UNITED ST	TATES DIS	TRICT	COURT
EASTERN	DISTRICT	OF NE	W YORK

-----X Case No. 17-cv-03673 MARIO LLIVE, on behalf of himself individually and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

THE CBE GROUP, INC.,	
	Defendant.
)

Plaintiff, by and through his attorneys, FAGENSON & PUGLISI, PLLC, upon knowledge as to himself and his own acts, and as to all other matters upon information and belief, brings this complaint against above-named defendant and in support thereof alleges the following:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer and on behalf of a class for defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair acts and practices.
- 2. This action is also brought pursuant to New York General
 Business Law ("NYGBL") § 349 for an injunction and damages regarding defendant's
 deceptive acts and practices.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) (FDCPA) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and supplemental jurisdiction exists over the NYGBL § 349 claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 4. This Court has venue pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) in that plaintiff resides in this District and a substantial portion of the events or omissions giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person who resides in this District.
- 6. Plaintiff is a consumer within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) as he is a natural person who is alleged by defendant to owe a financial obligation.
- 7. The financial obligation which defendant sought to collect from plaintiff is a debt within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5) in that the obligation which defendant sought to collect from plaintiff was originally incurred, if at all, for personal, family or household purposes and concerned an allegedly defaulted Verizon Wireless ("Verizon") mobile telephone debt.
- 8. Plaintiff is a reasonable consumer within the meaning of NYGBL § 349 who acted reasonably under the circumstances alleged herein.
- 9. Defendant is a debt collector as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 10. The principal purpose of defendant's business is the collection of defaulted consumer debts.

- 11. Defendant uses the mails in its business the principal purpose of which is the collection of defaulted consumer debts.
- 12. Defendant regularly collects or attempts to collect defaulted consumer debts owed or due or alleged to be owed or due to others.
- 13. Upon information and belief, defendant is a foreign business corporation incorporated in Iowa.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 14. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1-13 as if fully re-stated herein.
- 15. Defendant sent plaintiff a collection letter dated December 19, 2016.
- 16. In the letter, defendant alleged that plaintiff owed Verizon a debt.
- 17. The debt was alleged to be in default.
- 18. Defendant sent the letter to plaintiff in an attempt to collect the allegedly defaulted Verizon debt.
- 19. In the caption of the letter defendant stated that the total amount due was \$2,336.01.
- 20. Plaintiff obtained a copy of his credit report after receipt of defendant's letter.
 - 21. Verizon reported the debt on the credit report.
 - 22. Verizon reported the amount due as \$1,979 on the credit report.
- 23. The difference between the amount which defendant stated in its letter was due and the amount which Verizon stated on the credit report was due is approximately \$357.

- 24. Said difference in amount represents collection costs.
- 25. Defendant did not disclose in its letter that collection costs were included in the amount due.
- 26. Plaintiff did not know that the amount of \$2,336.01 included collection costs.
- 27. Plaintiff felt upset, confusion and surprise upon learning that defendant had failed to disclose that collection costs had been included in the amount due and that defendant had also failed to disclose the amount of the collection costs.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FDCPA §§ 1692e(2)(A),1692e(10) and 1692f

- 28. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1-27 as if fully re-stated herein.
- 29. In its collection letter defendant demanded payment of the amount of \$2,336.01.
 - 30. That amount included defendant's collection costs.
- 31. Defendant did not disclose that collection costs were included in the amount due of \$2,336.01.
- 32. Defendant did not disclose the amount of the collection costs which were included in the amount due of \$2,336.01.

- 33. Defendant's representation to plaintiff of the amount due without disclosing to plaintiff that the amount included collection costs and, further, without disclosing the amount of such collection costs, is a violation of §§ 1692e(2)(A) and 1692e(10), as a false representation of the amount of the debt and a false representation and deceptive means used by defendant in its attempt to collect the debt.
- 34. Defendant's representation to plaintiff of the amount due without disclosing to plaintiff that the amount included collection costs and, further, without disclosing the amount of such collection costs, is also a violation of § 1692f as an unfair and unconscionable means used by defendant to attempt to collect a debt.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION NYGBL § 349

- 35. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1 to 34 as if fully re-stated herein.
- 36. Each of the deceptive and misleading acts and practices above-mentioned was committed by defendant in the conduct of a business, trade or commerce or the furnishing of a service in the State of New York and constitutes a violation of NYGBL § 349.
- 37. Defendant's deceptive and misleading acts and practices were consumer-oriented, in that defendant is a collector of consumer debts incurred principally or wholly by natural persons.
- 38. Defendant contacts thousands of consumers within the State of New York each year by mail on behalf of Verizon.
- 39. Defendant's letter to plaintiff is typical of the letters defendant mails to consumers within the State of New York.

- 40. Defendant's letter is derived from a letter form.
- 41. Defendant's letter is derived from a letter template.
- 42. Defendant has a pattern of mailing collection letters to thousands of consumers within the State of New York each year which improperly include an undisclosed collection fee for defaulted Verizon accounts.
- 43. Plaintiff is a reasonable consumer within the meaning of the NYGBL.
- 44. Plaintiff felt upset, confusion and surprise upon learning that defendant had failed to disclose that collection costs had been included in the amount due and that defendant had also failed to disclose the amount of the collection costs.
- 45. Defendant violated NYGBL § 349(a) and is liable to plaintiff under NYGBL § 349(h).

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 46. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1-45 as if fully re-stated herein.
- class. The class consists of all persons who defendant's records reflect were sent debt collection letters within the State of New York within the period of time commencing one year before the filing of this complaint up to and including the date of the filing of the complaint and who were sent a collection letter (a) in substantially the same form as the letter defendant sent to plaintiff; (b) the collection letter was sent to a consumer seeking payment of a consumer debt; (c) the collection letter was not returned by the postal service as undelivered; and (d) the letter contained violations of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e(2)(A), 1692e(10) and 1692f. The class does not include defendant or persons who are officers, directors, employees or representatives of defendant.

48. The class shall be defined as follows:

All natural persons with addresses within the State of New York to whom defendant sent a collection letter concerning a consumer debt which defendant stated to be owed to Verizon Wireless, which collection letter contains an amount due which includes collection costs but which letter does not disclose that collection costs are included in the amount due, from one year before the filing of this complaint to the date of the filing of this complaint inclusive, and which letter was not returned by the postal service as undeliverable.

- 49. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, a class action is appropriate and preferable in this case because:
 - (A) Based on the fact that the collection letter that is the gravamen of this litigation is a mass-mailed form letter, the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Upon information and belief, thousands of persons have received similar debt collection letters from defendant which violate the various provisions of the FDCPA.
 - (B) There are questions of law and fact common to the class and these questions predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members. The principal question presented by this claim is whether defendant violated the FDCPA by representing an amount due which included collection costs while failing to disclose that collection costs were included in the amount due and the amount of such costs, in violation of the FDCPA, §§ 1692e(2)(A), 1692e(10) and 1692f.
 - (C) The only individual issue is the identification of the consumers who received the letters (the class members), a matter capable of ministerial determination from the records of defendant.
 - (D) The claims of plaintiff are typical of those of the class members. All are based on the same facts and legal theories.
 - (E) Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the class members' interests. Plaintiff has retained experienced counsel. Plaintiff's interests are consistent with those of the members of the class.

- 50. A class action is superior for the fair and efficient adjudication of the class members' claims. Congress specifically envisions class actions as a principal means of enforcing the FDCPA in 15 U.S.C. § 1692k. The members of the class are generally unsophisticated individuals, whose rights will not be vindicated in the absence of a class action. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the class would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications resulting in the establishment of inconsistent or varying standards for the parties and would not be in the interest of judicial economy.
- 51. If the facts are discovered to be appropriate, plaintiff will seek to certify a class action pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 52. Communications from debt collectors, such as those sent by defendant, are to be evaluated by the objective standard of the hypothetical "least sophisticated consumer".
- 53. As a result of the above violations, defendant is liable to plaintiff and the members of the class for an injunction and damages in an amount to be determined at the time of trial, plus costs and attorneys' fees.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against defendant as follows:

- (a) certifying a class action pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
- (b) awarding maximum statutory damages to the class pursuant to 15U.S.C. § 1692k in an amount to be determined at the time of trial;
- (c) awarding maximum statutory damages to plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k in an amount to be determined at the time of trial;
- (d) awarding actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k in an amount to be determined at the time of trial;
- (e) awarding reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k;
- (f) enjoining defendant from committing further deceptive acts and practices with respect to plaintiff pursuant to NYGBL § 349;
- (g) awarding statutory damages pursuant to NYGBL § 349 in an amount to be determined at the time of trial;
 - (h) in the alternative, awarding actual damages pursuant to NYGBL §349 in an amount to be determined at the time of trial;
 - (i) awarding reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements pursuant to NYGBL § 349; and
 - (j) for such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: New York, New York June 16, 2017.

/s/ Novlette R. Kidd

NOVLETTE R. KIDD, ESQ. FAGENSON & PUGLISI, PLLC Attorneys for Plaintiff 450 Seventh Avenue, Suite 704 New York, New York 10123 Telephone: (212) 268-2128 Nkidd@fagensonpuglisi.com

Print

Save As...

Case 1:17-cv-03673 Document 2-1 VER SHEE 7 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 12

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither

provided by local rules of cour purpose of initiating the civil d	t. This form, approved by the ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	he Judicial Conference of the TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THE	ne United States in September 1 HIS FORM.)	974, is required for the use of	the Clerk of Court for the
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS MARIO LLIVE, on behalf situated,	of himself individually	and all others similarl	y THE CBE GROUP	, INC.	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Queens (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, FAGENSON & PUGLISI York, New York 10123. (, PLLC, 450 Seventh A		Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		TF DEF 1	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State	2	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 370 Other Fraud	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC
Proceeding Sta	moved from 3 Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca		ices Act. deception		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: Yes □No
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 06/16/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR /s/ Novlette R. Kic			
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	OGE

Reset

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, Novlette	e R. Kidd	, counsel for Plaintiff , do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is
ineligib	le for co	, counsel for Plaintiff , do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is empulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):
		monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
	X	the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
	X	the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason Legal issues predominate; class action.
		DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
		Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:
N/A - P	laintiff	is a natural person.
		RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)
provides to because the same judg case: (A)	that "A ci he cases a ge and ma involves	s that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) vil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the agistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power mine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the
		NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)
	Is the ci County:	vil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk
		nswered "no" above: the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk No.
	b) Did t District	he events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern
	County, lk Count	question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau y?
		BAR ADMISSION
I am curi	rently ad	mitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. No
Are you	currently	y the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain) No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature:_/s/ Novlette R. Kidd

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

MARIO LLIVE, on behalf of himself individually and all others similarly situated,)))			
Plaintiff(s))			
v.	Civil Action No. 17-cv-03673			
THE CBE GROUP, INC.,	,)			
))			
D.C. J. (()	,)			
Defendant(s))			
SUMMONS IN A	A CIVIL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address) THE CBE GROUP, INC., 1309 Technology Parkway Cedar Falls, Iowa 50613				
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: FAGENSON & PUGLISI, PLLC 450 Seventh Avenue, Suite 704 New York, New York 10123				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.				
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT			
Date:				
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

Civil Action No. 17-cv-03673

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was rec	This summons for (neeived by me on (date)	ame of individual and title, if an	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	☐ I personally serve	ed the summons on the ind				
			on (date)	; or		
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)					
		,	a person of suitable age and discretion v	who resides the	ere,	
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or					
	\square I served the summons on (name of individual)					
	designated by law to	o accept service of process	on behalf of (name of organization)		_	
	on (date) ;					
	☐ I returned the sun	nmons unexecuted because			; or	
	☐ Other (specify):					
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a tota	nl of \$().00 .	
	I declare under pena	lty of perjury that this info	rmation is true.			
Date:						
			Server's signature			
		_	Printed name and title	,		
		_	Server's address			

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lawsuit: The CBE Group Falsely Represents Debts in Collection Letters</u>