UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SAMUEL & STEIN

David Stein (DS 2119) 38 West 32nd Street Suite 1110 New York, New York 10001 (212) 563-9884 dstein@samuelandstein.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

Alex Lovelace and Horane Williams, on behalf of themselves and all other persons similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

- vs. -

Land Appliance Services, Inc., Hal Gordon, and Ira Gordon,

Defendants.

DOCKET NO. 18-cv-6892

COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs Alex Lovelace and Horane Williams, by and through their undersigned attorneys, for their complaint against defendants Land Appliance Services, Inc., Hal Gordon, and Ira Gordon, allege as follows, on behalf of themselves and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiffs Alex Lovelace and Horane Williams allege on behalf of themselves and on behalf of other similarly situated current and former employees of defendants Land

Appliance Services, Inc., Hal Gordon, and Ira Gordon, who elect to opt into this action pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), that they are entitled to: (i) compensation for wages paid at less than the statutory minimum wage, (ii) unpaid wages from defendants for overtime work for which they did not receive overtime premium pay as required by law, and (iii) liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq., because defendants' violations lacked a good faith basis.

2. Plaintiffs further complain that they are entitled to (i) compensation for wages paid at less than the statutory New York minimum wage; (ii) back wages for overtime work for which defendants willfully failed to pay overtime premium pay as required by the New York Labor Law §§ 650 et seq. and the supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations; (iii) compensation for defendants' violations of the "spread of hours" requirements of New York Labor Law; (iv) liquidated damages pursuant to New York Labor Law for these violations; and (v) statutory damages for defendants' violation of the Wage Theft Prevention Act.

THE PARTIES

3. Alex Lovelace is an adult individual residing in Queens, New York.

- 4. Horane Williams is an adult individual residing in Brooklyn, New York.
- 5. Plaintiffs consent in writing to be parties to this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b); their written consents are attached hereto and incorporated by reference.
- 6. Upon information and belief, defendant Land Appliance Services, Inc. is a New York corporation with a principal place of business at 5725 Foster Avenue, Brooklyn, New York.
- 7. At all relevant times, defendant Land Appliance Services, Inc. ("Land Appliance") has been, and continues to be, an employer engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a).
- 8. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, defendant Land Appliance has had gross annual revenues in excess of \$500,000.00.
- 9. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times herein, defendant Land Appliance has used goods and materials produced in interstate commerce, and has employed at least two individuals who handled such goods and materials.
- 10. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, defendant Land Appliance has constituted an "enterprise" as defined in the FLSA.

3

- 11. Upon information and belief, defendant Hal Gordon is an owner or part owner and principal of defendant Land Appliance, who has the power to hire and fire employees, set wages and schedules, and maintain their records.
- 12. Defendant Hal Gordon was involved in the day-to-day operations of defendant Land Appliance and played an active role in managing the businesses.
- 13. Upon information and belief, defendant Ira Gordon is an owner or part owner and principal of defendant Land Appliance, who has the power to hire and fire employees, set wages and schedules, and maintain their records.
- 14. Defendant Ira Gordon was involved in the day-to-day operations of defendant Land Appliance and played an active role in managing the businesses.
- 15. For example, Hal and Ira Gordon hired Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams and set their pay and schedules, Ira Gordon paid Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams, and both Hal and Ira Gordon supervised plaintiffs' work on a daily basis.
- 16. Defendants constituted "employers" of Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams as that term is used in the Fair Labor Standards Act and New York Labor Law.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

17. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337 and

supplemental jurisdiction over plaintiffs' state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. In addition, the Court has jurisdiction over plaintiffs' claims under the FLSA pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

18. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because defendants' business is located in this district.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 19. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 206 and 207, Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams seek to prosecute their FLSA claims as a collective action on behalf of a collective group of persons defined as follows:
 - All drivers/technicians who are or were formerly employed by defendants in the United States at any time since November 27, 2015, to the entry of judgment in this case (the "Collective Action Period"), and who were not paid the minimum wage "free and clear" and/or were not paid overtime compensation at rates at least one-and-one-half times the regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek (the "Collective Action Members").
- 20. The Collective Action Members are similarly situated to Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams in that they were employed by defendants as drivers/technicians, and were denied the minimum wage "free and clear" and were denied premium overtime pay for hours worked beyond forty hours in a week.

5

- 21. They are further similarly situated in that defendants had a policy and practice of knowingly and willfully refusing to pay them the minimum wage and/or overtime.
- 22. Mr. Lovelace, Mr. Williams and the Collective Action Members perform or performed the same or similar primary duties, and were subjected to the same policies and practices by defendants.
- 23. The exact number of such individuals is presently unknown, but is known by defendants and can be ascertained through appropriate discovery.

FACTS

- 24. At all relevant times herein, defendants owned and operated an appliance store in New York.
- 25. Mr. Lovelace was employed at Land Appliance from approximately November 2014 through April 2016.
- 26. Mr. Williams was employed at Land Appliance from approximately June 2014 through November 2016.
- 27. Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams were employed as drivers/technicians.
- 28. Plaintiffs' primary duties were to deliver appliances to customers, install them in customers' homes, and haul away old appliances.

6

- 29. Plaintiffs' work was performed in the normal course of defendants' business and was integrated into the business of defendants, and did not involve executive or administrative responsibilities.
- 30. At all relevant times herein, Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams were employees engaged in commerce and/or in the production of goods for commerce, as defined in the FLSA and its implementing regulations.
- 31. Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams worked regular schedules of five ten-hour days per week, for a total of at least 50 hours per week each week of their employment.
- 32. However, at least two times per month Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams would be required to work extra eight- or nine-hour shifts on Saturdays and/or Sundays; in addition, they were sometimes required to work beyond the ends of their shifts on weekdays.
- 33. As a result, in roughly half of the weeks of their employment, Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams worked at least 60 hours per week.
- 34. Defendants provided a time clock for employees to track their time worked; however, employees generally were not permitted to use the clock on Saturdays or Sundays.
- 35. Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams were paid on an hourly basis throughout their employment.

- 36. When Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams were hired they were each nominally paid \$8.25 per hour, and subsequently, in roughly 2015, received raises to \$10.15 per hour.
- 37. However, Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams did not receive these wages "free and clear," as required by law.
- 38. Rather, defendants had a routine practice of making significant deductions from plaintiffs' weekly pay, for parking tickets received by defendants' trucks as a result of defendants' policies, and for ostensible damage to appliances delivered by Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams.
- 39. Moreover, defendants would automatically deduct an hour from plaintiffs' pay for meal breaks even though Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams were not permitted to take more than about 15 minutes to eat.
- 40. As a result of these deductions which were sometimes in excess of \$100 in a week as well as defendants' failure to pay Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams for all hours worked, plaintiffs' weekly effective rates of pay frequently fell below the statutory minimum wage.
- 41. Defendants' failure to pay Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams amounts at least equal to the federal and New York state minimum wages in effect during relevant time periods was willful, and lacked a good faith basis.

- 42. Moreover, Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams were paid at the above-listed hourly rates for all hours for which they were credited as working, regardless of the actual number of hours they worked in a week.
- 43. Defendants failed to pay Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams any overtime "bonus" for hours worked beyond 40 hours in a workweek, in violation of the FLSA, the New York Labor Law, and the supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations.
- 44. Defendants' failure to pay Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams the overtime bonus for overtime hours worked was willful, and lacked a good faith basis.
- 45. Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams were paid either in cash or with a combination of cash and check. When Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams received some of their pay in check, the checks were never for more than about 20 hours of work, and the cash Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams received was not reflected on any paystubs.
- 46. Defendants failed to provide Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams with written notices providing the information required by the Wage Theft Prevention Act including, inter alia, defendants' contact information, plaintiffs' regular and overtime rates, and intended allowances claimed and failed to obtain plaintiffs' signature acknowledging the

same, upon their hiring or at any time thereafter, in violation of the Wage Theft Prevention Act.

- 47. Defendants failed to provide Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams with complete, accurate weekly records of their compensation and hours worked, in violation of the Wage Theft Prevention Act.
- 48. Upon information and belief, throughout the period of plaintiffs' employment and continuing until today, defendants have likewise employed other individuals like Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams (the Collective Action Members) in positions at defendants' appliance store that required little skill, no capital investment, and with duties and responsibilities that did not include any managerial responsibilities or the exercise of independent judgment.
- 49. Defendants applied the same employment policies, practices, and procedures to all Collective Action Members, including policies, practices, and procedures with respect to the payment of minimum wages and overtime.
- 50. Upon information and belief, defendants have failed to pay these other individuals at a rate at least equal to the minimum wage, in violation of the New York Labor Law.
- 51. Upon information and belief, these other individuals have worked in excess of forty hours per week, yet defendants have likewise failed to pay them overtime

compensation of one-and-one-half times their regular hourly rate in violation of the FLSA and the New York Labor Law.

- 52. Upon information and belief, defendants made unauthorized deductions from these other individuals' pay as well.
- 53. Upon information and belief, these other individuals were not permitted to take meal breaks, but defendants automatically deducted an hour from their daily pay as well.
- 54. Upon information and belief, these other individuals were not provided with required wage notices or weekly wage statements, as specified in New York Labor Law §§ 195.1, 195.3, and the Wage Theft Prevention Act.
- 55. Upon information and belief, while defendants employed Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams and the Collective Action members, and through all relevant time periods, defendants failed to maintain accurate and sufficient time records or provide accurate records to employees, and failed to post or keep posted notices explaining the minimum wage and overtime pay rights provided by the FLSA or New York Labor Law.

COUNT I

(Fair Labor Standards Act - Minimum Wage)

- 56. Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams, on behalf of themselves and all Collective Action Members, repeat, reallege, and incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.
- 57. At all relevant times, defendants employed Mr. Lovelace, Mr. Williams, and the Collective Action Members within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 58. Defendants failed to pay a salary greater than the minimum wage "free and clear" to Mr. Lovelace, Mr. Williams, and the Collective Action Members for all hours worked.
- 59. As a result of defendants' willful failure to compensate Mr. Lovelace, Mr. Williams, and the Collective Action Members at a rate at least equal to the federal minimum wage for each hour worked, defendants have violated, and continue to violate, the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§ 206.
- 60. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constituted a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. \$ 255(a), and lacked a good faith basis within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. \$ 260.
- 61. Due to defendants' FLSA violations, Mr. Lovelace, Mr. Williams, and the Collective Action Members are entitled

to recover from defendants their unpaid compensation plus liquidated damages, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

COUNT II

(New York Labor Law - Minimum Wage)

- 62. Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams repeat, reallege, and incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.
- 63. At all relevant times, Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams were employed by defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651.
- 64. Defendants willfully violated the rights of Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams by failing to pay them compensation in excess of the statutory minimum wage in violation of the New York Labor Law §§ 190-199, 652 and their regulations.
- 65. Defendants' failure to pay compensation in excess of the statutory minimum wage was willful, and lacked a good faith basis, within the meaning of New York Labor Law § 198, § 663 and supporting regulations.
- 66. Due to defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams are entitled to recover from defendants their unpaid compensation, liquidated damages, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and

disbursements of the action, pursuant to New York Labor Law \$198, and \$663(1).

COUNT III

(Fair Labor Standards Act - Overtime)

- 67. Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams, on behalf of themselves and all Collective Action Members, repeat, reallege, and incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.
- 68. At all relevant times, defendants employed Mr. Lovelace, Mr. Williams, and each of the Collective Action Members within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 69. At all relevant times, defendants had a policy and practice of refusing to pay overtime compensation to their employees for hours they worked in excess of forty hours per workweek.
- 70. As a result of defendants' willful failure to compensate their employees, including Mr. Lovelace, Mr. Williams and the Collective Action Members, at a rate at least one-and-one-half times the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of forty hours per workweek, defendants have violated, and continue to violate, the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a)(1) and 215(a).
- 71. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constituted a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C.

- § 255(a), and lacked a good faith basis within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 260.
- 72. Due to defendants' FLSA violations, Mr. Lovelace, Mr. Williams and the Collective Action Members are entitled to recover from defendants their unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

COUNT IV

(New York Labor Law - Overtime)

- 73. Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams repeat, reallege, and incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.
- 74. At all relevant times, Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams were employed by defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651.
- 75. Defendants willfully violated the rights of Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams by failing to pay them overtime compensation at rates at least one-and-one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours per workweek in violation of the New York Labor Law §§ 650 et seq. and its supporting regulations in 12 N.Y.C.R.R. § 142.

- 76. Defendants' failure to pay overtime was willful, and lacked a good faith basis, within the meaning of New York Labor Law § 198, § 663 and supporting regulations.
- 77. Due to defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams are entitled to recover from defendants their unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to New York Labor Law § 198, and § 663(1).

COUNT V

(New York Labor Law - Unlawful deductions)

- 78. Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams repeat, reallege, and incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.
- 79. At all relevant times, Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams were employed by defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651.
- 80. Defendants had a policy and practice of deducting money from plaintiffs' pay for such issues as parking tickets or damage to appliances.
- 81. These deductions were not for the benefit of Mr. Lovelace or Mr. Williams and are not among the legitimate deductions authorized by New York Labor Law § 193.

- 82. As a result, defendants have violated New York Labor Law.
- 83. These violations were willful, and lacked a good faith basis, within the meaning of New York Labor Law § 198, § 663 and supporting regulations.
- 84. Due to defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams are entitled to recover from defendants monies deducted from their pay, liquidated damages, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action.

COUNT VI

(New York Labor Law - Failure to Pay Wages)

- 85. Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams repeat, reallege, and incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.
- 86. At all relevant times, Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams were employed by defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651.
- 87. Defendants willfully violated the rights of Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams by failing to pay them their full wages earned for all hours worked, in violation of, inter alia, New York Labor Law § 191.

- 88. Defendants' failure to pay all wages owed was willful, and lacked a good faith basis, within the meaning of New York Labor Law § 198, § 663 and supporting regulations.
- 89. Due to defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams are entitled to recover from defendants damages for unpaid wages, liquidated damages, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to New York Labor Law § 198, and § 663(1)

COUNT VII

(New York Labor Law - Wage Theft Prevention Act)

- 90. Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams repeat, reallege, and incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.
- 91. At all relevant times, Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams were employed by defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651.
- 92. Defendants willfully violated the rights of Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams by failing to provide them with valid wage notices required by the Wage Theft Prevention Act when they were hired, or at any time thereafter.
- 93. Defendants willfully violated the rights of Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams by failing to provide them with

accurate weekly wage statements required by the Wage Theft
Prevention Act during their employment.

- 94. Due to defendants' New York Labor Law violations relating to the failure to provide accurate paystubs, Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams are entitled to recover from the defendants statutory damages of \$100 per week through February 26, 2015, and \$250 per day from February 27, 2015 through the end of their employment, up to the maximum statutory damages.
- 95. Due to defendants' New York Labor Law violations relating to the failure to provide wage notices, Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams are entitled to recover from the defendants statutory damages of \$50 per week through February 26, 2015, and \$50 per day from February 27, 2015 to the termination of their employment, up to the maximum statutory damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Mr. Lovelace and Mr. Williams respectfully request that this Court grant the following relief:

a. Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of the Collective Action Members and prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of an FLSA Opt-In Class, apprising them of the pendency

of this action, permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual Consents to Sue pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and appointing plaintiffs and their counsel to represent the Collective Action members;

- b. A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- c. An injunction against defendants and their officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives, and any and all persons acting in concert with them, as provided by law, from engaging in each of the unlawful practices, policies, and patterns set forth herein;
- d. Compensatory damages for failure to pay the minimum wage pursuant to the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- e. A compensatory award of unpaid compensation, at the statutory overtime rate, due under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- f. An award of liquidated damages as a result of defendants' willful failure to pay statutory

minimum wages and overtime compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216;

- g. Compensation for failure to pay all wages owed;
- h. Compensation for illegal deductions from pay;
- i. Liquidated damages for defendants' New York Labor Law violations;
- j. Statutory damages for defendants' violation of the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act;
- k. Back pay;
- 1. Punitive damages;
- m. An award of prejudgment and postjudgment interest;
- n. An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees; and
- o. Such other, further, and different relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: November 26, 2018

David Obsis

David Stein
SAMUEL & STEIN
38 West 32nd Street
Suite 1110
New York, New York 10001
(212) 563-9884
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Dulta

EXHIBIT A

CONSENT TO SUE

By my signature below, I hereby authorize the filing and prosecution of claims in my name and on my behalf to contest the failure of Land Appliance Services, Inc. and its owners and affiliates to pay me, *inter alia*, minimum wage and overtime wages as required under state and/or federal law and also authorize the filing of this consent in the lawsuit challenging such conduct, and consent to being named as a representative plaintiff in this action to make decisions on behalf of all other plaintiffs concerning all aspects of this lawsuit. I have been provided with a copy of a retainer agreement with the law firm of Samuel & Stein, and I agree to be bound by its terms.

Alex Lovelace

Date: November 1, 2018

CONSENT TO SUE

By my signature below, I hereby authorize the filing and prosecution of claims in my name and on my behalf to contest the failure of Land Appliance Services, Inc. and its owners and affiliates to pay me, *inter alia*, minimum wage and overtime wages as required under state and/or federal law and also authorize the filing of this consent in the lawsuit challenging such conduct, and consent to being named as a representative plaintiff in this action to make decisions on behalf of all other plaintiffs concerning all aspects of this lawsuit. I have been provided with a copy of a retainer agreement with the law firm of Samuel & Stein, and I agree to be bound by its terms.

Horane Williams

Date: November 1, 2018

JS 44 (Rev. 01/29/2018)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

				T =======					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS						
Alex Lovelace and Hora other persons similarly s		f of themselves and	all	Land Appliance S	ervices, In	c., Hal Gordor	n, and Ira Go	rdon	
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff	Queens County, NY	<u> </u>	County of Residence	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Kings County, NY				
(E	EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C	CASES)				PLAINTIFF CASES (
¥				NOTE: IN LAND C THE TRAC	ONDEMNAT T OF LAND II	ION CASES, USE T NVOLVED.	THE LOCATION (ЭF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Numb	er)		Attorneys (If Known))				
Samuel & Stein / 38 W NY 10001 / (212) 563	demand and the control of the contro	1110 / New York,							
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in (One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	PRINCIPA	L PARTIES			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)			TF DEF	Incorporated or Pr		or Defende PTF 4	<i>dant)</i> DEF □ 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	hip of Parties in Item III)	Citizo	en of Another State	1 2 🗆 2	Incorporated and I	Principal Place	5	5
Belefidan	(marcare emzens)	np of 1 armes in hem int			3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation	moner state	6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	[(Place on "Y" in One Box O	nlu)	For	reign Country	Click	here for: Nature	of Suit Code De	scription	ıc
CONTRACT		ORTS	FC	RFEITURE/PENALTY		KRUPTCY		STATUT	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury -	Y 🗆 62	5 Drug Related Scizure	☐ 422 Appo	al 28 USC 158	375 False Cla		
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	- 69	of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other		SC 157	376 Qui Tam 3729(a)))	
 ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment 	Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical	- 1		PPOPE	RTY RIGHTS	☐ 400 State Rea		ment
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	Personal Injury			☐ 820 Copy	rights	☐ 430 Banks an	nd Bankin	g
 ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted 	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal			☐ 830 Pater	t t - Abbreviated	☐ 450 Commercial 460 Deportat		
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product			New	Drug Application	☐ 470 Racketee	er Influenc	
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	TV	LABOR	SOCIAL	SECURITY	Corrupt C	Organizati er Credit	ons
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud		0 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA	(1395ff)	☐ 490 Cable/Sa	t TV	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	G 72	Act 0 Labor/Management	☐ 862 Black	Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 850 Securitie Exchang		dities/
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations	☐ 864 SSID	Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Sta	atutory Ac	ctions
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability		0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultu☐ 893 Environm		tters
	Medical Malpractice			Leave Act		100	☐ 895 Freedom		
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:		Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement		L TAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff	Act 896 Arbitration	on	
☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act		efendant)	☐ 899 Administ		ocedure
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate			☐ 871 IRS—	-Third Party SC 7609		ew or App	peal of
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	Sentence 530 General			200	3C 7009	Agency I 950 Constitut		f
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -		7.46	IMMIGRATION			State Stat	utes	
ė.	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Other		2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration					
	Other	550 Civil Rights		Actions					
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition☐ 560 Civil Detainee -							
		Conditions of Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in									
	te Court	Appellate Court	1 4 Reins Reop	ened Anothe (specify)	r District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	- 1	Multidist Litigation Direct Fil	n -
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 29 U.S.C. § 201,	tute under which you are	e filing (D	o not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unless di	versity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca		ertime						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	Design to	EMAND \$		HECK YES only JRY DEMAND:	if demanded in	complair	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASE	(See instructions):	HIDOE						2 in a sprough	
00-001 - 200 Mellion (102-00)		JUDGE	OBVETT	E DECORP	DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE 11/27/2018	1	SIGNATURE OF ATT	URNEY O	F KECOKD					
11/27/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	~	WW WWW							
	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	GE		

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, David S			for plaintiffs		, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action
is ineligi	ble for compulsory arbitration for the	ne following reason	(s):		
Ļ	monetary damages soug	tht are in excess of	\$150,000, exclusive of in	terest and	I costs,
Ŀ	the complaint seeks injur	nctive relief,			
	the matter is otherwise in	neligible for the follow	wing reason		
	DISCLOSU	RE STATEME	NT - FEDERAL R	ULES (CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
	Identify any par	ent corporation and	any publicly held corpora	ation that	owns 10% or more or its stocks:
None					
	RELATED	CASE STATE	MENT (Section V	III on t	he Front of this Form)
o another substantial deemed "re Presumpti	civil case for purposes of this guideline w saving of judicial resources is likely to re elated" to another civil case merely becau	hen, because of the sir sult from assigning both se the civil case: (A) in	milarity of facts and legal iss h cases to the same judge a volves identical legal issues	ues or beca and magistr a, or (B) inve	ont of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" ause the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a ate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be olves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still
		NY-E DIVISION	ON OF BUSINESS	RULE 5	50.1(d)(2)
1.)	Is the civil action being filed County?			n a New	York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk
2.)	If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omission County? Yes	The second secon	the claim or claims,	or a su	bstantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk
	b) Did the events or omission District?		the claim or claims,	or a su	bstantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern
	c) If this is a Fair Debt Collecti received:	on Practice Act ca	ase, specify the Count	y in whi	ch the offending communication was
Suffolk C	county, or, in an interpleader acti	on, does the clain No	nant (or a majority of t	he claim	nts, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or ants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or
	(Note: A corporation shall be co	nsidered a resider			the most significant contacts).
	,		BAR ADMIS	SION	
	I am currently admitted in the Ea	astern District of N	lew York and currently	y a meml	per in good standing of the bar of this court.
	\checkmark	Yes			No
	Are you currently the subject	of any disciplina	ary action (s) in this	or any	other state or federal court?
		Yes (If ye	s, please explain	\checkmark	No
	I certify the accuracy of all in	ormation provid	ed above.		
,	Signature:	xten			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern Distri	ct of New York
Alex Lovelace and Horane Williams, on behalf of themselves and all other persons similarly situated Plaintiff(s) V. Land Appliance Services, Inc., Hal Gordon, and Ira Gordon Defendant(s))))) Civil Action No. 18-cv-6892))))
SUMMONS IN	N A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) Land Appliance Services, 5725 Foster Avenue Brooklyn, New York 1123	
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an office	you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you cer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. aswer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of ion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
David Stein, Esq. Samuel & Stein 38 West 32nd Street, Suite New York, NY 10001	e 1110
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 18-cv-6892

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

		ne of individual and title, if any)						
was re	ceived by me on (date)	··						
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual	at (place)					
			on (date)					
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or	usual place of abode with (name)					
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides th							
	on (date) , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)			, who is			
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on beh						
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I returned the sumn	nons unexecuted because			; or			
	☐ Other (specify):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$		0			
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this information	is true.					
Date:								
2			Server's signature					
			Printed name and title					
			Server's address					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern Distric	t of New York
Alex Lovelace and Horane Williams, on behalf of themselves and all other persons similarly situated Plaintiff(s) V. Land Appliance Services, Inc., Hal Gordon, and Ira Gordon)))) Civil Action No. 18-cv-6892))
Defendant(s))
SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) Hal Gordon % Land Appliance Service 5725 Foster Avenue Brooklyn, New York 11234	
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an office	ou (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you er or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. wer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of on must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
David Stein, Esq. Samuel & Stein 38 West 32nd Street, Suite New York, NY 10001	1110
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 18-cv-6892

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	This summons for (name	ne of individual and title, if any)						
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·						
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual	at (place)					
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or	usual place of abode with (name)					
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides the							
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to	the individual's last known address; or					
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)			, who is			
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on beh	alf of (name of organization)					
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because			; or			
	☐ Other (specify):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$		0			
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	is true.					
Date:								
			Server's signature					
			Printed name and title					
			Server's address					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District	t of New York
Alex Lovelace and Horane Williams, on behalf of themselves and all other persons similarly situated Plaintiff(s) V. Land Appliance Services, Inc., Hal Gordon, and Ira Gordon)))) Civil Action No. 18-cv-6892)))
Defendant(s)	
SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) Ira Gordon % Land Appliance Services 5725 Foster Avenue Brooklyn, New York 11234	
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an office	ou (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you er or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. wer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of on must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
David Stein, Esq. Samuel & Stein 38 West 32nd Street, Suite New York, NY 10001	1110
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 18-cv-6892

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

		ne of individual and title, if any)						
was re	ceived by me on (date)	··						
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual	at (place)					
			on (date)					
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or	usual place of abode with (name)					
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides th							
	on (date) , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)			, who is			
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on beh						
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I returned the sumn	nons unexecuted because			; or			
	☐ Other (specify):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$		0			
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this information	is true.					
Date:								
2			Server's signature					
			Printed name and title					
			Server's address					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Former Employees Accuse Land Appliance Services of Illegal Wage Deductions