IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

FILED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT ARKANSAS

NOV 02 2018

JAMES W. McCORMACK, CLERK
By: DEP CLERK

STANLEY LONG, Individually and on Behalf of All Those Similarly Situated

'S.	No. 4:18-cv- 820 - BSM

HCL AMERICA, INC.

This case assigned to District Judge Miller

and to Magistrate Judge Voloe

DEFE

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT – CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION

COMES NOW Stanley Long, by and through his attorneys Chris Burks and Josh Sanford of Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, and for his Original Complaint – Class and Collective Action ("Complaint"), he does hereby state and allege as follows:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS

- 1. This is an action brought by Plaintiff Stanley Long, individually and on behalf of all those similarly situated, against Defendant HCL America, Inc. ("Defendant"), for violations of the overtime provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. (the "FLSA"), and the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq. (the "AMWA").
- 2. Plaintiff seeks declaratory judgment; monetary damages; liquidated damages; prejudgment interest; costs; and a reasonable attorney's fee, as a result of Defendant's policy and practice of failing to pay Plaintiff proper overtime compensation under the FLSA and under the AMWA within the applicable statutory limitations period.

Page 1 of 10
Stanley Long, et al. v. HCL America, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint – Class and Collective Action

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas has

subject matter jurisdiction over this suit under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1331

because this suit raises federal questions under the FLSA.

I. This Complaint also alleges AMWA violations, which arise out of the same

set of operative facts as the federal cause of action herein alleged; accordingly, this

state cause of action would be expected to be tried with the federal claim in a single

judicial proceeding. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's AMWA

claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

5. A substantial part of the acts complained of herein were committed and

had their principal effect against Plaintiff within the Western Division of the Eastern

District of Arkansas; therefore, venue is proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

§ 1391.

III. THE PARTIES

6. Plaintiff Stanley Long is a citizen and resident of Faulkner County.

7. Defendant HCL America, Inc., is a foreign for-profit corporation registered

to do business in the State of California.

8. Defendant HCL America, Inc.'s, principal place of business is 330 Potrero

Avenue, Sunnyvale, California 94086.

9. Defendant HCL America, Inc., provides technological products and

support to other businesses.

Page 2 of 10
Stanley Long, et al. v. HCL America, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint – Class and Collective Action

10. Defendant HCL America, Inc.'s, services include installation and servicing

of technological products out of 425 West Capitol Avenue, Floor 21, Little Rock,

Arkansas 72201.

11. The registered agent of Defendant HCL America, Inc. is C T Corporation

System at 818 West Seventh Street, Suite 930, Los Angeles, California 90017.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

12. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this

Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

13. To support its services related to information technologies services and

products, Defendant hires individuals including Plaintiff Long as Systems Specialists.

14. The duties of systems specialists for Defendant are to perform tasks

related to the installation of computer systems for Defendant's clients.

15. At all relevant times, Plaintiff worked on projects or with materials, such as

computers and other electronics, that had been moved or included in interstate

commerce.

16. For each of the three calendar years preceding the filing of the Original

Complaint in this case, Defendant's annual gross volume of sales made or business

done was not less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are

separately stated).

17. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant employed more than

four employees.

18. At all relevant times, Defendant was Plaintiff's employer and is and has

been engaged in interstate commerce as that term is defined under the FLSA.

Page 3 of 10 Stanley Long, et al. v. HCL America, Inc. U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-____ 19. At all relevant times, Defendant was Plaintiff's employer under the AMWA.

20. Defendant directly hired Plaintiff, paid him wages and benefits, controlled

his work schedules, duties, protocols, applications, assignments and employment

conditions, and kept at least some records regarding his employment.

21. Plaintiff performed the duties of a systems specialist for Defendant during

the last three years.

22. As a systems specialist for Defendant, Plaintiff was required to clock-in

and clock-out each day.

23. Plaintiff also performed work for Defendant off-the-clock.

24. Plaintiff and other systems specialists regularly worked between forty and

fifty hours per week.

25. Defendant classified Plaintiff as exempt from the overtime requirements of

the FLSA and the AMWA and did not pay him proper overtime premiums for the hours

he worked in excess of forty (40) in a workweek.

26. Defendant did not guarantee Plaintiff a salary of at least \$455 per week.

27. Despite working more than forty hours per week on a regular basis,

Plaintiff and other systems specialists were only paid half their regular rates for any

hours worked over forty, and not the proper overtime premium.

28. Defendant did not pay Plaintiff and other systems specialists an overtime

premium of one and-one-half times their regular rates of pay for all hours that they

worked over forty per week.

Page 4 of 10
Stanley Long, et al. v. HCL America, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint – Class and Collective Action

V. INDIVIDUAL CLAIM UNDER THE FLSA

29. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this

Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

30. 29 U.S.C. § 207 requires employers to pay employees one and one-half

times the employee's regular rate for all hours that the employee works in excess of

forty (40) per week. 29 U.S.C.S. § 207 (LEXIS 2013).

31. Defendant violated the FLSA by not paying Plaintiff one and one-half

times his regular rate when calculating his overtime pay for all hours worked over forty

in a given workweek and by not paying him for all hours worked.

32. Defendant's conduct and practice, as described above, has been and is

willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

33. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to

Plaintiff for, and Plaintiff seeks, unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, pre-

judgment interest, civil penalties and costs, including reasonable attorney's fees as

provided by the FLSA.

VI. INDIVIDUAL CLAIM UNDER THE AMWA

34. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint

as though fully incorporated in this section.

35. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to

the AMWA.

36. At all relevant times, Defendant was Plaintiff's "employer" within the

meaning of the AMWA.

Page 5 of 10
Stanley Long, et al. v. HCL America, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint – Class and Collective Action

37. Arkansas Code Annotated § 211 requires employers to pay all employees

one and one-half times regular wages for all hours worked over forty hours in a week,

unless an employee meet the exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 213 and

accompanying Department of Labor regulations.

38. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff for all hours worked and all overtime

wages owed, as required under the AMWA.

39. Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff overtime of one and one-half his regular

rate of pay for all hours worked resulted in a failure to pay Plaintiff full and complete

overtime during weeks in which Plaintiff worked more than forty hours.

40. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, were willful,

intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

41. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to

Plaintiff for monetary damages, liquidated damages, costs, and a reasonable attorney's

fee provided by the AMWA for all violations which occurred beginning at least three (3)

years preceding the filing of Plaintiff's Original Complaint.

42. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in

failing to pay Plaintiff as provided by the AMWA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of

prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

VII. FLSA § 216(b) REPRESENTATIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

43. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this

Original Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

44. Plaintiff brings this collective action on behalf of all Systems Specialists, or

similar positions, employed by Defendant to recover monetary damages owed by

Page 6 of 10
Stanley Long, et al. v. HCL America, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint – Class and Collective Action

Defendant to Plaintiff and members of the putative Classes for all the overtime

compensation for all the hours he and they worked in excess of forty (40) each week.

45. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself individually and all other

similarly situated employees, former and present, who were and/or are affected by

Defendant's willful and intentional violation of the FLSA.

46. In the past three years, Defendant has employed hundreds of Systems

Specialists.

47. Like Plaintiff, these Systems Specialists, or similar positions, regularly

worked more than 40 hours in a week.

48. Defendant failed to pay these workers at the proper overtime rate.

Because these employees are similarly situated to Plaintiff, and are owed overtime for

the same reasons, the first 216(b) opt-in class is properly defined as:

All Systems Specialists Within the Past Three Years

VIII. RULE 23 REPRESENTATIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

49. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint

as though fully incorporated in this section.

50. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated who were

employed by Defendant within the State of Arkansas, brings this claim for relief for

violation of the AMWA as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of

Civil Procedure.

51. Plaintiff proposes to represent an AMWA liability class of individuals

defined as follows:

All Systems Specialists in Arkansas Within the Last Three Years.

Page 7 of 10
Stanley Long, et al. v. HCL America, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint – Class and Collective Action

52. Upon information and belief, there are more than 40 persons in the

proposed class. Therefore, the proposed class is so numerous that joinder of all

members is impracticable.

53. Common questions of law and fact relate to all of the proposed liability

class members, such as these:

i. Whether Defendant's policy of failing to properly pay overtime-rate wages to members of the proposed class who worked in excess of forty

(40) hours per week was unlawful under the AMWA; and

ii. Whether, as a result of Defendant's failure to lawfully calculate

Plaintiff's overtime pay, Defendant paid members of the proposed class one and one-half times their regular wages for hours worked over forty

(40) in each week in accordance with the AMWA.

54. The above common questions of law and fact predominate over any

questions affecting only Plaintiff, and a class action is superior to other available

methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating the controversy.

55. The class members have no interest in individually controlling the

prosecution of separate actions because the policy of the AMWA provides a bright-line

rule for protecting all non-exempt employees as a class. To wit: "It is declared to be the

public policy of the State of Arkansas to establish minimum wages for workers in order

to safeguard their health, efficiency, and general well-being and to protect them as well

as their employers from the effects of serious and unfair competition resulting from

wage levels detrimental to their health, efficiency and well-being." Ark. Code Ann. § 11-

4-202. To that end, all non-exempted employees must be paid for time worked over

forty (40) hours per week at a rate of one and one-half times their regular rate. Ark.

Code Ann. § 11-4-211.

Page 8 of 10
Stanley Long, et al. v. HCL America, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint – Class and Collective Action

56. At the time of the filing of this Complaint, neither Plaintiff nor his counsel

know of any litigation already begun by any members of the proposed class concerning

the allegations in this complaint.

57. No undue or extraordinary difficulties are likely to be encountered in the

management of this class action.

58. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the proposed liability

class in that Plaintiff and all others in the proposed liability class will claim that they were

not paid one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of

forty per week.

59. Plaintiff and his counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interest of

the class.

60. Plaintiff is competent to litigate Rule 23 class actions and other complex

litigation matters, including wage and hour cases like this one.

IX. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiff Stanley Long, individually and on

behalf of all those similarly situated, respectfully prays that Defendant be summoned to

appear and to answer herein and for declaratory relief and damages as follows:

A. Certification of a class pursuant to the Arkansas Rules of Civil Procedure,

with all attendant notices to class members, and proper procedures, all as set forth

above and as to be explained more fully by motion practice;

B. Certification of a collective action pursuant to § 216(b) of the FLSA, with

all attendant notices to collective members, and proper procedures, all as set forth

above and as to be explained more fully by motion practice;

Page 9 of 10
Stanley Long, et al. v. HCL America, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint – Class and Collective Action

C. A declaratory judgment that Defendant's practices alleged herein violate

the FLSA, the AMWA, and their relating regulations;

D. Judgment for damages for all unpaid overtime compensation under the

FLSA, the AMWA, and their relating regulations;

E. Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA, the AMWA, and

their relating regulations;

F. An order directing Defendant to pay Plaintiff and all other similarly situated

employees prejudgment interest, a reasonable attorney's fee and all costs connected

with this action; and

G. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem necessary, just and

proper.

Respectfully submitted,

PLAINTIFF STANLEY LONG, Individually and On Behalf of

All Those Similarly Situated

SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC ONE FINANCIAL CENTER 650 S. SHACKLEFORD. SUITE 411

LITTLE ROCK, ARKANSAS 72211 TELEPHONE: (501) 221-0088

FACSIMILE: (888) 787-2040

Chris Burks

Ark. Bar No. 2010207

chris@sanfordlawfirm.com

Josh Sanførd

Ark. Bar No. 2001037

josh@sanfordlawfirm.com

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

County of Residence of First Listed Palaritif Faulkiner County of Residence of First Listed Palaritif Faulkiner County of Residence of First Listed Palaritif Faulkiner County of Residence of First Listed Palaritif County of Residence of First Listed Pala	purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sheet. (ŜĒE INSTRUCT	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FO	PRM.)				
(C) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Faulkner (RXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (C) Attorneys (First Name Address, and Foliphore Number) Josh Sanford, SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC, One Financial Center, 1907-12-10-088; Josh Quantifordiswiffm.com (RXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (C) Attorneys (First Name Address, and Foliphore Number) Josh Sanford, SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC, One Financial Center, 1907-12-10-088; Josh Quantifordiswiffm.com (RXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (C) Attorneys (Firm Name Address, and Foliphore Number) Josh Sanford, SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC, One Financial Center, 1907-12-10-088; Josh Quantifordiswiffm.com (RXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (RXCEPT IN U	I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Nome, Address, and Fideplace Number) Josh Sanford, SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC, One Financial Center, 505 South Shadford, Suite 411, Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 507-221-0088; josh@sanfordiawfirm.com II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Fines on 'X' in One Bas Only) III. Scorement Plaintiff IV. S. Government Plaintiff IV. S. Government Plaintiff IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Fines on 'X' in One Bas Only) IV. NA				y	HCL AMERICA, INC.				
Common		f First Listed Plaintiff F	aulkner		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant				
Josh Sanford, SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC, One Financial Center, 550 South Shackleford, Sulte 411, Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 501-221-0088; josh@sanfordlawfirm.com II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Prize on "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place on "X" in One Box Only) IV. Scorement (J.S. Government No. o Party) IV. South of the Control of C	(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF				
1 U.S. Government 38 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) Citizen of This State	Josh Sanford, SANFORD 650 South Shackleford, S	D LAW FIRM, PLLC, O Suite 411, Little Rock, A	ne Financial Cente	er,	Attorneys (If Known)				
1 U. S. Government W 3 Federal Question Plaintiff U.S. Government Not a Purpy Citizen of This Sate 1 1 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 0 4	II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI		RINCIPA	L PARTIES		
Defendant Children Citizenskip of Parties in Item III) Citizen or Sabject of a		`		Citiz	PT			PTF DEF incipal Place	
Tourne T		•		Citiz	en of Another State	2 🗖 2			
TORKST									
10 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY 20 ALS MILE AND 30 Arphane 30 Approach 30 App				1 =	ADDEDTIND MEANAY TO				
30 Mairne 310 Airplane 310 Airplane Product Liability 315 Airplane Product Liability 379 Airplane Product Liability 370 Airplane Airplane Product Liability 370 Airplane Air									
140 Negotiable Instrument 230 Assauk, Liel & Slander 230 Assauk, Lie	☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	☐ 365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 With	ndrawal	☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC	
## Enforcement of Judgment Slander and the Enforcement of Slander (1) Slander and the Enforcement of Vertera's Receivery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 30 Federal Employers 130 Federal Employers 134 Marine Product Liability 134 Marine Product 124 Federal Standards 134 Marine Product Liability 135 Motor Vetical 135 Motor Veti					90 Other	28 C	JSC 157		
3 St Receivery of Pefaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 340 Marine 1.53 killed Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 340 Marine 350 Motor Vehicle 190 Other Contract 190 Other Status									
Student Loans (Excludes Vertenas) 349 Marine 19 you from the product 1 you from the product 2 you from the produc	☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability			☐ 830 Pater	nt	☐ 450 Commerce	
1.53 Recovery of Overpayment of Vetera is Benefits 395 Motor Vehicle 396 Motor Vehicle 397 Other Fraud 396 Other Personal 396 Other Persona	-	, ,		¹					
of Veteral's Benefits 03 50 Motor Vehicle 03 70 Other Fraud 03 55 Motor Vehicle 03 50 Motor Vehicle		•		TV -	LAROD				
195 Contract Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 720 Labor/Management Relations 385 Diversity Damage 385 Diversity Damage 385 Diversity Damage 386 Diversity Damage 386 Diversity 380 Diversity 38	of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud	3 7	10 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA	(1395ff)	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV	
□ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ 197 Franchise □ 197 Franchise □ 198 Franch		I .						L	
32 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractics Product Liability Medical Malpractics Leave Act Lea	☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations	☐ 864 SSII	O Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions	
REAL PROPERTY	190 Franciise	362 Personal Injury -			51 Family and Medical	003 K31	(403(g))	☐ 893 Environmental Matters	
21 Land Condemnation 240 Other Civil Rights 440 Other Civil Rights 220 Foreclosure 241 Voting 245 Tort Product Liability 245 Tort Product Liability 245 Tort Product Liability 245 Tort Product Liability 245 Amer. w/Disabilities 250 General synchrotrophysical Conditions of Confinement 246 Amer. w/Disabilities 250 General synchrotrophysical Confinement 246 Amer. w/Disabilities 250 General synchrotrophysical Confinement 246 Amer. w/Disabilities 250 General synchrotrophysical Confinement 250 Carlotrophysical Confinement 250 Carlotrophysical Confinement 250 Carlotrophysical Car	REAL PROPERTY		PRISONER PETITIO	NS 0 7		FEDER	AL TAX SUITS		
□ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ □ 443 Housing/ □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property □ 443 Housing/ □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities □ 530 General □ 335 Death Penalty Other □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities □ 540 Mandamus & Other □ 540 Rent □ 540 Rent □ 555 Prison Condition □ 550 Rent □ 2 Removed from □ 3 Remanded from Actions V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Bax Only) X □ Original □ 2 Removed from □ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court □ 4 Reinstated or □ 5 Transferred from Another District Litigation □ Direct File VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 29 USC 201 Brief description of cause: Unpaid Overtime VII. REQUESTED IN □ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.C.V.P. UNDER RULE 23, F.R.C.V.P. DATE □ SIGNALUE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD DOCKET NUMBER DOCKET NUMBER POOCKET NUMBER		☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:				•		
245 Tort Product Liability 445 Amer. w/Disabilities Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities Corbin 448 Education 446 Amer. w/Disabilities Officer 340 Mandamus & Other Other 448 Education 555 Prison Condition 555 Prison Condition 650 Confinement 650 Confineme		☐ 442 Employment		e	Income Security Act		,	Act/Review or Appeal of	
35 Death Penalty Other Real Property						26 U	JSC 7609		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) VI. Original Proceeding State Court State Court Proceeding State Court State S		☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 535 Death Penalty			1			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) VI Original Proceeding 2 Removed from Appellate Court Another District (opecify) 6 Transferred from Another District (opecify) 7 Transfer 1 Litigation - Direct File VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 29 USC 201 Brief description of cause: Unpaid Overtime VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. JURY DEMAND: Yes No VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER DOCKET NUMBER DOCKET NUMBER									
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) X1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court 3 Repellate Court 4 Reinstated or Proceeding 9 State Court 5 Transferred from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened 7 Transferred from Another District (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer 9 USC 201 VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER DOCKET NUMBER DOCKET NUMBER FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					Actions				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from Proceeding 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer 1 Section 1 Sec		S 110 Education	☐ 560 Civil Detainee -						
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 29 USC 201 Brief description of cause: Unpaid Overtime Under Read of Complaint: Under Read of									
Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 29 USC 201 Brief description of cause: Unpaid Overtime VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DOCKET NUMBER DOCKET NUMBER DOCKET NUMBER 11/02/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	X 1 Original □ 2 Re	moved from 3			pened Anothe	r District	Litigation	ı - Litigation -	
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. JURY DEMAND: Yes No VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY DOCKET NUMBER DOCKET NUMBER 11/02/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			tute under which you a	re filing (Direct File	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. JURY DEMAND: JURY DEMAND: ON OFFICE USE ONLY CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: JURY DEMAND: DOCKET NUMBER DOCKET NUMBER TOROFFICE USE ONLY	Brief description of cause:								
DATE 11/02/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD DOCKET NUMBER DOCKET NUMBER	VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:						-		
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD 11/02/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions):								
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY									
		MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	DGE	

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>HCL America Hit with Lawsuit Over Allegedly Unpaid Overtime Wages</u>