability to obtain money from their accounts or being limited in the amount of
6 money they were permitted to obtain from their accounts, including missed payments on
7 bills and loans, late charges and fees, and adverse effects on their credit including
8 decreased credit scores and adverse credit notations;

9 f. costs associated with time spent and the loss of productivity or the
10 enjoyment of one's life from taking time to address and attempt to ameliorate, mitigate
11 and deal with the actual and immediate future consequences of the Data Breach,
12 including finding fraudulent charges, purchasing credit monitoring and identity theft
13 protection services, and the stress, nuisance and annoyance of dealing with all issues
14 resulting from the Data Breach;

15 g. the imminent and impending injury of fraud and identify theft
16 caused by their PII being placed in the hands of criminals and already misused via the
17 sale of Plaintiff's and Class members' information on the Internet black market;

18 h. damages to and diminution in value of their PII entrusted to Sonic
19 for the sole purpose of purchasing products and services from Sonic; and

20 i. the loss of Plaintiff's and Class members' privacy.

21 7. The injuries to the Plaintiff and Class members were directly and
22 proximately caused by Sonic's failure to implement or maintain adequate data security
23 measures for PII.

24 8. Further, Plaintiff retains a significant interest in ensuring that her PII,
25 which, while stolen, remains in the possession of Sonic, is protected from further
26 breaches, and seeks to remedy the harms she has suffered on behalf of herself and
27 similarly situated consumers whose PII was stolen as a result of the Data Breach.
28

1 9. Plaintiff brings this action to remedy these harms on behalf of herself and
2 all similarly situated individuals whose PII was accessed during the Data Breach.
3 Plaintiff seeks the following remedies, among others: statutory damages under state
4 and/or federal laws, reimbursement of out-of-pocket losses, other compensatory
5 damages, further and more robust credit monitoring services with accompanying identity
6 theft insurance, and injunctive relief including an order requiring Sonic to implement
7 improved data security measures.

8 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

9 10. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under the Class
10 Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2). The amount in controversy exceeds \$5
11 million exclusive of interest and costs. There are more than 100 putative class members,
12 and, at least some members of the proposed Class have a different citizenship from
13 Sonic.

14 11. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Sonic because it regularly
15 conducts business in Arizona, operating 92 restaurants in the state.

16 12. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because
17 substantial part of the events, acts, and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims
18 occurred in this District.

19 **PARTIES**

20 13. Plaintiff Megan MacKay is a citizen of the State of Arizona. She resides
21 in Maricopa County, Arizona. Plaintiff is a regular customer at several different Sonic
22 restaurants in the Phoenix area and pays with a debit card. Plaintiff is a victim of the
23 Data Breach. On September 20, 2017, Plaintiff's debit card information was used to
24 make charges totaling \$69.29 without her permission, in Pasadena, California. These
25 fraudulent charges depleted her bank account. Plaintiff reported the fraudulent charges
26 to her bank and they informed her that her information had been compromised due to the
27 Sonic Data Breach. After about two weeks, on October 6, 2017, Plaintiff's Bank
28 refunded the fraudulent charges to her account. However, the inability to access and use

1 her money for that time caused some charges to be rejected causing Plaintiff
2 embarrassment, stress and worry. Furthermore, as a result of being unable to access and
3 use her funds while the fraud was being investigated by her bank, Plaintiff had to charge
4 purchases and bills to her credit card, which caused her to incur credit card fees. Plaintiff
5 spent approximately 10 hours dealing with her bank to resolve the fraudulent charges,
6 trying to trace back where the charge came from, and checking her credit and account
7 statements for other fraudulent activity. Plaintiff continues to have to spend extra time
8 carefully reviewing her account statements for other fraudulent charges.

9 14. Defendant Sonic Corp. is a corporation organized under the laws of the
10 State of Delaware that maintains its headquarters and principal place of business at 300
11 Johnny Bench Dr., Oklahoma City, OK 73104. Sonic Corp. may be served through its
12 registered agent, Paige S. Bass, at 300 Johnny Bench Dr., Oklahoma City, OK 73104.

13 15. Sonic Franchising LLC is a wholly owned subsidiary of Sonic Corp. that is
14 organized under the laws of the State of Delaware and whose managers may be found at
15 Sonic Corp.'s headquarters in Oklahoma City. Sonic Franchising LLC does business in
16 the State of Arizona and may be served through its registered agent, Corporation Service
17 Company, 2338 W. Royal Palm Rd., Ste. J, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

18 16. Sonic Industries Services Inc. is a wholly owned subsidiary of Sonic Corp.
19 that is organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma that maintains its headquarters
20 and principal place of business at 300 Johnny Bench Dr., Oklahoma City, OK 73104.
21 Sonic Industries Services does business in the State of Arizona and may be served
22 through its registered agent, Corporation Service Company, 2338 W. Royal Palm Rd.,
23 Ste. J, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

24 17. Sonic Industries LLC is a Limited Liability Company organized under the
25 laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing business in the State of Arizona. Upon
26 information and belief Sonic Industries LLC owns and/or operates at least one Sonic
27 Drive In franchise located in the state of Arizona. Sonic Industries LLC accepts
28 credit/debit card payments from customers which are processed on Sonic's payment

1 system. Sonic Industries LLC may be served in Arizona through its Registered Service
2 Agent The Corporation Service Company at 2338 W Royal Palm Rd Suite J, Phoenix,
3 AZ 85021.

4 18. Sonic Development of AZ, LLC is a Limited Liability Company organized
5 under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing business in the State of Arizona.
6 Upon information and belief Sonic Development of AZ, LLC owns and/or operates at
7 least one Sonic Drive In franchise located the state of Arizona. Sonic Development of
8 AZ, LLC accepts credit/debit card payments from customers which are processed on
9 Sonic’s payment system. Sonic Development of AZ, LLC may be served in Arizona
10 through its Registered Service Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite 170,
11 Phoenix, AZ 85021.

12 19. Sonic Drive In, Anthem, AZ, LLC is a Limited Liability Company
13 organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing business in the State of
14 Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive In, Anthem, AZ, LLC owns and/or
15 operates at least one Sonic Drive In franchise located the state of Arizona. Sonic Drive
16 In, Anthem, AZ, LLC accepts credit/debit card payments from customers which are
17 processed on Sonic’s payment system. Sonic Drive In, Anthem, AZ, LLC may be served
18 in through its Registered Service Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite 170,
19 Phoenix, AZ 85021.

20 20. Sonic Drive-In, Glendale, AZ, 59TH Ave., L.L.C. is a Limited Liability
21 Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing business in the
22 State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Glendale, AZ, 59TH
23 Ave., L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In franchise located the state
24 of Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Glendale, AZ, 59TH Ave., L.L.C. accepts credit/debit card
25 payments from customers which are processed on Sonic’s payment system. Sonic Drive-
26 In, Glendale, AZ, 59TH Ave., L.L.C. may be served in through its Registered Service
27 Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

1 21. Sonic Drive-In, Glendale, AZ, Glendale Ave., L.L.C. is a Limited
2 Liability Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing
3 business in the State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Glendale,
4 AZ, Glendale Ave., L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In franchise
5 located the state of Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Glendale, AZ, Glendale Ave., L.L.C.
6 accepts credit/debit card payments from customers which are processed on Sonic's
7 payment system. Sonic Drive-In, Glendale, AZ, Glendale Ave., L.L.C. may be served in
8 through its Registered Service Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite 170,
9 Phoenix, AZ 85021.

10 22. Sonic Drive-In, Glendale, Northern & 43rd Ave., L.L.C. is a Limited
11 Liability Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing
12 business in the State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Glendale,
13 Northern & 43rd Ave., L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In franchise
14 located the state of Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Glendale, Northern & 43rd Ave., L.L.C.
15 accepts credit/debit card payments from customers which are processed on Sonic's
16 payment system. Sonic Drive-In, Glendale, Northern & 43rd Ave., L.L.C. may be served
17 in through its Registered Service Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite 170,
18 Phoenix, AZ 85021.

19 23. Sonic Drive-In, Glendale, Peoria & 51st Ave, L.L.C. is a Limited Liability
20 Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing business in the
21 State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Glendale, Peoria & 51st
22 Ave, L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In franchise located the state
23 of Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Glendale, Peoria & 51st Ave, L.L.C. accepts credit/debit
24 card payments from customers which are processed on Sonic's payment system. Sonic
25 Drive-In, Glendale, Peoria & 51st Ave, L.L.C. may be served in through its Registered
26 Service Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

27 24. Sonic Drive-In, Litchfield Park, AZ, L.L.C. is a Limited Liability
28 Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing business in the

1 State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Litchfield Park, AZ,
2 L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In franchise located the state of
3 Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Litchfield Park, AZ, L.L.C. accepts credit/debit card payments
4 from customers which are processed on Sonic's payment system. Sonic Drive-In,
5 Litchfield Park, AZ, L.L.C. may be served in through its Registered Service Agent R.D.
6 Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

7 25. Sonic Drive-In, Maricopa, AZ, L.L.C. is a Limited Liability Company
8 organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing business in the State of
9 Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Maricopa, AZ, L.L.C. owns
10 and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In franchise located the state of Arizona. Sonic
11 Drive-In, Maricopa, AZ, L.L.C. accepts credit/debit card payments from customers
12 which are processed on Sonic's payment system. Sonic Drive-In, Maricopa, AZ, L.L.C.
13 may be served in through its Registered Service Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave
14 Suite 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

15 26. Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, AZ, Beardsley, L.L.C. is a Limited Liability
16 Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing business in the
17 State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, AZ, Beardsley,
18 L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In franchise located the state of
19 Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, AZ, Beardsley, L.L.C. accepts credit/debit card
20 payments from customers which are processed on Sonic's payment system. Sonic Drive-
21 In, Peoria, AZ, Beardsley, L.L.C. may be served in through its Registered Service Agent
22 R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

23 27. Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, AZ, Deer Valley & 83rd, L.L.C. is a Limited
24 Liability Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing
25 business in the State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Peoria,
26 AZ, Deer Valley & 83rd, L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In
27 franchise located the state of Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, AZ, Deer Valley & 83rd,
28 L.L.C. accepts credit/debit card payments from customers which are processed on

1 Sonic's payment system. Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, AZ, Deer Valley & 83rd, L.L.C. may
2 be served in through its Registered Service Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite
3 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

4 28. Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, AZ, 67th Avenue, L.L.C. is a Limited Liability
5 Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing business in the
6 State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, AZ, 67th Avenue,
7 L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In franchise located the state of
8 Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, AZ, 67th Avenue, L.L.C. accepts credit/debit card
9 payments from customers which are processed on Sonic's payment system. Sonic Drive-
10 In, Peoria, AZ, 67th Avenue, L.L.C. may be served in through its Registered Service
11 Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

12 29. Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, AZ, Union Hills Dr. & 83rd Ave, L.L.C. is a
13 Limited Liability Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and
14 doing business in the State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In,
15 Peoria, AZ, Union Hills Dr. & 83rd Ave, L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic
16 Drive In franchise located the state of Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, AZ, Union Hills
17 Dr. & 83rd Ave, L.L.C. accepts credit/debit card payments from customers which are
18 processed on Sonic's payment system. Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, AZ, Union Hills Dr. &
19 83rd Ave, L.L.C. may be served in through its Registered Service Agent R.D. Martin at
20 9414 N 25th Ave Suite 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

21 30. Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, 83rd, L.L.C. is a Limited Liability Company
22 organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing business in the State of
23 Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, 83rd, L.L.C. owns and/or
24 operates at least one Sonic Drive In franchise located the state of Arizona. Sonic Drive-
25 In, Peoria, 83rd, L.L.C. accepts credit/debit card payments from customers which are
26 processed on Sonic's payment system. Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, 83rd, L.L.C. may be
27 served in through its Registered Service Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite
28 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

1 31. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Baseline & 48th St., L.L.C. is a Limited
2 Liability Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing
3 business in the State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix,
4 AZ, Baseline & 48th St., L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In
5 franchise located the state of Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Baseline & 48th St.,
6 L.L.C. accepts credit/debit card payments from customers which are processed on
7 Sonic's payment system. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Baseline & 48th St., L.L.C. may
8 be served in through its Registered Service Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite
9 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

10 32. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Bethany Home Road, L.L.C. is a Limited
11 Liability Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing
12 business in the State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix,
13 AZ, Bethany Home Road, L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In
14 franchise located the state of Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Bethany Home
15 Road, L.L.C. accepts credit/debit card payments from customers which are processed on
16 Sonic's payment system. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Bethany Home Road, L.L.C.
17 may be served in through its Registered Service Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave
18 Suite 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

19 33. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Camelback Road, L.L.C. is a Limited
20 Liability Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing
21 business in the State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix,
22 AZ, Camelback Road, L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In franchise
23 located the state of Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Camelback Road, L.L.C.
24 accepts credit/debit card payments from customers which are processed on Sonic's
25 payment system. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Camelback Road, L.L.C. may be served
26 in through its Registered Service Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite 170,
27 Phoenix, AZ 85021.

1 34. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Chandler Boulevard, L.L.C. is a Limited
2 Liability Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing
3 business in the State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix,
4 AZ, Chandler Boulevard, L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In
5 franchise located the state of Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Chandler
6 Boulevard, L.L.C. accepts credit/debit card payments from customers which are
7 processed on Sonic's payment system. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Chandler
8 Boulevard, L.L.C. may be served in through its Registered Service Agent R.D. Martin at
9 9414 N 25th Ave Suite 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

10 35. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Deer Valley Road, L.L.C. is a Limited
11 Liability Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing
12 business in the State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix,
13 AZ, Deer Valley Road, L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In
14 franchise located the state of Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Deer Valley Road,
15 L.L.C. accepts credit/debit card payments from customers which are processed on
16 Sonic's payment system. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Deer Valley Road, L.L.C. may
17 be served in through its Registered Service Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite
18 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021..

19 36. Sonic Drive In, Phoenix, AZ, 51st & Baseline, LLC is a Limited Liability
20 Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing business in the
21 State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive In, Phoenix, AZ, 51st &
22 Baseline, LLC owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In franchise located the
23 state of Arizona. Sonic Drive In, Phoenix, AZ, 51st & Baseline, LLC accepts
24 credit/debit card payments from customers which are processed on Sonic's payment
25 system. Sonic Drive In, Phoenix, AZ, 51st & Baseline, LLC May Be Served In Through
26 Its Registered Service Agent R.D. Martin At 9414 N 25th Ave Suite 170, Phoenix, AZ
27 85021.

1 37. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, 40th St. & Greenway, L.L.C. is a Limited
2 Liability Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing
3 business in the State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix,
4 AZ, 40th St. & Greenway, L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In
5 franchise located the state of Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, 40th St. &
6 Greenway, L.L.C. accepts credit/debit card payments from customers which are
7 processed on Sonic's payment system. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, 40th St. &
8 Greenway, L.L.C. may be served in through its Registered Service Agent R.D. Martin at
9 9414 N 25th Ave Suite 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

10 38. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Greenway Parkway, L.L.C. is a Limited
11 Liability Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing
12 business in the State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix,
13 AZ, Greenway Parkway, L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In
14 franchise located the state of Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Greenway Parkway,
15 L.L.C. accepts credit/debit card payments from customers which are processed on
16 Sonic's payment system. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Greenway Parkway, L.L.C. may
17 be served in through its Registered Service Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite
18 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

19 39. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Jesse Owens, L.L.C. is a Limited Liability
20 Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing business in the
21 State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Jesse
22 Owens, L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In franchise located the
23 state of Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Jesse Owens, L.L.C. accepts credit/debit
24 card payments from customers which are processed on Sonic's payment system. Sonic
25 Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Jesse Owens, L.L.C. may be served in through its Registered
26 Service Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

27 40. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Mcdowell, L.L.C. is a Limited Liability
28 Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing business in the

1 State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, McDowell,
2 L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In franchise located the state of
3 Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, McDowell, L.L.C. accepts credit/debit card
4 payments from customers which are processed on Sonic's payment system. Sonic Drive-
5 In, Phoenix, AZ, McDowell, L.L.C. may be served in through its Registered Service
6 Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

7 41. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, McDowell & 7th St., L.L.C. is a Limited
8 Liability Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing
9 business in the State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix,
10 AZ, McDowell & 7th St., L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In
11 franchise located the state of Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, McDowell & 7th
12 St., L.L.C. accepts credit/debit card payments from customers which are processed on
13 Sonic's payment system. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, McDowell & 7th St., L.L.C. may
14 be served in through its Registered Service Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite
15 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

16 42. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, 19th Ave., L.L.C. is a Limited Liability
17 Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing business in the
18 State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, 19th Ave.,
19 L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In franchise located the state of
20 Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, 19th Ave., L.L.C. accepts credit/debit card
21 payments from customers which are processed on Sonic's payment system. Sonic Drive-
22 In, Phoenix, AZ, 19th Ave., L.L.C. may be served in through its Registered Service
23 Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

24 43. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, 19th Ave., #2, L.L.C. is a Limited Liability
25 Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing business in the
26 State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, 19th Ave.,
27 #2, L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In franchise located the state of
28 Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, 19th Ave., #2, L.L.C. accepts credit/debit card

1 payments from customers which are processed on Sonic's payment system. Sonic Drive-
2 In, Phoenix, AZ, 19th Ave., #2, L.L.C. may be served in Through its Registered Service
3 Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021..

4 44. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, Az. Thomas Road, L.L.C. is a Limited Liability
5 Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing business in the
6 State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, Az. Thomas
7 Road, L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In franchise located the state
8 of Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, Az. Thomas Road, L.L.C. accepts credit/debit card
9 payments from customers which are processed on Sonic's payment system. Sonic Drive-
10 In, Phoenix, Az. Thomas Road, L.L.C. may be served in through its Registered Service
11 Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

12 45. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Thomas Road # 2, L.L.C. is a Limited
13 Liability Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing
14 business in the State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix,
15 AZ, Thomas Road # 2, L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In franchise
16 located the state of Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Thomas Road # 2, L.L.C.
17 accepts credit/debit card payments from customers which are processed on Sonic's
18 payment system. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Thomas Road # 2, L.L.C. may be served
19 in Through its Registered Service Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite 170,
20 Phoenix, AZ 85021..

21 46. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Union Hills Dr. & 35th Ave, L.L.C. is a
22 Limited Liability Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and
23 doing business in the State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In,
24 Phoenix, AZ, Union Hills Dr. & 35th Ave, L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one
25 Sonic Drive In franchise located the state of Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ,
26 Union Hills Dr. & 35th Ave, L.L.C. accepts credit/debit card payments from customers
27 which are processed on Sonic's payment system. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Union
28

1 Hills Dr. & 35th Ave, L.L.C. may be served in through its Registered Service Agent
2 R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

3 47. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, 43rd Avenue & Glenrosa, L.L.C. is a Limited
4 Liability Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing
5 business in the State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix,
6 43rd Avenue & Glenrosa, L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In
7 franchise located the state of Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, 43rd Avenue &
8 Glenrosa, L.L.C. accepts credit/debit card payments from customers which are processed
9 on Sonic's payment system. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, 43rd Avenue & Glenrosa, L.L.C.
10 may be served in through its Registered Service Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave
11 Suite 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

12 48. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, 7th Street, L.L.C. is a Limited Liability
13 Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing business in the
14 State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, 7th Street,
15 L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In franchise located the state of
16 Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, 7th Street, L.L.C. accepts credit/debit card payments
17 from customers which are processed on Sonic's payment system. Sonic Drive-In,
18 Phoenix, 7th Street, L.L.C. may be served in through its Registered Service Agent R.D.
19 Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

20 49. Sonic Drive-In, Scottsdale, AZ, Hayden, L.L.C. is a Limited Liability
21 Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing business in the
22 State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Scottsdale, AZ, Hayden,
23 L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In franchise located the state of
24 Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Scottsdale, AZ, Hayden, L.L.C. accepts credit/debit card
25 payments from customers which are processed on Sonic's payment system. Sonic Drive-
26 In, Scottsdale, AZ, Hayden, L.L.C. may be served in through its Registered Service
27 Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

1 50. Sonic Drive-In, Surprise, AZ, Bell/Reems, L.L.C. is a Limited Liability
2 Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing business in the
3 State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive-In, Surprise, AZ,
4 Bell/Reems, L.L.C. owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In franchise located
5 the state of Arizona. Sonic Drive-In, Surprise, AZ, Bell/Reems, L.L.C. accepts
6 credit/debit card payments from customers which are processed on Sonic's payment
7 system. Sonic Drive-In, Surprise, AZ, Bell/Reems, L.L.C. may be served in through its
8 Registered Service Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave Suite 170, Phoenix, AZ
9 85021.

10 51. Sonic Drive In, Surprise, AZ, Litchfield & Waddell, LLC is a Limited
11 Liability Company organized under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and doing
12 business in the State of Arizona. Upon information and belief Sonic Drive In, Surprise,
13 AZ, Litchfield & Waddell, LLC owns and/or operates at least one Sonic Drive In
14 franchise located the state of Arizona. Sonic Drive In, Surprise, AZ, Litchfield &
15 Waddell, LLC accepts credit/debit card payments from customers which are processed
16 on Sonic's payment system. Sonic Drive In, Surprise, AZ, Litchfield & Waddell, LLC
17 may be served in through its Registered Service Agent R.D. Martin at 9414 N 25th Ave
18 Suite 170, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

19 52. John Does 1-50 are other Sonic businesses or franchises doing business in
20 the state of Arizona that accepted and processed credit/debit card payments from
21 customers on Sonic's payment system.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

22
23 53. Sonic is the largest chain of drive-in restaurants in the United States. It
24 operates over 3,500 restaurants in 44 states. Sonic accepts credit and debit card
25 payments from its customers.

26 54. On September 26, 2017, Sonic announced that its payment system had
27 been breached and up to five million credit card and debit card accounts had been stolen.
28 This data is being sold on the black market. Criminals use the data to make fraudulent

1 charges to Sonic customers' accounts. See [http://www.securityweek.com/breach-fast-](http://www.securityweek.com/breach-fast-food-chain-sonic-could-impact-millions-report)
2 [food-chain-sonic-could-impact-millions-report](http://www.securityweek.com/breach-fast-food-chain-sonic-could-impact-millions-report).

3 55. There have been high profile data breaches of other restaurant chains,
4 putting Sonic on notice of the need to be vigilant against and take steps to prevent data
5 breaches. See [https://www.qsrmagazine.com/restaurant-software/7-ways-protect-against-](https://www.qsrmagazine.com/restaurant-software/7-ways-protect-against-data-breach)
6 [data-breach](https://www.qsrmagazine.com/restaurant-software/7-ways-protect-against-data-breach).

7 56. The payment system used by Sonic was more than thirty years old. While
8 the company has been working to update its system, many restaurant locations have not
9 yet been updated. See [http://www.nrn.com/technology/sonic-team-helps-operators-reap-](http://www.nrn.com/technology/sonic-team-helps-operators-reap-benefits-new-pos-system)
10 [benefits-new-pos-system](http://www.nrn.com/technology/sonic-team-helps-operators-reap-benefits-new-pos-system). To date, Sonic has not released any information about how
11 the breach occurred or which system(s) were impacted.

12 57. Additionally, Plaintiff has suffered imminent and impending injury arising
13 from the substantially increased risk of future fraud, identity theft and misuse posed by
14 her PII being placed in the hands of criminals who have already, or will imminently,
15 misuse such information.

16 58. Moreover, Plaintiff has a continuing interest in ensuring that her private
17 information, which remains in the possession of Sonic, is protected and safeguarded
18 from future breaches.

19 59. At all relevant times, Sonic was well-aware, or reasonably should have
20 been aware, that the PII collected, maintained and stored in the POS systems is highly
21 sensitive, susceptible to attack, and could be used for wrongful purposes by third parties,
22 such as identity theft and fraud.

23 60. It is well known and the subject of many media reports that PII is highly
24 coveted and a frequent target of hackers. Despite the frequent public announcements of
25 data breaches of other restaurants – Wendy's, Chipotle, etc. – Sonic continued to use an
26 outdated, insufficient and inadequate system to protect the PII of Plaintiff and Class
27 members.

1 61. PII is a valuable commodity because it contains not only payment card
2 numbers but PII as well. A “cyber black market” exists in which criminals openly post
3 stolen payment card numbers and other personal information on a number of
4 underground Internet websites. PII is “as good as gold” to identity thieves because they
5 can use victims’ personal data to incur charges on existing accounts, or clone ATM,
6 debit, or credit cards. Data from the Sonic breach has already appeared on such sites:
7 [http://www.securityweek.com/breach-fast-food-chain-sonic-could-impact-millions-](http://www.securityweek.com/breach-fast-food-chain-sonic-could-impact-millions-report)
8 [report.](http://www.securityweek.com/breach-fast-food-chain-sonic-could-impact-millions-report)

9 62. Legitimate organizations and the criminal underground alike recognize the
10 value in PII contained in a merchant’s data systems; otherwise, they would not
11 aggressively seek or pay for it. For example, in “one of 2013’s largest breaches . . . not
12 only did hackers compromise the [card holder data] of three million customers, they also
13 took registration data [containing PII] from 38 million users.”¹

14 63. At all relevant times, Sonic knew, or reasonably should have known, of the
15 importance of safeguarding PII and of the foreseeable consequences that would occur if
16 its data security system was breached, including, specifically, the significant costs that
17 would be imposed on individuals as a result of a breach.

18 64. Sonic was, or should have been, fully aware of the significant number of
19 people whose PII it collected, and thus, the significant number of individuals who would
20 be harmed by a breach of its payment system.

21 65. Unfortunately, and as alleged below, despite all of this publicly available
22 knowledge of the continued compromises of PII in the hands of other third parties,
23 Sonic’s approach to maintaining the privacy and security of the PII of Plaintiff and Class
24 members was lackadaisical, cavalier, reckless, and negligent.

25 66. The ramifications of Sonic’s failure to keep Plaintiff’s and Class members’
26 data secure are severe.

27 _____
28 ¹ Verizon 2014 PCI Compliance Report, available at:
http://www.cisco.com/c/dam/en_us/solutions/industries/docs/retail/verizon_pci2014.pdf
(hereafter “2014 Verizon Report”), at 54 (last visited Sept. 8, 2017).

1 67. The FTC defines identity theft as “a fraud committed or attempted using
2 the identifying information of another person without authority.”² The FTC describes
3 “identifying information” as “any name or number that may be used, alone or in
4 conjunction with any other information, to identify a specific person.”³

5 68. PII is a valuable commodity to identity thieves once the information has
6 been compromised. As the FTC recognizes, once identity thieves have personal
7 information, “they can drain your bank account, run up your credit cards, open new
8 utility accounts, or get medical treatment on your health insurance.”⁴

9 69. Javelin Strategy and Research reports that identity thieves have stolen
10 \$112 billion in the past six years.⁵

11 70. Reimbursing a consumer for a financial loss due to fraud does not make
12 that individual whole again. On the contrary, identity theft victims must spend numerous
13 hours and their own money repairing the impact to their credit. After conducting a
14 study, the Department of Justice’s Bureau of Justice Statistics (“BJS”) found that
15 identity theft victims “reported spending an average of about 7 hours clearing up the
16 issues” and resolving the consequences of fraud in 2014.⁶

17 71. There may be a time lag between when harm occurs versus when it is
18 discovered, and also between when PII or PCD is stolen and when it is used. According
19 to the U.S. Government Accountability Office (“GAO”), which conducted a study
20 regarding data breaches:

21 [L]aw enforcement officials told us that in some cases, stolen data may be
22 held for up to a year or more before being used to commit identity theft.
23 Further, once stolen data have been sold or posted on the Web, fraudulent
use of that information may continue for years. As a result, studies that
attempt to measure the harm resulting from data breaches cannot

24 ² 17 C.F.R. § 248.201 (2013).

25 ³ *Id.*

26 ⁴ Federal Trade Commission, *Warning Signs of Identity Theft*, available at:
<https://www.consumer.ftc.gov/articles/0271-warning-signs-identity-theft> (last visited
27 April 10, 2017).

28 ⁵ See [https://www.javelinstrategy.com/coverage-area/2016-identity-fraud-fraud-hits-
inflection-point](https://www.javelinstrategy.com/coverage-area/2016-identity-fraud-fraud-hits-inflection-point) (last visited April 10, 2017).

⁶ Victims of Identity Theft, 2014 (Sept. 2015) available at:
<http://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/vit14.pdf> (last visited April 10, 2017).

necessarily rule out all future harm.⁷

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

72. Plaintiff and Class members now face years of constant surveillance of their financial and personal records, monitoring, and loss of rights. The Class is incurring and will continue to incur such damages in addition to any fraudulent use of their PII.

73. The PII of Plaintiff and Class members is private and sensitive in nature and was left inadequately protected by Sonic.

74. The Data Breach was a direct and proximate result of Sonic's failure to properly safeguard and protect Plaintiff's and Class members' PII from unauthorized access, use, and disclosure, as required by various state and federal regulations, industry practices, and the common law, including Sonic's failure to establish and implement appropriate administrative, technical, and physical safeguards to ensure the security and confidentiality of Plaintiff's and Class members' PII to protect against reasonably foreseeable threats to the security or integrity of such information.

75. Sonic had the resources to prevent a breach, but neglected to timely and adequately invest in data security, despite the growing number of well-publicized data breaches.

76. Had Sonic remedied the deficiencies in its data security systems, followed security guidelines, and adopted security measures recommended by experts in the field, Sonic would have prevented the Data Breach and, ultimately, the theft of its customers' PII.

77. As a direct and proximate result of Sonic's wrongful actions and inaction and the resulting Data Breach, Plaintiff and Class members have had fraudulent charges made to their accounts, suffered out-of-pocket losses, and been placed at an imminent, immediate, and continuing increased risk of fraud, requiring them to take the time which they otherwise would have dedicated to other life demands such as work and effort to mitigate the actual and potential impact of the Data Breach on their lives including, *inter*

⁷ GAO, Report to Congressional Requesters, at 29 (June 2007), available at <http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d07737.pdf> (last visited April 10, 2017).

1 *alia*, by contacting their financial institutions, closing or modifying financial accounts,
2 closely reviewing and monitoring their credit reports and accounts for unauthorized
3 activity, and filing police reports. This time has been lost forever and cannot be
4 recaptured. In all manners of life in this country, time has constantly been recognized as
5 compensable, for many consumers it is the way they are compensated, and even if
6 retired from the work force, consumers should be free of having to deal with the
7 consequences of a credit reporting agency's slippage, as is the case here.

8 78. Sonic's wrongful actions and inaction directly and proximately caused the
9 theft and dissemination into the public domain of Plaintiff's and Class members' PII,
10 causing them to suffer, and continue to suffer, economic damages and other actual harm
11 for which they are entitled to compensation, including:

- 12 a. theft of their personal and financial information;
- 13 b. unauthorized charges on their debit and credit card accounts;
- 14 c. the imminent and certainly impending injury flowing from potential
15 fraud and identity theft posed by their PII being placed in the hands of criminals and
16 already misused via the sale of Plaintiff's and Class members' information on the black
17 market;
- 18 d. the improper disclosure of their PII;
- 19 e. ascertainable losses in the form of out-of-pocket expenses and the
20 value of their time reasonably incurred to remedy or mitigate the effects of the Data
21 Breach;
- 22 f. ascertainable losses in the form of the loss of cash back or other
23 benefits as a result of their inability to use certain accounts and cards affected by the
24 Data Breach;
- 25 g. loss of use of and access to their account funds and costs associated
26 with the inability to obtain money from their accounts or being limited in the amount of
27 money they were permitted to obtain from their accounts, including missed payments on
28 bills and loans, late charges and fees, and adverse effects on their credit including

1 adverse credit notations; and,

2 h. the loss of productivity and value of their time spent to address
3 attempt to ameliorate, mitigate and deal with the actual and future consequences of the
4 data breach, including finding fraudulent charges, cancelling and reissuing cards,
5 purchasing credit monitoring and identity theft protection services, imposition of
6 withdrawal and purchase limits on compromised accounts, and the stress, nuisance and
7 annoyance of dealing with all such issues resulting from the Data Breach.

8 79. While the PII of Plaintiff and members of the Class has been stolen, Sonic
9 continues to hold PII of consumers, including Plaintiff and Class members. Particularly
10 because Sonic and has demonstrated an inability to prevent a breach or stop it from
11 continuing even after being detected, Plaintiff and members of the Class have an
12 undeniable interest in insuring that their PII is secure, remains secure, is properly and
13 promptly destroyed and is not subject to further theft.

14 **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

15 80. Plaintiff seeks relief on behalf of herself and as representative of all others
16 who are similarly situated. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a), (b)(2), (b)(3) and (c)(4),
17 Plaintiff seeks certification of a Nationwide class defined as follows:

18 All persons residing in the United States whose personally identifiable
19 information was acquired by unauthorized persons in the data breach announced
20 by Sonic in September 2017 (the “Nationwide Class”).

21 81. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, and in the alternative to claims asserted on
22 behalf of the Nationwide Class, Plaintiff asserts claims under the laws of the individual
23 States, and on behalf of separate statewide classes, defined as follows:

24 All persons residing in Arizona whose personally identifiable information
25 was acquired by unauthorized persons in the data breach announced by
Sonic in September 2017 (the “Statewide Class”).

26 82. Excluded from each of the above Classes are any of Sonic’s officers,
27 directors and board members; all persons who make a timely election to be excluded
28 from the Class; and the judges to whom this case is assigned and their immediate family.

1 83. Plaintiff hereby reserves the right to amend or modify the class definition
2 with greater specificity or division after having had an opportunity to conduct discovery.

3 84. Each of the proposed Classes meets the criteria for certification under
4 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a), (b)(2), (b)(3) and (c)(4).

5 85. **Numerosity. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1).** Consistent with Rule 23(a)(1), the
6 members of the Class are so numerous and geographically dispersed that the joinder of
7 all members is impractical. While the exact number of Class members is unknown to
8 Plaintiff at this time, the proposed Class include several million individuals across the
9 country whose PII was compromised in the Data Breach. Class members may be
10 identified through objective means. Class members may be notified of the pendency of
11 this action by recognized, Court-approved notice dissemination methods, which may
12 include U.S. mail, electronic mail, internet postings, and/or published notice.

13 86. **Commonality. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2) and (b)(3).** Consistent with Fed.
14 R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2) and with 23(b)(3)'s predominance requirement, this action involves
15 common questions of law and fact that predominate over any questions affecting
16 individual Class members. The common questions include:

- 17 a. Whether Sonic had a duty to protect PII;
- 18 b. Whether Sonic knew or should have known of the susceptibility of
19 their data security systems to a data breach;
- 20 c. Whether Sonic's security measures to protect their systems were
21 reasonable in light of the measures recommended by data security experts;
- 22 d. Whether Sonic was negligent in failing to implement reasonable
23 and adequate security procedures and practices;
- 24 e. Whether Sonic's failure to implement adequate data security
25 measures allowed the breach to occur;
- 26 f. Whether Sonic's conduct constituted deceptive trade practices
27 under state law;
- 28 g. Whether Sonic's conduct, including their failure to act, resulted in

1 or was the proximate cause of the breach of its systems, resulting in the loss of the PII of
2 Plaintiff and Class members;

3 h. Whether Plaintiff and Class members were injured and suffered
4 damages or other acceptable losses because of Sonic's failure to reasonably protect its
5 POS systems and data network; and,

6 i. Whether Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to relief.

7 **87. Typicality. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3).** Consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P.
8 23(a)(3), Plaintiff's claims are typical of those of other Class members. Plaintiff had her
9 PII compromised in the Data Breach. Plaintiff's damages and injuries are akin to other
10 Class members and Plaintiff seeks relief consistent with the relief of the Class.

11 **88. Adequacy. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4).** Consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P.
12 23(a)(4), Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class because Plaintiff is a
13 member of the Class and is committed to pursuing this matter against Sonic to obtain
14 relief for the Class. Plaintiff has no conflicts of interest with the Class. Plaintiff's
15 Counsel are competent and experienced in litigating class actions, including privacy
16 litigation. Plaintiff intends to vigorously prosecute this case and will fairly and
17 adequately protect the Class' interests.

18 **89. Superiority. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3).** Consistent with Fed. R. Civ.
19 P23(b)(3), a class action is superior to any other available means for the fair and efficient
20 adjudication of this controversy, and no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered
21 in the management of this class action. The quintessential purpose of the class action
22 mechanism is to permit litigation against wrongdoers even when damages to individual
23 plaintiffs may not be sufficient to justify individual litigation. Here, the damages
24 suffered by Plaintiff and the Class are relatively small compared to the burden and
25 expense required to individually litigate their claims against Sonic, and thus, individual
26 litigation to redress Sonic's wrongful conduct would be impracticable. Individual
27 litigation by each Class member would also strain the court system. Individual litigation
28 creates the potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments, and increases the delay

1 and expense to all parties and the court system. By contrast, the class action device
2 presents far fewer management difficulties and provides the benefits of a single
3 adjudication, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.

4 90. **Injunctive and Declaratory Relief.** Class certification is also appropriate
5 under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) and (c). Defendant, through its uniform conduct, has acted
6 or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class as a whole, making
7 injunctive and declaratory relief appropriate to the Class as a whole.

8 91. Likewise, particular issues under Rule 23(c)(4) are appropriate for
9 certification because such claims present only particular, common issues, the resolution
10 of which would advance the disposition of this matter and the parties' interests therein.
11 Such particular issues include, but are not limited to:

12 a. Whether Sonic owed a legal duty to Plaintiff and the Class to
13 exercise due care in collecting, storing, and safeguarding their PII;

14 b. Whether Sonic's security measures were reasonable in light of data
15 security recommendations, and other measures recommended by data security experts;

16 c. Whether Sonic failed to adequately comply with industry standards
17 amounting to negligence;

18 d. Whether Sonic failed to take commercially reasonable steps to
19 safeguard the PII of Plaintiff and the Class members; and,

20 e. Whether adherence to data security recommendations, and
21 measures recommended by data security experts would have reasonably prevented the
22 Data Breach.

23 92. Finally, all members of the proposed Classes are readily ascertainable.
24 Sonic has access to information regarding the Data Breach, the time period of the Data
25 Breach, and which individuals were potentially affected. Using this information, the
26 members of the Class can be identified and their contact information ascertained for
27 purposes of providing notice to the Class.

COUNT I

NEGLIGENCE

**(ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF AND THE NATIONWIDE CLASS, OR,
ALTERNATIVELY, PLAINTIFF AND THE SEPARATE
STATEWIDE CLASSES)**

1
2
3
4
5 93. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 92 as if fully set forth
6 herein.

7 94. Upon accepting and storing the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members in its
8 computer systems and on its networks, Sonic undertook and owed a duty to Plaintiff and
9 Class Members to exercise reasonable care to secure and safeguard that information and
10 to use commercially reasonable methods to do so. Sonic knew that the PII was private
11 and confidential and should be protected as private and confidential.

12 95. Sonic owed a duty of care not to subject Plaintiff, along with her PII, and
13 Class members to an unreasonable risk of harm because they were foreseeable and
14 probable victims of any inadequate security practices.

15 96. Sonic owed numerous duties to Plaintiff and to members of the
16 Nationwide Class, including the following:

17 a. to exercise reasonable care in obtaining, retaining, securing,
18 safeguarding, deleting and protecting PII in its possession;

19 b. to protect PII using reasonable and adequate security procedures
20 and systems that are compliant with industry-standard practices; and

21 c. to implement processes to quickly detect a data breach and to
22 timely act on warnings about data breaches.

23 97. Sonic also breached its duty to Plaintiff and the Class Members to
24 adequately protect and safeguard PII by knowingly disregarding standard information
25 security principles, despite obvious risks. Further, Sonic failed to provide adequate
26 supervision and oversight of the PII with which they were and are entrusted, in spite of
27 the known risk and foreseeable likelihood of breach and misuse, which permitted an
28

1 unknown third party to gather PII of Plaintiff and Class Members, misuse the PII and
2 intentionally disclose it to others without consent.

3 98. Sonic knew, or should have known, of the risks inherent in collecting and
4 storing PII, the vulnerabilities of its data security systems, and the importance of
5 adequate security. Sonic knew about numerous, well-publicized data breaches,
6 including the breaches at Wendy's, Chipotle, etc.

7 99. Sonic knew, or should have known, that their data systems and networks
8 did not adequately safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII.

9 100. Sonic breached its duties to Plaintiff and Class Members by failing to
10 provide fair, reasonable, or adequate computer systems and data security practices to
11 safeguard PII of Plaintiff and Class Members.

12 101. Because Sonic knew that a breach of its systems would damage millions of
13 individuals, including Plaintiff and Class members, Sonic had a duty to adequately
14 protect their data systems and the PII contained thereon.

15 102. Sonic's own conduct also created a foreseeable risk of harm to Plaintiff
16 and Class members and their PII. Sonic's misconduct included failing to: (1) secure its
17 systems, despite knowing their vulnerabilities, (2) comply with industry standard
18 security practices, (3) implement adequate system and event monitoring, and (4)
19 implement the systems, policies, and procedures necessary to prevent this type of data
20 breach.

21 103. Sonic also had independent duties under state and/or federal laws that
22 required it to safeguard Plaintiff's and Class members' Personal Information.

23 104. Sonic breached its duties to Plaintiff and Class members in numerous
24 ways, including:

25 a. by failing to provide fair, reasonable, or adequate computer systems
26 and data security practices to safeguard PII of Plaintiff and Class members;

27 b. by creating a foreseeable risk of harm through the misconduct
28 previously described;

1 c. by failing to implement adequate security systems, protocols and
2 practices sufficient to protect Plaintiff's and Class members' PII both before and after
3 learning of the Data Breach; and

4 d. by failing to comply with the minimum industry data security
5 standards during the period of the Data Breach.

6 105. Through Sonic's acts and omissions described in this Complaint, including
7 Sonic's failure to provide adequate security and its failure to protect PII of Plaintiff and
8 Class members from being foreseeably captured, accessed, disseminated, stolen and
9 misused, Sonic unlawfully breached its duty to use reasonable care to adequately protect
10 and secure PII of Plaintiff and Class members during the time it was within its
11 possession or control.

12 106. Upon information and belief, Sonic improperly and inadequately
13 safeguarded PII of Plaintiff and Class Members in deviation of standard industry rules,
14 regulations, and practices at the time of the unauthorized access. Sonic's failure to take
15 proper security measures to protect sensitive PII of Plaintiff and Class members as
16 described in this Complaint, created conditions conducive to a foreseeable, intentional
17 criminal act, namely the unauthorized access of PII of Plaintiff and Class members.

18 107. Sonic's conduct was grossly negligent and departed from all reasonable
19 standards of care, including, but not limited to: failing to adequately protect the PII;
20 failing to conduct regular security audits; failing to provide adequate and appropriate
21 supervision of persons having access to PII of Plaintiff and Class members; and failing
22 to provide Plaintiff and Class members with timely and sufficient notice that their
23 sensitive PII had been compromised.

24 108. Neither Plaintiff nor the other Class members contributed to the Data
25 Breach and subsequent misuse of their PII as described in this Complaint.

26 109. As a direct and proximate cause of Sonic's conduct, Plaintiff and the Class
27 suffered damages including, but not limited to: damages arising from the unauthorized
28 charges on her debit or credit cards or on cards that were fraudulently obtained through

1 the use of the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members; damages arising from Plaintiff's
2 inability to use their debit or credit cards because those cards were cancelled, suspended,
3 or otherwise rendered unusable as a result of the Data Breach and/or false or fraudulent
4 charges stemming from the Data Breach, including but not limited to late fees charges
5 and foregone cash back rewards; damages from lost time and effort to mitigate the actual
6 and potential impact of the Data Breach on their lives including, inter alia, by placing
7 "freezes" and "alerts" with credit reporting agencies, contacting their financial
8 institutions, closing or modifying financial accounts, closely reviewing and monitoring
9 their credit reports and accounts for unauthorized activity, and filing police reports and
10 damages from identity theft, which may take months if not years to discover and detect,
11 given the far-reaching, adverse and detrimental consequences of identity theft and loss
12 of privacy. The nature of other forms of economic damage and injury may take years to
13 detect, and the potential scope can only be assessed after a thorough investigation of the
14 facts and events surrounding the theft mentioned above.

COUNT II

NEGLIGENCE PER SE

(ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF AND THE NATIONWIDE CLASS, OR, ALTERNATIVELY, PLAINTIFF AND THE SEPARATE STATEWIDE CLASSES)

19 110. Plaintiff restates and realleges Paragraphs 1 through 92 as if fully set forth
20 herein.

21 111. Section 5 of the FTC Act prohibits "unfair . . . practices in or affecting
22 commerce," including, as interpreted and enforced by the FTC, the unfair act or practice
23 by businesses, such as Sonic, of failing to use reasonable measures to protect PII. The
24 FTC publications and orders described above also form part of the basis of Sonic's duty
25 in this regard.

26 112. Sonic violated Section 5 of the FTC Act by failing to use reasonable
27 measures to protect PII and not complying with applicable industry standards, as
28 described in detail herein.

1 113. Sonic's violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act constitutes negligence *per*
2 *se*.

3 114. Plaintiff and Class Members are within the class of persons that the FTC
4 Act was intended to protect.

5 115. The harm that occurred as a result of the Data Breach is the type of harm
6 the FTC Act was intended to guard against. The FTC has pursued enforcement actions
7 against businesses, which, as a result of their failure to employ reasonable data security
8 measures and avoid unfair and deceptive practices, caused the same harm as that
9 suffered by Plaintiff and the Class.

10 116. As a direct and proximate result of Sonic's negligence *per se*, Plaintiff
11 and the Class have suffered, and continue to suffer, injuries damages arising from
12 Plaintiff's inability to use their debit or credit cards because those cards were cancelled,
13 suspended, or otherwise rendered unusable as a result of the Data Breach and/or false or
14 fraudulent charges stemming from the Data Breach, including but not limited to late fees
15 charges and foregone cash back rewards; damages from lost time and effort to mitigate
16 the actual and potential impact of the Data Breach on their lives including, inter alia, by
17 placing "freezes" and "alerts" with credit reporting agencies, contacting their financial
18 institutions, closing or modifying financial accounts, closely reviewing and monitoring
19 their credit reports and accounts for unauthorized activity, and filing police reports and
20 damages from identity theft, which may take months if not years to discover and detect,
21 given the far-reaching, adverse and detrimental consequences of identity theft and loss
22 of privacy.

23 **COUNT III**

24 **DECLARATORY JUDGMENT**

25 **(ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF AND THE NATIONWIDE CLASS, OR, 26 ALTERNATIVELY, PLAINTIFF AND THE SEPARATE STATEWIDE CLASSES)**

27 117. Plaintiff restates and realleges Paragraphs 1 through 92 as if fully set forth
28 herein.

1 118. As previously alleged, Plaintiff and Class members entered into an implied
2 contract that required Sonic to provide adequate security for the PII it collected from
3 their payment card transactions. As previously alleged, Sonic owes duties of care to
4 Plaintiff and Class members that require it to adequately secure PII.

5 119. Sonic still possesses PII pertaining to Plaintiff and Class members.

6 120. Sonic has made no announcement or notification that it has remedied the
7 vulnerabilities in its computer data systems, and, most importantly, its systems.

8 121. Accordingly, Sonic has not satisfied its contractual obligations and legal
9 duties to Plaintiff and Class members. In fact, now that Sonic's lax approach towards
10 data security has become public, the PII in its possession is more vulnerable than
11 previously.

12 122. Actual harm has arisen in the wake of the Sonic Data Breach regarding
13 Sonic's contractual obligations and duties of care to provide data security measures to
14 Plaintiff and Class members.

15 123. Plaintiff, therefore, seeks a declaration that (a) Sonic's existing data
16 security measures do not comply with its contractual obligations and duties of care, and
17 (b) in order to comply with its contractual obligations and duties of care, Sonic must
18 implement and maintain reasonable security measures, including, but not limited to:

19 a. engaging third-party security auditors/penetration testers as well as
20 internal security personnel to conduct testing, including simulated attacks, penetration
21 tests, and audits on Sonic's systems on a periodic basis, and ordering Sonic to promptly
22 correct any problems or issues detected by such third-party security auditors;

23 b. engaging third-party security auditors and internal personnel to run
24 automated security monitoring;

25 c. auditing, testing, and training its security personnel regarding any
26 new or modified procedures;

27 d. segmenting PII by, among other things, creating firewalls and
28 access controls so that if one area of Sonic is compromised, hackers cannot gain access

1 to other portions of Sonic systems;

2 e. purging, deleting, and destroying in a reasonable secure manner PII
3 not necessary for its provisions of services;

4 f. conducting regular database scanning and securing checks;

5 g. routinely and continually conducting internal training and education
6 to inform internal security personnel how to identify and contain a breach when it occurs
7 and what to do in response to a breach; and

8 h. educating its customers about the threats they face as a result of the
9 loss of their financial and personal information to third parties, as well as the steps Sonic
10 customers must take to protect themselves.

11 **COUNT IV**

12 **VIOLATION OF OKLAHOMA CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT,**
13 **15 O.S. § 751 *ET SEQ.* (the “OCPA”)**

14 **(ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF AND THE NATIONWIDE CLASS, OR,**
15 **ALTERNATIVELY, PLAINTIFF AND THE SEPARATE STATEWIDE CLASS)**

16 124. Plaintiff restates and realleges Paragraphs 1 through 92 as if fully set forth
17 herein.

18 125. Sonic is headquartered and engaged in business in Oklahoma. Sonic’s
19 response to, and corporate decisions surrounding such response to, the Data Breach were
20 made from and in Oklahoma.

21 126. Oklahoma, which seeks to protect the rights and interests of Oklahomans
22 and others against a company doing business in Oklahoma, has an interest in the claims
23 of Plaintiff and the Class members and is intimately concerned with the claims and
24 outcome of this litigation. Accordingly, Plaintiff and the Class assert claims under the
25 OCPA.

26 127. Plaintiff and Class members entrusted Sonic with their PII.

27 128. As alleged herein this Complaint, Sonic engaged in unfair or deceptive
28 acts or practices in the conduct of consumer transactions, including the following, in
violation of the OCPA:

1 a. failure to maintain the security of credit and/or debit card account
2 information;

3 b. failure to maintain adequate computer systems and data security
4 practices to safeguard credit and debit card information and other PII;

5 c. failure to disclose that its computer systems and data security
6 practices were inadequate to safeguard credit and debit card information and other PII
7 from theft;

8 d. continued acceptance of PII and storage of other personal
9 information after Sonic knew or should have known of the security vulnerabilities of the
10 systems that were exploited in the Data Breach;

11 e. allowing unauthorized persons to have access to and make
12 unauthorized charges to its customers' credit and/or debit card accounts.

13 129. Sonic knew or should have known that its computer systems and data
14 security practices were inadequate to safeguard the PII of Plaintiff and Class members,
15 deter hackers, and detect a breach within a reasonable time, and that the risk of a data
16 breach was highly likely.

17 130. As a direct and proximate result of Sonic's violation of the OCPA,
18 Plaintiff and Class members suffered damages including, but not limited to: damages
19 arising from the unauthorized charges on their debit or credit cards or on cards that were
20 fraudulently obtained through the use of the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members;
21 damages arising from Plaintiff's inability to use their debit or credit cards or accounts
22 because those cards or accounts were cancelled, suspended, or otherwise rendered
23 unusable as a result of the Data Breach and/or false or fraudulent charges stemming from
24 the Data Breach, including but not limited to late fees charges and foregone cash back
25 rewards; damages from lost time and effort to mitigate the actual and potential impact of
26 the Data Breach on their lives including, *inter alia*, by placing "freezes" and "alerts"
27 with credit reporting agencies, contacting their financial institutions, closing or
28 modifying financial accounts, closely reviewing and monitoring their credit reports and

1 accounts for unauthorized activity, and filing police reports and damages from identity
2 theft, which may take months if not years to discover and detect, given the far-reaching,
3 adverse and detrimental consequences of identity theft and loss of privacy. The nature of
4 other forms of economic damage and injury may take years to detect, and the potential
5 scope can only be assessed after a thorough investigation of the facts and events
6 surrounding the theft mentioned above.

7 131. Also as a direct result of Sonic's knowing violation of the OCPA, Plaintiff
8 and Class members are entitled to damages as well as injunctive relief, including, but not
9 limited to:

10 a. Ordering that Sonic engage third-party security auditors/penetration
11 testers as well as internal security personnel to conduct testing, including simulated
12 attacks, penetration tests, and audits on Sonic's systems on a periodic basis, and ordering
13 Sonic to promptly correct any problems or issues detected by such third-party security
14 auditors;

15 b. Ordering that Sonic engage third-party security auditors and
16 internal personnel to run automated security monitoring;

17 c. Ordering that Sonic audit, test, and train its security personnel
18 regarding any new or modified procedures;

19 d. Ordering that Sonic segment PII by, among other things, creating
20 firewalls and access controls so that if one area of Sonic is compromised, hackers cannot
21 gain access to other portions of Sonic systems;

22 e. Ordering that Sonic purge, delete, and destroy in a reasonable
23 secure manner PII not necessary for its provisions of services;

24 f. Ordering that Sonic conduct regular database scanning and securing
25 checks;

26 g. Ordering that Sonic routinely and continually conduct internal
27 training and education to inform internal security personnel how to identify and contain
28 a breach when it occurs and what to do in response to a breach; and

1 h. Ordering Sonic to meaningfully educate its customers about the
2 threats they face as a result of the loss of their financial and personal information to third
3 parties, as well as the steps Sonic customers must take to protect themselves.

4 132. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and Class Members for the
5 relief requested above and for the public benefit in order to promote the public interests
6 in the provision of truthful, fair information to allow consumers to make informed
7 purchasing decisions and to protect Plaintiff and Class members and the public from
8 Sonic's unfair methods of competition and unfair, deceptive, fraudulent, unconscionable
9 and unlawful practices. Sonic's wrongful conduct as alleged in this Complaint has had
10 widespread impact on the public at large.

11 133. Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to a judgment against Sonic for
12 actual and consequential damages, exemplary damages and attorneys' fees pursuant to
13 the OCPA, costs, and such other further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

14 **COUNT IV**

15 **VIOLATION OF ARIZONA CONSUMER FRAUD ACT,**
16 **Ariz. Rev. St. § 44-1521 *ET SEQ.* ("CFA")**

17 **(ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF AND THE NATIONWIDE CLASS, OR,**
18 **ALTERNATIVELY, PLAINTIFF AND THE SEPARATE**
19 **STATEWIDE CLASSES)**

20 134. Plaintiff restates and realleges Paragraphs 1 through 92 as if fully set forth
21 herein.

22 135. Sonic is engaged in trade or commerce in Arizona. Plaintiff conducted
23 business with Sonic in Arizona.

24 136. The CFA prohibits "[t]he act, use or employment by any person of any
25 deception, deceptive or unfair act or practice, fraud, false pretense, false promise,
26 misrepresentation, or concealment, suppression or omission of any material fact with
27 intent that others rely on such concealment, suppression or omission, in connection with
28 the sale or advertisement of any merchandise whether or not any person has in fact been

1 misled, deceived or damaged thereby, is declared to be an unlawful practice.” Ariz.
2 Rev. St. § 44-1522.

3 137. Sonic knew or should have known that its computer systems and data
4 security practices were inadequate to safeguard the PII of Plaintiff and Class members,
5 deter hackers, and detect a breach within a reasonable time, and that the risk of a data
6 breach was highly likely.

7 138. Sonic concealed, suppressed, and/or failed to disclose to customers,
8 including Plaintiff and Class members, that its computer systems and data security
9 practices were inadequate to safeguard the PII of Plaintiff and Class members.

10 139. Sonic violated the CFA by:

- 11 a. failing to maintain the security of credit and/or debit card account
12 information;
- 13 b. failing to maintain adequate computer systems and data security
14 practices to safeguard credit and debit card information and other
15 PII;
- 16 c. failing to disclose that its computer systems and data security
17 practices were inadequate to safeguard credit and debit card
18 information and other PII from theft;
- 19 d. continuing acceptance of PII and store other personal information
20 after Sonic knew or should have known of the security
21 vulnerabilities of the systems that were exploited in the Data
22 Breach;
- 23 e. allowing unauthorized persons to have access to and make
24 unauthorized charges to its customers’ credit and/or debit card
25 accounts.

26 140. Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to a judgment against Sonic for
27 actual and consequential damages, exemplary damages and attorneys’ fees pursuant to
28 the CFA, costs, and such other further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all Class members proposed in this Complaint, respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment in their favor and against Sonic as follows:

A. For an Order certifying the Classes, as defined herein, and appointing Plaintiff and her Counsel to represent the Nationwide Class, or in the alternative the separate Statewide Classes;

B. For equitable relief enjoining Sonic from engaging in the wrongful conduct complained of herein pertaining to the misuse and/or disclosure of Plaintiff’s and Class members’ PII, and from refusing to issue prompt, complete and accurate disclosures to the Plaintiff and Class members;

C. For equitable relief compelling Sonic to use appropriate cyber security methods and policies with respect to consumer data collection, storage and protection and to disclose with specificity to class members the type of PII compromised;

D. For an award of damages, as allowed by law in an amount to be determined;

E. For an award of attorneys’ fees costs and litigation expenses, as allowable by law;

F. For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded; and

G. Such other and further relief as this court may deem just and proper.

///

///

///

///

///

///

//

JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b), Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

ZIMMERMAN REED LLP

Date: November 13, 2017

s/ Hart L. Robinovitch
Hart L. Robinovitch, AZ Bar No. 020910
14646 N. Kierland Blvd., Suite 145
Scottsdale, AZ 85254
Telephone: (480) 348-6400
Email: Hart.Robinovitch@zimmreed.com

William B Federman (*Pro Hac Vice*
to be filed)
FEDERMAN & SHERWOOD
10205 North Pennsylvania Ave.
Oklahoma City, OK 73120
Telephone: (405) 235-1560
Facsimile: (405) 239-2112
Email: WBF@federmanlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Civil Cover Sheet

This automated JS-44 conforms generally to the manual JS-44 approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974. The data is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. The information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form is authorized for use only in the District of Arizona.

The completed cover sheet must be printed directly to PDF and filed as an attachment to the Complaint or Notice of Removal.

Plaintiff(s): Megan MacKay

Defendant(s): Sonic Corp. ; Sonic Franchising LLC ; Sonic Industries Services Inc. ; Sonic Industries LLC ; Sonic Development Of AZ, LLC ; Sonic Drive In, Anthem, AZ, LLC ; Sonic Drive-In, Glendale, AZ, 59th Ave., L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Glendale, AZ, Glendale Ave., L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Glendale, Northern & 43rd Ave., L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Glendale, Peoria & 51st Ave, L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Litchfield Park, AZ, L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Maricopa, AZ, L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, AZ, Beardsley, L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, AZ, Deer Valley & 83rd, L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, AZ, 67th Avenue, L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, AZ, Union Hills Dr. & 83rd Ave, L.L. ; Sonic Drive-In, Peoria, 83rd, L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Baseline & 48th St., L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Bethany Home Road, L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Camelback Road, L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Chandler Boulevard, L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Deer Valley Road, L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive In, Phoenix, AZ, 51st & Baseline, LLC ; Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, 40th St. & Greenway, L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Greenway Parkway, L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Jesse Owens, L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, McDowell, L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In,

Phoenix, AZ, McDowell & 7th St., L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, 19th Ave., L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, 19th Ave., #2, L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Thomas Road, L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Thomas Road # 2, L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, AZ, Union Hills Dr. & 35th Ave, L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, 43rd Avenue & Glenrosa, L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Phoenix, 7th Street, L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Scottsdale, AZ, Hayden, L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive-In, Surprise, AZ, Bell/Reems, L.L.C. ; Sonic Drive In, Surprise, AZ, Litchfield & Waddell, LLC ; John DOES 1-50

County of Residence: Maricopa
County Where Claim For Relief Arose: Maricopa

County of Residence: Outside the State of Arizona

Plaintiff's Atty(s):
Hart L. Robinovitch
ZIMMERMAN REED, LLP
14646 N. Kierland Blvd, Ste. 145
Scottsdale, Arizona 85254
4803486400

Defendant's Atty(s):

II. Basis of Jurisdiction: **4. Diversity (complete item III)**

III. Citizenship of Principal Parties (Diversity Cases Only)

Plaintiff:- **1 Citizen of This State**
Defendant:- **5 Non AZ corp and Principal place of Business outside AZ**

IV. Origin : **1. Original Proceeding**

V. Nature of Suit: **360 Other Personal Injury**

VI.Cause of Action: **negligence**

VII. Requested in Complaint

Class Action: **Yes**
Dollar Demand: **over \$5 million**
Jury Demand: **Yes**

VIII. This case is not related to another case.

Signature: Hart L. Robinovitch

Date: 11/13/2017

If any of this information is incorrect, please go back to the Civil Cover Sheet Input form using the *Back* button in your browser and change it. Once correct, save this form as a PDF and include it as an attachment to your case opening documents.

Revised: 01/2014

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Sonic Facing Another Class Action in the Wake of Data Breach](#)
