1 2 3 4 5 6	Case 2:18-at-00146 Document 1 Robert R. Ahdoot (CSB 172098) rahdoot@ahdootwolfson.com Tina Wolfson (CSB 174806) twolfson@ahdootwolfson.com Theodore W. Maya (CSB 223242) tmaya@ahdootwolfson.com AHDOOT & WOLFSON, PC 10728 Lindbrook Drive Los Angeles, California 90024 Tel: (310) 474-9111; Fax: (310) 474-8585	Filed 02/07/18	Page 1 of 12					
7	101. (310) 474-7111, 1 ax. (310) 474-0303							
8	Jeffrey D. Kaliel (CSB 238293)							
9	jkaliel@kalielpllc.com Sophia Gold (CSB 307971)							
10	sgold@kalielpllc.com KALIEL PLLC							
11	1875 Connecticut Ave., NW, 10 <sup>th</sup> Floor							
12	Washington, D.C. 20009 (202) 450-4783							
13								
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff Petra Lopez and the Putative Class							
15								
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
16								
16 17	EASTERN DISTI	RICT OF CALIFO	DRNIA					
17 18	PETRA LOPEZ, on behalf of herself and all	Case No.	DRNIA					
17 18 19	PETRA LOPEZ, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,	Case No.						
17 18 19 20	PETRA LOPEZ, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiff,	Case No.	ORNIA N COMPLAINT					
17 18 19 20 21	PETRA LOPEZ, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  vs.	Case No.						
17 18 19 20 21 22	PETRA LOPEZ, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiff,	Case No.						
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PETRA LOPEZ, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  vs.	Case No.						
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	PETRA LOPEZ, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  vs.  CITIBANK, N.A.,	Case No.						
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	PETRA LOPEZ, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  vs.  CITIBANK, N.A.,	Case No.						
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	PETRA LOPEZ, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  vs.  CITIBANK, N.A.,	Case No.						
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	PETRA LOPEZ, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  vs.  CITIBANK, N.A.,	Case No.						
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	PETRA LOPEZ, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  vs.  CITIBANK, N.A.,	Case No.						
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	PETRA LOPEZ, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  vs.  CITIBANK, N.A.,	Case No.						

# 

# 

# 

### 

## 

Plaintiff PETRA LOPEZ, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, sues defendant CITIBANK, N.A., and alleges:

#### INTRODUCTION

- 1) Plaintiff asserts this action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated throughout the United States, for damages and other relief arising from CITIBANK's routine practice of charging more than the \$12/month represented for basic checking accounts—a practice that punishes CITIBANK's most economically vulnerable and cash-strapped consumers.
- 2) This practices breaches CITIBANK's marketing representations—specifically, the promise to charge no more than \$12/month for basic checking account services. Indeed, while CITIBANK prominently informs consumers the circumstances in which its regular checking account can cost less than \$12/month, it never once informs consumers that it will sometimes charge consumers much more than \$12/month for basic checking account services.
- 3) But in fact CITIBANK charges up to \$46 a month for its basic checking account, despite its express representations that such account services will cost no more than \$12/month. This massive price increase occurs when, on accounts like Plaintiff's that have insufficient funds at a certain point in the month to pay the monthly checking account fee, CITIBANK assesses \$34 overdraft fees on its own checking account service charges.
- 4) Such overdraft fees are an additional, intrinsic charge for the monthly checking account services in disguise, since the CITIBANK provides no other service in exchange for the overdraft fee, other than the provision of the checking account services that were marketed at \$12/month.
- 5) There is no justification for these practices, other than to maximize CITIBANK's fee revenue. In order not to violate its express marketing and contractual promise to charge only \$12/month for basic checking account services, CITIBANK could have used its discretion not to charge overdraft fees on its own service fees; or could have deducted its own service fees when consumers' accounts had sufficient funds for the \$12/month charge. Instead, it charges nearly

\$50/month for checking account services, without ever telling consumers this was even a possibility.

- 6) Besides being deceptive, unfair and unconscionable, these practices breach contract promises made in CITIBANK's contracts. These practices also exploit contractual discretion to gouge consumers like Plaintiff.
- 7) In plain, clear, and simple language, CITIBANK markets its basic checking account, online and in-branch, as costing no more than \$12/month—and in some circumstances, costing nothing at all.
- 8) CITIBANK also provides a fee schedule to its consumers and prospective consumers stating precisely the same thing. *See* Exhibit A (Defendant's published fee schedule).
- 9) By prominently informing consumers the *lowest* potential price of the basic checking account, but not similarly informing consumers of the *maximum* price of the checking account, CITIBANK deceived consumers.
- 10) Plaintiff never would have chosen CITIBANK as her checking account provider had CITIBANK truthfully and fairly informed her that her basic checking account services could cost up to \$46 per month. On behalf of herself and the putative class, Plaintiff seeks damages and restitution for CITIBANK's deceptive conduct. Additionally, Plaintiff seeks an injunction on behalf of the general public to prevent CITIBANK from continuing to engage in its illegal and deceptive practices.

#### **PARTIES**

- 11) Plaintiff, Petra Lopez, is a resident of the State of California and has used her checking account with CITIBANK to conduct transactions in California, for all transactions relevant to this Complaint.
- 12) CITIBANK is a national bank with its U.S. headquarters and principal place of business located in Sioux Falls, South Dakota. CITIBANK operates numerous retail banking centers throughout nationwide and operates 269 branches in California. Among other things, CITIBANK is engaged in the business of providing retail banking services to consumers, including Lopez and members of the putative classes, which includes checking accounts.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 13) This Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act.
- 14) CITIBANK regularly and systematically conducts business and provides retail banking services in this district, and provides retail banking services to its customers, including Plaintiff and members of the putative class.

#### **VENUE**

15) Venue is likewise proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because CITIBANK is subject to personal jurisdiction in this Court and regularly conducts business within this district, and because Plaintiff conducted the relevant account transactions in this district. Thus, a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims asserted herein occurred and continue to occur in this district.

#### **OVERVIEW**

#### A. The Marketplace for Low-Balance Consumer Checking Accounts

16) Historically, basic checking accounts were offered to consumers for free. However, in recent years, banks have sought to recoup lost fee revenue by charging for basic checking accounts. According to the Chicago Tribune:

Free checking accounts are slipping away, with only 46 percent of banks still offering them to their customers.

That's a major change from 2009, when more than 78 percent of banks offered the freebie, according to a national survey done by bank research firm Moebs Services.

http://www.chicagotribune.com/business/ct-free-checking-banks-0127-biz-20160126-story.html (last visited February 2, 2018).

- 17) Still, most banks including CITIBANK still offer free checking accounts to consumers able to maintain high monthly account balances, or meet other requirements.
- 18) This means that the consumers who are paying for checking account services are likely the lowest-income, lowest-balance consumers in the country. The assessment of additional, undisclosed fees for checking account services on this population is especially devastating.

19) Bank checking account maintenance fees are known to hit low-income consumers especially hard, since higher income consumers can maintain sufficient balances to get fee waivers.

- 20) CITIBANK exacerbates this dynamic by making low-income people pay even more for monthly fees.
  - 21) According to an analysis by the Federal Reserve Bank of Washington, D.C.:

Most bank fees represent an example of add-on or aftermarket fees. Aftermarkets can be found in many industries such as printers (for toner), computers (software), razors (blades) and many others. Aftermarkets arise when a consumer has to purchase a base product, in order to make use of an additional product linked to the use of the base product. In aftermarkets, consumers consider the price of the base good in their purchase decision, but whether they consider the aftermarket good price is focal to the analysis of aftermarkets. Consumers with full information would consider the entire cost (both prices) in their purchase decisions.

https://www.federalreserve.gov/econres/feds/files/2017054pap.pdf (last visited February 2, 2018).

22) CITIBANK deprives consumers of "full information" needed to make an informed decision. Here, where overdraft fees can directly impact the cost of the checking account service, consumers should be provided the choice based on that information.

### B. <u>CITIBANK Account Sign Up Process</u>

- 23) CITIBANK markets its checking account services to consumers with both in-branch representations and similar representations for consumers wishing to sign up for a checking account online.
- 24) In both, CITIBANK expressly tells consumers that the bank will charge no more than \$12/month for basic checking account services.
- 25) While CITIBANK prominently informs consumers the circumstances in which its regular checking account can cost less than \$12/month, it never once informs consumers that it will sometimes charge consumers much more than \$12/month for basic checking account services.
- 26) Both online and in-branch, CITIBANK makes its fee schedule available to consumers. Exhibit A. That fee schedule plainly states that the monthly checking account service fee for a basic checking account will be a *maximum* of \$12, and can be \$0 if certain conditions are

#### Case 2:18-at-00146 Document 1 Filed 02/07/18 Page 6 of 12

met. CITIBANK never informs consumers that true checking account service fees can be almost quadruple the \$12/month rate that it prominently represents.

- 27) By prominently informing consumers the lowest potential price of the basic checking account, but not similarly informing consumers of the maximum price of the checking account, CITIBANK deceived consumers.
- 28) Reasonable consumers do not understand, in light of these representations and omissions, that CITIBANK will choose to unilaterally raise the price of the checking account service by assessing overdraft fees on the service charges.
- 29) Such overdraft fees are an additional, intrinsic charge for the monthly checking account services in disguise, since CITIBANK provides no other service in exchange for the overdraft fee, other than the provision of the checking account services that were marketed at \$12/month.

### C. Plaintiff Lopez's Experience

- 30) In September, 2017, Plaintiff Lopez signed up for a basic checking account in CITIBANK's Carmichael, California branch.
- 31) She was provided a verbal explanation that the checking account would carry a maximum monthly fee of \$12, and that the account would in some cases cost nothing. She was also provided a fee schedule that stated the same thing.
- 32) Based on the representations that she would pay no more than \$12 per month for checking account services, Plaintiff Lopez signed up for the checking account.
- 33) Plaintiff would not have signed up for a CITIBANK basic checking account if she had been informed that CITIBANK would charge up to \$46/month for checking account services, instead of the \$12/month promised.
- 34) Plaintiff has been charged \$46 for a month of checking account services, in violation of CITIBANK's promises and representations.

26 | / / /

27 | / /

28 | / /

#### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. This action satisfies the numerosity, commonality, typicality, adequacy, predominance and superiority requirements of Rule 23.

The proposed Class is defined as:

All CITIBANK checking account holders in California who, within the applicable statute of limitations, were charged overdraft fees on monthly checking account service fees.

- 35) Plaintiff brings this action on her own behalf and all others similarly situated pursuant to <u>Fed. R. Civ. P.</u> 23. Excluded from the class are CITIBANK, its subsidiaries and affiliates, its officers, directors and members of their immediate families and any entity in which defendant has a controlling interest, the legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns of any such excluded party, the judicial officer(s) to whom this action is assigned, and the members of their immediate families.
- 36) Plaintiff reserves the right to modify or amend the definition of the proposed Class if necessary before this Court determines whether certification is appropriate.
- 37) This case is properly brought as a class action under <u>Fed. R. Civ. P.</u> 23(a) and (b)(3), and all requirements therein are met for the reasons set forth in the following paragraphs.
- Numerosity under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1). The members of the Class are so numerous that separate joinder of each member is impracticable. Upon information and belief, and subject to class discovery, the Class consists of thousands of members or more, the identity of whom are within the exclusive knowledge of and can be ascertained only by resort to CITIBANK's records. CITIBANK has the administrative capability through its computer systems and other records to identify all members of the Class, and such specific information is not otherwise available to Plaintiff.
- 39) <u>Commonality under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2)</u>. There are numerous questions of law and fact common to the Class relating to CITIBANK's business practices challenged herein, and

1	those common questions predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class members.						
2	The common questions include, but are not limited to:						
3	a) Whether CITIBANK represented that a basic checking account would cost						
4	\$12/month.						
5	b) Whether CITIBANK improperly charged more than \$12/month for basic						
6	checking account services.						
7	40) <u>Typicality under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3)</u> . Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims						
8	of the other Class members in that they arise out of the same wrongful business practice by						
9	CITIBANK, as described herein.						
10	41) <u>Adequacy of Representation under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4)</u> . Plaintiff is an adequate						
11	representative of the Class in that she has a CITIBANK checking account and has suffered						
12	damages as a result of CITIBANK's assessment and collection of the improper overdraft fees. In						
13	addition:						
14	a) Plaintiff is committed to the vigorous prosecution of this action on behalf of						
15	herself and all others similarly situated and has retained competent counsel experienced in the						
16	prosecution of class actions and, in particular, class actions on behalf of consumers against financial						
17	institutions;						
18	b) There is no hostility of interest between Plaintiff and the unnamed Class						
19	members;						
20	c) Plaintiff anticipates no difficulty in the management of this litigation as a class						
21	action; and						
22	d) Plaintiff's legal counsel have the financial and legal resources to meet the						
23	substantial costs and legal issues associated with this type of litigation.						
24	42) <u>Predominance under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3)</u> . The questions of law and fact						
25	common to the Class as set forth in the "commonality" allegation above predominate over any						
26	individual issues. As such, the "commonality" allegations (paragraph 22 and subparts) are restated						
27	and incorporated herein by reference.						

43) Superiority under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3). A class action is superior to other

available methods and highly desirable for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

of the litigation and since the financial resources of CITIBANK are enormous, no Class member

Since the amount of each individual Class member's claim is very small relative to the complexity

could afford to seek legal redress individually for the claims alleged herein. Therefore, absent a class action, the Class members will continue to suffer losses and CITIBANK's misconduct will proceed without remedy. In addition, even if Class members themselves could afford such individual litigation, the court system could not. Given the complex legal and factual issues involved, individualized litigation would significantly increase the delay and expense to all parties and to the Court. Individualized litigation would also create the potential for inconsistent or contradictory rulings. By contrast, a class action presents far fewer management difficulties, allows claims to be heard which might otherwise go unheard because of the relative expense of bringing individual lawsuits, and provides the benefits of adjudication, economies of scale and comprehensive supervision by a single court.

44) All conditions precedent to bringing this action have been satisfied and/or waived.

### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Declaratory Relief for Permanent Injunction)

- 45) Plaintiff incorporates the preceding allegations by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 46) There exists an actual controversy between the parties as to whether, Defendant misrepresented the costs of basic monthly checking account service charges, and whether Defendant violated California consumer protection law.
- 47) Plaintiff and the Class therefore seek a declaration of rights that Defendant is not permitted to engage in these misrepresentations and violations. As monetary damages would not prevent Defendant from making misrepresentations or assessing such wrongful charges in the future, Plaintiff and the Class members have no adequate remedy at law, and an injunction is therefore warranted, which would prohibit Defendant from charging the excessive and unjust fees alleged herein, throughout California.

### 

# 

### 

### 

# 

### 

### 

#### 

### 

### 

### 

### 

### 

### 

### 

### 

# 

### 

# 

### 

#### **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

### (Violation of the Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 Fraudulent Prong)

- 48) Plaintiff incorporates the preceding allegations by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 49) CITIBANK's conduct described herein violates the Unfair Competition Law (the "UCL"), codified at California Business and Professions Code section 17200, *et seq*.
- 50) CITIBANK's conduct violates the UCL's "fraudulent" prong in the following respect, among others:

CITIBANK's practice of falsely indicating in marketing representations and account documents that basic checking account service fees will not exceed \$12/month.

- 51) As a result of CITIBANK's violations of the UCL's "fraudulent" prong, Plaintiff and members of the Class have paid, and/or will continue to pay, unreasonably excessive amounts of money for banking services and thereby have suffered and will continue to suffer actual damages.
- 52) Plaintiff seeks class-wide restitution and a public injunction under the UCL, which would prohibit Defendant from charging the excessive and unjust fees alleged herein, throughout California.

### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

### (For Violation of the California Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200—Unfair and Unlawful Prongs)

- 53) Plaintiff incorporates the preceding allegations by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 54) California Business & Professions Code § 17200 prohibits acts of "unfair competition," including any "unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice." CITIBANK's conduct violates each of this statute's three prongs.

Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.

56) Defendant committed an unfair business act or practice by charging up to \$46/month

55) Defendant committed an unlawful business act or practice in violation of Cal. Bus. &

- 56) Defendant committed an unfair business act or practice by charging up to \$46/month for basic checking accounts that it marketed as costing no more than \$12/month.
- 57) As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing unlawful practices, Plaintiff and Class members suffered and will continue to suffer actual damages.
- 58) Plaintiff and the Class further seek an order enjoining Defendant's unfair or deceptive acts or practices, and an award of attorneys' fees and costs under Cal. Code of Civ. Proc. § 1021.5.
- 59) Plaintiff seeks class-wide restitution and a public injunction under the UCL, which would prohibit Defendant from charging the excessive and unjust fees alleged herein, throughout California.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and the members of the Class demand a jury trial on all claims so triable and judgment against Defendant as follows:

- A. An order certifying that this action may be maintained as a class action, that Plaintiff be appointed Class Representative and Plaintiff's counsel be appointed Class Counsel;
  - B. Issuing public injunctive relief, including to ensure compliance with the and UCL;
- C. A judgment awarding Plaintiff and all members of the Class restitution and/or other equitable relief, including, without limitation, restitutionary disgorgement of all profits and unjust enrichment that Defendant obtained from Plaintiff and the Class as a result of its unlawful, unfair and fraudulent business practices described herein;
- D. Declaring that CITIBANK violated the UCL by charging more than \$12/month for basic checking accounts;
  - E. Ordering class-wide injunctive relief to ensure compliance with the UCL;
  - F. Ordering CITIBANK to immediately cease the wrongful conduct set forth above;

1	G.	A judgment awar	ding Plaintiff her costs of suit; including reasonable attorneys' fees			
2	and pre and post-judgment interest;					
3	H. A judgment awarding actual and punitive damages to Plaintiff and the Class in a					
4	amount to be determined at trial; and					
5	I. Such other and further relief as may be deemed necessary or appropriate.					
6	J. Granting such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.					
7						
8			DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL			
9	Plain	tiff and all others sin	milarly situated hereby demand trial by jury on all issues in this			
10	complaint the	at are so triable as a	matter of right.			
11						
12	Dated: Febr	uary 7, 2018	Respectfully submitted,			
13						
14			/s/ Jeffrey D. Kaliel (as authorized on 2-7-18) Jeffrey D. Kaliel (CA Bar No. 238293)			
15			jkaliel@kalielpllc.com Sophia Gold (CA Bar No. 307971)			
16			sgold@kalielpllc.com KALIEL PLLC			
17			1875 Connecticut Ave., NW, 10th Floor			
18			Washington, D.C. 20009 (202) 450-4783			
19						
20			/s/ Robert R. Ahdoot Robert R. Ahdoot (CSB 172098)			
21			Tina Wolfson (CSB 174806)			
22			Theodore W. Maya (CSB 223242) AHDOOT & WOLFSON, PC			
23			10728 Lindbrook Drive Los Angeles, California 90024			
24			Phn: (310) 474-9111; Fax: (310) 474-8585			
25			rahdoot@ahdootwolfson.com twolfson@ahdootwolfson.com			
26			tmaya@ahdootwolfson.com			
27			Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative Class			
28			Auorneys joi 1 iumiyj unu me 1 uuuve Ciass			

## **EXHIBIT A**

# Case 2:18-at-00146 Document 1-1 Filed 02/07/18 Page 2 of 3 There's only one thing you need to help manage your fees: The facts.

### Basic Banking Package: Summary of Common Fees and Features

	Minimum Deposit Nee	eded to Open a Checking Account	\$0			
	Monthly Service Fee		\$12	Waived if you satisfy ONE of the following:		
Account	Waived When Requirements Are Met		\$0	<ol> <li>1. 1 Qualifying Direct Deposit credited to a Regular Checking, savings or money market account in a Basic Banking Package and 1 Qualifying Bill Payment posted to a Regular Checking account per statement cycle</li> <li>OR</li> <li>2. Maintain \$1,500 or more in combined average monthly balances in a Regular Checking account and linked savings or money market accounts in a Basic Banking Package</li> <li>OR</li> <li>3. First-listed owner on the account is age 62 or older</li> </ol>		
Opening	Interest Checking			Not available		
and Usage	Citibank ATM Fee		\$0	Get cash with no surcharge fee through our network of <b>thousands of ATMs in the U.S.</b> Locate one near you at <b>www.citibank.com/locations</b>		
	Non-Citibank ATM Fee		\$2.50 or waived	Per withdrawal fee for using a Non-Citibank ATM. (No fee for Citibank transfers or balance inquires). Other banks may assess a third party ATM surcharge fee even if first-listed owner on the account is age 62 or older		
	Deposited Check Retu	ırned Unpaid	\$12	Per check you deposit that is returned unpaid		
	Stop Payment Fee		\$30	Per item you ask to stop payment on		
	For Debit Card Purcha	For Debit Card Purchases and ATM Withdrawals		If you do not have available funds to cover a debit card purchase or ATM transaction, we will decline the transaction at no cost to you		
	Insufficient Funds Fee	*	\$34	An insufficient funds fee occurs when we do not pay the item		
	Overdraft Fee (in case	es of insufficient funds)*	\$34	An overdraft fee may occur when we pay the item in cases of insufficient funds		
Overdraft	*Overdraft fees and Insuf	ficient Funds fees, in any combination, wi	II not be asses	sed more than four (4) times per day.		
and Returned	Safety Check			Citibank can automatically transfer available funds to your Checking from your Savings or Money Market account to pay overdrafts covered by this service.		
Items	Checking Plus (Variable Rate)			Revolving line of credit that automatically transfers funds from your credit line to your checking account to cover your banking transactions.		
				Checking Plus (variable rate) will prevent a check from being returned unpaid only to the extent your line of credit is unused and available for this purpose.		
	The order in which your deposits and withdrawals are processed	its and First: Deposits made before the cut-off time are added to your account balance.				
Your	When your deposits	Cash Deposit with Teller		Generally available immediately on same Business Day of deposit		
Deposits and	to your checking account become available			Generally available immediately, but no later than next Business Day after the Business Day of deposit		
Withdrawals		Check Deposit with Teller		Generally available no later than the next Business Day after the Business Day of deposit		
		Check Deposits at Proprietary ( ATMs	Citibank	Generally available no later than the next Business Day after the Business Day of deposit		
		Direct Deposit		Same Business Day of deposit		
		Wire Transfer		Same Business Day of deposit		
		If a longer delay is placed on your deposit, we will tell you when you make the deposit, and the first \$200 of your deposit will be made available the next Business Day after the Business Day of deposit. If your deposit is not made directly with a teller, or if we decide to place a longer delay on your deposit after you have left the branch, we will mail you the notice by the next Business Day.  A "Business Day" is any day of the week that is not a Saturday, Sunday, or bank holiday.  The end of Business Day is posted at each branch and varies by location.				

#### Helpful Ways to Avoid or Reduce Fees

- Get cash with no surcharge fee through our network of thousands of ATMs in the U.S. Locate one near you at www.citibank.com/locations
- Use your no monthly fee debit card for cash back at select merchants that offer this service
- Set up balance and bill payment alerts on Citibank Online to help manage your accounts and avoid overdrafts
- Consider our overdraft protection services: Safety Check links a money market or savings account to your checking account to help avoid check overdrafts. Or you can apply for a Checking Plus (Variable Rate) line of credit
- Use our Online Wire Transfer services to reduce wire transfer fees
- Use Citibank Online to get up to 7 years of online statement history, reducing potential statement copy fees
- Send a Citibank Global Transfer from your Citibank account to other eligible Citibank accounts in the world with no transfer fee. If the Citibank Global Transfer is made in a foreign currency, the exchange rate includes a commission for the currency conversion. Citibank Global Transfers are limited to select countries. Limits apply and vary by country.

### Make the Most of Your Citibank Relationship ment 1-1 Filed 02/07/18 Page 3 of 3

- Mobile and online banking options to help manage finances wherever you are
- Set up free Online Bill Payment to save on postage and minimize number of checkbook orders
- Direct deposit of your paycheck or other checks to save on trips to the branch or ATM
- Use Citi Financial Tools® to manage your budget
- Set up Auto Save to help with your monthly savings plan

#### **Optional Services Available**

Service		Fee	What does this service provide?		
Transfer Service	s				
Wire Transfer:	Incoming Domestic and International	\$15	Transfer funds into your account from anywhere in the U.S. or abroad		
	Outgoing Domestic/International	\$35/\$45	Fee for initiating a wire transfer in a branch		
	Online Outgoing Domestic/International	\$25/\$35	Fee for initiating a wire transfer online		
Travel/Forelgn C	urrency Services				
Foreign Currency Exchange \$1,000 and over/Under \$1,000		no charge/\$5	Changing U.S. dollars into foreign currency or vice versa		
Foreign Exchange	Fee	3% of transaction amount	Transactions made outside the U.S. and Puerto Rico using a Citibank Banking		
Bank Checks/Of	ficial Checks/Checkbooks/Debit Cards				
Checkbook Order	s	varies	Order and delivery of a checkbook		
Official Check		\$10	Obtaining a check that is the obligation of a bank		
Expedited Domes	tic Delivery of Replacement Debit Cards	\$6	Rush delivery in 1 to 2 business days of your debit card		
Money Order for	Customers	\$5	A money order can be used instead of a check		
Research and Pr	ocess Fees				
Consular Verification or Reference Letter		\$25	A Consular letter issued to Consulates or the Immigration Department to procustomer identification or a reference letter about a customer's account		
Legal Process Compliance		\$125 per defendant and occurrence	Court-ordered bank levy, account liens, etc.		
Collection Services					
Bond Coupon Redemption (per series)		\$10	Collect payment for a bond issued by a corporation, federal, state or local government agency		
Collection of Notes and Sight Drafts on Domestic Bank		\$25	Accepting notes for deposit into accounts and collecting and depositing of note interest upon maturity		
Collection of Checks from Foreign Banks		\$30 plus 3 <sup>rd</sup> party bank charges	Collection of checks drawn on foreign banks		
Domestic Bank Collections		<b>\$25</b> plus 3 <sup>rd</sup> party bank charges	When a U.S. check is sent for payment on a collection basis		
Copy of Checks,	Statements, Records and Certificates				
Copy of Canceled Check		\$5/item two free per month	Charged for ordering photocopies of checks		
Interim Statement		\$5	Providing a copy of a statement from your last statement date to a mid point date you provide us		
Miscellaneous Co	ples	\$5	Making copies of other documents such as deposit tickets or IRS Form 1099		
Statement Copy (previous month)		\$5	Fee for obtaining a statement copy from the previous statement cycle; free statements are available online		

#### We're here to help

Just give us a call anytime at 1-888-CITIBANK (1-888-248-4226) TTY 1-800-945-0258, speak with a Personal Banker at your nearest local branch, Tweet us at @AskCiti or visit us at www.citibank.com.

#### **Additional Account Packages** to Meet Your Needs

We also offer the Citigold Account, Citi Priority Account, Citibank Account and Access Account packages that may be right for you.

#### Don't have an account?

Apply now or call us at 1-800-374-9500 (TTY 1-800-945-0258).

This fact sheet is a summary of certain fees and features of your account. For more complete information about your account, please see your Marketplace Addendum and Client Manual - Consumer Accounts.

Terms, conditions and fees for accounts, products, programs and services are subject to change.

© 2017 Citibank, N.A. Member FDIC. All rights reserved. Citi, Citi and Arc Design and other marks used herein are service marks of Citigroup Inc. or its affiliates, used and registered throughout the world.



1552389 05/17 Effective 6/1/2017

**Print** 

Save As..

JS 44 (Rev. 08/16)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

Case 2:18-at-00146 Document 1-2 Filed 02/07/18 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

purpose of initiating the civil do					9/4, is required for the use	e of the Clerk of Court for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
Petra Lopez, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated				Citibank, N.A.			
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff S	acramento County	, CA	County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant	Sioux Falls, SD	
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Number	-)		Attorneys (If Known)			
Robert R. Ahdoot (CSB 1 AHDOOT & WOLFSON,	172098)	,					
10728 Lindbrook Drive, L		; 310-474-9111					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place on "X" in Oi	ne Rox Only)	III. CI	<u>l</u> TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIE	$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{S}$ (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintij	
1 U.S. Government	☐ 3 Federal Question	ie zon Giay)		(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant)  PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government N	lot a Party)	Citize	en of This State	1	r Principal Place	
J 2 U.S. Government Defendant	★ 4 Diversity  (Indicate Citizenshi)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State		nd Principal Place	
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	FC	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	Suit Code Descriptions.  OTHER STATUTES	
CONTRACT  110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY 1210 Land Condemnation 1220 Foreclosure 1230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 1240 Torts to Land 1245 Tort Product Liability 1290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR'  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPER  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence  530 General  535 Death Penalty Other:  540 Mandamus & Othe  550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condition  560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	TY	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 00 Other	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antirust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV  (3)) □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information	
	moved from	Appellate Court		pened Anothe (specify)	r District Litigat Transf	tion - Litigation -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	28 USC § 1332(d	)(2)	e Illing (I	Oo not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless diversity):		
, i. Chook of Actio	brief description of ca	սse։ Bus. & Prof Code {	§ 17200				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2:	IS A <b>CLASS ACTION</b> 3, F.R.Cv.P.		EMAND \$ 5,000,000.00	CHECK YES OF JURY DEMAN	nly if demanded in complaint: ND: ★ Yes □ No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 02/07/2018		signature of att		OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	tornym	,				whan	
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		IUDGE	MAG	IUDGE	

Reset

### Case 2:18-at-00146 Document 1-2 Filed 02/07/18 Page 2 of 2 INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

#### Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
  - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
  - (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- **II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
  - United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
  - Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
  - Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV.** Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- **V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
  - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
  - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
  - Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
  - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
  - Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.
  - Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

    PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

  Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

  Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

**Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

### **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Class Action: Citibank Charges as Much as \$50 Per Month for Basic Checking Accounts 'Without Ever Telling Consumers'