1 2 3 4	JULIE A. DUNNE, Bar No. 160544 jdunne@littler.com LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 501 W. Broadway, Suite 900 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619. 232.0441 Fax No.: 619. 232.4302	
5 6 7 8 9	CARLOS JIMENEZ, Bar No. 227534 cajimenez@littler.com PENNY CHEN, Bar No. 280706 pchen@littler.com LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 633 West 5th Street, 63rd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: 213.443.4300 Fax No.: 213.443.4299 Attorneys for Defendants	
11	GMRI, ÎNC., OLIVE GARDEN HOLE LLC, DARDEN RESTAURANTS, IN	DINGS, IC.
12	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
13 14	CENTRAL DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15	ADRIENNE LIGGINS, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated and aggrieved,	Case No. DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF
16	Plaintiff,	REMOVAL OF CIVIL ACTION TO FEDERAL COURT
17	V.	[28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441, & 1446]
18	GMRI, INC., A Florida corporation	Complaint Filed on August 22, 2018
19 20	doing business as Olive Garden Italian Restaurant; OLIVE GARDEN HOLDINGS LLC, a Florida limited	
21	liability company; OLIVE GARDEN, LLC, a California limited	
22	liability Company; DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC., a Florida	
23	corporation; OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT –	
24	MANHATTAN BEACH, an entity of unknown form; and OLIVE	
25 26	GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT – HUNTINGTON BEACH, an entity of unknown form;	
27	and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, Defendants.	
	Detendants.	

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 633 West 5th Street 63rd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 213.443.4300

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C 633 West 5th Street 63rd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 213 A43 4300

TO THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, PLAINTIFF ADRIENNE LIGGINS AND HER ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendants GMRI, Inc. ("GMRI"), Olive Garden Holdings, LLC ("OGH"), and Darden Restaurants, Inc. ("Darden") (collectively "Defendants") hereby remove the above-entitled action brought by Plaintiff Adrienne Liggins ("Plaintiff") in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles, to the United States District Court for the Central District of California, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d) (Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 or "CAFA"), and 1446 on the following grounds:

I. STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 1. This Court has original jurisdiction over this action under the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). In relevant part, CAFA grants district courts original jurisdiction over civil class actions filed under federal or state law in which any member of a class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a state different from any defendant and where the amount in controversy for the putative class members in the aggregate exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs. CAFA authorizes removal of such actions in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this case under CAFA, 28 U.S.C. §1332(d), and this case may be removed pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a), in that it is a civil class action wherein: (1) the proposed class contains at least 100 members; (2) Defendants are not a state, state official or other governmental entity; (3) the total amount in controversy for all putative class members exceeds \$5,000,000; and, (4) there is diversity between at least one class member and Defendants.
- 3. CAFA's diversity requirement is satisfied when at least one plaintiff is a citizen of a state in which the defendant is not a citizen. See 28 U.S.C. §§

1332(d)(2)(A), 1453.

2.1

- 4. As set forth below, this case meets all of CAFA's requirements for removal and is timely and properly removed by the filing of this Notice.
- 5. This action was filed in the Superior Court for the State of California for the County of Los Angeles. Accordingly, venue properly lies in the United States District Court for the Central District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 84, 1391, 1441 and 1446.

II. PLEADINGS, PROCESSES & ORDERS

- 6. On August 22, 2018, an employment action was commenced in Los Angeles County Superior Court, entitled Adrienne Liggins, an individual, v. GMRI, Inc., a Florida corporation doing business as Olive Garden Italian Restaurant; Olive Garden Holdings, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; Olive Garden, LLC, a California limited liability Company; Darden Restaurants, Inc., a Florida corporation; Olive Garden Italian Restaurant Manhattan Beach, an entity of unknown form; and Olive Garden Italian Restaurant Huntington Beach, an entity of unknown form; and Does 1 through 50, inclusive, designated as Case No. BC717321 (the "State Court Action"). Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of the Complaint.
- 7. In the Complaint, Plaintiff, a purported former employee of GMRI, Inc., alleges causes of action against all Defendants for (1) Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods; (2) Failure to Provide Required Rest Periods; (3) Failure to Pay Overtime Wages; (4) Failure to Pay Minimum Wages; (5) Failure to Timely Pay Wages; (6) Failure to Pay All Wages Due to Discharged and Quitting Employees; (7) Failure to Furnish Accurate Itemized Wage Statements; (8) Failure to Maintain Required Records; (9) Failure to Indemnify Employees for Necessary Expenditures Incurred in Discharge of Duties; (10) Unfair and Unlawful Business Practices; and (11) Representative Action for Civil Penalties (under Cal. Labor Code sections 2698-2699.5 ("PAGA").

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C

- 8. Plaintiff served the Summons and Complaint on Defendant GMRI, Inc. on September 21, 2018. Along with the Summons and Complaint, Plaintiff also delivered a Civil Case Cover Sheet, Stipulations and Orders for Early Organizational Meeting, Informal Discovery Resolution, and Motions in Limine, an Alternative Dispute Resolution Information Packet, and Notice of Case Assignment. Attached as **Exhibit B** are true and correct copies of the foregoing and Proof of Service on GMRI, Inc.
- 9. Plaintiff served the Summons and Complaint on Defendant Olive Garden Holdings, LLC on September 21, 2018. Along with the Summons and Complaint, Plaintiff also delivered a Civil Case Cover Sheet, Stipulations and Orders for Early Organizational Meeting, Informal Discovery Resolution, and Motions in Limine, an Alternative Dispute Resolution Information Packet, and Notice of Case Assignment. Attached as **Exhibit C** are true and correct copies of the foregoing and Proof of Service on Olive Garden Holdings, LLC.
- 10. Plaintiff served the Summons and Complaint on Defendant Darden Restaurants, Inc. on September 25, 2018. Along with the Summons and Complaint, Plaintiff also delivered a Civil Case Cover Sheet, Stipulations and Orders for Early Organizational Meeting, Informal Discovery Resolution, and Motions in Limine, an Alternative Dispute Resolution Information Packet, and Notice of Case Assignment. Attached as **Exhibit D** are true and correct copies of the foregoing and Proof of Service on Darden Restaurants, Inc.
- 11. On October 17, 2018, Defendants Darden, GMRI, and OGH filed an Answer in Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles. Attached hereto as **Exhibit E** is a true and correct conformed copy of that Answer.
- 12. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1446(a), the attached exhibits constitute all process, pleadings and orders served upon Defendants or filed or received in this action by Defendants.
 - 13. As of the date of this Notice of Removal, and to Defendants knowledge,

no other parties have been served with the Summons and Complaint in this action.

III. <u>TIMELINESS OF REMOVAL</u>

14. An action may be removed from state court by filing a notice of removal, together with a copy of all process, pleadings, and orders served on the defendant, within 30 days of defendant receiving the initial pleading. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b); *Murphy Bros, Inc. v. Mitchetti Pipe Stringing, Inc.*, 526 U.S. 344, 354 (1999) (the 30-day removal period runs from the service of the summons and complaint). Defendants GMRI, OGH, and Darden were served with the Summons and Complaint on September 21, 2018 (GMRI and OGH) and September 25, 2018 (Darden). This Notice of Removal is filed within thirty days of September 21, 2018 and is therefore timely.

IV. <u>DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP</u>

15. CAFA's minimal diversity requirement is satisfied, inter alia, when "any member of a class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a State different from any defendant." 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d)(2)(A); 1453(b). In a class action, only the citizenship of the named parties is considered for diversity purposes and not the citizenship of the class members. *Snyder v. Harris*, 394 U.S. 332, 339-40 (1969). Minimal diversity of citizenship exists here because Plaintiff and Defendants are citizens of different states.

A. Plaintiff is a citizen of California.

16. For diversity purposes, a person is a "citizen" of the state in which he or she is domiciled. *See Kantor v. Wellesley Galleries, Ltd.*, 704 F.2d 1088 (9th Cir. 1983); *see also LeBlanc v. Cleveland*, 248 F.3d 95, 100 (2d Cir. 2001) (citizenship determined at the time the lawsuit is filed); *see also Lundquist v. Precision Valley Aviation, Inc.*, 946 F.2d 8, 10 (1st Cir. 1991). A person's domicile is the place he or she resides with the intention to remain, or to which he or she intends to return. *See Kanter v. Warner–Lambert Co.*, 265 F.3d 853, 857 (9th Cir. 2001). Plaintiff was at the time of the filing of this action a resident of the State of California. (Compl., ¶ 2.) Accordingly, Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California.

B. Defendants are Citizens of Florida.

- 17. For diversity purposes, a corporation is a citizen of its state of incorporation and the state where it has its principal place of business. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1). A corporation's principal place of business refers to its nerve center or, in other words, the location where the corporation's high level officers direct, control and coordinate the corporation's activities. *See Hertz Corp. v. Friend*, 559 U.S. 77, 80-81, 92-95 (2010). Except in unusual circumstances, a corporation's corporate headquarters is in its 'nerve center.' *Id.*
- 18. GMRI is, and was at the time this action was commenced, a corporation organized and formed under the laws of the State of Florida. *See* Declaration of Colleen Lyons (hereafter "Lyons Decl."), ¶ 2. GMRI's maintains its corporate headquarters in Orlando, Florida and its executive director makes the corporation's operational, executive, and administrative policy decisions primarily from its corporate headquarters in Orlando, Florida. (Lyons Decl., ¶¶ 8-12); *see also Breitman v. May Co. California*, 37 F.3d 562, 564 (9th Cir. 1994) (corporation is citizen of state in which its corporate headquarters are located and where its executive and administrative functions are performed.) Orlando, Florida is therefore GMRI's principal place of business. Accordingly, GMRI is not a citizen of the State in which this action is pending and is a citizen of a different State than that of Plaintiff.
- 19. Darden is, and was at the time this action was commenced, a corporation organized and formed under the laws of the State of Florida. (Lyons Decl. ¶ 3.) Darden has no employees, its corporate headquarters are located in Orlando, Florida and its executive officers direct, control, and coordinate the corporation's activities and executive functions primarily from its corporate headquarters in Orlando, Florida. (Lyons Decl., ¶¶ 13-19); see also Breitman, 37 F.3d at 564. Orlando, Florida is therefore Darden's principal place of business. Accordingly, Darden is not a citizen of the State in which this action is pending and is a citizen of a different State than that of Plaintiff.

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 633 West 5th Street 63rd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071

- 20. The citizenship of a Limited Liability Company is determined by the citizenship of each member of the company. *Johnson v. Columbia Properties Anchorage*, *LP*, 437 F.3d 894, 899 (9th Cir. 2006).
- 21. OGH is, and was at the time this action was commenced, a limited liability company with its sole member residing in Florida. (Lyons Decl. ¶ 4.) Accordingly, OGH is not a citizen of the State in which this action is pending and is a citizen of a different State than that of Plaintiff.
- 22. Plaintiff is a citizen of California, Defendants Darden, GMRI and OGH are citizens of Florida, therefore the minimal diversity requirement of 28 U.S.C. section 1332(d)(2)(A) is satisfied.
- 23. Defendants Does 1 through 50 are fictitious. The Complaint does not set forth the identity of or any allegations against these Defendants as individuals. Their citizenship should be disregarded for the purposes of determining diversity jurisdiction. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a); see also Fristoe v. Reynolds Metals Co., 615 F.2d 1209, 1213 (9th Cir. 1980).
- 24. Because the remaining non-moving defendants have not yet been served, they need not join or consent to Defendants' Notice of Removal. *Destfino v. Reiswig*, 630 F.3d 952, 955 (9th Cir. 2011) (codefendants not properly served need not join in removal); *Cmty. Bldg. Co. v. Md. Cas. Co.* 8 F.2d 678, 678-79 (9th Cir. 1925) (named defendants not yet served in state court action need not join in the notice of removal).

V. PROPOSED CLASS CONTAINS AT LEAST 100 MEMBERS

- 25. Plaintiff was employed by GMRI from around January 2016 to around May 2016 in Manhattan Beach, California, then from around April 2017 to mid-2017 in Huntington Beach, California. (Compl., \P 4.) Plaintiff seeks to represent current and former hourly, non-exempt employees of Defendants in the State of California during the period of August 22, 2014 until the time it settles or proceeds to final judgment. (Compl., \P 6.)
 - 26. GMRI employed at least 30,390 current and former non-exempt

5

7 8

9

1112

13 14

15

1617

18 19

2021

22

2324

2526

2728

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 633 West 5th Street 637d Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 213.443.4300 employees in the State of California between August 22, 2014 and October 11, 2018. (Declaration of Randolph Babitt (hereafter "Babitt Decl."), ¶ 4.)

27. GMRI employed at least 26,156 current and former non-exempt employees in the State of California between August 22, 2014 and October 11, 2018. (Babitt Decl., \P 6.)

VI. <u>DEFENDANTS ARE NOT GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES</u>

28. Defendants are not states, state officials, or other governmental entities. Defendants are corporations organized and formed under the laws of the State of Florida. (Lyons Decl., ¶¶ 2-4.)

VII. AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY EXCEEDS \$5,000,000.00¹

- 29. The CAFA requires the "matter in controversy" to exceed "the sum or value of \$5,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs." 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2). "The claims of the individual class members shall be aggregated to determine whether the matter in controversy exceeds" this amount. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(6). Here, Plaintiff does not allege the amount in controversy in the Complaint, but the face of the Complaint clearly demonstrates that the amount in controversy in this case exceeds \$5,000,000.²
- 30. A defendant seeking to remove a case to federal court need only file "a notice of removal 'containing a short and plain statement of the grounds for removal'" as stated under 28 U.S.C. section 1446(a). *Dart Cherokee Basin Operating Co., LLC v. Owens*, 135 S. Ct. at 553. According to the United State Supreme Court, "[b]y

¹ The alleged damages calculations contained herein are for purposes of removal only. Defendants deny that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief whatsoever and expressly reserves the right to challenge Plaintiff's alleged damages in this case.

² Plaintiff alleges that damages arising from its Sixth Cause of Action for Failure to Pay All Wages Due to Discharged and Quitting Employees are "not in excess of the jurisdiction of this Court." (Compl., ¶ 48.) Because there is no maximum amount which may be subject to jurisdiction of the Los Angeles Superior Court, there is nothing to indicate that total amount in controversy would not be equal to or in excess of the \$5,000,000.00 minimum under CAFA jurisdiction.

design, §1446(a) tracks the general pleading requirement stated in Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure" and thus, on removal, federal courts are to accept the defendant's "amount-in-controversy allegation when not contested by the plaintiff or questioned by the court." *Id.* Accordingly, "a defendant's notice of removal need include only a plausible allegation that the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional threshold." *Id.* at 554.

- 31. Defendants expressly deny any liability for the damages alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint. However, for purposes of determining whether the minimum amount in controversy has been satisfied, the Court must presume that Plaintiff will prevail on his or her claims. *Kenneth Rothschild Trust v. Morgan Stanley Dean Witter*, 199 F. Supp. 2d 993, 1001 (C.D. Cal. 2002) (citing *Burns v. Windsor Ins. Co.*, 31 F.3d 1092, 1096 (11th Cir. 1994) (stating that the amount in controversy analysis presumes that "plaintiff prevails on liability"). The ultimate inquiry is what amount is put "in controversy" by plaintiff's complaint, not what defendant might actually owe. *Rippee v. Boston Market Corp.*, 408 F. Supp. 2d 982, 986 (S.D. Cal. 2005).
- 32. By way of her Complaint, Plaintiff seeks to "recover, among other things: wages and penalties from unpaid wages earned and due, including, but not limited to, unpaid minimum wages, unpaid and illegally calculated overtime compensation, illegal meal and rest period policies, failure to pay all wages dues to discharged and quitting employees, failure to indemnify employees for necessary expenditures and/or losses incurred in discharging their duties, failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements, failure to maintain required records, and interest . . ." (Compl., ¶5.) Plaintiff also seeks to recover on behalf of herself and the putative class members additional damages arising from this alleged conduct, including: compensatory damages; restitution and disgorged profits; premiums; liquidated damages; statutory and civil penalties; interest at 10% per annum; costs; and attorneys' fees. (Compl., Prayer for Relief.)
 - 33. Additionally, Plaintiff alleges a cause of action for violation of the Unfair

Competition Law ("UCL"), Business and Professions Code § 17200, *et seq.* (Compl., ¶¶ 60-65.) Alleging a UCL violation extends the statute of limitations of several of Plaintiff's and the putative class' wage and hour claims from three to four years from the filing of the Complaint, which in this case, extends the statute of limitations to August 22, 2014. *See* Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17208; *Cortez v. Purolater Air Filtration Products Co.*, 23 Cal. 4th 163, 178-79 (2000) (four-year statute of limitations for restitution of wages under the UCL).

- 34. Finally, Plaintiff alleges that "[t]he potential class is a significant number," and that Defendants engaged in a "systematic course of illegal payroll practices and policies" which "applied to all non-exempt employees." (Compl., ¶18a-b.)
- 35. Between August 22, 2014 and October 11, 2018, GMRI employed at least 30,390 current and former hourly, non-exempt employees California. (Babitt Decl., ¶ 4.) Based on the available employment records, the time period Plaintiff has placed at issue, and the number of employees at issue, Plaintiff has placed 1,942,649 workweeks in controversy, based on the hire and termination dates of the putative class members. (Babitt Decl., ¶ 5.)
- 36. Plaintiff was employed from January 2016 until August 2016, then again from April 2017 through September 2017. (Babitt Decl., ¶ 10.)

A. Failure to Provide Off-Duty Meal and Rest Periods

- 37. In support of and by way of her first and second causes of action, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants "failed to otherwise provide the required meal periods to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS," and "failed to provide rest periods to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS." (Compl., ¶¶20, 26.)
- 38. Pursuant to the California Court of Appeal's decision in *United Parcel Service Wage & Hour Cases*, 196 Cal. App. 4th 57, 69 (2011), should Plaintiff sustain her burden of proof, Plaintiff and the putative class members could be entitled to one hour of premium pay for a missed meal period and one hour of premium pay for a

missed rest break in a single day.

39. Although Plaintiff does not allege the number of shifts worked per workweek, the number of shifts in which meal or rest periods were required, the number of missed meal or rest periods, or any other specific allegations, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants maintained a "systematic course" of failing to pay employees for all hours worked, including overtime. (Compl. ¶¶ 18b.) Plaintiff also alleges that "[t]he putative class is a significant number," and that "DEFENDANTS subjected all non-exempt employees to identical violations." (Compl., ¶¶18a-c.)

1. Plaintiff's Meal Period Claim

- 40. Plaintiff alleges that "[d]uring the CLASS PERIOD, as part of DEFENDANTS' illegal payroll policies and practices to deprive their non-exempt employees all wages earned and due, DEFENDANTS required, permitted or otherwise suffered PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to take less than a 30-minute meal period, or to work through them, and have failed to otherwise provide the required meal periods to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS..." (Compl., ¶20.)
- 41. As detailed above, between August 22, 2014 and October 11, 2018, GMRI employed at least 30,390 current and former hourly, non-exempt employees California. (Babitt Decl., ¶ 4-5.) Based on the available employment records, the time period Plaintiff has placed at issue, and the number of employees at issue, Plaintiff has placed 1,942,649 workweeks in controversy, based on the hire and termination dates of the putative class members. (Babitt Decl., ¶ 4-5.)
- 42. However, contrary to Plaintiff's allegations, Defendants maintain that Plaintiff's meal period claim is subject to a three year statute of limitations. Between August 22, 2015 and October 11, 2018, GMRI employed at least 26,156 current and former hourly, non-exempt employees California. (Babitt Decl., ¶ 6-7.) Based on the available employment records, the time period Plaintiff has placed at issue, and the number of employees at issue, Plaintiff has conservatively placed a minimum of 1,513,744 workweeks in controversy, based on the hire and termination dates of the

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

10

9

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

> 27 28

putative class members during this time period. (Babitt Decl., ¶ 6-7.)

Applying the shorter three year time period, the conservative amount in 43. controversy for GMRI's alleged failure to provide off-duty meal periods would be approximately \$15,137,440.00. Although Plaintiff maintains that Defendants' violations were of "systematic course," (Compl., ¶18b) this number assumes that that Plaintiff and each class member missed only one meal period per week during the shorter limitations period. If the longer limitations period were applied, and we one meal period is assumed, the amount in controversy would be \$19,426,490.00. These figures are calculated as follows:

Years at Issue	Meal Period Violations Per Week	Weeks	Hourly Rate ³	Amount in Controversy
3	1	1,513,744	\$10.00	\$15,137,440.00
4	1	1,942,649	\$10.00	\$19,426,490.00

2. Plaintiff's Rest Period Claim

- Plaintiff alleges that Defendants "failed to provide rest periods to 44. PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS," and "fail[ed] to pay PLAINITFF and CLASS MEMBERSs who were not provided with a rest period . . . one additional hour of compensation at each employee's regular rate of pay for each workday that a rest period was not provided." (Compl., ¶25-26.)
- Accepting the allegations that the putative class members missed a rest 45. break in the same way that they missed meal breaks as calculated in ¶43 above, the amount in controversy for putative class members on this cause of action would equal \$15,137,440.00, assuming one violations per week during the shorter limitations period, and \$19,426,490.00 assuming one violation per week during the longer limitations period. These figures are calculated as follows:

³ For purposes of this removal, Defendants calculations are based on California's 2016 state minimum wage rate of \$10.00.

Years at Issue	Rest Break Violations Per Week	Weeks	Hourly Rate ⁴	Amount in Controversy
3	1	1,513,744	\$10.00	\$15,137,440.00
4	1	1,942,649	\$10.00	\$19,426,490.00

B. Waiting Time Penalties

- 46. In her sixth cause of action, Plaintiff alleges that "[d]uring the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS have willfully failed to pay accrued wages and other compensation to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS in accordance with California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202." (Compl., ¶46.) Plaintiff also alleges that [a]s a result, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to all available statuary penalties, including the waiting time penalties provided in California Labor Code § 203, together with interest thereon. . ." (Compl., ¶47.)
- 47. Section 203 provides for one-day's wages for each day an employee who has separated from his or her employment is not paid all wages owed, up to a total of 30 days' of wages ("waiting time penalty"). Cal. Lab Code. § 203. California Labor Code is subject to a three-year statute of limitations. *See Pineda v. Bank of America*, 50 Cal. 4th 1389 (2010). Thus, the applicable look-back period for purposes of calculating waiting time penalties dates back to August 22, 2015.
- 48. Based on GMRI's payroll data, an estimated 16,247 putative class members have separated their employment with GMRI since August 22, 2015. (Babitt Decl., \P 8.) Thus, the amount in controversy with respect to Plaintiff's sixth cause of action for waiting time penalties is **\$29,244,600.00**, which is calculated as follows: 16,247 separated putative class members x \$10.00 an hour x 6 hours x 30 days.

⁴ For purposes of this removal, Defendants calculations are based on California's 2016 state minimum wage rate of \$10.00.

C. Wage Statements

49. In her seventh⁵ cause of action, Plaintiff also alleges that "[d]uring the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS routinely failed to provide PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS with timely, accurate, and itemized wage statements in writing showing each employee's gross wages, total hours worked, all deductions made, net wages earned, the name of and address of the legal entity or entities employing PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS, and all applicable hourly rates in effect during each pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate, in violation of California Labor Code §226 and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001 §7." (Compl., ¶¶ 53.) The statutory penalty for such a violation is \$50 for the first pay period, and \$100 for each subsequent pay period, up to a total maximum of penalty of \$4,000. Cal. Lab. Code §226(e). California Labor Code §226(e) has a one-year statute of limitations. *Blackwell v. SkyWest Airlines, Inc.*, 245 F.R.D. 453, 462 (S.D. Cal. 2007).

50. GMRI pays its non-exempt employees on a weekly basis. (Babitt Decl., ¶ 3.) Therefore, there are 52 pay periods per year. While Defendants deny the validity and merit of Plaintiff's claims, for purposes of removal only, Defendants determine the amount in controversy by applying the maximum penalty recoverable to employees that worked more than 41 workweeks. During the one year statute of limitations period from August 22, 2017 to October 11, 2018, GMRI employed approximately 16,285 putative class members. (Babitt Decl., ¶ 9.) Of those 16,285 putative class members were employed for 41 or more workweeks based on hire and termination dates. The remaining 8,664 putative class members were employed for a total of 146,256 workweeks based on hire and

⁵ Although Plaintiff's seventh cause of action is entitled "Failure to Furnish Accurate Itemized Wage Statements," and her eighth cause of action is entitled "Failure to Maintain Required Records," the allegations asserted under each heading appears to correspond with the other claim.

termination dates. (Babitt Decl., ¶ 9.)

51. Based on amount of penalties that Plaintiff would be entitled to recover under section 226 of the California Labor Code, the fact that employees are paid on a weekly basis, and that the one-year statute of limitations would permit Plaintiff to recover penalties for the time period commencing August 22, 2017, the amount in controversy for this claim is \$44,676,400.00. The amount is calculated as follows: For the 7,621 employees who worked 41 or more workweeks during the statutory period, their penalties are capped at the statutory dollar amount of \$4,000 per employee, which equals \$30,484,000.00. The estimated penalties for the remaining 8,664 employees who worked less than 41 workweeks equals \$14,192,400.00 (8,664 x \$50 for the first penalty) + (146,256-8,664 for subsequent violations x \$100). Added together, the potential amount in controversy for Plaintiff's claim for wage statement violations is \$44,676,400.00.

52. The aggregate amount in controversy exceeds the \$5,000,000 jurisdictional minimum:

Plaintiff's Claims	Amount in Controversy
Meal Break Premiums	\$15,137,440.00 to \$19,426,490.00
Rest Break Premiums	\$15,137,440.00 to \$19,426,490.00
Waiting Time Penalties	\$29,244,600.00
Inaccurate Wage Statement	\$44,676,400.00
TOTAL	\$104,195,880.00 to
(exclusive of any potential damages for	\$112,773,980.00
Plaintiff's claims for: (1) Unpaid	
Overtime; (2) Unpaid Minimum Wages;	
(3) Failure to Timely Pay Wages; (4)	
Failure to Maintain Accurate Records;	
(5) Failure to Reimburse Business	

14

16

17

19

20 21

22 23

24

26

25

27 28

Expenses; (6) and attorneys' fees)

- 53. Indeed, were damages exposure extended through trial, the totals would be even higher. Mejia v. DHL Express (USA), Inc., 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 67212, *6, 2015 WL 2452755 (C.D. Cal. May 21, 2015) (where the Complaint does not cut off class allegations as of the date the complaint was filed, including post-filing time in removal computations is consistent with the allegations in the complaint and permissible).
- 54. Moreover, Plaintiff seeks attorneys' fees and costs in his Complaint (Compl., Prayer for Relief.) It is well-settled that claims for statutory attorneys' fees are to be included in the amount in controversy. See, e.g., Kroske v. U.S. Bank Corp., 432 F.3d 976, 980 (9th Cir. 2005), cert. denied, 127 S. Ct. 157 (2006); Galt G/S v. JSS Scandinavia, 142 F.3d 1150, 1155-1156 (9th Cir. 1998) (attorneys' fees may be taken into account to determine jurisdictional amounts). The attorneys' fees benchmark in the Ninth Circuit is 25%. Paul, Johnson, Alston & Hunt v. Graulty, 886 F.2d 268, 272 (9th Cir. 1989) ("We note with approval that one court has concluded that the "bench" mark" percentage for the fee award should be 25 percent.") (citation omitted.); Lo v. Oxnard Euro. Motors, LLC, 2012 US. Dist. LEXIS 73983 at *9 ("The Ninth Circuit has accepted as a benchmark for an attorneys' fees awards a twenty-five percent of the common fund recovery.")
- 55. Removal of this action is therefore proper as the aggregate value of Plaintiff's class causes of action for unpaid overtime and minimum wage, unpaid meal and rest periods premiums, final wages not timely paid, non-compliant wage statements, and attorneys' fees is well in excess of the CAFA jurisdictional requirement of \$5 million. See 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2).

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 633 West 5th Street 63rd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 213.443.4300

VIII. NOTICE TO PLAINTIFF AND STATE COURT

56. Contemporaneously with the filing of this Notice of Removal in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, written notice of such filing will be served by the undersigned on Plaintiff's Counsel of Record and a copy of the Notice of Removal will be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.

Dated:October 18, 2018

/s/ Carlos Jimenez
CARLOS JIMENEZ
PENNY CHEN
LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
GMRI, INC., OLIVE GARDEN
HOLDINGS, LLC, DARDEN

RESTAURANTS, INC.

FIRMWIDE:158321037.4 069299.1178

Registered Agent • Director • Incorporation

Corporate Creations Network Inc.

11380 Prosperity Farms Road #221E, Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410

September 24, 2018

Darden Restaurants, Inc. Terry Carter Darden Restaurants, Inc. 1000 Darden Center Drive ORLANDO FL 32837

SFRVICE OF PROCESS NOTICE

The following is a courtesy summary of the enclosed document(s). ALL information should be verified by you.

Note: Any questions regarding the substance of the matter described below, including the status or to whom or where to respond, should be directed to the person set forth in line 12 below or to the court or government

Item: 2018-3014 agency where the matter is being heard. Client Entity: Darden Restaurants, Inc. Title of Action: Adrienne Liggins vs. GMRI, Inc.; Olive Garden Holdings, LLC; et al. 2. 3. Document(s) Served: Summons Complex - Class Action Civil Case Cover Sheet Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location Court/Agency: Los Angeles County Superior Court, California 4. 5. State Served: Florida Case Number: BC717321 6. Case Type: Labor Code Violations 7. 8. Method of Service: Certified Mail Date Received: Monday 9/24/2018 9. Date to Client: Monday 9/24/2018 10. CAUTION: Client is solely responsible for verifying the accuracy of the estimated Answer Due Date. To avoid missing a crucial deadline, we recommend immediately confirming in writing 11. # Days When Answer Due: 30 with opposing counsel that the date of service in their records matches the Date Received. **Answer Due Date: 10/24/2018** SOP Sender: Matern Law Group, PC 12. (Name, Address and Phone Number) Manhattan Beach, CA (310) 531-1900 Shipped to Client By: Priority Mail and Email with PDF Link 13. 14. Tracking Number: Not Applicable 15. Handled By: 101 Notes: Also Attached: *Voluntary Efficient Litigation Stipulations, *Stipulation - Early Organizational Meeting, 16. *Stipulation - Discovery Resolution, etc.

NOTE: This notice and the information above is provided for general informational purposes only and should not be considered a legal opinion. The client and their legal counsel are solely responsible for reviewing the service of process and verifying the accuracy of all information. At Corporate Creations, we take pride in developing systems that effectively manage risk so our clients feel comfortable with the reliability of our service. We always deliver service of process so our clients avoid the risk of a default judgment. As registered agent, our role is to receive and forward service of process. To decrease risk for our clients, it is not our role to determine the merits of whether service of process is valid and effective. It is the role of legal counsel to assess whether service of process is invalid or defective. Registered agent services are provided by Corporate Creations Network Inc.

COPY

(Número del Caso)

BC717321

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL):

BY FAX

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

GMRI, INC., a Florida corporation doing business as Olive Garden Italian Restaurant; OLIVE GARDEN Holdings, LLL, a Florida limited

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

ADRIENNE LIGGINS, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated and aggrieved

SUM-100

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

ORIGINAL FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Appelos

AUG 22 2018

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk By: Rita Nazaryan, Deputy

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. [AVISOI Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 diás, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniendose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is:

(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Los Angeles Superior Court

111 North Hill Street-Central District Los Angeles, California 90012-3014

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Matthew J. Matern, Esq., 1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200, Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 (310) 531-1900

DATE: (Fecha) AUG 2 1 2018 SHERRI R. CARTER (Secretario) RITA NAZARYAN (Adjunto)

(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010))

(Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010))

(Para prueba de entrega de es	sta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010))	
[SEAL]	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served 1 as an individual defendant. 2 as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):	
	3. Son behalf of (specify): Darden Restaurants, Inc., a Florida	corporation
	under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.60 (minor)	
	CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.70 (conserva	itee)
	CCP 416 40 (association or partnership) CCP 416 90 (authorize	d person)
	other (specify):	
	4 by personal delivery on (date)	
		Page 1 of 1

Case 2:18-cv-09000 Document 1-1 Filed 10/18/18 Page 3 of 46 Page ID #:20

	SUM-200(A)
SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
_ Adrienne Liggins v. GMRI, INC. et al	
INSTRUCTIONS	
 → This form may be used as an attachment to any summons if space → If this attachment is used, insert the following statement in the plaint Attachment form is attached." 	does not permit the listing of all parties on the summons. tiff or defendant box on the summons: "Additional Parties
List additional parties (Check only one box. Use a separate page for	each type of party.):
Plaintiff Defendant Cross-Complainant	Cross-Defendant
liability company; OLIVE GARDEN, LLC, a California li RESTAURANTS, INC., a Florida corporation; OLIVE GA MANHATTAN BEACH, an entity of unknown form; and HUNTINGTON BEACH, an entity of unknown form; and	ARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT – OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT –
	Page 1 of 1

1

Page 1 of 1

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

inclusive,

Matthew J. Matern (SBN 159798) Joshua D. Boxer (SBN 226712) Roy K. Suh (SBN 283988) MATERN LAW GROUP, PC 1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200 Manhattan Beach, California 90266 Telephone: (310) 531-1900 Facsimile: (310) 531-1901

CUM COMMED CUPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California

AUG 2 2 2018

Sherri H. Carter, Executive Ufficer/Clerk By: Rita Nazaryan, Deouty

Attorneys for Plaintiff ADRIENNE LIGGINS individually and on behalf of others similarly situated and aggrieved

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

ADRIENNE LIGGINS individually and on behalf) CASE NO.: of others similarly situated and aggrieved Plaintiff.

GMRI, INC., a Florida corporation doing business

las Olive Garden Italian Restaurant; OLIVE GARDEN HOLDINGS, LLC, a Florida limited

lliability company; OLIVE GARDEN, LLC, a

California limited liability Company; DARDEN

OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT –

MANHATTAN BEACH, an entity of unknown

RESTAURANT – HUNTINGTON BEACH, an

entity of unknown form; and DOES 1 through 50,

RESTAURANTS, INC., a Florida corporation;

COMPLEX - CLASS ACTION

- 1. Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods
- 2. Failure to Provide Required Rest Periods
-) 3. Failure to Pay Overtime Wages
-) 4. Failure to Pay Minimum Wages
 - Failure to Timely Pay Wages
 - 6. Failure to Pay All Wages Due to Discharged and Quitting Employees
- 7. Failure to Furnish Accurate Itemized Wage Statements
- 8. Failure to Maintain Required Records
- 9. Failure to Indemnify Employees for Necessary Expenditures Incurred in Discharge of Duties
- 10. Unfair and Unlawful Business Practices

Defendants

form; and OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN

REPRESENTATIVE ACTION

11. Penalties under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

CLASS ACTION AND PAGA REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

2

3

11

12

14

15

19

20

21

23

26

27

NATURE OF ACTION

PLAINTIFF ADRIENNE LIGGINS ("PLAINTIFF"), bring this class action and 1. representative action case on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated and aggrieved current and former non-exempt employees, who worked in the State of California for defendants GMRI, INC., a Florida corporation doing business as The Olive Garden; OLIVE GARDEN HOLDINGS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; OLIVE GARDEN, LLC, a California limited liability company; DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC., a Florida corporation; OLIVE GARDEN 8 RESTAURANT - MANHATTAN BEACH, an entity of unknown form; and OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT - HUNTINGTON BEACH, an entity of unknown form, and DOES 1 through 50 inclusive (collectively, "DEFENDANTS"), against DEFENDANTS to remedy DEFENDANTS' illegal wage payment policies and practices during the relevant statutory periods, for which PLAINTIFF seeks damages, restitution, penalties, injunctive relief, interest, attorneys' fees and costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies deemed just and proper under California law.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. The Superior Court of the State of California has jurisdiction in this matter because, at all 16 relevant times, PLAINTIFF is a resident of the State of California and PLAINTIFF is informed and 17 | believes that some of the DEFENDANTS are qualified to do business in California and that all DEFENDANTS regularly conduct business in California. Furthermore, no federal question is at issue because PLAINTIFF'S claims are based solely on California law.
- Venue is proper in this judicial district and the County of Los Angeles, California 3. because PLAINTIFF and persons similarly situated and aggrieved performed work for DEFENDANTS 22 lin the County of Los Angeles, DEFENDANTS maintain offices and facilities and transact business in the County of Los Angeles, and because DEFENDANTS' illegal payroll policies and practices which are the subject of this action were applied to PLAINTIFF and other persons similarly situated and 25 laggrieved, in the County of Los Angeles.

PLAINTIFF AND CLASS MEMBERS

PLAINTIFF, at all time relevant to this action, was a resident of the State of California 28 and an employee of DEFENDANTS. DEFENDANTS employed PLAINTIFF from around January 2016

Huntington Beach, California.

2 3

4 5

7 8 9

12 13 14

11

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

24

25

23

26 27

28

PLAINTIFF, on behalf of herself and other similarly situated and aggrieved current and 5. former non-exempt employees of DEFENDANTS in the State of California at any time during the four (4) years preceding the filing of this action, and continuing while this action is pending, bring this class and representative action to recover, among other things: wages and penalties from unpaid wages earned and due, including, but not limited to, unpaid minimum wages, unpaid and illegally calculated overtime compensation, illegal meal and rest period policies, failure to pay all wages due to discharged and quitting employees, failure to indemnify employees for necessary expenditures and/or losses incurred in

discharging their duties, failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements, failure to maintain

to around May 2016 in Manhattan Beach, California and then from around April 2017 to mid-2017 in

- 6. PLAINTIFF brings this action on behalf of themselves and the following similarly situated class of individuals ("CLASS MEMBERS"): all current and former non-exempt employees of DEFENDANTS in the State of California at any time within the period beginning four (4) years prior to the filing of this action and until the time it settles or proceeds to final judgment (the "CLASS PERIOD").
 - 7. PLAINTIFF reserves the right to name additional class representatives.

required records, and interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses.

DEFENDANTS

- 8. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that defendant GMRI, INC. ("DEFENDANT GMRI, INC.") is, and at all times relevant to this action was, a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Florida. PLAINTIFF is further informed, believes and thereon alleges that, at all relevant times, the State of California authorized DEFENDANT GMRI, INC. to conduct and that DEFENDANT GMRI, INC. did conduct business in California under California entity number: C0753219, doing business as The Olive Garden.
- PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that defendant OLIVE 9. GARDEN HOLDINGS, LLC ("DEFENDANT OLIVE GARDEN HOLDINGS, LLC") is, and at all times relevant to this action was, a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Florida. PLAINTIFF is further informed, believes and thereon alleges that, at all relevant

California under California entity number: 201605010264.

1

12

13

201719110379.

16

17

22

20

10. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that defendant OLIVE
GARDEN, LLC ("DEFENDANT OLIVE GARDEN, LLC") is, and at all times relevant to this action
was, a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of California.
PLAINTIFF is further informed, believes and thereon alleges that, at all relevant times, the State of
California authorized DEFENDANT OLIVE GARDEN, LLC to conduct and that DEFENDANT
OLIVE GARDEN, LLC did conduct business in California under California entity numbers

times, the State of California authorized DEFENDANT OLIVE GARDEN HOLDINGS, LLC to

conduct and that DEFENDANT OLIVE GARDEN HOLDINGS, LLC did conduct business in

- PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that defendant DARDEN 11. RESTAURANTS, INC. ("DEFENDANT DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.") is, and at all times relevant to this action was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Florida with a Florida Divisions of Corporations File Number: P95000025580. PLAINTIFF is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the State of California may not have authorized DEFENDANT DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC., and at all times relevant to this action, to conduct business in the State of California.
- PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that defendant OLIVE 12. GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT – MANHATTAN BEACH ("DEFENDANT OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT -- MANHATTAN BEACH") is, and at all times relevant to this action was, a an entity of unknown form and unknown jurisdiction. PLAINTIFF is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the State of California may not have authorized DEFENDANT OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT – MANHATTAN BEACH and at all times relevant to this action, to conduct business in the State of California including at its 2610 North Sepulveda Boulevard, Manhattan Beach, California 90266 location.
- PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that defendant OLIVE 13. GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT – HUNTINGTON BEACH ("DEFENDANT OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT - HUNTINGTON BEACH") is, and at all times relevant to this action was,

and thereon alleges, that the State of California may not have authorized DEFENDANT OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT – HUNTINGTON BEACH and at all times relevant to this action, to conduct business in the State of California including at its 16811 Beach Boulevard, Huntington Beach, California 92647 location.

14. The true names and capacities of DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, are unknown to PLAINTIFF at this time, and PLAINTIFF therefore sues such DOE defendants under fictitious names.

a an entity of unknown form and unknown jurisdiction. PLAINTIFF is further informed and believes,

- PLAINTIFF at this time, and PLAINTIFF therefore sues such DOE defendants under fictitious names. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each Defendant designated as a DOE is in some manner highly responsible for the occurrences alleged herein, and that PLAINTIFF'S and CLASS MEMBERS' injuries and damages, as alleged herein, were proximately caused by the conduct of such DOE defendants. PLAINTIFF will seek leave of court to amend this Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of such DOE defendants when ascertained.
- 15. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANTS, at all times relevant to this action, committed acts and omissions in concert with each other. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times relevant hereto, DEFENDANTS were the joint employers, alter egos, divisions, affiliates, integrated enterprises, subsidiaries, parents, principals, sisters, related entities, co-conspirators, agents, partners, joint venturers, servants, joint enterprisers, and/or guarantors, actual or ostensible, of each other. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the DEFENDANTS was completely dominated by his, her or its co-defendant and had authority, actual or ostensible, to perform the actions alleged herein, unless alleged otherwise.
- 16. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that to the extent that certain actions and omissions were perpetrated by certain DEFENDANTS, the remaining DEFENDANTS condoned, authorized, and ratified such acts and omissions. Accordingly, whenever PLAINTIFF alleges that any of the DEFENDANTS or DEFENDANTS' employees or agents, committed an act or omission, PLAINTIFF attributes such allegations to each of the DEFENDANTS individually, jointly, and severally. PLAINTIFF further attributes such allegations to each DEFENDANTS' employees and agents because they acted on behalf of DEFENDANTS within the course and scope of their employment and agency.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2 3 4

17.

unascertained, but subject to proof at trial, and within the jurisdiction of this Court.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

CLASS MEMBERS have suffered, and continue to suffer, from loss of earnings in amounts as yet

As a direct and proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions, PLAINTIFF and

- This action is appropriately suited for a Class Action because: 18.
- The potential class is a significant number. Joinder of all current and former employees individually would be impractical.
- This action involves common questions of law and fact with respect to the b. potential class because the action focuses on DEFENDANTS' systematic course of illegal payroll practices and policies, which were applied to all non-exempt employees in violation of the California Labor Code, the applicable IWC Wage Order, and the California Business and Professions Code which prohibits unfair business practices arising from such violations.
- PLAINTIFF'S claims are typical of CLASS MEMBERS' claims because c. DEFENDANTS subjected all non-exempt employees to identical violations of the California Labor Code, the applicable IWC Wage Order, and the California Business and Professions Code.
- d. PLAINTIFF is able to fairly and adequately protect the interests of all CLASS MEMBERS because it is in PLAINTIFF'S best interest to prosecute the claims alleged herein to obtain full compensation due to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all services rendered and hours worked.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods

[Cal. Labor Code §§ 226.7, 510, 512, 1194, 1197; IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 11] (Against all DEFENDANTS)

- PLAINTIFF incorporates all facts alleged in paragraphs 1-18 as if fully set forth herein. 19.
- During the CLASS PERIOD, as part of DEFENDANTS' illegal payroll policies and 20. practices to deprive their non-exempt employees all wages earned and due, DEFENDANTS required, permitted or otherwise suffered PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to take less than a 30-minute meal period, or to work through them, and have failed to otherwise provide the required meal periods to

Order No. 5-2001, § 11.

21. DEFENDANTS further violated California Labor Code § 226.7 and IWC Wage Order

PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512 and IWC Wage

- No. 5-2001, § 11 by failing to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS who were not provided with a meal period, in accordance with the applicable wage order, one additional hour of compensation at each employee's regular rate of pay for each workday that a meal period was not provided.
- 22. DEFENDANTS further violated California Labor Code §§ 226.7, 510, 1194, 1197, and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001 by failing to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all hours worked during their meal periods.
- 23. As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial, and seek all wages earned and due, interest, penalties, expenses, and costs of suit.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Provide Required Rest Periods

[Cal. Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512; IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 12]

- 24. PLAINTIFF incorporates all facts alleged in paragraphs 1-18 as if fully set forth herein.
- 25. At all times relevant herein, as part of DEFENDANTS' illegal payroll policies and practices to deprive their non-exempt employees all wages earned and due, DEFENDANTS failed to provide rest periods to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS as required under California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512, and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 12.
- 26. DEFENDANTS further violated California Labor Code § 226.7 and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 12 by failing to pay PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS who were not provided with a rest period, in accordance with the applicable wage order, one additional hour of compensation at each employee's regular rate of pay for each workday that a rest period was not provided.
- 27. As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial, and seek all wages earned and due, interest, penalties, expenses, and costs of suit.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Pay Overtime Wages

[Cal. Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, 1198; IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 3]

- 28. PLAINTIFF incorporates all facts alleged in paragraphs 1-18 as if fully set forth herein.
- 29. Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 3, DEFENDANTS are required to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all overtime, which is calculated at one and one-half (1 ½) times the regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours per day and/or forty (40) hours per week, and for the first eight (8) hours on the seventh consecutive workday, with double time for all hours worked in excess of twelve (12) hours in any workday and for all hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours on the seventh consecutive day of work in any workweek.
- 30. PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are current and former non-exempt employees entitled to the protections of California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS failed to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all overtime hours worked as required under the foregoing provisions of the California Labor Code and IWC Wage Order by, among other things: failing to pay overtime at one and one-half (1 ½) or double the regular rate of pay as provided by California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 3; requiring, permitting or suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work off the clock; requiring, permitting or suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work through meal and rest breaks; illegally and inaccurately recording time in which PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS worked; failing to properly maintain PLAINTIFF'S and CLASS MEMBERS' records; failing to provide accurate itemized wage statements to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for each pay period; and by other methods to be discovered.
- 31. In violation of California law, DEFENDANTS have knowingly and willfully refused to perform their obligations to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all wages earned and all hours worked. As a proximate result, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have suffered, and continue to suffer, substantial losses related to the use and enjoyment of such wages, lost interest on

such wages, and expenses and attorneys' fees in seeking to compel DEFENDANTS to fully perform their obligations under state law, all to their respective damages in amounts according to proof at time of trial, and within the jurisdiction of this Court.

32. DEFENDANTS' conduct described herein violates California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, 1198 and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 3. Therefore, pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 200, 203, 226, 558, 1194, 1197.1, and other applicable provisions under the California Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to recover the unpaid balance of wages owed to them by DEFENDANTS, plus interest, penalties, attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs of suit.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Pay Minimum Wages

[Cal. Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197; IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 4]

- 33. PLAINTIFF incorporates all facts alleged in paragraphs 1-18 as if fully set forth herein.
- 34. Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197, and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 4, payment to an employee of less than the applicable minimum wage for all hours worked in a payroll period is unlawful.
- 35. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS failed to pay PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS minimum wages for all hours worked by, among other things: requiring, permitting or suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work off the clock; requiring, permitting or suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work through meal and rest breaks; illegally and inaccurately recording time in which PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS worked; failing to properly maintain PLAINTIFF'S and CLASS MEMBERS' records; failing to provide accurate itemized wage statements to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for each pay period; and other methods to be discovered.
- 36. DEFENDANTS' conduct described herein violates California Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197, and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 4. As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial. Therefore, pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 200, 203, 226, 558, 1194, 1197.1, and other applicable provisions under the Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are

entitled to recover the unpaid balance of wages owed to them by DEFENDANTS, plus interest, penalties, attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs of suit.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Pay Timely Wages During Employment

[Cal. Labor Code § 204]

- 37. PLAINTIFF incorporates all facts alleged in paragraphs 1-18 as if fully set forth herein.
- 38. Pursuant to California Labor Code § 204, for all labor performed between the 1st and 15th days of any calendar month, DEFENDANTS are required to pay their nonexempt employees between the 16th and 26th day of the month during which the labor was performed. California Labor Code § 204 also provides that for all labor performed between the 16th and 26th days of any calendar month, DEFENDANTS are required to pay their nonexempt employees between the 1st and 10th day of the following calendar month. In addition, California Labor Code § 204 provides that all wages earned for labor in excess of the normal work period shall be paid no later than the payday of the next regular payroll period.
- 39. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS knowingly and willfully failed to pay PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS all the wages they earned when due as required by California Labor Code § 204.
- 40. Pursuant to California Labor Code § 210, failure to pay the wages of each employee as provided in California Labor Code § 204 will subject DEFENDANTS to a civil penalty of: (1) one hundred dollars (\$100) for each failure to pay each employee for each initial violation; and (2) two hundred dollars (\$200) for each failure to pay each employee, plus twenty-five percent (25%) of the amount unlawfully withheld, for each subsequent violation.
- 41. DEFENDANTS' conduct described herein violates California Labor Code § 204. As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial. Therefore, pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 200, 210, 226, 558, 1194, 1197.1 and other applicable provisions under the Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to recover the unpaid balance of wages owed

 \parallel

3

5

6 7

8

9

13

11

15

14

17

18 19

20 21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

--

to them by DEFENDANTS, plus interest, penalties, attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs of suit.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Pay All Wages Due to Discharged and Quitting Employees

[Cal. Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203]

- 42. PLAINTIFF incorporates all facts alleged in paragraphs 1-18 as if fully set forth herein.
- 43. Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 201, 202, and 203, DEFENDANTS are required to pay all earned and unpaid wages to an employee who is discharged. California Labor Code § 201 mandates that if an employer discharges an employee, the employee's wages accrued and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately.
- 44. Furthermore, pursuant to California Labor Code § 202, DEFENDANTS are required to pay all accrued wages due to an employee no later than 72 hours after the employee quits his or her employment, unless the employee provided 72 hours previous notice of his or her intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or wages at the time of quitting.
- 45. California Labor Code § 203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to pay, in accordance with California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202, any wages of an employee who is discharged or who quits, the employer is liable for waiting time penalties in the form of continued compensation to the employee at the same rate for up to 30 workdays.
- 46. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS have willfully failed to pay accrued wages and other compensation to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS in accordance with California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202.
- 47. As a result, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to all available statutory penalties, including the waiting time penalties provided in California Labor Code § 203, together with interest thereon, as well as other available remedies.
- 48. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been deprived of compensation in an amount according to proof at the time of trial, but not in excess of the jurisdiction of this Court, and are entitled to recovery of such amounts, plus interest thereon, and attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant to California Labor Code § 1194.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Furnish Accurate Itemized Wage Statements

2 3

[Cal. Labor Code §§ 226, 1174; IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 7]

4

(Against all DEFENDANTS)

5

49. PLAINTIFF incorporates all facts alleged in paragraphs 1-18 as if fully set forth herein.

During the CLASS PERIOD, as part of DEFENDANTS' illegal payroll policies and

6 7

practices to deprive PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS of all wages earned and due, DEFENDANTS

8

knowingly and intentionally failed to maintain records as required under California Labor Code §§ 226,

1174, and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 7, including, but not limited to, the following records: total daily hours worked by each employee; applicable rates of pay; all deductions; meal periods; time

11

records showing when each employee begins and ends each work period; and accurate itemized

statements.

50.

12 13

As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions, PLAINTIFF 51.

and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial, and are entitled to

all wages earned and due, plus interest thereon. Additionally, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are

16 17

entitled to all available statutory penalties, including, but not limited to, civil penalties pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 226(e), 226.3, and 1174.5, and an award of costs, expenses, and reasonable

18

attorneys' fees, including, but not limited to, those provided in California Labor Code § 226(e), as well

19

as other available remedies.

20

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

21

Failure to Maintain Required Records

22

[Cal. Labor Code §§ 226; IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 7]

23

(Against all DEFENDANTS)

24

52. PLAINTIFF incorporates all facts alleged in paragraphs 1-18 as if fully set forth herein.

25

During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS routinely failed to provide PLAINTIFF 53.

26

and CLASS MEMBERS with timely, accurate, and itemized wage statements in writing showing each

27

employee's gross wages earned, total hours worked, all deductions made, net wages earned, the name

28

and address of the legal entity or entities employing PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS, and all

56.

applicable hourly rates in effect during each pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate, in violation of California Labor Code § 226 and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 7.

- 54. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS knowingly and intentionally failed to provide PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS with timely, accurate, and itemized wage statements in accordance with California Labor Code § 226(a).
- 55. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial, and seek all wages earned and due, plus interest thereon. Additionally, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to all available statutory penalties, including, but not limited to, civil penalties pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 226(e), 226.3, and 1174.5, and an award of costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' fees, including, but not limited to, those provided in California Labor Code § 226(e), as well as other available remedies.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Indemnify Employees for Necessary Expenditures Incurred in Discharge of Duties [Cal. Labor Code § 2802] (Against all DEFENDANTS)

- PLAINTIFF incorporates all facts alleged in paragraphs 1-18 as if fully set forth herein.
- 57. California Labor Code § 2802(a) requires an employer to indemnify an employee for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of her his or her duties, or of his or her obedience to the directions of the employer.
- 58. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS knowingly and willfully failed to indemnify PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all business expenses and/or losses incurred in direct consequence of the discharge of their duties while working under the direction of DEFENDANTS, including, but not limited to, expenses for cell phone use and other employment-related expenses, in violation of California Labor Code § 2802.
- 59. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial, and seek reimbursement of all necessary expenditures, plus interest thereon pursuant to California Labor Code §

3

4 5

> 6 7

8

9

10

11 12

14 15

13

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

2802(b). Additionally, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to all available statutory penalties and an award of costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' fees, including those provided in California Labor Code § 2802(c), as well as other available remedies.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Unfair and Unlawful Business Practices

[Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et. seq.]

- 60. PLAINTIFF incorporates all facts alleged in paragraphs 1-18 as if fully set forth herein.
- 61. Each and every one of DEFENDANTS' acts and omissions in violation of the California Labor Code and/or the applicable IWC Wage Order as alleged herein, including, but not limited to, DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to provide required meal periods, DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to provide required rest periods, DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to pay overtime compensation, DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to pay minimum wages, DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to pay all wages due to discharged or quitting employees, DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to furnish accurate itemized wage statements; DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to maintain required records, DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to indemnify PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for necessary expenditures and/or losses incurring in discharging their duties, constitutes an lunfair and unlawful business practice under California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq.
- 62. DEFENDANTS' violations of California wage and hour laws constitute a business practice because DEFENDANTS' aforementioned acts and omissions were done repeatedly over a significant period of time, and in a systematic manner, to the detriment of PLAINTIFF, CLASS MEMBERS and the general public.
- 63. DEFENDANTS have avoided payment of wages, overtime wages, meal periods, rest periods, and other benefits as required by the California Labor Code, the California Code of Regulations, and the applicable IWC Wage Order. Further, DEFENDANTS have failed to record, report, and pay the correct sums of assessment to the state authorities under the California Labor Code and other applicable regulations.
 - 64. As a result of DEFENDANTS' unfair and unlawful business practices, DEFENDANTS

have reaped unfair and illegal profits during the CLASS PERIOD at the expense of PLAINTIFF, CLASS MEMBERS, and members of the public. DEFENDANTS should be made to disgorge their ill-gotten gains and to restore them to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS.

65. DEFENDANTS' unfair and unlawful business practices entitle PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to seek preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, including, but not limited to, orders that DEFENDANTS account for, disgorge, and restore to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS the wages and other compensation unlawfully withheld from them. PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to restitution of all monies to be disgorged from DEFENDANTS in an amount according to proof at the time of trial, but not in excess of the jurisdiction of this Court.

ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Representative Action for Civil Penalties

[Cal. Labor Code §§ 2698-2699.5]

- 66. PLAINTIFF incorporates all facts alleged in paragraphs 1-18 as if fully set forth herein.
- 67. PLAINTIFF are an "aggrieved employees" within the meaning of California Labor Code \$ 2699(c), and a proper representative to bring a civil action on behalf of himself and other current and former employees of DEFENDANTS pursuant to the procedures specified in California Labor Code \$ 2699.3, because PLAINTIFF was employed by DEFENDANTS and the alleged violations of the California Labor Code were committed against PLAINTIFF.
- 68. Pursuant to the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA"), Labor Code §§ 2698, et seq., PLAINTIFF seek to recover civil penalties, including, but not limited to, penalties under California Labor Code §§ 2699, 210, 225.5, 226.3, 1174.5, 1197.1, 1199, and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 20, from DEFENDANTS in a representative action for the violations set forth above, including, but not limited to, violations of California Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204, 226, 226.7, 510, 512, 1174, 1194, 1197, 1198, and 2802. PLAINTIFF are also entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699(g)(1).
- 69. Pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699.3, PLAINTIFF gave written notice by online filing with the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") and by certified mail

to DEFENDANTS of the specific provisions of the California Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders PLAINTIFF alleges DEFENDANTS have been violated along with the facts and theories supporting the alleged violations. PLAINTIFF'S notice to the LWDA was accompanied by PLAINTIFF'S payment of \$75.00 filing fee. Because the LWDA did not provide PLAINTIFF with notice of its intent to investigate the alleged violations in the 65 calendar days that have lapsed, PLAINTIFF have complied with all of the requirements set forth in California Labor Code § 2699.3 to commence a representative action against DEFENDANTS on behalf of herself and other similarly aggrieved employees of DEFENDANTS. Therefore, PLAINTIFF have complied with all of the requirements set forth in California Labor Code § 2699.3 to commence a representative action under PAGA.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF, individually, and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated and aggrieved, respectfully prays for relief against DEFENDANTS and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. For compensatory damages in an amount to be ascertained at trial;
- 2. For restitution of all monies due to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS, as well as disgorged profits from the unfair and unlawful business practices of DEFENDANTS;
- 3. For meal and rest period compensation pursuant to California Labor Code § 226.7 and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001;
 - 4. For liquidated damages pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 1194.2 and 1197.1;
- 5. For preliminary and permanent injunctive relief enjoining DEFENDANTS from violating the relevant provisions of the California Labor Code and the IWC Wage Orders, and from engaging in the unlawful business practices complained of herein;
 - 6. For waiting time penalties pursuant to California Labor Code § 203;
- 7. For statutory and civil penalties according to proof, including, but not limited to, all penalties authorized by the California Labor Code §§ 226(e) and 2699;
- 8. For interest on the unpaid wages at 10% per annum pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 218.6, 1194, 2802, California Civil Code §§ 3287, 3288, and/or any other applicable provision providing for pre-judgment interest;

Case 2:18-cv-09000 Document 1-1 Filed 10/18/18 Page 20 of 46 Page ID #:37

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLAINTIFF hereby demands a jury trial with respect to all issues triable by jury as of right.

DATED: August 22, 2018

Respectfully Submitted,

MAYERN DAW GROUP, PC

By:

MATTHEW J. MATERN JOSHUA D. BOXER ROY K. SUH

Attorneys for Plaintiffs ADRIENNE LIGGINS individually and on behalf of others similarly situated and aggrieved

COPY

	CM-010

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Ba Matthew J. Matern (SBN 159798); Joshua	number, and address)	FOR COURT USE ONLY				
MATERN LAW GROUP, PC	D. Boxer (SBN 220712)					
1230 Rosecrans Ave., Suite 200	•	CURE COMMENTS				
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 TELEPHONE NO.: (310) 531-1900	FAX NO: (310) 531-1901	ORIGINAL FILES Superior Court of California				
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff Adrienne Li	ggins	County of Loc Apada				
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF L	14. 40.					
STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Stree		AUG 22 2018				
MAILING ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Stree						
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 901 BRANCH NAME: Central District	012	Sherri R. Cartor, Executive Officer/Clerk				
		By: Rita Nazarvan, Deputy				
CASE NAME: Adrienne Liggins v. GMRI, INC., e	t a l	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET		CASE NUMBER:				
✓ Unlimited Limited	Complex Case Designation	BC717321				
(Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder					
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defende	ant JUDGE:				
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT:				
P	low must be completed (see instructions o	n page 2).				
1. Check one box below for the case type that						
Auto Tort		Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)				
Auto (22)						
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)				
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)				
Asbestos (04)	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)				
Product liability (24)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)				
Medical malpractice (45)	Real Property Eminent domain/Inverse	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)				
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case				
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)				
Business tort/unfair business practice (07	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment				
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)				
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Aiscellaneous Civil Complaint				
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)				
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)				
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	fiscellaneous Civil Petition				
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)				
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)				
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)					
✓ Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)					
		es of Court. If the case is complex, mark the				
factors requiring exceptional judicial mana-						
a. Large number of separately repre	· —					
b Extensive motion practice raising		vith related actions pending in one or more courts				
issues that will be time-consuming		es, states, or countries, or in a federal court				
c. 🖌 Substantial amount of documenta	ry evidence f Substantial pos	stjudgment judicial supervision				
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.	✓ monetary b. ✓ nonmonetary de	claratory or injunctive relief c. punitive				
4. Number of causes of action (specify): Ele	even (11)					
5. This case 🗸 is 🗌 is not a clas	s action suit.					
6. If there are any known related cases, file a	nd serve a notice of related case. YYou ha	ay use form CM-015)				
Date: August 22, 2018						
Joshua D. Boxer		\ ()\()				
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)						
- Plaintiff must file this source shoot with the	NOTICE/	(except small claims space or space fled				
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the funder the Probate Code, Family Code, or Version 1.		s of Court, rule 3.220) Failure to file may result				
in sanctions.		,				
• File this cover sheet in addition to any cover		must some a copy of this course sheet as all				
If this case is complex under rule 3 400 et other parties to the action or proceeding	•	-				
Unless this is a collections case under rule	3 740 or a complex case, this cover shee	t will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2				
		Page 1 of 2				

CM-010

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiffs designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that

```
the case is complex.
Auto Tort
     Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property
          Damage/Wrongful Death
     Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the
          case involves an uninsured
          motorist claim subject to
         arbitration, check this item
          instead of Auto)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/
Property Damage/Wrongful Death)
     Asbestos (04)
         Asbestos Property Damage
Asbestos Personal Injury/
              Wrongful Death
    Product Liability (not asbestos or
         toxic/environmental) (24)
    Medical Malpractice (45)
         Medical Malpractice-
              Physicians & Surgeons
         Other Professional Health Care
              Malpractice
    Other PI/PD/WD (23)
         Premises Liability (e.g., slip
              and fall)
         Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD
              (e.g., assault, vandalism)
         Intentional Infliction of
              Emotional Distress
```

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

CM-010 [Rev. Day 1, 2017]

Other PI/PD/WD Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07) Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08) Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)Fraud (16) Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25) Legal Malpractice Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal) Other Non-P!/PD/WD Tort (35) **Employment** Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

Negligent Infliction of

Émotional Distress

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

```
Contract
    Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)
        Breach of Rental/Lease
            Contract (not unlawful detainer
                or wronaful eviction)
        Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller
            Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)
        Negligent Breach of Contract/
            Warranty
        Other Breach of Contract/Warranty
    Collections (e.g., money owed, open
        book accounts) (09)
        Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff
        Other Promissory Note/Collections
    Case
Insurance Coverage (not provisionally
        complex) (18)
        Auto Subrogation
        Other Coverage
    Other Contract (37)
        Contractual Fraud
        Other Contract Dispute
Real Property
    Eminent Domain/Inverse
        Condemnation (14)
    Wrongful Eviction (33)
    Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)
        Writ of Possession of Real Property
        Mortgage Foreclosure
        Quiet Title
        Other Real Property (not eminent
        domain, landlord/tenant, or
        foreclosure)
Unlawful Detainer
    Commercial (31)
    Residential (32)
    Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal
        drugs, check this item; otherwise,
        report as Commercial or Residential)
```

```
Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)
    Construction Defect (10)
    Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)
    Securities Litigation (28)
    Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)
    Insurance Coverage Claims
        (arising from provisionally complex
        case type listed above) (41)
Enforcement of Judgment
    Enforcement of Judgment (20)
        Abstract of Judgment (Out of
            County)
        Confession of Judgment (non-
            domestic relations)
        Sister State Judgment
        Administrative Agency Award
           (not unpaid taxes)
        Petition/Certification of Entry of
           Judgment on Unpaid Taxes
        Other Enforcement of Judgment Case
Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
    RICO (27)
    Other Complaint (not specified
        above) (42)
        Declaratory Relief Only
Injunctive Relief Only (non-
            harassment)
        Mechanics Lien
        Other Commercial Complaint
            Case (non-tort/non-complex)
        Other Civil Complaint
            (non-tort/non-complex)
Miscellaneous Civil Petition
    Partnership and Corporate
        Governance (21)
    Other Petition (not specified
        above) (43)
        Civil Harassment
        Workplace Violence
        Elder/Dependent Adult
            Abuse
        Election Contest
        Petition for Name Change
        Petition for Relief From Late
            Claim
        Other Civil Petition
```

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal-Labor

Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Review

Case Matter

Other Judicial Review (39)

SHORT TITLE. Adrienne Liggins v. GMRI, INC., et al.	CASE NUMBER BC 7 1 7 8 8 1
•	DO . X

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

	This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.
	Item I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case:
	JURY TRIAL? $oxed{arphi}$ YES CLASS ACTION? $oxed{arphi}$ YES LIMITED CASE? $oxed{\Box}$ YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 15-20 $oxed{\Box}$ HOURS/ $oxed{arphi}$ DAY
	Item II. Indicate the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps – If you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4)
	Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet form, find the main Civil Case Cover Sheet heading for your case in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A , the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.
•	Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature of this case.
	Step 3: In Column C, circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action you have checked. For any exception to the court location, see Local Rule 2.0.
	Applicable Bases of facilities (County and Landing County and California)

- Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)
- Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, central district.
 May be filed in central (other county, or no bodily injury/property damage).
 Location where cause of action arose.
 Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred.
 Location where performance required or defendant resides.

- 6. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.
 7. Location where petitioner resides.
 8. Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.
 9. Location where one or more of the parties reside.
 10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons See Step 3 Above
ខ្ម	Auto (22)	☐ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
Auto Tort	Uninsured Motorist (46)	☐ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1 , 2., 4.
ty n	Asbestos (04)	☐ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage ☐ A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2. 2.
Property ath Tort	Product Liability (24)	☐ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
al Injury/ I ongful Dea	Medical Malpractice (45)	☐ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons ☐ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1, 4,
Other Personal Injury! Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	□ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) □ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism etc.) □ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress □ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 4. 1., 4. 1., 3. 1., 4.

SHORT TITLE: Adrienne Liggins v. GMRI, INC., et al.

CASE NUMBER

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No. 11	E) Type of Action (check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
- 44	Business Tort (07)	☐ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 3.
operty th Tori	Civil Rights (08)	□ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.
ıry/ Pr	Defamation (13)	☐ A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2,, 3,
ral Inju rongfu	Fraud (16)	□ A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.
Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Professional Negligence (25)	□ A6017 Legal Malpractice □ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.
žũ	Other (35)	□ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2,,3.
ent	Wrongful Termination (36)	☐ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Employment	Other Employment (15)	 ☑ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case ☐ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals 	(1) 2., 3. 10.
	Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	 A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) 	2., 5. 2., 5. 1., 2., 5. 1., 2., 5.
Contract	Collections (09)	□ A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff □ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5., 6. 2., 5.
	Insurance Coverage (18)	☐ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8,
	Other Contract (37)	 □ A6009 Contractual Fraud □ A6031 Tortious Interference □ A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence) 	1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.
	Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	☐ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
perty	Wrongful Eviction (33)	☐ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
Real Propert	Other Real Property (26)	 ☐ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure ☐ A6032 Quiet Title ☐ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure) 	2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.
er	Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	☐ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Detain	Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	☐ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer	Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	☐ A6020F Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2,6.
5	Unlaudul Dotainor Drugs (28)	11 A6022 Ligharful Calainer-Drugs	2.6

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38) LI A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs

SHORT TITLE: Adrienne Liggins v. GMRI, INC., et al.

CASE NUMBER

	A Clvil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only, one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	☐ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
/iew	Petition re Arbitration (11)	□ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.
Judicial Review	Writ of Mandate (02)	 □ A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus □ A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter □ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review 	2., 8. 2. 2.
	Other Judicial Review (39)	☐ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.
, E	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	□ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
itigat	Construction Defect (10)	☐ A6007 Construction Defect	1., 2., 3.
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	☐ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
ly C₀	Securities Litigation (28)	□ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
<i>i</i> isiona	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	□ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
Pro	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	☐ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	 □ A6141 Sister State Judgment □ A6160 Abstract of Judgment □ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) □ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) □ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax □ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case 	2., 9, 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8.
, s	RICO (27)	☐ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	 □ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only □ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) □ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) □ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex) 	1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	☐ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	□ A6121 Civil Harassment □ A6123 Workplace Harassment □ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case □ A6190 Election Contest □ A6110 Petition for Change of Name □ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law □ A6100 Other Civil Petition	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8. 2., 9

SHORT TITLE: Adrienne Liggins v. GMRI, INC., et al.				CASE NUMBER
				ence or place of business, performance, or other for filing in the court location you selected.
REASON: Check the appropriate boxes under Column C for the type of action th this case.	at you have	selected for	ADDRESS: 2610 N. Sepulveda B	lvd.
ситу:	STATE:	ZIP CODE:		
Manhattan Beach	CA	90266		
and correct and that the above-entitle	d matter is	properly filed	d for assignment to	of the State of California that the foregoing is true the Stanley Mosk courthouse in the ngeles [Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and Local
Rule 2.0, subds. (b), (c) and (d)].			(
Dated: 8/22/18			(Teres	NATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)
PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED			AND READY TO	BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY

- PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPER COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:
 - 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
 - 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
 - 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
 - Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 03/11).
 - 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
 - 6. A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
 - 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

VOLUNTARY EFFICIENT LITIGATION STIPULATIONS



Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles



Los Angeles County Bar Association Litigation Section

Los Angeles County Bar Association Labor and Employment Law Section





Southern California Defense Counsel





The Early Organizational Meeting Stipulation, Discovery Resolution Stipulation, and Motions in Limine Stipulation are voluntary stipulations entered into by the parties. The parties may enter into one, two, or all three of the stipulations; however, they may not alter the stipulations as written, because the Court wants to ensure uniformity of application. These stipulations are meant to encourage cooperation between the parties and to assist in resolving issues in a manner that promotes economic case resolution and judicial efficiency.

The following organizations endorse the goal of promoting efficiency in litigation and ask that counsel consider using these stipulations as a voluntary way to promote communications and procedures among counsel and with the court to fairly resolve issues in their cases.

- **♦**Los Angeles County Bar Association Litigation Section**♦**
 - ◆ Los Angeles County Bar Association Labor and Employment Law Section ◆
 - **♦**Consumer Attorneys Association of Los Angeles **♦**
 - ◆Southern California Defense Counsel◆
 - **◆**Association of Business Trial Lawyers ◆
 - **♦**California Employment Lawyers Association **♦**

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
	<u> </u>	
	NO. (Optional):	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name):		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, C	OUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:		
PLAINTIFF:		
DEFENDANT:		
		CASE NUMBER:
STIPULATION – EARLY ORGANI	ZATIONAL MEETING	

This stipulation is intended to encourage cooperation among the parties at an early stage in the litigation and to assist the parties in efficient case resolution.

The parties agree that:

- 1. The parties commit to conduct an initial conference (in-person or via teleconference or via videoconference) within 15 days from the date this stipulation is signed, to discuss and consider whether there can be agreement on the following:
 - a. Are motions to challenge the pleadings necessary? If the issue can be resolved by amendment as of right, or if the Court would allow leave to amend, could an amended complaint resolve most or all of the issues a demurrer might otherwise raise? If so, the parties agree to work through pleading issues so that a demurrer need only raise issues they cannot resolve. Is the issue that the defendant seeks to raise amenable to resolution on demurrer, or would some other type of motion be preferable? Could a voluntary targeted exchange of documents or information by any party cure an uncertainty in the pleadings?
 - b. Initial mutual exchanges of documents at the "core" of the litigation. (For example, in an
 employment case, the employment records, personnel file and documents relating to the
 conduct in question could be considered "core." In a personal injury case, an incident or
 police report, medical records, and repair or maintenance records could be considered
 "core.");
 - c. Exchange of names and contact information of witnesses;
 - d. Any insurance agreement that may be available to satisfy part or all of a judgment, or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy a judgment;
 - e. Exchange of any other information that might be helpful to facilitate understanding, handling, or resolution of the case in a manner that preserves objections or privileges by agreement;
 - f. Controlling issues of law that, if resolved early, will promote efficiency and economy in other phases of the case. Also, when and how such issues can be presented to the Court;
 - g. Whether or when the case should be scheduled with a settlement officer, what discovery or court ruling on legal issues is reasonably required to make settlement discussions meaningful, and whether the parties wish to use a sitting judge or a private mediator or other options as

SHORT TITLE	:			CASE NUMBER:
	discussed in the "Alternative Dispute complaint;	Resolution (A	DR) Informa	tion Package" served with the
h.	Computation of damages, including do which such computation is based;	ocuments, not	privileged or	r protected from disclosure, on
i.	Whether the case is suitable for the www.lacourt.org under "Civil" and the			
2.	The time for a defending party to respect to for the complaint, which is comprised of the 3 and the 30 days permitted by Code been found by the Civil Supervising Juthis Stipulation. A copy of the General click on "General Information", then click	omplaint, and office of Civil Procecudge due to the office of the office	(INSER Dond under (dure section ne case man De found at	for the cross- Government Code § 68616(b), a 1054(a), good cause having pagement benefits provided by www.lacourt.org under "Civil",
3.	The parties will prepare a joint report and Early Organizational Meeting Stip results of their meet and confer and a efficient conduct or resolution of the c the Case Management Conference statement is due.	pulation, and advising the 0 ase. The par	if desired, a Court of any ties shall att	proposed order summarizing way it may assist the parties' ach the Joint Status Report to
4.	References to "days" mean calendar of any act pursuant to this stipulation falls for performing that act shall be extended	s on a Saturda	ay, Sunday o	
The fo	llowing parties stipulate:			
Date:		₽		
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(ATT	ORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	>	(АТТС	DRNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	>	(ATTC	DRNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	_	(ATTC	ORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
······································	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	> _	(ATTODNE)	(FOR)
Date:	(TTPE OR PRINT NAME)	>	(ATTURNE)	, run)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(ATTORNE)	/ FOR)
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	_	(ATTORNEY	FOR 1

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY.	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
TELEPHONE NO.: E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name): SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	FAX NO. (Optional):	
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:	A, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
PLAINTIFF:		
DEFENDANT:		
STIPULATION - DISCOV	CASE NUMBER:	

This stipulation is intended to provide a fast and informal resolution of discovery issues through limited paperwork and an informal conference with the Court to aid in the resolution of the issues.

The parties agree that:

- 1. Prior to the discovery cut-off in this action, no discovery motion shall be filed or heard unless the moving party first makes a written request for an Informal Discovery Conference pursuant to the terms of this stipulation.
- At the Informal Discovery Conference the Court will consider the dispute presented by parties and determine whether it can be resolved informally. Nothing set forth herein will preclude a party from making a record at the conclusion of an Informal Discovery Conference, either orally or in writing.
- Following a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue to be presented, a party may request an Informal Discovery Conference pursuant to the following procedures:
 - a. The party requesting the Informal Discovery Conference will:
 - File a Request for Informal Discovery Conference with the clerk's office on the approved form (copy attached) and deliver a courtesy, conformed copy to the assigned department;
 - ii. Include a brief summary of the dispute and specify the relief requested; and
 - iii. Serve the opposing party pursuant to any authorized or agreed method of service that ensures that the opposing party receives the Request for Informal Discovery Conference no later than the next court day following the filing.
 - b. Any Answer to a Request for Informal Discovery Conference must:
 - Also be filed on the approved form (copy attached);
 - ii. Include a brief summary of why the requested relief should be denied;

1				
ĺ	SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:		
l				

- iii. Be filed within two (2) court days of receipt of the Request; and
- iv. Be served on the opposing party pursuant to any authorized or agreed upon method of service that ensures that the opposing party receives the Answer no later than the next court day following the filing.
- c. No other pleadings, including but not limited to exhibits, declarations, or attachments, will be accepted.
- d. If the Court has not granted or denied the Request for Informal Discovery Conference within ten (10) days following the filing of the Request, then it shall be deemed to have been denied. If the Court acts on the Request, the parties will be notified whether the Request for Informal Discovery Conference has been granted or denied and, if granted, the date and time of the Informal Discovery Conference, which must be within twenty (20) days of the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference.
- e. If the conference is not held within twenty (20) days of the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference, unless extended by agreement of the parties and the Court, then the Request for the Informal Discovery Conference shall be deemed to have been denied at that time.
- 4. If (a) the Court has denied a conference or (b) one of the time deadlines above has expired without the Court having acted or (c) the Informal Discovery Conference is concluded without resolving the dispute, then a party may file a discovery motion to address unresolved issues.
- 5. The parties hereby further agree that the time for making a motion to compel or other discovery motion is tolled from the date of filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference until (a) the request is denied or deemed denied or (b) twenty (20) days after the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference, whichever is earlier, unless extended by Order of the Court.
 - It is the understanding and intent of the parties that this stipulation shall, for each discovery dispute to which it applies, constitute a writing memorializing a "specific later date to which the propounding [or demanding or requesting] party and the responding party have agreed in writing," within the meaning of Code Civil Procedure sections 2030.300(c), 2031.320(c), and 2033.290(c).
- 6. Nothing herein will preclude any party from applying *ex parte* for appropriate relief, including an order shortening time for a motion to be heard concerning discovery.
- 7. Any party may terminate this stipulation by giving twenty-one (21) days notice of intent to terminate the stipulation.
- 8. References to "days" mean calendar days, unless otherwise noted. If the date for performing any act pursuant to this stipulation falls on a Saturday, Sunday or Court holiday, then the time for performing that act shall be extended to the next Court day.

Case 2:18-cv-09000 Document 1-1 Filed 10/18/18 Page 33 of 46 Page ID #:50

SHORT TITLE:			CASE NUMBER:
L		•	4-3-4-1
The follow	ving parties stipulate:		
Date:		>	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	- >	(ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	- > _	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	. >	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	. > _	(ATTORNEY FOR)
Date:	(THE ORTHORNE)	>	MITONIETFOR
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	 >	(ATTORNEY FOR)
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	·	(ATTORNEY FOR)

NAME AND A	DDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp

E MAN A	TELEPHONE NO.: FAX NO. (Op	otional):	
ATTO	DDRESS (Optional): RNEY FOR (Name):		
	RIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COU	NTY OF LOS ANGELES	
COURTHO	DUSE ADDRESS:		
PLAINTIFF	•		
DEFENDA	NT.		
DEFENDA	ive.		
	INFORMAL DISCOVERY CON	FERENCE	CASE NUMBER:
	(pursuant to the Discovery Resolution Stipula	ation of the parties)	
1.	This document relates to:		
	Request for Informal Discovery	Conference	
	Answer to Request for Informal		
2.	Deadline for Court to decide on Request:		ate 10 calendar days following filing of
	the Request).		or the state of th
3.	Deadline for Court to hold Informal Discov days following filing of the Request).	rery Conference:	(insert date 20 calendar
4.	For a Request for Informal Discovery	y Conference, <u>briefly</u> de	scribe the nature of the
	discovery dispute, including the facts		
	Request for Informal Discovery Confer		
	the requested discovery, including the f	acts and legal arguments	at issue.
			A Parties
			de la companya de la
			AC III
	1 1		· ·
			:
			THE PARTY AND TH
			: 1
			:

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
TELEPHONE NO.: FAX NO. (Ope-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	ptional):	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COU	NTY OF LOS ANGELES	
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:		
PLAINTIFF:		
DEFENDANT:		
STIPULATION AND ORDER – MOTI	IONS IN LIMINE	CASE NUMBER:

This stipulation is intended to provide fast and informal resolution of evidentiary issues through diligent efforts to define and discuss such issues and limit paperwork.

The parties agree that:

- 1. At least ____ days before the final status conference, each party will provide all other parties with a list containing a one paragraph explanation of each proposed motion in limine. Each one paragraph explanation must identify the substance of a single proposed motion in limine and the grounds for the proposed motion.
- 2. The parties thereafter will meet and confer, either in person or via teleconference or videoconference, concerning all proposed motions in limine. In that meet and confer, the parties will determine:
 - a. Whether the parties can stipulate to any of the proposed motions. If the parties so stipulate, they may file a stipulation and proposed order with the Court.
 - b. Whether any of the proposed motions can be briefed and submitted by means of a short joint statement of issues. For each motion which can be addressed by a short joint statement of issues, a short joint statement of issues must be filed with the Court 10 days prior to the final status conference. Each side's portion of the short joint statement of issues may not exceed three pages. The parties will meet and confer to agree on a date and manner for exchanging the parties' respective portions of the short joint statement of issues and the process for filing the short joint statement of issues.
- 3. All proposed motions in limine that are not either the subject of a stipulation or briefed via a short joint statement of issues will be briefed and filed in accordance with the California Rules of Court and the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

Case 2:18-cv-09000 Document 1-1 Filed 10/18/18 Page 36 of 46 Page ID #:53

·	
ne following parties stipulate:	
ite:	
	>
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) te:	(ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)
	>
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) te:	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
	>
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) te:	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
	>
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
te:	
	>
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) te:	(ATTORNEY FOR
	>
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR
	>
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR
IE COURT SO ORDERS.	
Pate:	
	JUDICIAL OFFICER

Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles



ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFORMATION PACKET

The person who files a civil lawsuit (plaintiff) must include the ADR information Packet with the complaint when serving the defendant. Cross-complainants must serve the ADR Information Packet on any new parties named to the action together with the cross-complaint.

There are a number of ways to resolve civil disputes without having to sue someone. These alternatives to a lawsuit are known as alternative dispute resolution (ADR).

In ADR, trained, impartial persons decide disputes or help parties decide disputes themselves. These persons are called neutrals. For example, in mediations, the neutral is the mediator. Neutrals normally are chosen by the disputing parties or by the court. Neutrals can help resolve disputes without having to go to court.

Advantages of ADR

- Often faster than going to trial
- Often less expensive, saving the litigants court costs, attorney's fees and expert fees.
- May permit more participation, allowing parties to have more control over the outcome.
- Allows for flexibility in choice of ADR processes and resolution of the dispute.
- Fosters cooperation by allowing parties to work together with the neutral to resolve the dispute and mutually agree to remedy.
- There are fewer, if any, court appearances. Because ADR can be faster and save money, it can reduce stress.

Disadvantages of ADR - ADR may not be suitable for every dispute.

- If ADR is binding, the parties normally give up most court protections, including a decision by a judge or jury under formal rules of evidence and procedure, and review for legal error by an appellate court.
- ADR may not be effective if it takes place before the parties have sufficient information to resolve the dispute.
- The neutral may charge a fee for his or her services.
- If the dispute is not resolved through ADR, the parties may then have to face the usual and traditional costs of trial, such as attorney's fees and expert fees.

The Most Common Types of ADR

Mediation

In mediation, a neutral (the mediator) assists the parties in reaching a mutually acceptable resolution of their dispute. Unlike lawsuits or some other types of ADR, the parties, rather than the mediator, decide how the dispute is to be resolved.

- Mediation is particularly effective when the parties have a continuing relationship, like neighbors or business people. Mediation is also very effective where personal feelings are getting in the way of a resolution. This is because mediation normally gives the parties a chance to express their feelings and find out how the other sees things.
- Mediation may not be effective when one party is unwilling to cooperate or compromise or when one of the parties has a significant advantage in power over the other. Therefore, it may not be a good choice if the parties have a history of abuse or victimization.

Arbitration

In arbitration, a neutral person called an "arbitrator" hears arguments and evidence from each side and then decides the outcome of the dispute. Arbitration is typically less formal than a trial, and the rules of evidence may be relaxed. Arbitration may be either "binding" or "non-binding." Binding arbitration means the parties waive their right to a trial and agree to accept the arbitrator's decision as final. Non-binding arbitration means that the parties are free to request a trial if they reject the arbitrator's decision.

Arbitration is best for cases where the parties want another person to decide the outcome of their dispute for them but would like to avoid the formality, time, and expense of a trial. It may also be appropriate for complex matters where the parties want a decision-maker who has training or experience in the subject matter of the dispute.

Mandatory Settlement Conference (MSC)

Settlement Conferences are appropriate in any case where settlement is an option. Mandatory Settlement Conferences are ordered by the Court and are often held near the date a case is set for trial. The parties and their attorneys meet with a judge who devotes his or her time exclusively to preside over the MSC. The judge does not make a decision in the case but assists the parties in evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of the case and in negotiating a settlement.

The Los Angeles Superior Court Mandatory Settlement Conference (MSC) program is free of charge and staffed by experienced sitting civil judges who devote their time exclusively to presiding over MSCs. The judges participating in the judicial MSC program and their locations are identified in the List of Settlement Officers found on the Los Angeles Superior Court website at http://www.lacourt.org/. This program is available in general jurisdiction cases with represented parties from independent calendar (IC) and Central Civil West (CCW) courtrooms. In addition, on an ad hoc basis, personal injury cases may be referred to the program on the eve of trial by the personal injury master calendar courts in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse or the asbestos calendar court in CCW.

In order to access the Los Angeles Superior Court MSC Program the judge in the IC courtroom, the CCW Courtroom or the personal injury master calendar courtroom must refer the parties to the program. Further, all parties must complete the information requested in the Settlement Conference Intake Form and email the completed form to mscdept18@lacourt.org.

Additional Information

To locate a dispute resolution program or neutral in your community:

- Contact the California Department of Consumer Affairs (<u>www.dca.ca.gov</u>) Consumer Information Center toll free at 800-952-5210, or;
- Contact the local bar association (http://www.lacba.org/) or;
- Look in a telephone directory or search online for "mediators; or "arbitrators."

There may be a charge for services provided by private arbitrators and mediators.

A list of approved State Bar Approved Mandatory Fee Arbitration programs is available at http://calbar.ca.gov/Attorneys/MemberServices/FeeArbitration/ApprovedPrograms.aspx#19

To request information about, or assistance with, dispute resolution, call the number listed below. Or you may call a Contract Provider agency directly. A list of current Contract Provider agencies in Los Angeles County is available at the link below.

http://css.lacounty.gov/programs/dispute-resolution-program-drp/

County of Los Angeles Dispute Resolution Program 3175 West 6th Street, Room 406 Los Angeles, CA 90020-1798 TEL: (213) 738-2621

FAX: (213) 386-3995

Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES** CONFORMED COPY COURTHOUSE ADDRESS ORIGINAL FILED 111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012 Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles AUG 22 2018 NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk UNLIMITED CIVIL - CLASS ACTION/COMPLEX By: Rita Nazaryan, Deputy CASE NUMBER: BC717321

THIS FORM IS TO BE SERVED WITH THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

Your case is assigned for all purposes to the judicial officer indicated below.

	ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM	35	ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM
	Hon. Elihu M. Berle	6	211				
	Hon. William F. Highberger	10	10				
	Hon. John Shepard Wiley, Jr.	9	9				
	Hon. Kenneth Freeman	14	14				
	Hon. Ann Jones	11	11				
	Hon. Maren E. Nelson	17	17				
X	Hon. Carolyn B. Kuhl	12	12				
				2. 2.			
-							
-							
					Hon. Brian S. Currey	15	15
					*Provisional complex (non-class action) case assignment pending complex determination	14	Supervising Judge 14

Given to the	Plaintiff/Cross-Complainant/Attorney of	Record SHERRI R. CAF	SHERRI R. CARTER, Executive Officer/Cle		
on	AUG 2 1 2018	Ву	RITA NAZARYAN	, Deputy Clerk	
LACIV 190 (Rev 12	NOTICE OF CASE A	SSIGNMENT - UNLIMITE	D CIVIL CASE		

LASC Approved 05/06

INSTRUCTIONS FOR HANDLING UNLIMITED CIVIL CASES

The following critical provisions of the California Rules of Court, Title 3, Division 7, as applicable in the Superior Court, are summarized for your assistance.

APPLICATION

The Division 7 Rules were effective January 1, 2007. They apply to all general civil cases.

PRIORITY OVER OTHER RULES

The Division 7 Rules shall have priority over all other Local Rules to the extent the others are inconsistent.

CHALLENGE TO ASSIGNED JUDGE

A challenge under Code of Civil Procedure Section 170.6 must be made within 15 days after notice of assignment for all purposes to a judge, or if a party has not yet appeared, within 15 days of the first appearance.

TIME STANDARDS

Cases assigned to the Independent Calendaring Courts will be subject to processing under the following time standards:

COMPLAINTS

All complaints shall be served within 60 days of filing and proof of service shall be filed within 90 days.

CROSS-COMPLAINTS

Without leave of court first being obtained, no cross-complaint may be filed by any party after their answer is filed. Cross-complaints shall be served within 30 days of the filing date and a proof of service filed within 60 days of the filing date.

STATUS CONFERENCE

A status conference will be scheduled by the assigned Independent Calendar Judge no later than 270 days after the filing of the complaint. Counsel must be fully prepared to discuss the following issues: alternative dispute resolution, bifurcation, settlement, trial date, and expert witnesses.

FINAL STATUS CONFERENCE

The Court will require the parties to attend a final status conference not more than 10 days before the scheduled trial date. All parties shall have motions in limine, bifurcation motions, statements of major evidentiary issues, dispositive motions, requested form jury instructions, special jury instructions, and special jury verdicts timely filed and served prior to the conference. These matters may be heard and resolved at this conference. At least five days before this conference, counsel must also have exchanged lists of exhibits and witnesses, and have submitted to the court a brief statement of the case to be read to the jury panel as required by Chapter Three of the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

SANCTIONS

The court will impose appropriate sanctions for the failure or refusal to comply with Chapter Three Rules, orders made by the Court, and time standards or deadlines established by the Court or by the Chapter Three Rules. Such sanctions may be on a party, or if appropriate, on counsel for a party.

This is not a complete delineation of the Division 7 or Chapter Three Rules, and adherence only to the above provisions is therefore not a guarantee against the imposition of sanctions under Trial Court Delay Reduction. Careful reading and compliance with the actual Chapter Rules is imperative.

Class Actions

Pursuant to Local Rule 2.3, all class actions shall be filed at the Stanley Mosk Courthouse and are randomly assigned to a complex judge at the designated complex courthouse. If the case is found not to be a class action it will be returned to an Independent Calendar Courtroom for all purposes.

*Provisionally Complex Cases

Cases filed as provisionally complex are initially assigned to the Supervising Judge of complex litigation for determination of complex status. If the case is deemed to be complex within the meaning of California Rules of Court 3.400 et seq., it will be randomly assigned to a complex judge at the designated complex courthouse. If the case is found not to be complex, it will be returned to an Independent Calendar Courtroom for all purposes.

CHUEURWED COSA MATERN LAW GROUP, PC MATTHEW J. MATERN (SBN 159798) Superior Court of California 2 | JOSHUA D. BOXER (SBN 226712) ROY K. SUH (SBN 283988) AUG 3 1 2018 1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200 Manhattan Beach, California 90266 Sherri R. Garter, executive utilicer/Cler Telephone: (310) 531-1900 Ru: Rita Mararuan Danut. Facsimile: (310) 531-1901 Attorneys for Plaintiff ADRIENNE LIGGINS individually and on behalf of others similarly situated and aggrieved 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 10 ADRIENNE LIGGINS individually and on Case No. BC717321 behalf of others similarly situated and 12 aggrieved [Assigned for all purposes to the Honorable Carolyn B. Kuhl, Dept. 12] 13 Plaintiff, NOTICE OF DEPOSIT OF ADVANCE 14 JURY FEE BY PLAINTIFF ADRIENNE vs. LIGGINS GMRI, INC., a Florida corporation doing 15 business as Olive Garden Italian Restaurant: August 22, 2018 Action Filed: OLIVE GARDEN HOLDINGS, LLC, a Trial Date: None Set Florida limited liability company; OLIVE GARDEN, LLC, a California limited liability 17 Company; DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC., 18 | a Florida corporation; OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RÉSTAURANT – MANHATTAN 19 BEACH, an entity of unknown form; and OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT - HUNTINGTON BEACH, an entity of 20 unknown form; and DOES 1 through 50, 21 inclusive. 22 Defendants. 23 24 25 26 27

28

TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 631(b), Plaintiff ADRIENNE LIGGINS hereby deposits an advance jury fee in the amount of \$150.00 in the above-captioned matter. Dated: August 28, 2018 MATERN LAW GROUP, PC By: JOSKUA D. BOXER ROY K. SUH Attorneys for Plaintiff ADRIENNE LIGGINS individually and on behalf of others similarly situated and aggrieved

			•				Supor Reserved for client's Staff	
		SUPERIOR COURT OF COUNTY OF LOS A			*****		Condition	p
COL	RT AD	DRESS:	****				AUG 3 1 2018	
312	N. S	pring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012					40.45	(0)
PLA	NTIFF:			<u> </u>		S	nerri R. Carter, Executive Office	r/Gierk
Α	drieni	ne Liggins					By: Rita Nazarvan, Deputy	·
DEF	ENDAN	IT:						
(GMRI	I, Inc. et al.						
		CIVIL DEPOSIT	•				CASE NUMBER: BC717321	
CLE	RK: PF	REPARE A FORM FOR EACH DEPOSITOR PAYING	SEPARATEL	.Y				
		REPORT TO THE CLERK'S OFFICE/CASHIEF 102, Central Civil Clerk's Office , Room_		_	De	partmer	nt Number <u>95</u> /2	
		tribution Codes	Amt Due		Distrib	ution Cod	es	Amt Due
	251	DAILY JURY FEES Dates:			74	DEPO:	SIT IN TRUST	
		# of day(s)x\$				<u> </u>		
X	72	JURY FEES Trial Date:			101	FIRST P	APERS- AL JURISDICTION	
		(Initial Deposit) \$150.00		<u> </u>	101	FIDST	APERS-LIMITED OVER \$10,000	
Ш	252	Dates:			101		elaration Limited to \$10,000	
		# of 1/ 2 day(s)x\$			141		P 6322.1(a))	
		Full Day		1 🗆	130	Limited t	o \$10,000	
П	721	SANCTIONS ORDERED ON		十一	211	RECLAS	SIFICATION FEE	
		Date:						
$\overline{\Box}$	213	MOTIONS/APPLICATION TO CONT. HEARING		+	150	COMPLE	EX LITIGATION TRIAL/PLAINTIFF	
\sqsubseteq						ļ		
ᆜ	200	MOTIONS/APPLICATION TO CONT.TRIAL		ᆜ	151	COMPLI	EX LITIGATION TRIAL/DEFENDANT	
Ц		Other:						<u> </u>
	To t		rtified Check/	•			Credit Card	
		On or Before	🗆	Forthy	vith			
	Pay	ment will be made by X Plaintiff Adrienne Li	ggins	П	Defenda	ınt		
		·	JOHN A. CLA	ARKE, E	xecutive	Officer/CI	erk	
		DATE _August 31, 2018	BY:					
			***************************************	С	eputy Cla	erk	** * , / · ,	
		TO BE COMPLETED BY DEPOS	ITOR				CASHIER'S VALIDATION	
	Depos	sitor's Name:						
		sitor's Name: Matern Law Group, PC						
		laintiff in Pro Per Defendant in Pro Per						
	X C	ounsel for X Plaintiff Adrienne Lig	gins ne of Party			-		
		Defendant				_		
А	ddress	of depositor 1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suit	ne of Party e 200					
		Manhattan Beach, California						
		City/State/Zip	70200					

CIV 083 03-04 (Rev. 05/06) LASC Approved

CIVIL DEPOSIT

Distribution: Original - Case File Copy-Customer

SEP 2 4 2018

Registered Agent • Director • Incorporation

Corporate Creations Network Inc. 11380 Prosperity Farms Road #221E, Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410

September 25, 2018

GMRI, Inc. Terry Carter Darden Restaurants, Inc. 1000 Darden Center Drive ORLANDO FL 32837

SERVICE OF PROCESS NOTICE

The following is a courtesy summary of the enclosed document(s). ALL information should be verified by you.

Note: Any questions regarding the substance of the matter described below, including the status or to whom or where to respond, should be directed to the person set forth in line 12 below or to the court or government

agency where the matter is being heard. Item: 2018-3020 1. Client Entity: GMRI, Inc. 2. Title of Action: Adrienne Liggins Individually and on Behalf of Others Similarly Situated and Aggrieved vs. GMRI, Inc., a Florida Corporation Doing Business as Olive Garden Italian, Et al. 3. Document(s) Served: Summons Complex-Class Action Civil Case Cover Sheet Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement Of Location 4. Court/Agency: Los Angeles County Superior Court 5. State Served: California 6. Case Number: BC717321 7. Case Type: Failure To Provide Required Meal Periods 8. Method of Service: Hand Delivered 9. Date Received: Friday 9/21/2018 10. Date to Client: Tuesday 9/25/2018 CAUTION: Client is solely responsible for verifying the accuracy of the estimated Answer Due Date. To avoid missing a crucial deadline, we recommend immediately confirming in writing with opposing counsel that the date of service in their records matches the Date Received. 11. # Days When Answer Due: 30 Answer Due Date: 10/21/2018 12. SOP Sender: Matthew J. Matern (Name, Address and Phone Number) Manhattan Beach, CA 310-531-1900 13. Shipped to Client By: Priority Mail and Email with PDF Link 14. Tracking Number: Not Applicable 15. Handled By: 051 16. Notes: Also Attached: * Voluntary Efficient Litigation Stipulations * Stipulation- Early Organizational Meeting, Etc.

NOTE: This notice and the information above is provided for general informational purposes only and should not be considered a legal opinion. The client and their legal counsel are solely responsible for reviewing the service of process and verifying the accuracy of all information. At Corporate Creations, we take pride in developing systems that effectively manage risk so our clients feel comfortable with the reliability of our service. We always deliver service of process so our clients avoid the risk of a default judgment. As registered agent, our role is to receive and forward service of process. To decrease risk for our clients, it is not our role to determine the merits of whether service of process is valid and effective. It is the role of legal counsel to assess whether service of process is invalid or defective. Registered agent services are provided by Corporate Creations Network Inc.

AMENDED SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

Ţ,

5

GMRI, INC., a Florida corporation doing business as Olive Garden Italian Restaurant; OLIVE GARDEN HOLDINGS, LLC, a Florida

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

ADRIENNE LIGGINS, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated and aggrieved

COPY

SUM-100

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

CONFORMED COPY
ORIGINAL FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

SEP 20 2018

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Gourt By: Isaac Lovo, Deputy

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being licard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Heip Center (www.courtinfo.cu.gov/selfiteip), your county law library, or the courthouse noarest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver-form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, hinney, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can tocate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web alte (www.lewhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/self/help), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and coats on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. IAVISOI Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentre de 30 dias, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Les la información a

Continuacion.

Tiene 80 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en está corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carte o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formalo legal correcto sí desee que procesen su cáso en la corte. Es posible que heya un formulario que ustar para su respuesta.

Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información ne la Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de Celifornia (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la hibitates de la corte y contrar que la cuada mán como. Si no cuada de care la corte de la corte y la cuada mán como. biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede més cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuote de presentación, pida el socretado de la corte que le de un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a flempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendablo que liame e un abogado inmodiatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede liamer a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumple con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratutos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lavhreipcalitomia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.aucorta.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contecto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclemer las cuolas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte anles de que la corte pueda deseghar el caso.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Los Angeles Superior Court I 11 North Hill Street-Central District	CASE NUMBER: (Númbro del Caso): BC717321
Los Angeles California 90012-3014	

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Matthew J. Matern, Esq., 1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200, Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 (310) 531-1900

DATE: (Fèche)				2018	SHERRI R. CARTER Clerk, by [Socrotario] I Sanc Low (Adjunto)
(For proof o (Para prueb	f service (a de entr	of (f ege	de de	esta cita	i, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).) tión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)).
[[SEVF]	·			1. [2. [3. [on behalf of (specify): DO ING. BUSINESS AS OLIVE GARDEN Inder: A CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416 40 (association or partnership) CCP 416.90 (authorized person) other (specify):
	•			* 4. L	by personal delivery on (date);

Form Autopied for Mandalory Usin Judicial Council of California (Rov. July 1 2006)

AMENDED SUMMONS

Code of Civil Protecture 56 412 20, 485 www.courling.ca you

£

COPY

		CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name. State Ba Matthew J. Matern (SBN 159798); Joshua	number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
MATERN LAW GROUP, PC	D. Boxer (3BN 220712)	
1230 Rosecrans Ave., Suite 200	•	CCIN CHIME CUPT
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266	5 (210) 521 1001	OHIGINAL FILES
TELEPHONE NO.: (310) 531-1900 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff Adrienne L	FAX NO.: (310) 531-1901	Superior Court of California County of the Angelor
		- Joggan - J
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF L STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Stree		AUG 22 2018
MAILING ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Stree		MOD Z # 2016
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90		
BRANCH NAME: Central District	V 1	Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk
CASE NAME:		By: Alta Nažaryon, Deputy
Adrienne Liggins v. GMRI, INC., e	t al	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:
✓ Unijmited Limited		BC717321
(Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defende	ant JUDGE:
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)		DEPT:
	low must be completed (see instructions o	n page 2).
1. Check one box below for the case type the	-	
Auto Tort		Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)
Auto (22)-		<u> </u>
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrusl/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort Asbestos (04)	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Product liability (24)	U Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
f	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse L condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the, above listed provisionally complex case
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	Wrongful eviction (33)	lypes (41)
Non-Pl/PD/WD (Other) Tort		inforcement of Judgment
Business tor/unfair business practice (07	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Civil rights (08)		* * ,
Defamation (13)	Residential (32)	liscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)		RIGO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	U Drugs (38) Judicial Review	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Asset forfeiture (05)	liscellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
✓ Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
		as of Court If the coop is complete most this
 This case	•	es of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
a. Large number of separately repre		of witnesses
b. Extensive motion practice raising		rith related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consuming		es, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of documenta		stjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a		claratory or injunctive relief cpunitive
 Number of causes of action (specify): El 	` '	
	ss action suit.	
If there are any known related cases, file a	and serve, a notice of related case. You h	ay use form CM-015.)
Date: August 22, 2018	, 11	
Joshua D. Boxer		
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	NOTICE/ ISS	NATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the	first paper filed in the action or proceeding	(except small claims cases or cases filed
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or	Welfare and Institutions Code) (Cal. Rule:	s of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
in sanctions. • File this cover sheet in addition to any cov	or sheet required by local court	
 File this cover sneet in addition to any cov If this case is complex under rule 3 400 et 	seo, of the California Rules of Court vou i	must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
other parties to the action or proceeding		
 Unless this is a collections case under rule 	a 3 740 or a complex case, this cover shee	t will be used for statistical purposes only.

CM-010

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2,30 and 3,220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

```
Auto Tort
```

Auto (22)—Personal Injury/Property
Damage/Wrongful Death
Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the
case involves an uninsured
motorist claim subject to
arbitration, check this item
instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

٤

Asbestos (04)
Asbestos Property Damage
Asbestos Personal Injury/
Wrongful Death
Product Liability (not asbestos or
toxic/environmental) (24)
Medical Malpractice (45)
Medical Malpractice—
Physicians & Surgeons
Other Professional Health Care
Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23)
Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of

Emotional Distress
Negligent Infliction of
Emotional Distress
Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

(13) Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19)
Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment Wrongful Term

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)

Breach of Rental/Lease
Contract (not unlawful detainer
or wrongful eviction)
Contract/Warranty Breach—Seller
Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)
Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warranty
Other Breach of Contract/Warranty
Collections (e.g., money owed, open
book accounts) (09)

Collection Case—Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18)

Auto Subrogation Other Coverage

Other Contract (37)
Contractual Fraud
Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)

Residential (32) Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal

drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11) Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal–Labor Commissioner Appeals Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400–3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)
Construction Defect (10)
Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)
Securities Litigation (28)
Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Environmental/Toxic Tort (30) Insurance Coverage Claims

(arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20)
Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)

Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations) Sister State Judgment Administrative Agency Award

(not unpaid taxes)
Petition/Certification of Entry of
Judgment on Unpaid Taxes
Other Enforcement of Judgment

Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment) Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint
Case (non-tort/non-complex)

Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified above) (43)
Civil Harassment

Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult

Abuse Election Contest

Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late Claim

Other Civil Petition

COPY

SHORT ITLE. Adrienne Liggins v. GMRI, INC., et al.	CASE NUMBER BC717881

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

·	
This form iş required pursuant to Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil çâ	se fillings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.
Item I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hear JURY TRIAL? YES CLASS ACTION? YES LIMITED CASE? YES	
Item II. Indicate the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps - If	you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4):
Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet form, find the case in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A, the Civil C	
Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below	which best describes the nature of this case.
Step 3: In Column C, circle the reason for the court location choice to checked. For any exception to the court location, see Local Rule 2.0.	that applies to the type of action you have
Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Lo	ecation (see Column C below)
1. Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, central district. 2. May be filed in central (other county, or no bodily injury/property damage). 3. Localion where cause of action arose. 4. Localion where bodily injury, death or damage occurred. 5. Localion where performance required or defendant resides.	o. Location of property of permanently garaged véhicle. 7: Location: where petitioner resides. 8: Location: wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly. 9: Location: where one or more of the parties reside. 10. Location; of Labor Commissioner Office

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

1		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	more the Barrens between the . Let any o				
	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action. (Check only one)	Applicable Reasons See Siep 3 Above				
Auto Tort	Auto (22)	☐ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.				
	Uninsured Motorist (46)	otorist (46)					
<i>≩</i> • +-	Asbesios (04)	s (04) □ A§070 Asbesios Property Damage □ A7221 Asbesios - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death					
Proper ath To	Product Liability (24)	A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3 4., 8.				
Other Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Medical Malpractice (45)	ical Malpractice (45) A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice					
	Other Personal Injury Properly Damage Wrongful Death (23)	 □ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) □ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) □ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress □ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death 	1., 4. 1., 4, 1., 3. 1., 4.				

SHORT TITLE: Adrienne Liggins v. GMRI, INC., et al.

CASE NUMBER

Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort

÷

Employment

Contract

Real Property

Unlawful Detainer

tanerine eiggins v. Owitt,		-,		
GiviliCasa Gover Sheet GalegoryiNo			E Tivre di Adjori (Glieckonly orte)	C Applicable Reasons Sea Step S/Above
Business Tort (07)	l	A6029	1., 3.	
Civil Rights (08)	0	A6005	Civil Rights/Discrimination	1 ₄₇ 2 ₇ , 3.
Defamation (13)	0	A6010	Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3,
Fraud (16)	0	A6013	Fraud (no contract)	1., 2,, 3.
Professional Negligence (25)			Legal Malpractice Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3. 1,, 2., 3.
Other (35)	_	A6025	Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2,,3,
Wrongful Termination (36)	0	A6037	Wrongful Termination	1,, 2., 3.
Other Employment (15)	•		Other Employment Complaint Case Labor Commissioner Appeals	① 2., 3. 10.
	o o	A6004	Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)	2., 5.
Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06)	o	A6008	Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence)	2, 5,
(not insurance)	п	A6019	Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud)	1, 2, 5,
,		A6028	Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)	1 ₋₁ 2 ₋₁₁ 5 ₄
		A6002	Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff	2:, 5., 6.
Collections (09)	0	A6012	Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2. _r 5.
Insurance Coverage (18)		A6015	Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1,, 2,, 5,, 8,
		A6009	Contractual Fraud	1., 2., 3., 5.
Other Contract (37)		A6031	Tortious Interference	1,, 2., 3,, 5,
		A6027	Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 8.
Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	0	A7300	Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
Wrongful Eviction (33)	Vrongful Eviction (33)		2., 6.	
	D	A6018	Mortgage Foreclosure	2., 6.
Other Real Property (26)		A6032	Quiet Title	2., 6,
, , ,		A6060	Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	2., 6.
Unfawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	D	A6021	Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6,
Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)		A6020	Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)		A6020F	FUnlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2., 6,
Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)		A6022	Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2,, 6,

SHORT TITLE:
Adrienne Liggins v. GMRI, INC., et al.

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	(Gheckonly one)	Appilčáble Reasons - SeesStep 3 Above "
Asset Forfeiture (05)	☐ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
Petition re Arbitration (11)	☐ A6115 Petition to Compet/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.
Writ of Mandate (02)	□ A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus □ A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Malter □ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2., 8. 2. 2.
Other Judicial Review (39)	☐ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2.,8.
Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	☐ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
Construction Defect (10)	☐ A6007 Construction Defect	1,, 2,, 3,
Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	☐ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1,,2,,8,
Securities Litigation (28)	☐ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1,,,2,,,8,,
Texic Tort Environmental (30)	A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	☐ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1,, 2,, 5,, 8,
Enforcement of Judgment (20)	□ A6141 Sister State Judgment □ A6160 Abstract of Judgment □ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) □ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) □ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax □ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8., 9.
RICO (27)	☐ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8,
Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	□ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only □ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) □ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) □ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8. 2., 8, 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	☐ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	☐ A6121 Civil Harassment ☐ A6123 Workplace Harassment ☐ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case ☐ A6190 Election Contest ☐ A6110 Petition for Change of Name ☐ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8. 2., 9.
	Asset Forfeiture (05) Petition re Arbitration (11) Writ of Mandate (02) Other Judicial Review (39) Antifrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28) Toxic Tort Environmental (30) Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41) Enforcement of Judgment (20) RICO (27) Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42) Partnership Corporation Governance (21) Other Petitions (Not Specified Above)	Petition re Arbitration (11) As115 Petition to Compet/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration Writ of Mandate (02) As115 Petition to Compet/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration As115 Virit - Administrative Mandamus As115 Writ - Administrative Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter As115 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review As115 Writ - Other Writ Judicial Review As115 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review As115 Writ - Other Writt Judicial Review As115 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review As115 Writ - Other Writt Judicial Review As116 Writt - Other Writt Judicial Review As117 Writt - Other Securities Liligation Case As117 Writt - Other Securities Liligation Case As117 Writt - Other Securities Liligation Case As118 Writt - Other Securities Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) As117 Writt - Other Securities Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) As117 Writt - Other Securities Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) As117 Writt - Other Securities Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) As118 Writt - Other Enforcement of Judgment Case (non-tort/non-complex) As118 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment Case (non-tort/non-complex) As118 Petition/Certificate Alouse Governance Case Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (As118 Petition Contest As124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case As190 Election Contest As110 Petition for Change of Name

S	SHORT TITLE: Adrienne Liggins v. GMRI,	INC., et a	ol.		CASE NUMBER
					ence or place of business, performance, or other for filing in the court location you selected.
				ADDRESS:	
1	REASON: Check the appropriate boxes under Column C for the type of action th this case.			2610 N. Sepulveda Blvd.	
'	☑1. □2. □3. □4. □5. □6. □7. □8. □9. □10.			_	
	сіту:	STATE:	ZIP CODE:		
	Manhattan Beach	CA	90266		
	and correct and that the above-entitle	d matter is	properly file	d for assignment to	of the State of California that the foregoing is true the Stanley Mosk courthouse in the ngeles [Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and Local
,	Rule 2.0, subds. (b), (c) and (d)].				
	Dated: 8/22/18			(Milker
	Dated.,			, en	SNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)
				(
	PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT	ITEMS C	OMPLETED	AND READY TO	-BÉ FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY
	1. Original Complaint or Petition	on.			

- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
- Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 03/11).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- 6. A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

VOLUNTARY EFFICIENT LITIGATION STIPULATIONS



Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles



Los Angeles County Bar Association Litigation Section

Los Angeles County Bar Association Labor and Employment Law Section





Southern California Defense Counsel





California Employment Lawyers Association

The Early Organizational Meeting Stipulation, Discovery Resolution Stipulation, and Motions in Limine Stipulation are voluntary stipulations entered into by the parties. The parties may enter into one, two, or all three of the stipulations; however, they may not alter the stipulations as written, because the Court wants to ensure uniformity of application. These stipulations are meant to encourage cooperation between the parties and to assist in resolving issues in a manner that promotes economic case resolution and judicial efficiency.

The following organizations endorse the goal of promoting efficiency in litigation and ask that counsel consider using these stipulations as a voluntary way to promote communications and procedures among counsel and with the court to fairly resolve issues in their cases.

- **♦**Los Angeles County Bar Association Litigation Section**♦**
 - ◆ Los Angeles County Bar Association Labor and Employment Law Section ◆
 - **♦**Consumer Attorneys Association of Los Angeles **♦**
 - ◆Southern California Defense Counsel◆
 - ♦ Association of Business Trial Lawyers ♦
 - ◆California Employment Lawyers Association◆

NAI	HE AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
		£	
			,
_		O, (Optional):	
	MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name):		
_	UPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, C	OUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
CC	URTHOUSE ADDRESS:		
PL	AINTIFF:		
	CCAID AAIT.		
05	FENDANT:		
<u> </u>	OTIPILI ATION FARINGE OF CANIF	7471414	CASE NUMBER:
	STIPULATION – EARLY ORGANIZ	ZATIONAL MEETING	

This stipulation is intended to encourage cooperation among the parties at an early stage in the litigation and to assist the parties in efficient case resolution.

The parties agree that:

- The parties commit to conduct an initial conference (in-person or via teleconference or via videoconference) within 15 days from the date this stipulation is signed, to discuss and consider whether there can be agreement on the following:
 - a. Are motions to challenge the pleadings necessary? If the issue can be resolved by amendment as of right, or if the Courf would allow leave to amend, could an amended complaint resolve most or all of the issues a demurrer might otherwise raise? If so, the parties agree to work through pleading issues so that a demurrer need only raise issues they cannot resolve. Is the issue that the defendant seeks to raise amenable to resolution on demurrer, or would some other type of motion be preferable? Could a voluntary targeted exchange of documents or information by any party cure an uncertainty in the pleadings?
 - b. Initial mutual exchanges of documents at the "core" of the litigation. (For example, in an
 employment case, the employment records, personnel file and documents relating to the
 conduct in question could be considered "core." In a personal injury case, an incident or
 police report, medical records, and repair or maintenance records could be considered
 "core.");
 - c. Exchange of names and contact information of witnesses;
 - d. Any insurance agreement that may be available to satisfy part or all of a judgment, or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy a judgment;
 - e. Exchange of any other information that might be helpful to facilitate understanding, handling, or resolution of the case in a manner that preserves objections or privileges by agreement;
 - f. Controlling issues of law that, if resolved early, will promote efficiency and economy in other phases of the case. Also, when and how such issues can be presented to the Court;
 - g. Whether or when the case should be scheduled with a settlement officer, what discovery or court ruling on legal issues is reasonably required to make settlement discussions meaningful, and whether the parties wish to use a sitting judge or a private mediator or other options as

SHORT TITL	£:	CASE NUMBER:	
	discussed in the "Alternative Dispute Recomplaint;	solution (ADR) Information Package" served with the	
h.	Computation of damages, including docu which such computation is based;	ments, not privileged or protected from disclosure, on	
i.			
2.	tofor the comp	plaint, and for the cross-	
	and the 30 days permitted by Code of been found by the Civil Supervising Judg this Stipulation. A copy of the General C	Civil Procedure section 1054(a), good cause having ge due to the case management benefits provided by Order can be found at www.lacourt.org under "Civil",	
3.	and Early Organizational Meeting Stipul results of their meet and confer and adversion of the case	ation, and if desired, a proposed order summarizing rising the Court of any way it may assist the parties' e. The parties shall attach the Joint Status Report to	
4,	any act pursuant to this stipulation falls or	n a Saturday, Sunday or Court holiday, then the time	
The fo	ollowing parties stipulate:		
Date:		>	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)	
JUIG.	TANDE OR DONNEY MANAGE	> (ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)	
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)	
Date:		>	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR)	
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR)	
		tion of damages, including documents, not privileged or protected from disclosure, on h computation is based; the case is suitable for the Expedited Jury Trial procedures (see information at burt.org under "Civil" and then under "General Information"). for a defending party to respond to a complaint or cross-complaint will be extended for the complaint, and	

	NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY;	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
I		<u> </u>	
I			
	TELEPHONE NO.: FAX NO. (O E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	plional):	
	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COU	NTY OF LOS ANGELES	
	COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:		
l	PLAINTIFF:		
l	DEFENDANT:		
ļ			
	STIPULATION - DISCOVERY R	ESOLUTION	CASE ŅUMBER:
Ĺ			

This stipulation is intended to provide a fast and informal resolution of discovery issues through limited paperwork and an informal conference with the Court to aid in the resolution of the issues.

The parties agree that:

- 1. Prior to the discovery cut-off in this action, no discovery motion shall be filed or heard unless the moving party first makes a written request for an informal Discovery Conference pursuant to the terms of this stipulation.
- At the Informal Discovery Conference the Court will consider the dispute presented by parties and determine Whether it can be resolved informally. Nothing set forth herein will preclude a party from making a record at the conclusion of an Informal Discovery Conference, either orally or in Writing.
- 3. Following a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue to be presented, a party may request an informal Discovery Conference pursuant to the following procedures:
 - a. The party requesting the Informal Discovery Conference will:
 - i. File a Request for Informal Discovery Conference with the clerk's office on the approved form (copy attached) and deliver a courtesy, conformed copy to the assigned department;
 - ii. Include a brief summary of the dispute and specify the relief requested; and
 - iii. Serve the opposing party pursuant to any authorized or agreed method of service that ensures that the opposing party receives the Request for Informal Discovery Conference no later than the next court day following the filing.
 - b. Any Answer to a Request for Informal Discovery Conference must:
 - i. Also be filed on the approved form (copy attached);
 - ii. Include a brief summary of why the requested relief should be denied;

SHORT TITLE:		CASE NUMBER:
_	_	

- iii. Be filed within two (2) court days of receipt of the Request; and
- iv. Be served on the opposing party pursuant to any authorized or agreed upon method of service that ensures that the opposing party receives the Answer no later than the next court day following the filing.
- c. No other pleadings, including but not limited to exhibits, declarations, or attachments, will be accepted,
- d. If the Court has not granted or denied the Request for Informal Discovery Conference within ten (10) days following the filing of the Request, then it shall be deemed to have been denied. If the Court acts on the Request, the parties will be notified whether the Request for Informal Discovery Conference has been granted or denied and, if granted, the date and time of the Informal Discovery Conference, which must be within twenty (20) days of the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference.
- e. If the conference is not held within twenty (20) days of the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference, unless extended by agreement of the parties and the Court, then the Request for the Informal Discovery Conference shall be deemed to have been denied at that time.
- 4. If (a) the Court has denied a conference or (b) one of the time deadlines above has expired without the Court having acted or (c) the Informal Discovery Conference is concluded without resolving the dispute, then a party may file a discovery motion to address unresolved issues.
- 5. The parties hereby further agree that the time for making a motion to compel or other discovery motion is tolled from the date of filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference until (a) the request is denied or deemed denied or (b) twenty (20) days after the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference, whichever is earlier, unless extended by Order of the Court.
 - It is the understanding and intent of the parties that this stipulation shall, for each discovery dispute to which it applies, constitute a writing memorializing a "specific later date to which the propounding [or demanding or requesting] party and the responding party have agreed in writing," within the meaning of Code Civil Procedure sections 2030.300(c), 2031.320(c), and 2033.290(c).
- 6. Nothing herein will preclude any party from applying ex parte for appropriate relief, including an order shortening time for a motion to be heard concerning discovery.
- 7. Any party may terminate this stipulation by giving twenty-one (21) days notice of intent to terminate the stipulation.
- 8. References to "days" mean calendar days, unless otherwise noted. If the date for performing any act pursuant to this stipulation falls on a Saturday, Sunday or Court holiday, then the time for performing that act shall be extended to the next Court day.

Case 2:18-cv-09000 Document 1-2 Filed 10/18/18 Page 14 of 26 Page ID #:77

SHORT TITLE:			CASE NUMBER:
	,	•	
The follo	wing parties stipulate:		
Date:		>	
Data	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)
Date:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	>	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	>	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Data	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:		<u> </u>	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	≫	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	,	(ATTORNEY FOR)
Date.		_ >	- Control of the Cont
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	>	(ATTORNEY FOR)
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(ATTORNEY FOR)

NAME AND AD	DRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
	TELEPHONE NO.: FAX NO. (Op	tional):	
	DDRESS (Optional):		
	^{RNEY FOR (Name):} RIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUN		
	USE ADDRESS:		
PLAINTIFF	:		
DEFENDA	NŢ;		
	INFORMAL DISCOVERY CONI	FERENCE	CASE NUMBER:
	(pursuant to the Discovery Resolution Stipula	ition of the parties)	
1.	This document relates to:		
	Request for Informal Discovery	Conference	
	Answer to Request for Informal		
2.	Deadline for Court to decide on Request:	•	te 10 calendar days following filing of
	the Request).	(1100)	to 10 octoficial stayo following hillig, of
3.	Deadline for Court to hold Informal Discov	ery Conference:	(insert date 20 calendar
	days following filing of the Request).		
4.	For a Request for Informal Discovery		
	discovery dispute, including the facts		
	Request for Informal Discovery Confer		
	the requested discovery, including the f	acts and legal arguments	at issue.
			1
			1
	<u> </u>		
	* 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		***
	1		
			77
			1
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		***
	\$ \$		***
	1		***

			1
			Ť.
			**
			_
	*		·

CASE NUMBER:

This stipulation is intended to provide fast and informal resolution of evidentiary issues through diligent efforts to define and discuss such issues and limit paperwork.

The parties agree that:

- 1. At least ____ days before the final status conference, each party will provide all other parties with a list containing a one paragraph explanation of each proposed motion in limine. Each one paragraph explanation must identify the substance of a single proposed motion in limine and the grounds for the proposed motion.
- 2. The parties thereafter will meet and confer, either in person or via teleconference or videoconference, concerning all proposed motions in limine. In that meet and confer, the parties will determine:
 - a. Whether the parties can stipulate to any of the proposed motions. If the parties so stipulate, they may file a stipulation and proposed order with the Court.
 - b. Whether any of the proposed motions can be briefed and submitted by means of a short joint statement of issues. For each motion which can be addressed by a short joint statement of issues, a short joint statement of issues must be filed with the Court 10 days prior to the final status conference. Each side's portion of the short joint statement of issues may not exceed three pages. The parties will meet and confer to agree on a date and manner for exchanging the parties' respective portions of the short joint statement of issues and the process for filing the short joint statement of issues.
- 3. All proposed motions in limine that are not either the subject of a stipulation or briefed via a short joint statement of issues will be briefed and filed in accordance with the California Rules of Court and the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

Case 2:18-cv-09000 Document 1-2 Filed 10/18/18 Page 17 of 26 Page ID #:80

CASE NUMBER:
-
*
(ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)
>
(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
<i>x</i>
(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
*
⋟
(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
>
(ATTORNEY FOR
۶
(ATTORNEY FOR
>
(ATTORNEY FOR
JUDICIAL OFFICER

Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles



ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFORMATION PACKET

The person who files a civil lawsuit (plaintiff) must include the ADR information Packet with the complaint when serving the defendant. Cross-complainants must serve the ADR Information Packet on any new parties named to the action together with the cross-complaint.

There are a number of ways to resolve civil disputes without having to sue someone. These alternatives to a lawsuit are known as alternative dispute resolution (ADR).

In ADR, trained, impartial persons decide disputes or help parties decide disputes themselves. These persons are called neutrals. For example, in mediations, the neutral is the mediator. Neutrals normally are chosen by the disputing parties or by the court. Neutrals can help resolve disputes without having to go to court.

Advantages of ADR

- Often faster than going to trial
- Often less expensive, saving the litigants court costs, attorney's fees and expert fees.
- May permit more participation, allowing parties to have more control over the outcome.
- Allows for flexibility in choice of ADR processes and resolution of the dispute.
- Fosters cooperation by allowing parties to work together with the neutral to resolve the dispute and mutually agree to remedy.
- There are fewer, if any, court appearances. Because ADR can be faster and save money, it can reduce stress.

Disadvantages of ADR - ADR may not be suitable for every dispute.

- If ADR is binding, the parties normally give up most court protections, including a decision by a judge or jury under formal rules of evidence and procedure, and review for legal error by an appellate court.
- ADR may not be effective if it takes place before the parties have sufficient information to resolve the dispute.
- The neutral may charge a fee for his or her services.
- If the dispute is not resolved through ADR, the parties may then have to face the usual and traditional costs of trial, such as attorney's fees and expert fees.

The Most Common Types of ADR

Mediation

In mediation, a neutral (the mediator) assists the parties in reaching a mutually acceptable resolution of their dispute. Unlike lawsuits or some other types of ADR, the parties, rather than the mediator, decide how the dispute is to be resolved.

- Mediation is particularly effective when the parties have a continuing relationship, like neighbors or business people. Mediation is also very effective where personal feelings are getting in the way of a resolution. This is because mediation normally gives the parties a chance to express their feelings and find out how the other sees things.
- Mediation may not be effective when one party is unwilling to cooperate or compromise or when one of the parties has a significant advantage in power over the other. Therefore, it may not be a good choice if the parties have a history of abuse or victimization.

Arbitration

In arbitration, a neutral person called an "arbitrator" hears arguments and evidence from each side and then decides the outcome of the dispute. Arbitration is typically less formal than a trial, and the rules of evidence may be relaxed. Arbitration may be either "binding" or "non-binding." Binding arbitration means the parties waive their right to a trial and agree to accept the arbitrator's decision as final. Non-binding arbitration means that the parties are free to request a trial if they reject the arbitrator's decision.

Arbitration is best for cases where the parties want another person to decide the outcome of their dispute for them but would like to avoid the formality, time, and expense of a trial. It may also be appropriate for complex matters where the parties want a decision-maker who has training or experience in the subject matter of the dispute.

Mandatory Settlement Conference (MSC)

Settlement Conferences are appropriate in any case where settlement is an option. Mandatory Settlement Conferences are ordered by the Court and are often held near the date a case is set for trial. The parties and their attorneys meet with a judge who devotes his or her time exclusively to preside over the MSC. The judge does not make a decision in the case but assists the parties in evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of the case and in negotiating a settlement.

The Los Angeles Superior Court Mandatory Settlement Conference (MSC) program is free of charge and staffed by experienced sitting civil judges who devote their time exclusively to presiding over MSCs. The judges participating in the judicial MSC program and their locations are identified in the List of Settlement Officers found on the Los Angeles Superior Court website at http://www.lacourt.org/. This program is available in general jurisdiction cases with represented parties from independent calendar (IC) and Central Civil West (CCW) courtrooms. In addition, on an ad hoc basis, personal injury cases may be referred to the program on the eve of trial by the personal injury master calendar courts in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse or the asbestos calendar court in CCW.

In order to access the Los Angeles Superior Court MSC Program the judge in the IC courtroom, the CCW Courtroom or the personal injury master calendar courtroom must refer the parties to the program. Further, all parties must complete the information requested in the Settlement Conference Intake Form and email the completed form to mscdept18@lacourt.org.

Additional Information

To locate a dispute resolution program or neutral in your community:

- Contact the California Department of Consumer Affairs (<u>www.dca.ca.gov</u>) Consumer Information Center toll free at 800-952-5210, or;
- Contact the local bar association (http://www.lacba.org/) or;
- Look in a telephone directory or search online for "mediators; or "arbitrators."

There may be a charge for services provided by private arbitrators and mediators.

A list of approved State Bar Approved Mandatory Fee Arbitration programs is available at http://calbar.ca.gov/Attorneys/MemberServices/FeeArbitration/ApprovedPrograms.aspx#19

To request information about, or assistance with, dispute resolution, call the number listed below. Or you may call a Contract Provider agency directly. A list of current Contract Provider agencies in Los Angeles County is available at the link below.

http://css.lacounty.gov/programs/dispute-resolution-program-drp/

County of Los Angeles Dispute Resolution Program 3175 West 6th Street, Room 406 Los Angeles, CA 90020-1798

TEL: (213) 738-2621 FAX: (213) 386-3995

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:

111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012

NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT UNLIMITED CIVIL - CLASS ACTION/COMPLEX

Your case is assigned for all purposes to the judicial officer indicated below.

Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp

CONFORMED COPY
ORIGINAL FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

AUG 22 2018

Sherri R. Garler, Executive Officer/Clerk By: Hita Nazaryan, Deputy

CASE NUMBER:

BC717321

THIS FORM IS TO BE SERVED WITH THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

	ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM	35	ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM
	Hon, Elihu M. Berle	6	211			DELL	KOOIVI
	Hon. William F. Highberger	10	10	Same Same			
	Hon. John Shepard Wiley, Jr.	9	9			 	
	Hon. Kenneth Freeman	14	14				
	Hon. Ann Jones	11	11				
	Hon. Maren E. Nelson	17	17				
X	Hon. Carolyn B. Kuhl	12	12	装		<u> </u>	
-3; 							
							·
			100	\$			• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
							
				:			
				::-			
			·	3			
-				K.			
				·: _			-19.
				* -			
					Hon. Brian S. Currey	15	15
					*Provisional complex (non-class action) case assignment pending complex determination	14	Supervising Judge 14

Given to the Plaintiff/Cross-Complainant/Attorney of I	Record
--	--------

SHERRI R. CARTER, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court

an AUG 2 1 2018

By RITA NAZARYAN , Deputy Clerk

NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT - UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR HANDLING UNLIMITED CIVIL CASES

The following critical provisions of the California Rules of Court, Title 3, Division 7, as applicable in the Superior Court, are summarized for your assistance.

APPLICATION

The Division 7 Rules were effective January 1, 2007. They apply to all general civil cases,

PRIORITY OVER OTHER RULES

The Division 7 Rules shall have priority over all other Local Rules to the extent the others are inconsistent.

CHALLENGE TO ASSIGNED JUDGE

A challenge under Code of Civil Procedure Section 170.6 must be made within 15 days after notice of assignment for all purposes to a judge, or if a party has not yet appeared, within 15 days of the first appearance.

TIME STANDARDS

Cases assigned to the Independent Calendaring Courts will be subject to processing under the following time standards:

COMPLAINTS

All complaints shall be served within 60 days of filing and proof of service shall be filed within 90 days.

CROSS-COMPLAINTS

Without leave of court first being obtained, no cross-complaint may be filed by any party after their answer is filed. Gross-complaints shall be served within 30 days of the filing date and a proof of service filed within 60 days of the filing date.

STATUS CONFERENCE

A status conference will be scheduled by the assigned Independent Calendar Judge no later than 270 days after the filing of the complaint. Counsel must be fully prepared to discuss the following issues: alternative dispute resolution, bifurcation, settlement, trial date, and expert witnesses:

FINAL STATUS CONFERENCE

The Court will require the parties to attend a final status conference not more than 10 days before the scheduled trial date. All parties shall have motions in limine, bifurcation motions, statements of major evidentiary issues, dispositive motions, requested form jury instructions, special jury instructions, and special jury verdicts timely filed and served prior to the conference. These matters may be heard and resolved at this conference. At least five days before this conference, counsel must also have exchanged lists of exhibits and witnesses, and have submitted to the court a brief statement of the case to be read to the jury panel as required by Chapter Three of the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

SANCTIONS

The court will impose appropriate sanctions for the failure or refusal to comply with Chapter Three Rules, orders made by the Court, and time standards or deadlines established by the Court or by the Chapter Three Rules. Such sanctions may be on a party, or if appropriate, on counsel for a party.

This is not a complete delineation of the Division 7 or Chapter Three Rules, and adherence only to the above provisions is therefore not a guarantee against the imposition of sanctions under Trial Court Delay Reduction. Careful reading and compliance with the actual Chapter Rules is imperative.

Class Actions

Pursuant to Local Rule 2.3, all class actions shall be filed at the Stanley Mosk Courthouse and are randomly assigned to a complex judge at the designated complex courthouse. If the case is found not to be a class action it will be returned to an Independent Calendar Courtroom for all purposes.

*Provisionally Complex Cases

Cases filed as provisionally complex are initially assigned to the Supervising Judge of complex litigation for determination of complex status. If the case is deemed to be complex within the meaning of California Rules of Court 3.400 et seq., it will be randomly assigned to a complex judge at the designated complex courthouse. If the case is found not to be complex, it will be returned to an Independent Calendar Courtroom for all purposes.

CHNEORMED COPY MATERN LAW GROUP, PC Superior Court of California MATTHEW J. MATERN (SBN 159798) JOSHUA D. BOXER (SBN 226712) ROY K. SUH (SBN 283988) AUG 3 1 2018 1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200 Manhattan Beach, California 90266 Sherri R. Garter, Executive Utilicer/Cler Telephone: (310) 531-1900 Sv: Rita Nazaruan Banuti Facsimile: (310) 531-1901 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff ADRIENNE LIGGINS individually and on behalf of others similarly situated and aggrieved 7. 8: SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 10 ADRIENNE LIGGINS individually and on Case No. BC717321 behalf of others similarly situated and [Assigned for all purposes to the Honorable 12 aggrieved Carolyn B. Kuhl, Dept. 12] 13 Plaintiff. NOTICE OF DEPOSIT OF ADVANCE 14 JURY FEE BY PLAINTIFF ADRIENNE ys, LIGGINS GMRI, INC., a Florida corporation doing business as Olive Garden Italian Restaurant; Action Filed: August 22, 2018 OLIVE GARDEN HOLDINGS, LLC, a Trial Date: None Set Florida limited liability company; OLIVE GARDEN, LLC, a California limited liability 17 Company; DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC., a Florida corporation; OLIVE GARDEN 18 ITALIAN RESTAURANT – MANHATTAN 19 BEACH, an entity of unknown form; and OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT 20 - HUNTINGTON BEACH, an entity of unknown form; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, 21 22 Defendants. 23 24 25 26 27 28

TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 631(b), Plaintiff ADRIENNE LIGGINS hereby deposits an advance jury fee in the amount of \$150.00 in the above-captioned matter. Dated: August 28, 2018 MATERN LAW GROUP, PC By: OSICUAD. BOXER ROY K. SUH Attorneys for Plaintiff ADRIENNE LIGGINS individually and on behalf of others similarly situated and aggrieved

明·对西 ·

LASC Approved

•				CARLANTE FIRE	
SUPERIOR COURT OF	CALIFOR	NIA		SuporReserved for Glerk's Stam	þ
COUNTY OF LOS A	NGELES			_	
COURT ADDRESS:				AUG 3 1 2018	
312 N. Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012				Sperri R. Carter, Executive Office	r/Clerk
PLAINTIFF: Adrienne Liggins				By: Rita Nazaryan, Deputy	!
DEFENDANT:					
GMRI, Inc. et al.					
CIVIL DEPOSIT	Γ			BC717321	
CLERK: PREPARE A FORM FOR EACH DEPOSITOR PAYING	SEPARATELY	?		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
PLEASE REPORT TO THE CLERK'S OFFICE/CASHIEL Room 102, Central Civil Clerk's Office , Room		٠	X.	epartment Number $95/2$	
Distribution Codes	Amt Due	<u> </u>	Distrib	ution Codes	Amt Due
251 DAILY JURY FEES Dates:			74	DEPOSIT IN TRUST	
# of day(s)x\$					
72 JURY FEES		П	101	FIRST PAPERS-	
That Date,	,			GENERAL JURISDICTION	
(Initial Deposit) \$150,00		П	101	FIRST PAPERS-LIMITED OVER \$10,000	
Dates:			141	With declaration Limited to \$10,000 (per B&P 6322.1(a))	
# of 1/2 day(s)	,		130	Limited to \$10,000	
				RECLASSIFICATION FEE	-
Date:		🗀	211	RECLASSIFICATION FEE	
213 MOTIONS/APPLICATION TO CONT. HEARING			150	COMPLEX LITIGATION TRIAL/PLAINTIFF	<u> </u>
200 MOTIONS/APPLICATION TO CONT.TRIAL			151	COMPLEX LITIGATION TRIAL/DEFENDANT	
Other:		_			
	ertified Check/	Money	Order	Credit Card	
On or Before	ריי	Forthy	vith		
Payment will be made by A Plaintiff Adrienne L	JOHN A, CLA		Defenda		
DATE August 31, 2018	BY:	3, 11 ta, L	ACCULITY C	Onice of the Control	
	-	L.	eputy Ci	erk	
TO BE COMPLETED BY DEPOS	SITOR			CASHIER'S VALIDATION	
Depositor's Name: Matern Law Group, PC					
Plaintiff in Pro Per Defendant in Pro Per		·····			
X Counsel for X Plaintiff Adrienne Lig				_	
Na Defendant	me of Party				
Na Address of depositor	me of Party				
1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Sul	-				
Manhattan Beach, California	90266				
CIV 083 03-04 (Rev. 05/06)	(CIVIL	DEPOS	U SIT	

Distribution: Original - Case File Copy-Customer

SEP 2 1 2018

Registered Agent • Director • Incorporation

Corporate Creations Network Inc. 11380 Prosperity Farms Road #221E, Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410 September 25, 2018

Olive Garden Holdings, LLC Terry Carter Darden Restaurants, Inc. 1000 Darden Center Drive ORLANDO FL 32837

SERVICE OF PROCESS NOTICE

The following is a courtesy summary of the enclosed document(s). ALL information should be verified by you.

Note: Any questions regarding the substance of the matter described below, including the status or to whom or where to respond, should be directed to the person set forth in line 12 below or to the court or government agency where the matter is being heard.

Item: 2018-3019

agen	cy where the matter is being h	eard.	-	item: 2018-3019
1.	Client Entity:	Olive Garden Holdings, L	LC	
2.	Title of Action:	Adrienne Liggins Individu Inc., a Florida Corporation	ally and on Behalf of Others Similarly Situated and Ag n Doing Business as Olive Garden Italian, Et al.	grieved vs. GMRI,
3.	Document(s) Served:	Complex-Class Action Civil Case Cover Sheet	ddendum and Statement Of Location	
4.	Court/Agency:	Los Angeles County Supe	erior Court	
5.	State Served:	California		
6.	Case Number:	BC717321		
7.	Case Type:	Failure To Provide Requi	red Meal Periods	
8.	Method of Service:	Hand Delivered		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9.	Date Received:	Friday 9/21/2018		
10.	Date to Client:	Tuesday 9/25/2018		
11.	# Days When Answer Due:	30	CAUTION: Client is solely responsible for verifying the accuracy of Date. To avoid missing a crucial deadline, we recommend immedia with opposing counsel that the date of service in their records match	the estimated Answer Due tely confirming in writing
	Answer Due Date:	10/21/2018	with opposing counsel that the date of service in their records match	nes the Date Received.
12.	SOP Sender: (Name, Address and Phone Number)	Matthew J. Matern Manhattan Beach, CA 310-531-1900		
13.	Shipped to Client By:	Priority Mail and Email wi	th PDF Link	
14.	Tracking Number:	Not Applicable		
15.	Handled By:	051		
16.	Notes:	Also Attached: * Voluntary Efficient Litiga * Stipulation- Early Organ		

NOTE: This notice and the information above is provided for general informational purposes only and should not be considered a legal opinion. The client and their legal counsel are solely responsible for reviewing the service of process and verifying the accuracy of all information. At Corporate Creations, we take pride in developing systems that effectively manage risk so our clients feel comfortable with the reliability of our service. We always deliver service of process so our clients avoid the risk of a default judgment. As registered agent, our role is to receive and forward service of process. To decrease risk for our clients, it is not our role to determine the merits of whether service of process is valid and effective. It is the role of legal counsel to assess whether service of process is invalid or defective. Registered agent services are provided by Corporate Creations Network Inc.

BY FAX

COPY

ATTORNEY OR GARTY MATHOUT ATTORNEY WAS A		CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State B) Matthew J. Matern (SBN 159798); Joshuz MATERN LAW GROUP, PC	n D. Boxer (\$BN 226712)	FOR COURT USE ONLY
1 1230 Rosecrans Ave. Suite 200		
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266	•	Com June June
TELEPHONE No.: (310) 531-1900	; . fáx Nó: (310) 531-1901	ORIGINAL FILES Superior Court of California
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff Adrienne L	iggins	Carinty of the Anader
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF L STREET ADDRESS; 111 North Hill Stree	os Angeles	0 () 00.00
MAILING ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Stree	[· *	AUG 22 2018
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90	ኒ በ1 <i>ጋ</i>	
BRANCH NAME: Central District	Q12	Shërri R. Carier, Executive Officer/Clerk
CASE NAME;		By: Alta Nazaryan, Deputy
Adrienne Liggins v. GMRI, INC., e	fal	The state of the s
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET		CASE NUMBER:
✓ Unlimited Limited	Complex Case Designation	BC717321
(Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	NO. F. C. P.
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defendant	JUDGE:
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or Jess)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3,402)	DEPT:
tems 1≃6 bé.	low must be completed (see instructions on pa	ige 2).
Check one box below for the case type that Auto Tort	<u> </u>	
I P	Contract Provi	sionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22). Uninsured motorist (46)	[*]	Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)
	Rulė 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI[PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Ottier collectious (0á)	Construction defect (10)
Asbestos (04)	insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Product liability (24)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Medical malpractice (45):	Real Propérty Eminen) domain/Inverse	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Other PÎ/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
Non-PiiPDiWD (Other) Tort	Wropgful eviction (33)	types (41)
Business tor/unfair business practice (07)		cement of Judgment
Civil říghlá (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)	Commercial (3f) Misce	llaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RIGO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	. Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	llaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	I Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment		Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
✓ Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
2. This case is is not comp	lex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of	Court. If the case is complex, mark the
iactors requiring exceptional judicial manag	ement:	
a. Large number of separately repres	, =====================================	
b. Extensive motion practice raising of		elated actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consuming		ates, or countries, or in a federal court
c. 😾 Substantial amount of documentar	y evidence f Substantial postjude	gment judicial supervision
 Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.[✓ monetary b. ✓ nonmonetary: declara	tory or injunctive relief c. punitive
. Number of causes of action (specify): Ele	ven (11)	j i i j j i i i j j i i i i i i i i i i
	s action suit.	
. If there are any known related cases, file ar	id serve a notice of related case. You have	e form CM-Q15.)
Date: August 22, 2018	////	
oshua D. Boxer		\mathcal{N}
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	Sienyfor	OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
- Dispitiff much file this several share with the fi	NOTICE	
 Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the fir under the Probate Code, Family Code, or W 	st paper filed in the action or proceeding (exc elfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of C	ept small claims cases or cases filed
in sanctions.	\ /	our, rule 3.220.) railure to me may result
File this cover sheet in addition to any cover	sheet required by local court rule.	
If this case is complex under rule 3 400 et se other padies to the paties of presenting.		
other parties to the action or proceeding Unless this is a collections case under rule 3	3.740 or a complex case, this serves sheet will	ho used for statistical succession
Chicas this is a consectoris case thick fulle.	rigo or a complex case, this cover sheet will	used for statistical purposes only.

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

CM-010

-

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action, To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3,400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiffs designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury) Property Damage/Wrongful Death)

Asbestos (04)

Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injuryi Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24)

Medical Malpractice (45)

Medical Malpractice-

Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care

Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23)
Premises Liability (e.g., slip

and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism)

Intentional Infliction of **Emotional Distress**

Negligent Infliction of

Emotional Distress Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

(13)

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19)

Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)

Breach of Rental/Lease

Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)
Contract/Warranty Breach–Seller

Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence) Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty

Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09)

Collection Case—Seller Plaintiff
Other Promissory Note/Collections

Case Insurance Coverage (not provisionally

complex) (18) **Auto Subrogation**

Other Coverage

Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud

Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse

Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet tille) (26)

Writ of Possession of Real Property Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent

domain, landlord/tenant, or

foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise,

report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)
Writ-Administrative Mandamus

Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39)

Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal-Labor

Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3,400-3,403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)

Construction Defect (10)
Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)

Securities Litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims

(arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)

Confession of Judgment (non-

domestic relations)

Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)

Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified above) (42) Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)

Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition Partnership and Corporate

Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified

above) (43) Civil Harassment

Workplace Violence

Elder/Dependent Adult

Abuse

Election Contest

Petition for Name Change

Petition for Relief From Late

Claim

Other Civil Petition

SHORT TITLE.						
-	Adrienne	1 !!	^*	40.	14.14	
	Autienne.	LIGOIDS	V (→ it.	// 14/	11/11 -	

CASE NUMBER

BC717821

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.

Item I. Check the types	s of hearing and fill in	the estimated lengt	h of hear	ing expected for this case:
JURY TRIAL? VES	CLASS ACTION?	YES LIMITED CASE?	YES	TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 15-20 HOURS/ DAYS

Item II. Indicate the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps - If you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4):

Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet form, find the main Civil Case Cover Sheet heading for your case in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.

Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature of this case.

Step 3: In Column C, circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action you have checked. For any exception to the court location, see Local Rule 2.0.

Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)

- Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, central district. May be filed in central (other county, of no bodily injury/property damage).

 Location where cause of action arose.

 Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred.

 Location where performance required or defendant resides.
- 6. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.
 7. Location where pellitoner resides.
 8. Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.
 9. Location where one or more of the parties reside.
 10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office.

- Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	Type of Action (Check only one)	Applicable Reasons -
Auto Tort	Auto (22)	☐ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1,, 2,, 4,
₹Ĕ	Uninsured Motorist (46)	☐ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
ידן דר	Asbestos (04)	☐ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage ☐ A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2. 2.
Prope ath To	Product Liability (24)	A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3 4., 8.
nal Inju <i>nyl</i> ongful De	Medical Malpractice (45)	Medical Malpractice (45) □ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons □ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	
Other Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Other Personal Injury Properly Damage Wrongful Death (23)	A7250 Premises Liability (e.g. slip and fall) A7230 Intentional Rodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1 4. 1 4. 1 3. 1 4.

SHORT TITLE: Adrienne Liggins v. GMRI, INC., et al.

CASE NUMBER

Al Case Cover Sheel' A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract) **Business Tort (07)** 1...3. Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort Civil Rights (08) A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination 1, 2, 3, Defamation (13) A6010 Defamation (slander/libel) 1., 2., 3, Fraud (16) ☐ A6013 Fraud (no contract) 1., 2,, 3. ☐ A6017 Legal Malpractice 1,, 2,, 3, Professional Negligence (25) ☐ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal) 1, 2, 3, Olher (35) ☐ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort 2,,3, Employment Wrongful Termination (36) ☐ A6037 Wrongful Termination 1, 2, 3: A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case (1) 2., 3. Other Employment (15) A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals 10. A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful 2,, 5, Breach of Contract/ Warranty 2,, 5, A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) (06) (not insurance) 1, 2, 5, A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) 1., 2., 5. A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) Contract A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff 2:, 5,, 6, Collections (09) A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case 2, 5, Insurance Coverage (18) □ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex) 1, 2, 5, 8, A6009 Contractual Fraud 1., 2,, 3,, 5, Other Contract (37) A6031 Tortious Interference 1., 2., 3,, 5, A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligehce) 1,, 2,, 3,, 8, Eminent Domain/Inverse A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels 2: Condemnation (14) Real Property Wrongful Eviction (33) ☐ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case 2,, 6, ☐ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure 2., 6. Other Real Property (26) ☐ A6032 Quiet Title 2., 6, A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure) 2., 6. Unlawful Detainer-Commercial □ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction) 2., 6. (31)Unlawful Detainer-Residential ☐ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction) 2., 6. (32)

Unlawful Detainer

□ A6020F Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure

☐ A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs

2., 6,

2,, 6,

Unlawful Detainer-

Post-Foreclosure (34) Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38) SHORT TITLE: Adrienne Liggins v. GMRI, INC., et al.

	A Civil Case Gover Sheet Category No.	Type of Action ((Check only one)	Applicable Réasons - SeelStep 3/Above
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	☐ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2,, 6.
view	Petition re Arbitration (11)	☐ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2;;.5;
Judicial Review	Writ of Mandate (02)	☐ A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus ☐ A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter ☐ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2., 8. 2. 2.
	Other Judicial Review (39)	☐ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2,884
ion	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	☐ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1,,2,,,8,
Litigat	Construction Defect (10)	☐ A6007 Construction Defect	197 24,37
mplex l	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	☐ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
S Co	Securities Litigation (28)	☐ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1,1.2,1,8.
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	☐ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8
Pro	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	☐ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1,, 2,, 5,, 8.
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	 □ A6141 Sister State Judgment □ A6160 Abstract of Judgment □ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) □ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) □ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax □ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case 	2; 9, 2; 6, 2; 9. 2; 8. 2; 8. 2; 8.
s s	RICO (27)	□ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8,
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	 □ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only □ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) □ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) □ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex) 	1., 2., 8, 2., 8, 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8,
	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	☐ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	□ A6121 Civil Harassment □ A6123 Workplace Harassment □ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case □ A6190 Election Contest □ A6110 Petition for Change of Name □ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law □ A6100 Other Civil Petition	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8. 2., 9.
L.	. <u></u>		

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER
Adrienne Liggins v. GMRI, INC., et al.	
	<u> </u>

Item III. Statement of Location: Enter the address of the accident, party's residence or place of business, performance, or other circumstance indicated in Item II., Step 3 on Page 1, as the proper reason for filling in the court location you selected.

,	REASON: Check the appropriate boxes for the numbers shown under Column C for the type of action that you have selected for this case.		imbers shown ve selected for	ADDRESS: 2610 N. Sepulveda Blvd.
	☑1. □2. □3. □4. □5.	. □6. □7. □8. [□9. □10.	
	спу: Manhattan Beach	STATE:	ZIP CODE: 90266	
				erjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true of for assignment to the Stanley Mosk

District of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles [Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and Local,

Dated: 8/22/18

Rule 2.0, subds. (b), (c) and (d)].

OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY **COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:**

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
- 4. Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 03/11).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- 6. A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

_courthouse in the

VOLUNTARY EFFICIENT LITIGATION STIPULATIONS



Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles



Los Angeles County Bar Association Litigation Section

Los Añgeles County Bar Association Labor and Employment Law Section





Southern California Defense Counsel





California Employment Lawyers Association

The Early Organizational Meeting Stipulation, Discovery Resolution Stipulation, and Motions in Limine Stipulation are voluntary stipulations entered into by the parties. The parties may enter into one, two, or all three of the stipulations; however, they may not alter the stipulations as written, because the Court wants to ensure uniformity of application. These stipulations are meant to encourage cooperation between the parties and to assist in resolving issues in a manner that promotes economic case resolution and judicial efficiency.

The following organizations endorse the goal of promoting efficiency in litigation and ask that counsel consider using these stipulations as a voluntary way to promote communications and procedures among counsel and with the court to fairly resolve issues in their cases.

- **♦**Los Angeles County Bar Association Litigation Section**♦**
 - Los Angeles County Bar Association
 Labor and Employment Law Section
 - ◆Consumer Attorneys Association of Los Angeles ◆
 - ♦ Southern California Defense Counsel ♦
 - ◆Association of Business Trial Lawyers◆
 - ◆California Employment Lawyers Association◆

LACIV 230 (NEW) LASC Approved 4-11 For Optional Use

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Cleik's File Stamp
TELEPHONE NO.; E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name): SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORN	FAX NO. (Optional): IA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS: PLAINTIFF; DEFENDANT:		
STIPULATION - EARLY ORG	SANIZATIONAL MEETING	CASE NUMBER:

This stipulation is intended to encourage cooperation among the parties at an early stage in the litigation and to assist the parties in efficient case resolution.

The parties agree that:

- 1. The parties commit to conduct an initial conference (in-person or via teleconference or via videoconference) within 15 days from the date this stipulation is signed, to discuss and consider whether there can be agreement on the following:
 - a. Are motions to challenge the pleadings necessary? If the issue can be resolved by amendment as of right, or if the Court would allow leave to amend, could an amended complaint resolve most or all of the issues a demurrer might otherwise raise? If so, the parties agree to work through pleading issues so that a demurrer need only raise issues they cannot resolve. Is the issue that the defendant seeks to raise amenable to resolution on demurrer, or would some other type of motion be preferable? Could a voluntary targeted exchange of documents or information by any party cure an uncertainty in the pleadings?
 - Initial mutual exchanges of documents at the "core" of the litigation. (For example, in an employment case, the employment records, personnel file and documents relating to the conduct in question could be considered "core." In a personal injury case, an incident or police report, medical records, and repair or maintenance records could be considered "core.");
 - c. Exchange of names and contact information of witnesses:
 - d. Any insurance agreement that may be available to satisfy part or all of a judgment, or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy a judgment;
 - e. Exchange of any other information that might be helpful to facilitate understanding, handling, or resolution of the case in a manner that preserves objections or privileges by agreement;
 - f. Controlling issues of law that, if resolved early, will promote efficiency and economy in other phases of the case. Also, when and how such issues can be presented to the Court;
 - g. Whether or when the case should be scheduled with a settlement officer, what discovery or court ruling on legal issues is reasonably required to make settlement discussions meaningful, and whether the parties wish to use a sitting judge or a private mediator or other options as

SHORT TITLE:					
onony inte-				CASE NUMBER:	
discussed in complaint;	the "Alternative Disput	te Resolution (/	ADR) Informa	tion Package" ser	ved with the
h. Computation which such co	of damages, including omputation is based;	documents, no	t privileged or	protected from di	sclosure, on
i. Whether the www.lacourt	case is suitable for t .org under "Civil" and	the Expedited then under "Ge	Jury Trial pro neral Informa	ocedures (see int	formation at
to	a defending party to re for the tr DATE) ich is comprised of the	complaint, and	/INISED	for	the cross-
been found by this Stipulatio	ays permitted by Code y the Civil Supervising n. A copy of the Gene eral Information", then	e of Civil Proce Judge due to t eral Order can	edure section he case man be found at t	1054(a), good ca agement benefits www.lacourt.org u	ause having provided by ander "Civil"
and Early Org results of thei efficient condu	ill prepare a joint report ganizational Meeting S r meet and confer and uct or resolution of the anagement Conference	Stipulation, and d advising the (case. The par	if desired, a Court of any v ties shall atta	proposed order s way it may assist sch the Joint Statu	ummarizing the parties' is Report to
any act pursua	"days" mean calendar ant to this stipulation fa that act shall be exten	alls on a Saturda	ay, Sunday or	d. If the date for p Court holiday, the	performing on the time
The following parties:	stipulate:				
Date:		>			
(TYPE OR PF Date:	RINT NAME)	` - >	(ATTC	DRNEY FOR PLAINTI	FF)
(TYPE OR PF Date:	RINT NAME)	>	(ATTO	RNEY FOR DEFENDA	ANT)
(TYPE OR PF Date:	RINT NAME)	` - >	(ATTO	RNEY FOR DEFENDA	ANT)
(TYPE OR PF	RINT NAME)	_	(ATTO	RNEY FOR DEFENDA	ANT)
		> _			
(TYPE OR PR Date:	INT NAME)	>	(ATTORNEY	FOR)
(TYPE OR PR	INT NAME)	´ -	(ATTORNEY	FOR	
(TYPE OR PR	RINT NAME)	۶ –	(ATTORNEY	FOR)

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
TELEPHONE NO.: FAX NO. (Op E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	olional]:	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTHOUSE ADDRESS:		
PLAINTIFF:		
DEFENDANT:		
STIPULATION – DISCOVERY RE	SOLUTION	CYSE VINNBELS:

This stipulation is intended to provide a fast and informal resolution of discovery issues through limited paperwork and an informal conference with the Court to aid in the resolution of the issues.

The parties agree that:

- Prior to the discovery cut-off in this action, no discovery motion shall be filed or heard unless
 the moving party first makes a written request for an Informal Discovery Conference pursuant
 to the terms of this stipulation.
- 2. At the Informal Discovery Conference the Court will consider the dispute presented by parties and determine whether it can be resolved informally. Nothing set forth herein will preclude a party from making a record at the conclusion of an Informal Discovery Conference, either orally or in writing.
- Following a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue to be presented, a party may request an Informal Discovery Conference pursuant to the following procedures:
 - a. The party requesting the Informal Discovery Conference will:
 - File a Request for Informal Discovery Conference with the clerk's office on the approved form (copy attached) and deliver a courtesy, conformed copy to the assigned department;
 - ii. Include a brief summary of the dispute and specify the relief requested; and
 - iii. Serve the opposing party pursuant to any authorized or agreed method of service that ensures that the opposing party receives the Request for Informal Discovery Conference no later than the next court day following the filing.
 - b. Any Answer to a Request for Informal Discovery Conference must:
 - i. Also be filed on the approved form (copy attached);
 - ii. Include a brief summary of why the requested relief should be denied;

	SHORY TITLE:	
		CASE NUMBER:
Į		
١		

- iii. Be filed within two (2) court days of receipt of the Request; and
- iv. Be served on the opposing party pursuant to any authorized or agreed upon method of service that ensures that the opposing party receives the Answer no later than the next court day following the filling.
- c. No other pleadings, including but not limited to exhibits, declarations, or attachments, will be accepted.
- d. If the Court has not granted or denied the Request for Informal Discovery Conference within ten (10) days following the filing of the Request, then it shall be deemed to have been denied. If the Court acts on the Request, the parties will be notified whether the Request for Informal Discovery Conference has been granted or denied and, if granted, the date and time of the Informal Discovery Conference, which must be within twenty (20) days of the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference.
- e. If the conference is not held within twenty (20) days of the filling of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference, unless extended by agreement of the parties and the Court, then the Request for the Informal Discovery Conference shall be deemed to have been denied at that time.
- 4. If (a) the Court has denied a conference or (b) one of the time deadlines above has expired without the Court having acted or (c) the Informal Discovery Conference is concluded without resolving the dispute, then a party may file a discovery motion to address unresolved issues.
- 5. The parties hereby further agree that the time for making a motion to compel or other discovery motion is tolled from the date of filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference until (a) the request is denied or deemed denied or (b) twenty (20) days after the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference, whichever is earlier, unless extended by Order of the Court.
 - It is the understanding and intent of the parties that this stipulation shall, for each discovery dispute to which it applies, constitute a writing memorializing a "specific later date to which the propounding [or demanding or requesting] party and the responding party have agreed in writing," within the meaning of Code Civil Procedure sections 2030,300(c), 2031.320(c), and 2033.290(c).
- 6. Nothing herein will preclude any party from applying ex parte for appropriate relief, including an order shortening time for a motion to be heard concerning discovery.
- 7. Any party may terminate this stipulation by giving twenty-one (21) days notice of intent to terminate the stipulation.
- 8. References to "days" mean calendar days, unless otherwise noted. If the date for performing any act pursuant to this stipulation falls on a Saturday, Sunday or Court holiday, then the time for performing that act shall be extended to the next Court day.

Case 2:18-cv-09000 Document 1-3 Filed 10/18/18 Page 13 of 25 Page ID #:102

SHORT TITLE:			CASE NUMBER:
	•	1	
The foll	lowing parties stipulate:		
Date:			,
		>	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	_	(ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)
· · · · · · · · ·		>	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	,	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
	CYPE OF SPINT III	>_	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	_	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	>	
Date:	(TITE OK PKIN) (MANE)		(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	>	
ate:	(o. c.) sust syldam!		(ATTORNEY FOR)
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	>	
ate:	,		(ATTORNEY FOR)
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	▶ _	
	1. 1. most litual tabbatch		(ATTORNEY FOR)

MALE AND ADDRESS OF THE STATE O		
NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Slamp
,		Neatives for Clerk 2 File Stamp
		_
TELEPHONE NO.;		
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name): FAX NO. (O	ptional):	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALLEGRAM		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COU	NTY OF LOS ANGELES	7
		1
PLAINTIFF:		_
DEFENDANT:		1
DECEMPAN);		
INFORMAL DISCOVERY CONI	CASE NUMBER:	
(pursuant to the Discovery Resolution Stipula	ition of the parties)	
 This document relates to: 		
Request for Informal Discovery	Conference	
Answer to Request for Informal	Discovery Conference	
2. Deadline for Court to decide on Postucate	2.000 (Cr) Connered (Ce	
 Deadline for Court to decide on Request: the Request). 	(insert dat	le 10 calendar days following filing of
 Deadline for Court to hold Informal Discovery days following filing of the Request). 	ery Conference:	(insert date 20 calendar
4. For a Reguest for Informal Disc.	•	
4. For a Request for Informal Discovery dispute, including the facts.	Conference, <u>briefly</u> des	cribe the nature of the
discovery dispute, including the facts Request for Informal Discovery Conference	and legal arguments at is	ssue. For an Answer to
Request for Informal Discovery Conference the requested discovery, including the factors.	orte and land	y the Court should deny
The state of the s	icts and legal arguments a	it issue.
•		**
		į
		į
		**
		, and the second
		! }

		; •
8 1		1
)		;
? †		;
,		·
;		:
•		<u>:</u>
1		1 1
		_
	•	-

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
	•	
•		4
	*	
TELEPHONE NO.: FAX N E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):	O. (Optional):	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name):		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:		
PLAINTIFF:		-
- Comani i		
-DEFENDANT:		
The same of the sa		
STIPULATION AND ORDER - MOTIONS IN LIMINE		CASE NUMBER:
STIPULATION AND ONDER IN		

This stipulation is intended to provide fast and informal resolution of evidentiary issues through diligent efforts to define and discuss such issues and limit paperwork.

The parties agree that:

- 1. At least ____ days before the final status conference, each party will provide all other parties with a list containing a one paragraph explanation of each proposed motion in limine. Each one paragraph explanation must identify the substance of a single proposed motion in limine and the grounds for the proposed motion.
- 2. The parties thereafter will meet and confer, either in person or via teleconference or videoconference, concerning all proposed motions in limine. In that meet and confer, the parties will determine:
 - a. Whether the parties can stipulate to any of the proposed motions. If the parties so stipulate, they may file a stipulation and proposed order with the Court.
 - b. Whether any of the proposed motions can be briefed and submitted by means of a short joint statement of issues. For each motion which can be addressed by a short joint statement of issues, a short joint statement of issues must be filed with the Court 10 days prior to the final status conference. Each side's portion of the short joint statement of issues may not exceed three pages. The parties will meet and confer to agree on a date and manner for exchanging the parties' respective portions of the short joint statement of issues and the process for filing the short joint statement of issues.
- 3. All proposed motions in limine that are not either the subject of a stipulation or briefed via a short joint statement of issues will be briefed and filed in accordance with the California Rules of Court and the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

Case 2:18-cv-09000 Document 1-3 Filed 10/18/18 Page 16 of 25 Page ID #:105

SHORT TITLE:	•		CASE NUMBER:	
The fo	lowing parties stipulate:			
Date:				
		4		
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	_	(ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)	
		>_		
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)	
		>		
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	=	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)	
Date.		>		
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	-	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)	
Date:		_		
	TYPE OF PRINT MANEY	> _	(ATTORNEY FOR)	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(ATTORNEY FOR)	
		>		
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	_	(ATTORNEY FOR)	
Date.		>		
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	_	(ATTORNEY FOR)	
THE COURT SO ORDERS.				
Date:				
Date.		_	JUDICIAL OFFICER	

Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles



ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFORMATION PACKET

The person who files a civil lawsuit (plaintiff) must include the ADR information Packet with the complaint when serving the defendant. Cross-complainants must serve the ADR Information Packet on any new parties named to the action together with the cross-complaint.

There are a number of ways to resolve civil disputes without having to sue someone. These alternatives to a lawsuit are known as alternative dispute resolution (ADR).

In ADR, trained, impartial persons decide disputes or help parties decide disputes themselves. These persons are called neutrals. For example, in mediations, the neutral is the mediator. Neutrals normally are chosen by the disputing parties or by the court. Neutrals can help resolve disputes without having to go to court.

Advantages of ADR

- Often faster than going to trial
- Often less expensive, saving the litigants court costs, attorney's fees and expert fees.
- May permit more participation, allowing parties to have more control over the outcome.
- Allows for flexibility in choice of ADR processes and resolution of the dispute.
- Fosters cooperation by allowing parties to work together with the neutral to resolve the dispute and mutually agree to remedy.
- There are fewer, if any, court appearances. Because ADR can be faster and save money, it can reduce stress.

Disadvantages of ADR - ADR may not be suitable for every dispute.

- If ADR is binding, the parties normally give up most court protections, including a decision by a judge or
 jury under formal rules of evidence and procedure, and review for legal error by an appellate court.
- ADR may not be effective if it takes place before the parties have sufficient information to resolve the dispute.
- The neutral may charge a fee for his or her services.
- If the dispute is not resolved through ADR, the parties may then have to face the usual and traditional costs of trial, such as attorney's fees and expert fees,

The Most Common Types of ADR

Mediation

In mediation, a neutral (the mediator) assists the parties in reaching a mutually acceptable resolution of their dispute. Unlike lawsuits or some other types of ADR, the parties, rather than the mediator, decide how the dispute is to be resolved.

- Mediation is particularly effective when the parties have a continuing relationship, like neighbors or business people. Mediation is also very effective where personal feelings are getting in the way of a resolution. This is because mediation normally gives the parties a chance to express their feelings and find out how the other sees things.
- Mediation may not be effective when one party is unwilling to cooperate or compromise or when one of the parties has a significant advantage in power over the other. Therefore, it may not be a good choice if the parties have a history of abuse or victimization.

Arbitration

In arbitration, a neutral person called an "arbitrator" hears arguments and evidence from each side and then decides the outcome of the dispute. Arbitration is typically less formal than a trial, and the rules of evidence may be relaxed. Arbitration may be either "binding" or "non-binding." Binding arbitration means the parties waive their right to a trial and agree to accept the arbitrator's decision as final. Non-binding arbitration means that the parties are free to request a trial if they reject the arbitrator's decision.

Arbitration is best for cases where the parties want another person to decide the outcome of their dispute for them but would like to avoid the formality, time, and expense of a trial. It may also be appropriate for complex matters where the parties want a decision-maker who has training or experience in the subject matter of the dispute.

Mandatory Settlement Conference (MSC)

Settlement Conferences are appropriate in any case where settlement is an option. Mandatory Settlement Conferences are ordered by the Court and are often held near the date a case is set for trial. The parties and their attorneys meet with a judge who devotes his or her time exclusively to preside over the MSC. The judge does not make a decision in the case but assists the parties in evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of the case and in negotiating a settlement.

The Los Angeles Superior Court Mandatory Settlement Conference (MSC) program is free of charge and staffed by experienced sitting civil judges who devote their time exclusively to presiding over MSCs. The judges participating in the judicial MSC program and their locations are identified in the List of Settlement Officers found on the Los Angeles Superior Court website at http://www.lacourt.org/. This program is available in general jurisdiction cases with represented parties from independent calendar (IC) and Central Civil West (CCW) courtrooms. In addition, on an ad hoc basis, personal injury cases may be referred to the program on the eve of trial by the personal injury master calendar courts in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse or the asbestos calendar court in CCW.

In order to access the Los Angeles Superior Court MSC Program the judge in the IC courtroom, the CCW Courtroom or the personal injury master calendar courtroom must refer the parties to the program. Further, all parties must complete the information requested in the Settlement Conference Intake Form and email the completed form to mscdept18@lacourt.org.

Additional Information

To locate a dispute resolution program or neutral in your community:

- Contact the California Department of Consumer Affairs (<u>www.dca.ca.gov</u>) Consumer Information Center toll free at 800-952-5210, or;
- Contact the local bar association (http://www.lacba.org/) or;
- Look in a telephone directory or search online for "mediators; or "arbitrators."

There may be a charge for services provided by private arbitrators and mediators.

A list of approved State Bar Approved Mandatory Fee Arbitration programs is available at http://calbar.ca.gov/Attorneys/MemberServices/FeeArbitration/ApprovedPrograms.aspx#19

To reguest information about, or assistance with, dispute resolution, call the number listed below. Or you may call a Contract Provider agency directly. A list of current Contract Provider agencies in Los Angeles County is available at the link below.

http://css.lacounty.gov/programs/dispute-resolution-program-drp/

County of Los Angeles Dispute Resolution Program 3175 West 6th Street, Room 406 Los Angeles, CA 90020-1798 TEL: (213) 738-2621

FAX: (213) 386-3995

LAADR 005 (Rev. 03/17) LASC Adopted 10-03 Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.221

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:

111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012

CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp

AUG 22 2018

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk By: Rita Nazaryan, Deputy

CASE NUMBER:

BC717321

NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT UNLIMITED CIVIL - CLASS ACTION/COMPLEX

Your case is assigned for all purposes to the judicial officer indicated below.

THIS FORM IS TO BE SERVED WITH THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

	ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM	25		ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM
	Hon. Elihu M. Berle	6	211	是於四個機構				
	Hon. William F. Highberger	10	10					
	Hon. John Shepard Wiley, Jr.	ð	9,	THE WHITE				
	Hon. Kenneth Freeman	14	14	X 00.5				
	Hon. Ann Jones	11	11	· · · ·				
	Hon. Maren E. Nelson	17	17	一、大大大学、「一、大学、大学、大学、大学、大学、大学、				
X	Hon. Çarolyn B. Kuhl	12	12	S. 15.13.24				
3				1.1.1				
				,				
				14.44				
				1				
						Life. Life.		-
				167				
				13.5				
		+		:				
				┨.				
						Hon. Brian S. Currey	15	15
						*Provisional complex (non-class action) case assignment pending complex determination	14	Supervising Judge 14

Given to the	Plaintiff/Cross-Complainant/Attorney of	FRecord SHERRI R. C	SHERRI R. CARTER, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court			
оп	AUG 2 ₁ 2018	Ву	RITA NAZARYAN	_, Deputy Clerk		
LACIV 190 (Rev 12	NOTICE OF CASE	ASSIGNMENT – UNLIMIT	ED CIVIL CASE			

LASC Approved 05/06

INSTRUCTIONS FOR HANDLING UNLIMITED CIVIL CASES

The following critical provisions of the California Rules of Court, Title 3, Division 7, as applicable in the Superior Court, are summarized for your assistance.

APPLICATION

The Division 7 Rules were effective January 1, 2007. They apply to all general civil cases.

PRIORITY OVER OTHER RULES

The Division 7 Rules shall have priority over all other Local Rules to the extent the others are inconsistent.

CHALLENGE TO ASSIGNED JUDGE

A challenge under Code of Civil Procedure Section 170.6 must be made within 15 days after notice of assignment for all purposes to a judge, or if a party has not yet appeared, within 15 days of the first appearance.

TIME STANDARDS

Cases assigned to the Independent Calendaring Courts will be subject to processing under the following time standards:

COMPLAINTS

All complaints shall be served within 60 days of filing and proof of service shall be filed within 90 days.

CROSS-COMPLAINTS

Without leave of court first being obtained, no cross-complaint may be filed by any party after their answer is filed. Gross-complaints shall be served within 30 days of the filing date and a proof of service filed within 60 days of the filing date.

STATUS CONFERENCE

A status conference will be scheduled by the assigned Independent Calendar Judge no later than 270 days after the filing of the complaint. Counsel must be fully prepared to discuss the following issues: alternative dispute resolution, bifurcation, settlement, trial date, and expert witnesses.

FINAL STATUS CONFERENCE

The Court will require the parties to attend a final status conference not more than 10 days before the scheduled trial date. All parties shall have motions in limine, bifurcation motions, statements of major evidentiary issues, dispositive motions, requested form jury instructions, special jury instructions, and special jury verdicts timely filed and served prior to the conference. These matters may be heard and resolved at this conference. At least five days before this conference, counsel must also have exchanged lists of exhibits and witnesses, and have submitted to the court a brief statement of the case to be read to the jury panel as required by Chapter Three of the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

SANCTIONS

The court will impose appropriate sanctions for the failure or refusal to comply with Chapter Three Rules, orders made by the Court, and time standards or deadlines established by the Court or by the Chapter Three Rules. Such sanctions may be on a party, or if appropriate, on counsel for a party.

This is not a complete delineation of the Division 7 or Chapter Three Rules, and adherence only to the above provisions is therefore not a guarantee against the imposition of sanctions under Trial Court Delay Reduction. Careful reading and compliance with the actual Chapter Rules is imperative.

Class Actions

Pursuant to Local Rule 2.3, all class actions shall be filed at the Stanley Mosk Courthouse and are randomly assigned to a complex judge at the designated complex courthouse. If the case is found not to be a class action it will be returned to an Independent Calendar Courtroom for all purposes.

*Provisionally Complex Cases

Cases filed as provisionally complex are initially assigned to the Supervising Judge of complex litigation for determination of complex status. If the case is deemed to be complex within the meaning of California Rules of Court 3.400 et seq., it will be randomly assigned to a complex judge at the designated complex courthouse. If the case is found not to be complex, it will be returned to an Independent Calendar Courtroom for all purposes.

CHNEOBMED CORY ORIGINAL FILED MATERN LAW GROUP, PC Superior Court of California MATTHEW J. MATERN (SBN 159798) County of Landage JOSHUA D. BOXER (SBN 226712) ROY K. SUH (SBN 283988) AUG 3 1 2018 1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200 Manhattan Beach, California 90266 Sherri R. Garter, Executive Utilicer/Cler Telephone: (310) 531-1900 Av: Alta Nazaruan Denute Facsîmile: (310) 531-1901 Attorneys for Plaintiff ADRIENNE LIGGINS individually and on behalf of others similarly 6 situated and aggrieved 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 10 ADRIENNE LIGGINS individually and on 11 Case No. BC717321 behalf of others similarly situated and aggrieved 12 [Assigned for all purposes to the Honorable Carolyn B. Kuhl Dept. 121 13 Plaintiff, NOTICE OF DEPOSIT OF ADVANCE 14 JURY FEE BY PLAINTIFF ADRIENNE vs. LIGGINS GMRI, INC., a Florida corporation doing business as Olive Garden Italian Restaurant; August 22, 2018 Action Filed: 16 l OLIVE GARDEN HOLDINGS, LLC, a Trial Date: None Set Florida limited liability company; OLIVE GARDEN, LLC, a California limited liability 17 Company; DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC., a Florida corporation; OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RÉSTAURANT – MANHATTAN BEACH, an entity of unknown form; and OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT - HUNTINGTON BEACH, an entity of unknown form; and DOES 1 through 50, 21 inclusive, 22 Defendants. 23 24 25 26 27

28

TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 631(b), Plaintiff ADRIENNE LIGGINS hereby deposits an advance jury fee in the amount of \$150.00 in the above-captioned matter. Dated: August 28, 2018 MATERN LAW GROUP, PC OSKUAD. BOXER ROY K. SUH Attorneys for Plaintiff ADRIENNE LIGGINS individually and on behalf of others similarly situated and aggrieved

	•			, ,	•			CARLANTE HERE	
		,	SUPERIOR COURT OF					Supplication of the According	p -
	~~ 1.5.		COUNTY OF LOS	ANGELES				AUG 3 1 2018	
!		DRESS: pring Street	, Los Angeles, CA 90012						
PLAIR	NTIFF:			nerri R. Carter, Executive Office By: Hita Nazaryan, Deputy	er/Gierk e				
L		ne Liggins						By: Nito legical April 2022	•
	NDAN XXD I	in: , Inc. et:al.							
	JIVIICI,	, mo. c.an		,				CASE NUMBER:	
			CIVIL DEPOS	IT.				BC717321	
CLER	K: PR	REPARE À FÔ	RM FOR EACH DEPOSITOR PAYIN	IG'SEPARAȚEL	Y				
PLEA	ASE R Room	REPORT TO 102, Centrál	THE CLERK'S OFFICE/CASHII Civil Clerk's Office, Roon	₹ ₹: Ì	 -	ĬŽ.	partmen	nt Number <u>95</u> /2	
	Dist	tribution Code	S·	Amt Due	1	Distrib	ution Cod	les	Amt Due
	251	DAILY JURY	FEES Dates:			74.	DEPO	SIT IN TRUST	
		# of day(s)	x\$ <u></u>						
X	72	JURY FEES				301		PAPERS- AL JURISDICTION	
				į.			GENERO	AL-JOR(QD)O NON	
	252	1	it) \$150.00 S FEES			101	FIRST P	PAPERS-LIMITED OVER \$10,000	
		1			\Box	141		claration Limited to \$10,000 P 6322.1(a))	i
		# of 1/2 day	's) <u>'x</u> \$,	1 =	130	Limited t	to \$10,000	
П	721	SANCTIONS	ORDERED ON			211	RECLAS	SSIFICATION FEE	
		Date:	······································						
	213	MOTIONSIA	PPLICATION TO CONT, HEARING			150	COMP,L1	EX LITIGATION TRIAL/PLAINTIFF	
	200	MOTIONS/A	PPLICATION TO CONTITRIAL			151	COMPLI	EX LITIGATION TRIAL/DEFENDANT	
		Other:							
	To b	be paid via:	Cash X Check C	Certified Check	/Money	Order		Credit Card	
			On or Before	[] Forth	vith			
	Pay	ment will be n	nade by X PlaintiffAdrienne	Liggins		Defenda	ant		
				JOHN A. CL	ARKE, E	xecutive	Officer/CI	lerk	
		DATE	August 31, 2018	BY:		Deputy Cl	erk	**************************************	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TO BE COMPLETED BY DEPO	SITOR				CASHIER'S VALIDATION	
	Depos	sitor's Name	Matern Law Group, PC					1	
	P	laintiff in Pro	Per Defendant in Pro Per						
	X C	ounsel for	X Plaintiff Adrienne L	iggins Vame of Party			_		
			☐ Defendant	Vame of Party			-		
A	ddress	of depositor	1230 Rosecrans Avenue, St						
			Manhattan Beach, Californ						
			Cny/State/Zip			<u>-</u>			
	/ 083 0 SC App	3-04 (Rev. 05/ proved	•	Distribution: Ori		DEPO		-Customer	

Distribution: Original - Case File Copy-Customer

Registered Agent • Director • Incorporation

Corporate Creations Network Inc.

11380 Prosperity Farms Road #221E, Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410

September 24, 2018

Darden Restaurants, Inc. Terry Carter Darden Restaurants, Inc. 1000 Darden Center Drive ORLANDO FL 32837

SFRVICE OF PROCESS NOTICE

The following is a courtesy summary of the enclosed document(s). ALL information should be verified by you.

Note: Any questions regarding the substance of the matter described below, including the status or to whom or where to respond, should be directed to the person set forth in line 12 below or to the court or government

Item: 2018-3014 agency where the matter is being heard. Client Entity: Darden Restaurants, Inc. Title of Action: Adrienne Liggins vs. GMRI, Inc.; Olive Garden Holdings, LLC; et al. 2. 3. Document(s) Served: Summons Complex - Class Action Civil Case Cover Sheet Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location Court/Agency: Los Angeles County Superior Court, California 4. 5. State Served: Florida Case Number: BC717321 6. Case Type: Labor Code Violations 7. 8. Method of Service: Certified Mail Date Received: Monday 9/24/2018 9. Date to Client: Monday 9/24/2018 10. CAUTION: Client is solely responsible for verifying the accuracy of the estimated Answer Due Date. To avoid missing a crucial deadline, we recommend immediately confirming in writing 11. # Days When Answer Due: 30 with opposing counsel that the date of service in their records matches the Date Received. **Answer Due Date: 10/24/2018** SOP Sender: Matern Law Group, PC 12. (Name, Address and Phone Number) Manhattan Beach, CA (310) 531-1900 Shipped to Client By: Priority Mail and Email with PDF Link 13. 14. Tracking Number: Not Applicable 15. Handled By: 101 Notes: Also Attached: *Voluntary Efficient Litigation Stipulations, *Stipulation - Early Organizational Meeting, 16. *Stipulation - Discovery Resolution, etc.

NOTE: This notice and the information above is provided for general informational purposes only and should not be considered a legal opinion. The client and their legal counsel are solely responsible for reviewing the service of process and verifying the accuracy of all information. At Corporate Creations, we take pride in developing systems that effectively manage risk so our clients feel comfortable with the reliability of our service. We always deliver service of process so our clients avoid the risk of a default judgment. As registered agent, our role is to receive and forward service of process. To decrease risk for our clients, it is not our role to determine the merits of whether service of process is valid and effective. It is the role of legal counsel to assess whether service of process is invalid or defective. Registered agent services are provided by Corporate Creations Network Inc.

COPY

(Número del Caso)

BC717321

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

BY FAX

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

GMRI, INC., a Florida corporation doing business as Olive Garden Italian Restaurant; OLIVE GARDEN Holdings, LLL, a Florida limited

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

ADRIENNE LIGGINS, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated and aggrieved

SUM-100

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

ORIGINAL FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Assets

AUG 22 2018

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk By: Rita Nazaryan, Deputy

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 dias, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniendose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is:

(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Los Angeles Superior Court

111 North Hill Street-Central District Los Angeles, California 90012-3014

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):
Matthew J. Matern, Esq., 1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200, Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 (310) 531-1900

DATE: (Fecha)	AUG 2	1	2018	SHERRI R. C	Clerk, by ARTER (Secretario)	RITA NAZARYAN	, Deputy (Adjunto)
(For proof	of service of	thi	s summons,	use Proof of Service	of Summons (form POS	(~010)	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF
(Para prue	ba de entred	a c	te esta citatio	ón use el formulario l	Proof of Service of Summ	nons. (POS-010))	

(Para prueba de entrega	de esta citation use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons (POS-010))	
[SEAL]	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served 1 as an individual defendant. 2 as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):	
	3. on behalf of (specify): Darden Restaurants, Inc., a Florida Coppora	Hon
	under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.70 (conservatee)	
	CCP 416 40 (association or partnership) CCP 416 90 (authorized person)	
	other (specify): 4 by personal delivery on (date)	
	Page 1 of 1	

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California SUM 100 (Rev. July 1, 2009) SUMMONS

Crute of Clyvi Propedure §§ 4, 2, 20, 455, ask for institution

and too information

Case 2:18-cv-09000 Document 1-4 Filed 10/18/18 Page 3 of 46 Page ID #:117

	SUM-200(A)
SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
_ Adrienne Liggins v. GMRI, INC. et al	
INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE	
 → This form may be used as an attachment to any summons if space does not permit the lift this attachment is used, insert the following statement in the plaintiff or defendant be Attachment form is attached." 	ne listing of all parties on the summons. ox on the summons: "Additional Parties
List additional parties (Check only one box. Use a separate page for each type of part	'y.):
Plaintiff Defendant Cross-Complainant Cross-Defe	ndant
liability company; OLIVE GARDEN, LLC, a California limited liability RESTAURANTS, INC., a Florida corporation; OLIVE GARDEN ITAL MANHATTAN BEACH, an entity of unknown form; and OLIVE GAR HUNTINGTON BEACH, an entity of unknown form; and DOES 1 thro	JAN RESTAURANT – DEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT –

1

Page 1 of 1

8

9

11

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COPY

Matthew J. Matern (SBN 159798)
Joshua D. Boxer (SBN 226712)
Roy K. Suh (SBN 283988)
MATERN LAW GROUP, PC
1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200
Manhattan Beach, California 90266
Telephone: (310) 531-1900
Facsimile: (310) 531-1901

Attorneys for Plaintiff ADRIENNE

ORIGINAL FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Appelles

AUG 2 2 2018

Sherri H. Garter, Executive Officer/Clerk By: Rita Nazaryan, Deputy

Attorneys for Plaintiff ADRIENNE LIGGINS individually and on behalf of others similarly situated and aggrieved

of others similarly situated and aggrieved

ADRIENNE LIGGINS individually and on behalf) CASE NO.:

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Plaintiff. 12 13 GMRI, INC., a Florida corporation doing business las Olive Garden Italian Restaurant; OLIVE GARDEN HOLDINGS, LLC, a Florida limited 15 lliability company; OLIVE GARDEN, LLC, a California limited liability Company; DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC., a Florida corporation; OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT – 17 MANHATTAN BEACH, an entity of unknown form; and OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT – HUNTINGTON BEACH, an entity of unknown form; and DOES 1 through 50, 19 inclusive, Defendants 20

COMPLEX - CLASS ACTION

- 1. Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods
- 2. Failure to Provide Required Rest Periods
-) 3. Failure to Pay Overtime Wages
-) 4. Failure to Pay Minimum Wages
 - 5. Failure to Timely Pay Wages
 - 6. Failure to Pay All Wages Due to Discharged and Quitting Employees
- 7. Failure to Furnish Accurate Itemized Wage Statements
- 8. Failure to Maintain Required Records
- 9. Failure to Indemnify Employees for Necessary Expenditures Incurred in Discharge of Duties
- 10. Unfair and Unlawful Business Practices

REPRESENTATIVE ACTION

11. Penalties under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Mining to so the control of the Cont

CLASS ACTION AND PAGA REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

2

3

11

12

14

15

19

20

23

26

27

NATURE OF ACTION

PLAINTIFF ADRIENNE LIGGINS ("PLAINTIFF"), bring this class action and 1. representative action case on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated and aggrieved current and former non-exempt employees, who worked in the State of California for defendants GMRI, INC., a Florida corporation doing business as The Olive Garden; OLIVE GARDEN HOLDINGS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; OLIVE GARDEN, LLC, a California limited liability company; DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC., a Florida corporation; OLIVE GARDEN 8 RESTAURANT - MANHATTAN BEACH, an entity of unknown form; and OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT - HUNTINGTON BEACH, an entity of unknown form, and DOES 1 through 50 inclusive (collectively, "DEFENDANTS"), against DEFENDANTS to remedy DEFENDANTS' illegal wage payment policies and practices during the relevant statutory periods, for which PLAINTIFF seeks damages, restitution, penalties, injunctive relief, interest, attorneys' fees and costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies deemed just and proper under California law.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. The Superior Court of the State of California has jurisdiction in this matter because, at all 16 relevant times, PLAINTIFF is a resident of the State of California and PLAINTIFF is informed and 17 | believes that some of the DEFENDANTS are qualified to do business in California and that all DEFENDANTS regularly conduct business in California. Furthermore, no federal question is at issue because PLAINTIFF'S claims are based solely on California law.
- Venue is proper in this judicial district and the County of Los Angeles, California 3. because PLAINTIFF and persons similarly situated and aggrieved performed work for DEFENDANTS 22 lin the County of Los Angeles, DEFENDANTS maintain offices and facilities and transact business in the County of Los Angeles, and because DEFENDANTS' illegal payroll policies and practices which are the subject of this action were applied to PLAINTIFF and other persons similarly situated and 25 laggrieved, in the County of Los Angeles.

PLAINTIFF AND CLASS MEMBERS

PLAINTIFF, at all time relevant to this action, was a resident of the State of California 28 and an employee of DEFENDANTS. DEFENDANTS employed PLAINTIFF from around January 2016

4

8

9

12

13

16

17

19

20

23

24

25 26

> 27 28

Huntington Beach, California.

PLAINTIFF, on behalf of herself and other similarly situated and aggrieved current and 5. former non-exempt employees of DEFENDANTS in the State of California at any time during the four (4) years preceding the filing of this action, and continuing while this action is pending, bring this class and representative action to recover, among other things: wages and penalties from unpaid wages earned and due, including, but not limited to, unpaid minimum wages, unpaid and illegally calculated overtime compensation, illegal meal and rest period policies, failure to pay all wages due to discharged and quitting employees, failure to indemnify employees for necessary expenditures and/or losses incurred in discharging their duties, failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements, failure to maintain required records, and interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses.

to around May 2016 in Manhattan Beach, California and then from around April 2017 to mid-2017 in

- 6. PLAINTIFF brings this action on behalf of themselves and the following similarly situated class of individuals ("CLASS MEMBERS"): all current and former non-exempt employees of DEFENDANTS in the State of California at any time within the period beginning four (4) years prior to the filing of this action and until the time it settles or proceeds to final judgment (the "CLASS PERIOD").
 - 7. PLAINTIFF reserves the right to name additional class representatives.

DEFENDANTS

- 8. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that defendant GMRI, INC. ("DEFENDANT GMRI, INC.") is, and at all times relevant to this action was, a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Florida. PLAINTIFF is further informed, believes and thereon alleges that, at all relevant times, the State of California authorized DEFENDANT GMRI, INC. to conduct and that DEFENDANT GMRI, INC. did conduct business in California under California entity number: C0753219, doing business as The Olive Garden.
- PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that defendant OLIVE 9. GARDEN HOLDINGS, LLC ("DEFENDANT OLIVE GARDEN HOLDINGS, LLC") is, and at all times relevant to this action was, a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Florida. PLAINTIFF is further informed, believes and thereon alleges that, at all relevant

California under California entity number: 201605010264.

1

3

10 11

12

13 14 15

16 17

19 20

18

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that defendant OLIVE 10. GARDEN, LLC ("DEFENDANT OLIVE GARDEN, LLC") is, and at all times relevant to this action was, a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. ||PLAINTIFF is further informed, believes and thereon alleges that, at all relevant times, the State of California authorized DEFENDANT OLIVE GARDEN, LLC to conduct and that DEFENDANT OLIVE GARDEN, LLC did conduct business in California under California entity number: 201719110379.

times, the State of California authorized DEFENDANT OLIVE GARDEN HOLDINGS, LLC to

conduct and that DEFENDANT OLIVE GARDEN HOLDINGS, LLC did conduct business in

- PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that defendant DARDEN 11. RESTAURANTS, INC. ("DEFENDANT DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.") is, and at all times relevant to this action was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Florida with a Florida Divisions of Corporations File Number: P95000025580. PLAINTIFF is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the State of California may not have authorized DEFENDANT DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC., and at all times relevant to this action, to conduct business in the State of California.
- PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that defendant OLIVE 12. GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT – MANHATTAN BEACH ("DEFENDANT OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT -- MANHATTAN BEACH") is, and at all times relevant to this action was, a an entity of unknown form and unknown jurisdiction. PLAINTIFF is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the State of California may not have authorized DEFENDANT OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT – MANHATTAN BEACH and at all times relevant to this action, to conduct business in the State of California including at its 2610 North Sepulveda Boulevard, Manhattan Beach, California 90266 location.
- PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that defendant OLIVE 13. GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT – HUNTINGTON BEACH ("DEFENDANT OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT - HUNTINGTON BEACH") is, and at all times relevant to this action was,

a an entity of unknown form and unknown jurisdiction. PLAINTIFF is further informed and believes,

and thereon alleges, that the State of California may not have authorized DEFENDANT OLIVE

GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT - HUNTINGTON BEACH and at all times relevant to this

action, to conduct business in the State of California including at its 16811 Beach Boulevard,

3 4

Huntington Beach, California 92647 location.

7 8

6

11 12

13

14

10

15 16 17

18 19 20

21

22 23

25 26

24

27

28

- 14. The true names and capacities of DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, are unknown to PLAINTIFF at this time, and PLAINTIFF therefore sues such DOE defendants under fictitious names. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each Defendant designated as a DOE is in some manner highly responsible for the occurrences alleged herein, and that PLAINTIFF'S and CLASS MEMBERS' injuries and damages, as alleged herein, were proximately caused by the conduct of such DOE defendants. PLAINTIFF will seek leave of court to amend this Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of such DOE defendants when ascertained.
- PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANTS, at all 15. times relevant to this action, committed acts and omissions in concert with each other. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times relevant hereto, DEFENDANTS were the joint employers, alter egos, divisions, affiliates, integrated enterprises, subsidiaries, parents, principals, sisters, related entities, co-conspirators, agents, partners, joint venturers, servants, joint enterprisers, and/or guarantors, actual or ostensible, of each other. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the DEFENDANTS was completely dominated by his, her or its co-defendant and had authority, actual or ostensible, to perform the actions alleged herein, unless alleged otherwise.
- PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that to the extent that certain 16. actions and omissions were perpetrated by certain DEFENDANTS, the remaining DEFENDANTS condoned, authorized, and ratified such acts and omissions. Accordingly, whenever PLAINTIFF alleges that any of the DEFENDANTS or DEFENDANTS' employees or agents, committed an act or omission, PLAINTIFF attributes such allegations to each of the DEFENDANTS individually, jointly, and severally, PLAINTIFF further attributes such allegations to each DEFENDANTS' employees and agents because they acted on behalf of DEFENDANTS within the course and scope of their employment and agency.

17. As a direct and proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have suffered, and continue to suffer, from loss of earnings in amounts as yet unascertained, but subject to proof at trial, and within the jurisdiction of this Court.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 18. This action is appropriately suited for a Class Action because:
- a. The potential class is a significant number. Joinder of all current and former employees individually would be impractical.
- b. This action involves common questions of law and fact with respect to the potential class because the action focuses on DEFENDANTS' systematic course of illegal payroll practices and policies, which were applied to all non-exempt employees in violation of the California Labor Code, the applicable IWC Wage Order, and the California Business and Professions Code which prohibits unfair business practices arising from such violations.
- c. PLAINTIFF'S claims are typical of CLASS MEMBERS' claims because DEFENDANTS subjected all non-exempt employees to identical violations of the California Labor Code, the applicable IWC Wage Order, and the California Business and Professions Code.
- d. PLAINTIFF is able to fairly and adequately protect the interests of all CLASS MEMBERS because it is in PLAINTIFF'S best interest to prosecute the claims alleged herein to obtain full compensation due to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all services rendered and hours worked.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods

[Cal. Labor Code §§ 226.7, 510, 512, 1194, 1197; IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 11]

(Against all DEFENDANTS)

- 19. PLAINTIFF incorporates all facts alleged in paragraphs 1-18 as if fully set forth herein.
- 20. During the CLASS PERIOD, as part of DEFENDANTS' illegal payroll policies and practices to deprive their non-exempt employees all wages earned and due, DEFENDANTS required, permitted or otherwise suffered PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to take less than a 30-minute meal period, or to work through them, and have failed to otherwise provide the required meal periods to

8

9

12

16

14

17 18

19

20

21 22

24 25

23

27

28

26

Order No. 5-2001, § 11.

DEFENDANTS further violated California Labor Code § 226.7 and IWC Wage Order 21. No. 5-2001, § 11 by failing to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS who were not provided with a meal period, in accordance with the applicable wage order, one additional hour of compensation at each employee's regular rate of pay for each workday that a meal period was not provided.

PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512 and IWC Wage

- DEFENDANTS further violated California Labor Code §§ 226.7, 510, 1194, 1197, and 22. IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001 by failing to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all hours worked during their meal periods.
- As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, PLAINTIFF and CLASS 23. MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial, and seek all wages earned and due, interest, penalties, expenses, and costs of suit.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Provide Required Rest Periods

[Cal. Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512; IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 12]

- PLAINTIFF incorporates all facts alleged in paragraphs 1-18 as if fully set forth herein. 24.
- At all times relevant herein, as part of DEFENDANTS' illegal payroll policies and 25. practices to deprive their non-exempt employees all wages earned and due, DEFENDANTS failed to provide rest periods to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS as required under California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512, and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 12.
- 26. DEFENDANTS further violated California Labor Code § 226.7 and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 12 by failing to pay PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS who were not provided with a rest period, in accordance with the applicable wage order, one additional hour of compensation at each employee's regular rate of pay for each workday that a rest period was not provided.
- As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial, and seek all wages earned and due, interest, penalties, expenses, and costs of suit.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Pay Overtime Wages

[Cal. Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, 1198; IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 3]

- 28. PLAINTIFF incorporates all facts alleged in paragraphs 1-18 as if fully set forth herein.
- 29. Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 3, DEFENDANTS are required to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all overtime, which is calculated at one and one-half (1 ½) times the regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours per day and/or forty (40) hours per week, and for the first eight (8) hours on the seventh consecutive workday, with double time for all hours worked in excess of twelve (12) hours in any workday and for all hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours on the seventh consecutive day of work in any workweek.
- 30. PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are current and former non-exempt employees entitled to the protections of California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS failed to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all overtime hours worked as required under the foregoing provisions of the California Labor Code and IWC Wage Order by, among other things: failing to pay overtime at one and one-half (1 ½) or double the regular rate of pay as provided by California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 3; requiring, permitting or suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work off the clock; requiring, permitting or suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work through meal and rest breaks; illegally and inaccurately recording time in which PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS MEMBERS worked; failing to properly maintain PLAINTIFF'S and CLASS MEMBERS' records; failing to provide accurate itemized wage statements to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for each pay period; and by other methods to be discovered.
- 31. In violation of California law, DEFENDANTS have knowingly and willfully refused to perform their obligations to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all wages earned and all hours worked. As a proximate result, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have suffered, and continue to suffer, substantial losses related to the use and enjoyment of such wages, lost interest on

4

8

10

11 12

14

15

13

16 17

19 20

21

22

18

23 24

25 26

27

28

trial, and within the jurisdiction of this Court. 32. DEFENDANTS' conduct described herein violates California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, 1198 and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 3. Therefore, pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 200, 203, 226, 558, 1194, 1197.1, and other applicable provisions under the California Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to recover the unpaid balance of wages

such wages, and expenses and attorneys' fees in seeking to compel DEFENDANTS to fully perform

their obligations under state law, all to their respective damages in amounts according to proof at time of

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

owed to them by DEFENDANTS, plus interest, penalties, attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs of suit.

Failure to Pay Minimum Wages

[Cal. Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197; IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 4]

- 33. PLAINTIFF incorporates all facts alleged in paragraphs 1-18 as if fully set forth herein.
- Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197, and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 4, 34. payment to an employee of less than the applicable minimum wage for all hours worked in a payroll period is unlawful.
- 35. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS failed to pay PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS minimum wages for all hours worked by, among other things: requiring, permitting or suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work off the clock; requiring, permitting or suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work through meal and rest breaks; illegally and inaccurately recording time in which PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS worked; failing to properly maintain PLAINTIFF'S and CLASS MEMBERS' records; failing to provide accurate itemized wage statements to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for each pay period; and other methods to be discovered.
- 36. DEFENDANTS' conduct described herein violates California Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197, and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 4. As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial. Therefore, pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 200, 203, 226, 558, 1194, 1197.1, and other applicable provisions under the Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are

entitled to recover the unpaid balance of wages owed to them by DEFENDANTS, plus interest, penalties, attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs of suit.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Pay Timely Wages During Employment

[Cal. Labor Code § 204]

- 37. PLAINTIFF incorporates all facts alleged in paragraphs 1-18 as if fully set forth herein.
- 38. Pursuant to California Labor Code § 204, for all labor performed between the 1st and 15th days of any calendar month, DEFENDANTS are required to pay their nonexempt employees between the 16th and 26th day of the month during which the labor was performed. California Labor Code § 204 also provides that for all labor performed between the 16th and 26th days of any calendar month, DEFENDANTS are required to pay their nonexempt employees between the 1st and 10th day of the following calendar month. In addition, California Labor Code § 204 provides that all wages earned for labor in excess of the normal work period shall be paid no later than the payday of the next regular payroll period.
- 39. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS knowingly and willfully failed to pay PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS all the wages they earned when due as required by California Labor Code § 204.
- 40. Pursuant to California Labor Code § 210, failure to pay the wages of each employee as provided in California Labor Code § 204 will subject DEFENDANTS to a civil penalty of: (1) one hundred dollars (\$100) for each failure to pay each employee for each initial violation; and (2) two hundred dollars (\$200) for each failure to pay each employee, plus twenty-five percent (25%) of the amount unlawfully withheld, for each subsequent violation.
- 41. DEFENDANTS' conduct described herein violates California Labor Code § 204. As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial. Therefore, pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 200, 210, 226, 558, 1194, 1197.1 and other applicable provisions under the Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to recover the unpaid balance of wages owed

to them by DEFENDANTS, plus interest, penalties, attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs of suit.

2

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

3

Failure to Pay All Wages Due to Discharged and Quitting Employees

4

[Cal. Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203]

5

(Against all DEFENDANTS)

6

43.

42. PLAINTIFF incorporates all facts alleged in paragraphs 1-18 as if fully set forth herein.

Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 201, 202, and 203, DEFENDANTS are required to

7 8

pay all earned and unpaid wages to an employee who is discharged. California Labor Code § 201

9

mandates that if an employer discharges an employee, the employee's wages accrued and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately.

10 11

44. Furthermore, pursuant to California Labor Code § 202, DEFENDANTS are required to

12

pay all accrued wages due to an employee no later than 72 hours after the employee quits his or her

13

employment, unless the employee provided 72 hours previous notice of his or her intention to quit, in

14 |

which case the employee is entitled to his or wages at the time of quitting.

15

45. California Labor Code § 203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to pay, in

16

accordance with California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202, any wages of an employee who is discharged or

17

who quits, the employer is liable for waiting time penalties in the form of continued compensation to the

18

employee at the same rate for up to 30 workdays.

19 20 46. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS have willfully failed to pay accrued wages and other compensation to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS in accordance with California Labor

21

Code §§ 201 and 202.

22

47. As a result, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to all available statutory penalties, including the waiting time penalties provided in California Labor Code § 203, together with

2324

interest thereon, as well as other available remedies.

25

48. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions, PLAINTIFF

26

and CLASS MEMBERS have been deprived of compensation in an amount according to proof at the

27

time of trial, but not in excess of the jurisdiction of this Court, and are entitled to recovery of such

28

amounts, plus interest thereon, and attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant to California Labor Code § 1194.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

2

Failure to Furnish Accurate Itemized Wage Statements

3

[Cal. Labor Code §§ 226, 1174; IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 7]

4

(Against all DEFENDANTS)

practices to deprive PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS of all wages earned and due, DEFENDANTS

knowingly and intentionally failed to maintain records as required under California Labor Code §§ 226,

1174, and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 7, including, but not limited to, the following records: total

daily hours worked by each employee; applicable rates of pay; all deductions; meal periods; time

records showing when each employee begins and ends each work period; and accurate itemized

and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial, and are entitled to

all wages earned and due, plus interest thereon. Additionally, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are

entitled to all available statutory penalties, including, but not limited to, civil penalties pursuant to

California Labor Code §§ 226(e), 226.3, and 1174.5, and an award of costs, expenses, and reasonable

attorneys' fees, including, but not limited to, those provided in California Labor Code § 226(e), as well

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Maintain Required Records

[Cal. Labor Code §§ 226; IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 7]

(Against all DEFENDANTS)

and CLASS MEMBERS with timely, accurate, and itemized wage statements in writing showing each

employee's gross wages earned, total hours worked, all deductions made, net wages earned, the name

and address of the legal entity or entities employing PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS, and all

PLAINTIFF incorporates all facts alleged in paragraphs 1-18 as if fully set forth herein.

During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS routinely failed to provide PLAINTIFF

5

50.

49. PLAINTIFF incorporates all facts alleged in paragraphs 1-18 as if fully set forth herein.

During the CLASS PERIOD, as part of DEFENDANTS' illegal payroll policies and

As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions, PLAINTIFF

,

7

9

10 11

12

13

statements.

51.

as other available remedies.

52.

53.

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

2425

2627

28

- 11

14

11

19

20

17

22 23

24

26

applicable hourly rates in effect during each pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate, in violation of California Labor Code § 226 and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 7.

- 54. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS knowingly and intentionally failed to provide PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS with timely, accurate, and itemized wage statements in accordance with California Labor Code § 226(a).
- 55. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial, and seek all wages earned and due, plus interest thereon. Additionally, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to all available statutory penalties, including, but not limited to, civil penalties pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 226(e), 226.3, and 1174.5, and an award of costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' fees, including, but not limited to, those provided in California Labor Code § 226(e), as well as other available remedies.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Indemnify Employees for Necessary Expenditures Incurred in Discharge of Duties [Cal. Labor Code § 2802]

- 56. PLAINTIFF incorporates all facts alleged in paragraphs 1-18 as if fully set forth herein.
- 57. California Labor Code § 2802(a) requires an employer to indemnify an employee for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of her his or her duties, or of his or her obedience to the directions of the employer.
- 58. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS knowingly and willfully failed to indemnify PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all business expenses and/or losses incurred in direct consequence of the discharge of their duties while working under the direction of DEFENDANTS, including, but not limited to, expenses for cell phone use and other employmentrelated expenses, in violation of California Labor Code § 2802.
- 59. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial, and seek reimbursement of all necessary expenditures, plus interest thereon pursuant to California Labor Code §

....

penalties and an award of costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' fees, including those provided in California Labor Code § 2802(c), as well as other available remedies.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

2802(b). Additionally, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to all available statutory

Unfair and Unlawful Business Practices

[Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et. seq.]

- 60. PLAINTIFF incorporates all facts alleged in paragraphs 1-18 as if fully set forth herein.
- 61. Each and every one of DEFENDANTS' acts and omissions in violation of the California Labor Code and/or the applicable IWC Wage Order as alleged herein, including, but not limited to, DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to provide required meal periods, DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to provide required rest periods, DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to pay overtime compensation, DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to pay minimum wages, DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to pay all wages due to discharged or quitting employees, DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to furnish accurate itemized wage statements; DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to maintain required records, DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to indemnify PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for necessary expenditures and/or losses incurring in discharging their duties, constitutes an unfair and unlawful business practice under California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq.
- 62. DEFENDANTS' violations of California wage and hour laws constitute a business practice because DEFENDANTS' aforementioned acts and omissions were done repeatedly over a significant period of time, and in a systematic manner, to the detriment of PLAINTIFF, CLASS MEMBERS and the general public.
- 63. DEFENDANTS have avoided payment of wages, overtime wages, meal periods, rest periods, and other benefits as required by the California Labor Code, the California Code of Regulations, and the applicable IWC Wage Order. Further, DEFENDANTS have failed to record, report, and pay the correct sums of assessment to the state authorities under the California Labor Code and other applicable regulations.
 - 64. As a result of DEFENDANTS' unfair and unlawful business practices, DEFENDANTS

have reaped unfair and illegal profits during the CLASS PERIOD at the expense of PLAINTIFF, CLASS MEMBERS, and members of the public. DEFENDANTS should be made to disgorge their ill-gotten gains and to restore them to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS.

65. DEFENDANTS' unfair and unlawful business practices entitle PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to seek preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, including, but not limited to, orders that DEFENDANTS account for, disgorge, and restore to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS the wages and other compensation unlawfully withheld from them. PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to restitution of all monies to be disgorged from DEFENDANTS in an amount according to proof at the time of trial, but not in excess of the jurisdiction of this Court.

ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Representative Action for Civil Penalties

[Cal. Labor Code §§ 2698-2699.5]

- 66. PLAINTIFF incorporates all facts alleged in paragraphs 1-18 as if fully set forth herein.
- 67. PLAINTIFF are an "aggrieved employees" within the meaning of California Labor Code \$ 2699(c), and a proper representative to bring a civil action on behalf of himself and other current and former employees of DEFENDANTS pursuant to the procedures specified in California Labor Code \$ 2699.3, because PLAINTIFF was employed by DEFENDANTS and the alleged violations of the California Labor Code were committed against PLAINTIFF.
- 68. Pursuant to the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA"), Labor Code §§ 2698, et seq., PLAINTIFF seek to recover civil penalties, including, but not limited to, penalties under California Labor Code §§ 2699, 210, 225.5, 226.3, 1174.5, 1197.1, 1199, and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 20, from DEFENDANTS in a representative action for the violations set forth above, including, but not limited to, violations of California Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204, 226, 226.7, 510, 512, 1174, 1194, 1197, 1198, and 2802. PLAINTIFF are also entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699(g)(1).
- 69. Pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699.3, PLAINTIFF gave written notice by online filing with the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") and by certified mail

12 13

14

15 16

18 19

17

20 21

22 23

> 24 25

26

27

28

to DEFENDANTS of the specific provisions of the California Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders PLAINTIFF alleges DEFENDANTS have been violated along with the facts and theories supporting the alleged violations. PLAINTIFF'S notice to the LWDA was accompanied by PLAINTIFF'S payment of \$75.00 filing fee. Because the LWDA did not provide PLAINTIFF with notice of its intent to investigate the alleged violations in the 65 calendar days that have lapsed, PLAINTIFF have complied with all of the requirements set forth in California Labor Code § 2699.3 to commence a representative action against DEFENDANTS on behalf of herself and other similarly aggrieved employees of DEFENDANTS. Therefore, PLAINTIFF have complied with all of the requirements set forth in California Labor Code § 2699.3 to commence a representative action under PAGA.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF, individually, and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated and aggrieved, respectfully prays for relief against DEFENDANTS and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. For compensatory damages in an amount to be ascertained at trial;
- For restitution of all monies due to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS, as well as 2. disgorged profits from the unfair and unlawful business practices of DEFENDANTS;
- For meal and rest period compensation pursuant to California Labor Code § 226.7 and 3. IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001;
 - 4. For liquidated damages pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 1194.2 and 1197.1;
- 5. For preliminary and permanent injunctive relief enjoining DEFENDANTS from violating the relevant provisions of the California Labor Code and the IWC Wage Orders, and from engaging in the unlawful business practices complained of herein;
 - For waiting time penalties pursuant to California Labor Code § 203; 6.
- For statutory and civil penalties according to proof, including, but not limited to, all 7. penalties authorized by the California Labor Code §§ 226(e) and 2699;
- For interest on the unpaid wages at 10% per annum pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 8. 218.6, 1194, 2802, California Civil Code §§ 3287, 3288, and/or any other applicable provision providing for pre-judgment interest;

Case 2:18-cv-09000 Document 1-4 Filed 10/18/18 Page 20 of 46 Page ID #:134

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLAINTIFF hereby demands a jury trial with respect to all issues triable by jury as of right.

DATED: August 22, 2018

Respectfully Submitted,

MAYERN DAW GROUP, PC

By:

MATTHEW J. MATERN JOSHUA D. BOXER

ROY K. SUH

Attorneys for Plaintiffs ADRIENNE LIGGINS individually and on behalf of others similarly situated and aggrieved

			COPT
	TYODNEY OR GARTY MATHOUT ATTORNEY (Along Chile Res	washer and address?	CM-010
	TTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar in Matthew J. Matern (SBN 159798); Joshua E MATERN LAW GROUP, PC 1230 Rosecrans Ave., Suite 200 Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 TELEPHONE NO.: (310) 531-1900	FAX NO: (310) 531-1901	ORIGINAL FILES Superior Court of California
-	ttorney for (Name): Plaintiff Adrienne Lig	Aria a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	County of Loc Appada:
	PERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street MAILING ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street CITY AND ZIP CODE: LOS Angeles, CA 900 BRANCH NAME: Central District	J	AUG 22 2018 Sherri R. Carlor, Executive Officer/Clerk By: Alto Nazarvan, Deputy
ı	CASE NAME:	_ t	wy. Here was yang want
1	Adrienne Liggins v. GMRI, INC., et	aı	0.05 1111050
	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Unlimited Limited (Amount (Amount	Complex Case Designation Counter Joinder	BC717321
	demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defend	dant JUDGE:
	exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	
		w must be completed (see instructions	on page 2).
1.	Check one box below for the case type that Auto Tort Auto (22) Uninsured motorist (46) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort Asbestos (04) Product liability (24) Medical malpractice (45) Other PI/PD/WD (23) Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment Wrongful termination (36)	Contract Breach of contract/warranty (06) Rule 3.740 collections (09) Other collections (09) Insurance coverage (18) Other contract (37) Real Property Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14) Wrongful eviction (33) Other real property (26) Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31) Residential (32) Drugs (38)	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403) Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) Construction defect (10) Mass tort (40) Securities litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic tort (30) Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case types (41) Enforcement of Judgment Enforcement of judgment (20) Miscellaneous Civil Complaint RICO (27) Other complaint (not specified above) (42) Miscellaneous Civil Petition Partnership and corporate governance (21) Other petition (not specified above) (43)
	factors requiring exceptional judicial manage a. Large number of separately represe b. Extensive motion practice raising di issues that will be time-consuming t c. Substantial amount of documentary	ement: ented parties d. Large number efficult or novel e. Coordination to resolve in other count evidence f. Substantial po	ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the r of witnesses with related actions pending in one or more court ties, states, or countries, or in a federal court ostjudgment judicial supervision

4. Number of causes of action (specify): Eleven (11)

5. This case is not a class action suit.

6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related cases, form CM-Q15)

Date: August 22, 2018 Joshua D. Boxer (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

NOTICE • Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code) (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220) Failure to file may result

in sanctions. · File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule

• If this case is complex under rule 3 400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding

other parties to the action or proceeding

• Unless this is a collections case under rule 3 740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.

Page 1 or 2

OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)

CM-010

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiffs designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that

```
the case is complex.
Auto Tort
    Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property
         Damage/Wrongful Death
    Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the
         case involves an uninsured
         motorist claim subject to
        arbitration, check this item
         instead of Auto)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/
Property Damage/Wrongful Death)
    Asbestos (04)
        Asbestos Property Damage
Asbestos Personal Injury/
             Wrongful Death
    Product Liability (not asbestos or
        toxic/environmental) (24)
    Medical Malpractice (45)
        Medical Malpractice-
             Physicians & Surgeons
        Other Professional Health Care
             Malpractice
    Other PI/PD/WD (23)
        Premises Liability (e.g., slip
             and fall)
        Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD
             (e.g., assault, vandalism)
        Intentional Infliction of
             Emotional Distress
        Negligent Infliction of
             Émotional Distress
        Other PI/PD/WD
```

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

CM-010 [Rev. Day 1, 2017]

Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07) Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08) Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)Fraud (16) Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25) Legal Malpractice Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal) Other Non-P!/PD/WD Tort (35) **Employment** Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES Contract Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease

Contract (not unlawful detainer or wronaful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence) Negligent Breach of Contract/ Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty

Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09) Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections

Case Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18) Auto Subrogation

Other Coverage Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14) Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)

Residential (32) Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal

drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal-Labor Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex

case type listed above) (41) **Enforcement of Judgment**

Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)

Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations) Sister State Judgment Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (nonharassment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate Governance (21) Other Petition (not specified

above) (43) Civil Harassment Workplace Violence

Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse **Election Contest**

Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late Claim

Other Civil Petition

SHORT RITLE.	CASE NUMBER
Adrienne Liggins v. GMRI, INC., et al.	BC717821
	DV: X

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court

	This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case mings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.
ŀ	tem I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case:
	JURY TRIAL? YES CLASS ACTION? YES LIMITED CASE? YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 15-20 HOURS! DAYS
ŀ	tem II. Indicate the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps – If you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4):
	Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet form, find the main Civil Case Cover Sheet heading for your case in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.
	Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature of this case.
	Step 3: In Column C, circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action you have

Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)

- Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, central district.
 May be filed in central (other county, or no bodily injury/property damage).
 Location where cause of action arose.
 Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred.
 Location where performance required or defendant resides.

- 6. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.
 7. Location where petitioner resides.
 8. Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.
 9. Location where one or more of the parties reside.
 10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.		B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons See Step 3 Above
Tort	Auto (22)	□ A7100	Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
	Uninsured Motorist (46)	□ A7110	Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
	Asbestos (04)	1	Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2. 2.
	Product Liability (24)	□ A7260	Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
	Medical Malpractice (45)		Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 4. 1 , 4
	Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	☐ A7230	Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, varidalism etc.) Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 4. 1., 4. 1., 3. 1., 4.

Other Personal Injury/ Property

Auto

SHORT TITLE:
Adrienne Liggins v. GMRI, INC., et al.

CASE NUMBER

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only, one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
>- +-	Business Tort (07)	☐ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 3.
opert th Tor	Civil Rights (08)	☐ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1, 2., 3.
ıry/ Pr ıl Dea	Defamation (13)	☐ A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2,, 3,
ral Inju rongfu	Fraud (16)	☐ A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.
Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Professional Negligence (25)	□ A6017 Legal Malpractice □ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.
20	Other (35)	☐ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2,,3.
nent	Wrongful Termination (36)	☐ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Employment	Other Employment (15)	☑ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case☐ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	(Î) 2., 3. 10.
	Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	 □ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) □ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) □ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) □ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) 	2., 5. 2., 5. 1., 2., 5. 1., 2., 5.
Contract	Collections (09)	□ A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff □ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5., 6. 2., 5.
	Insurance Coverage (18)	☐ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8,
	Other Contract (37)	 □ A6009 Contractual Fraud □ A6031 Tortious Interference □ A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence) 	1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.
	Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	☐ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
operty	Wrongful Eviction (33)	☐ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
Real Propert	Other Real Property (26)	 ☐ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure ☐ A6032 Quiet Title ☐ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure) 	2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.
er	Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	□ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Detain	Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	☐ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer	Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	☐ A6020F Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2,6.
้า	Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)	LI A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2,6.

SHORT TITLE: Adrienne Liggins v. GMRI, INC., et al. CASE NUMBER

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.			B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
	Asset Forfeiture (05)		A6108	Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
iew	Petition re Arbitration (11)		A6115	Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5,
Judicial Review			A6151	Writ - Administrative Mandamus	2., 8.
udici	Writ of Mandate (02)	_		Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	2.
7			A6153	Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2.
	Other Judicial Review (39)		A6150	Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.
ion	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)		A6003	Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
Litiga	Construction Defect (10)	0	A6007	Construction Defect	1., 2., 3.
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)		A6006	Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
lly Co	Securities Litigation (28)		A6035	Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
visiona	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)		A6036	Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
Pro	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	٥	A6014	Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
			A6141	Sister State Judgment	2., 9,
ent			A6160	Abstract of Judgment	2., 6.
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement		A6107	Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)	2., 9.
onform	of Judgment (20)		A6140	Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)	2., 8.
可る			A6114	Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax	2., 8.
			A6112	Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 8., 9.
is nts	RICO (27)		A6033	Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints			A6030	Declaratory Relief Only	1., 2., 8.
Con	Other Complaints		A6040	Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment)	2., 8.
Wisc	(Not Specified Above) (42)		A6011	Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8.
- 0			A6000	Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8.
	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)		A6113	Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
10			A6121	Civil Harassment	2., 3., 9.
sons			A6123	Workplace Harassment	2., 3., 9.
llane etit	Other Petitions		A6124	Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case	2., 3., 9.
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	(Not Specified Above)		A6190	Election Contest	2.
≅ 5	(43)	П	A6110	Petition for Change of Name	2.,7.
			Λ6170	Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2,3.4.8.
			A6100	Other Civil Petition	2 9
t	<u>,,,, </u>		*******		J

SHORT TITLE: Adrienne Liggins	v. GMRI, INC., et	al.		CASE NUMBER
				ence or place of business, performance, or other or filing in the court location you selected.
REASON: Check the appropriate boxes for the numbers shown under Column C for the type of action that you have selected for this case.		ADDRESS: 2610 N. Sepulveda Bł	vd.	
ситу:	STATE:	ZIP CODE:		
Manhattan Beach	CA	90266		
and correct and that the abo	ove-entitled matter i	s properly file	ed for assignment to	the State of California that the foregoing is true the Stanley Mosk courthouse in the geles [Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and Local
Rule 2.0, subds. (b), (c) and (Dated: 8/22/18	d)].		(More
DI EASE HAVE THE EOI I	OWING ITEMS	OMDI ETED	AND READY TO	NATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)

- PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:
 - 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
 - 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
 - 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
 - Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 03/11).
 - 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
 - 6. A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
 - 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

VOLUNTARY EFFICIENT LITIGATION STIPULATIONS



Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles



Los Angeles County Bar Association Litigation Section

Los Angeles County Bar Association Labor and Employment Law Section





Southern California Defense Counsel





The Early Organizational Meeting Stipulation, Discovery Resolution Stipulation, and Motions in Limine Stipulation are voluntary stipulations entered into by the parties. The parties may enter into one, two, or all three of the stipulations; however, they may not alter the stipulations as written, because the Court wants to ensure uniformity of application. These stipulations are meant to encourage cooperation between the parties and to assist in resolving issues in a manner that promotes economic case resolution and judicial efficiency.

The following organizations endorse the goal of promoting efficiency in litigation and ask that counsel consider using these stipulations as a voluntary way to promote communications and procedures among counsel and with the court to fairly resolve issues in their cases.

- **♦**Los Angeles County Bar Association Litigation Section**♦**
 - ◆ Los Angeles County Bar Association Labor and Employment Law Section ◆
 - **♦**Consumer Attorneys Association of Los Angeles **♦**
 - ◆Southern California Defense Counsel◆
 - **♦**Association of Business Trial Lawyers **♦**
 - **♦**California Employment Lawyers Association **♦**

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
		
TELEPHONE NO.: E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name):		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA		
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:		
PLAINTIFF:		
DEFENDANT:		
	CASE NUMBER:	
STIPULATION – EARLY ORG		

This stipulation is intended to encourage cooperation among the parties at an early stage in the litigation and to assist the parties in efficient case resolution.

The parties agree that:

- 1. The parties commit to conduct an initial conference (in-person or via teleconference or via videoconference) within 15 days from the date this stipulation is signed, to discuss and consider whether there can be agreement on the following:
 - a. Are motions to challenge the pleadings necessary? If the issue can be resolved by amendment as of right, or if the Court would allow leave to amend, could an amended complaint resolve most or all of the issues a demurrer might otherwise raise? If so, the parties agree to work through pleading issues so that a demurrer need only raise issues they cannot resolve. Is the issue that the defendant seeks to raise amenable to resolution on demurrer, or would some other type of motion be preferable? Could a voluntary targeted exchange of documents or information by any party cure an uncertainty in the pleadings?
 - Initial mutual exchanges of documents at the "core" of the litigation. (For example, in an
 employment case, the employment records, personnel file and documents relating to the
 conduct in question could be considered "core." In a personal injury case, an incident or
 police report, medical records, and repair or maintenance records could be considered
 "core.");
 - c. Exchange of names and contact information of witnesses;
 - d. Any insurance agreement that may be available to satisfy part or all of a judgment, or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy a judgment;
 - e. Exchange of any other information that might be helpful to facilitate understanding, handling, or resolution of the case in a manner that preserves objections or privileges by agreement;
 - f. Controlling issues of law that, if resolved early, will promote efficiency and economy in other phases of the case. Also, when and how such issues can be presented to the Court;
 - g. Whether or when the case should be scheduled with a settlement officer, what discovery or court ruling on legal issues is reasonably required to make settlement discussions meaningful, and whether the parties wish to use a sitting judge or a private mediator or other options as

SHORT TITLE	<u> </u>		-	CASE NUMBER:			
	discussed in the "Alternative Dispute Recomplaint;	esolution (A	DR) Informa	tion Package" served with the			
h.	Computation of damages, including documents, not privileged or protected from disclosure, on which such computation is based;						
i.	Whether the case is suitable for the Expedited Jury Trial procedures (see information at www.lacourt.org under "Civil" and then under "General Information").						
2.	The time for a defending party to respond to a complaint or cross-complaint will be extended to for the complaint, and for the cross-						
	complaint, which is comprised of the 30 and the 30 days permitted by Code of been found by the Civil Supervising Jud this Stipulation. A copy of the General click on "General Information", then click	Civil Proce lge due to tl Order can	oond under (dure section ne case man pe found at	Government Code § 68616(b), a 1054(a), good cause having agement benefits provided by www.lacourt.org under "Civil",			
3.	The parties will prepare a joint report titled "Joint Status Report Pursuant to Initial Conference and Early Organizational Meeting Stipulation, and if desired, a proposed order summarizing results of their meet and confer and advising the Court of any way it may assist the parties' efficient conduct or resolution of the case. The parties shall attach the Joint Status Report to the Case Management Conference statement, and file the documents when the CMC statement is due.						
4.	References to "days" mean calendar days, unless otherwise noted. If the date for performing any act pursuant to this stipulation falls on a Saturday, Sunday or Court holiday, then the time for performing that act shall be extended to the next Court day						
The fo	llowing parties stipulate:						
Date:		<i>h</i>					
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	>	(ATT	ORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)			
	TYPE OF PRINT MAME	> _	/ \	MANEY COR DECEMBANT			
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	>	(ATTC	PRNEY FOR DEFENDANT)			
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	,	(ATTC	PRNEY FOR DEFENDANT)			
Date:		>					
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	_	(ATTC	PRNEY FOR DEFENDANT)			
Date:		>					
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	_	(ATTORNEY	(FOR)			
	(T)/DE OD DOWN MANEY	> _	(ATTORNEY	(FOR)			
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	>	(ATTUKNEY	- rur)			
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	´ -	(ATTORNEY	FOR)			

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY.	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
	•	
TELEPHONE NO.: E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	FAX NO. (Optional):	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFOR	NIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	7
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:		••• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
PLAINTIFF:		
DEFENDANT:		
STIPULATION - DISC	OVERY RESOLUTION	CASE NUMBER:

This stipulation is intended to provide a fast and informal resolution of discovery issues through limited paperwork and an informal conference with the Court to aid in the resolution of the issues.

The parties agree that:

- 1. Prior to the discovery cut-off in this action, no discovery motion shall be filed or heard unless the moving party first makes a written request for an Informal Discovery Conference pursuant to the terms of this stipulation.
- At the Informal Discovery Conference the Court will consider the dispute presented by parties and determine whether it can be resolved informally. Nothing set forth herein will preclude a party from making a record at the conclusion of an Informal Discovery Conference, either orally or in writing.
- 3. Following a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue to be presented, a party may request an Informal Discovery Conference pursuant to the following procedures:
 - a. The party requesting the Informal Discovery Conference will:
 - File a Request for Informal Discovery Conference with the clerk's office on the approved form (copy attached) and deliver a courtesy, conformed copy to the assigned department;
 - ii. Include a brief summary of the dispute and specify the relief requested; and
 - iii. Serve the opposing party pursuant to any authorized or agreed method of service that ensures that the opposing party receives the Request for Informal Discovery Conference no later than the next court day following the filing.
 - b. Any Answer to a Request for Informal Discovery Conference must:
 - i. Also be filed on the approved form (copy attached);
 - ii. Include a brief summary of why the requested relief should be denied;

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:

- iii. Be filed within two (2) court days of receipt of the Request; and
- iv. Be served on the opposing party pursuant to any authorized or agreed upon method of service that ensures that the opposing party receives the Answer no later than the next court day following the filing.
- c. No other pleadings, including but not limited to exhibits, declarations, or attachments, will be accepted.
- d. If the Court has not granted or denied the Request for Informal Discovery Conference within ten (10) days following the filing of the Request, then it shall be deemed to have been denied. If the Court acts on the Request, the parties will be notified whether the Request for Informal Discovery Conference has been granted or denied and, if granted, the date and time of the Informal Discovery Conference, which must be within twenty (20) days of the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference.
- e. If the conference is not held within twenty (20) days of the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference, unless extended by agreement of the parties and the Court, then the Request for the Informal Discovery Conference shall be deemed to have been denied at that time.
- 4. If (a) the Court has denied a conference or (b) one of the time deadlines above has expired without the Court having acted or (c) the Informal Discovery Conference is concluded without resolving the dispute, then a party may file a discovery motion to address unresolved issues.
- 5. The parties hereby further agree that the time for making a motion to compel or other discovery motion is tolled from the date of filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference until (a) the request is denied or deemed denied or (b) twenty (20) days after the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference, whichever is earlier, unless extended by Order of the Court.
 - It is the understanding and intent of the parties that this stipulation shall, for each discovery dispute to which it applies, constitute a writing memorializing a "specific later date to which the propounding [or demanding or requesting] party and the responding party have agreed in writing," within the meaning of Code Civil Procedure sections 2030.300(c), 2031.320(c), and 2033.290(c).
- 6. Nothing herein will preclude any party from applying *ex parte* for appropriate relief, including an order shortening time for a motion to be heard concerning discovery.
- 7. Any party may terminate this stipulation by giving twenty-one (21) days notice of intent to terminate the stipulation.
- 8. References to "days" mean calendar days, unless otherwise noted. If the date for performing any act pursuant to this stipulation falls on a Saturday, Sunday or Court holiday, then the time for performing that act shall be extended to the next Court day.

Case 2:18-cv-09000 Document 1-4 Filed 10/18/18 Page 33 of 46 Page ID #:147

SHORT TITLE:		CASE NUMBER:
The follo	owing parties stipulate:	
Date:		>
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR)
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR)

NAME AND AI	DDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:		STATE BAR NUMBER		Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
	•				
E MAIL A	TELEPHONE NO.: F DDRESS (Optional):	AX NO. (Op	tional):		
ATTO	RNEY FOR (Name):	COLIN	ITY OF LOC AND	SELEC	
	RIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA DUSE ADDRESS:	, COUN	IIT OF LOS AND	PELES	
PLAINTIFF	E:				
DEFENDA	NT·	·			
	INFORMAL DISCOVER' (pursuant to the Discovery Resolution				CASE NUMBER:
1.	This document relates to:	n Oupula	don of the parties)	L	
••	Request for Informal Dis	scoverv	Conference		
	Answer to Request for I	nformal	Discovery Confere		
2.	Deadline for Court to decide on Rothe Request).	equest:		_ (insert dat	e 10 calendar days following filing of
3.	Deadline for Court to hold Informa days following filing of the Request).	Discov	ery Conference:		(insert date 20 calendar
4.	For a Request for Informal Di	scovery	/ Conference, bri	iefly des	cribe the nature of the
	discovery dispute, including the	e facts	and legal argume	ents at is	ssue. For an Answer to
	Request for Informal Discovery the requested discovery, including				
		yan ngadann yang Mary Managan yara ay		APART STATE	The second secon
	1				
					:

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY: .	STATE BAR NUMBER .	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	O. (Optional):	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:	OUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
PLAINTIFF:		
DEFENDANT:		
STIPULATION AND ORDER – M	OTIONS IN LIMINE	CASE NUMBER:

This stipulation is intended to provide fast and informal resolution of evidentiary issues through diligent efforts to define and discuss such issues and limit paperwork.

The parties agree that:

- 1. At least ____ days before the final status conference, each party will provide all other parties with a list containing a one paragraph explanation of each proposed motion in limine. Each one paragraph explanation must identify the substance of a single proposed motion in limine and the grounds for the proposed motion.
- 2. The parties thereafter will meet and confer, either in person or via teleconference or videoconference, concerning all proposed motions in limine. In that meet and confer, the parties will determine:
 - a. Whether the parties can stipulate to any of the proposed motions. If the parties so stipulate, they may file a stipulation and proposed order with the Court.
 - b. Whether any of the proposed motions can be briefed and submitted by means of a short joint statement of issues. For each motion which can be addressed by a short joint statement of issues, a short joint statement of issues must be filed with the Court 10 days prior to the final status conference. Each side's portion of the short joint statement of issues may not exceed three pages. The parties will meet and confer to agree on a date and manner for exchanging the parties' respective portions of the short joint statement of issues and the process for filing the short joint statement of issues.
- 3. All proposed motions in limine that are not either the subject of a stipulation or briefed via a short joint statement of issues will be briefed and filed in accordance with the California Rules of Court and the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

Case 2:18-cv-09000 Document 1-4 Filed 10/18/18 Page 36 of 46 Page ID #:150

SHORT TITLE		entre de la companya	CASE NUMBER:
The fo	llowing parties stipulate:		
Date:		>	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	-	(ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	>_	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:		>	,
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	_ ≽	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
<u></u>	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	_	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:		>_	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	>	(ATTORNEY FOR)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	-	(ATTORNEY FOR)
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	> _	(ATTORNEY FOR)
	OURT SO ORDERS.		
Date:		_	JUDICIAL OFFICER

Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles



ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFORMATION PACKET

The person who files a civil lawsuit (plaintiff) must include the ADR information Packet with the complaint when serving the defendant. Cross-complainants must serve the ADR Information Packet on any new parties named to the action together with the cross-complaint.

There are a number of ways to resolve civil disputes without having to sue someone. These alternatives to a lawsuit are known as alternative dispute resolution (ADR).

In ADR, trained, impartial persons decide disputes or help parties decide disputes themselves. These persons are called neutrals. For example, in mediations, the neutral is the mediator. Neutrals normally are chosen by the disputing parties or by the court. Neutrals can help resolve disputes without having to go to court.

Advantages of ADR

- Often faster than going to trial
- Often less expensive, saving the litigants court costs, attorney's fees and expert fees.
- May permit more participation, allowing parties to have more control over the outcome.
- Allows for flexibility in choice of ADR processes and resolution of the dispute.
- Fosters cooperation by allowing parties to work together with the neutral to resolve the dispute and mutually agree to remedy.
- There are fewer, if any, court appearances. Because ADR can be faster and save money, it can reduce stress.

Disadvantages of ADR - ADR may not be suitable for every dispute.

- If ADR is binding, the parties normally give up most court protections, including a decision by a judge or jury under formal rules of evidence and procedure, and review for legal error by an appellate court.
- ADR may not be effective if it takes place before the parties have sufficient information to resolve the dispute.
- The neutral may charge a fee for his or her services.
- If the dispute is not resolved through ADR, the parties may then have to face the usual and traditional costs of trial, such as attorney's fees and expert fees.

The Most Common Types of ADR

Mediation

In mediation, a neutral (the mediator) assists the parties in reaching a mutually acceptable resolution of their dispute. Unlike lawsuits or some other types of ADR, the parties, rather than the mediator, decide how the dispute is to be resolved.

- Mediation is particularly effective when the parties have a continuing relationship, like neighbors or business people. Mediation is also very effective where personal feelings are getting in the way of a resolution. This is because mediation normally gives the parties a chance to express their feelings and find out how the other sees things.
- Mediation may not be effective when one party is unwilling to cooperate or compromise or when one of the parties has a significant advantage in power over the other. Therefore, it may not be a good choice if the parties have a history of abuse or victimization.

Arbitration

In arbitration, a neutral person called an "arbitrator" hears arguments and evidence from each side and then decides the outcome of the dispute. Arbitration is typically less formal than a trial, and the rules of evidence may be relaxed. Arbitration may be either "binding" or "non-binding." Binding arbitration means the parties waive their right to a trial and agree to accept the arbitrator's decision as final. Non-binding arbitration means that the parties are free to request a trial if they reject the arbitrator's decision.

Arbitration is best for cases where the parties want another person to decide the outcome of their dispute for them but would like to avoid the formality, time, and expense of a trial. It may also be appropriate for complex matters where the parties want a decision-maker who has training or experience in the subject matter of the dispute.

Mandatory Settlement Conference (MSC)

Settlement Conferences are appropriate in any case where settlement is an option. Mandatory Settlement Conferences are ordered by the Court and are often held near the date a case is set for trial. The parties and their attorneys meet with a judge who devotes his or her time exclusively to preside over the MSC. The judge does not make a decision in the case but assists the parties in evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of the case and in negotiating a settlement.

The Los Angeles Superior Court Mandatory Settlement Conference (MSC) program is free of charge and staffed by experienced sitting civil judges who devote their time exclusively to presiding over MSCs. The judges participating in the judicial MSC program and their locations are identified in the List of Settlement Officers found on the Los Angeles Superior Court website at http://www.lacourt.org/. This program is available in general jurisdiction cases with represented parties from independent calendar (IC) and Central Civil West (CCW) courtrooms. In addition, on an ad hoc basis, personal injury cases may be referred to the program on the eve of trial by the personal injury master calendar courts in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse or the asbestos calendar court in CCW.

In order to access the Los Angeles Superior Court MSC Program the judge in the IC courtroom, the CCW Courtroom or the personal injury master calendar courtroom must refer the parties to the program. Further, all parties must complete the information requested in the Settlement Conference Intake Form and email the completed form to mscdept18@lacourt.org.

Additional Information

To locate a dispute resolution program or neutral in your community:

- Contact the California Department of Consumer Affairs (<u>www.dca.ca.gov</u>) Consumer Information Center toll free at 800-952-5210, or;
- Contact the local bar association (http://www.lacba.org/) or;
- Look in a telephone directory or search online for "mediators; or "arbitrators."

There may be a charge for services provided by private arbitrators and mediators.

A list of approved State Bar Approved Mandatory Fee Arbitration programs is available at http://calbar.ca.gov/Attorneys/MemberServices/FeeArbitration/ApprovedPrograms.aspx#19

To request information about, or assistance with, dispute resolution, call the number listed below. Or you may call a Contract Provider agency directly. A list of current Contract Provider agencies in Los Angeles County is available at the link below.

http://css.lacounty.gov/programs/dispute-resolution-program-drp/

County of Los Angeles Dispute Resolution Program 3175 West 6th Street, Room 406 Los Angeles, CA 90020-1798 TEL: (213) 738-2621

FAX: (213) 386-3995

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp		
courthouse address: 111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012	CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles		
NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT	AUG 22 2018		
UNLIMITED CIVIL - CLASS ACTION/COMPLEX	Sherri R. Garter, Executive Officer/Clerk By: Rita Nazaryan, Deputy		
Your case is assigned for all purposes to the judicial officer indicated below.	CASE NUMBER: BC717321		

THIS FORM IS TO BE SERVED WITH THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

	ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM	. 35		ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM
	Hon. Elihu M. Berle	6	211					
	Hon. William F. Highberger	10	10	, st.				
	Hon. John Shepard Wiley, Jr.	9	9					
	Hon. Kenneth Freeman	14	14					
	Hon. Ann Jones	11	11					
	Hon. Maren E. Nelson	17	17		-			
X	Hon. Carolyn B. Kuhl	12	12					
				1. 3.				
				1				
						Hon. Brian S. Currey	15	15
						*Provisional complex (non-class action) case assignment pending complex determination	14	Supervising Judge 14

Given to	the Plaintiff/Cross-Complainant/Attorney of Record	SHERRIR. CARTER, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
on	AUG 2 1 2018	By RITA NAZARYAN , Deputy Clerk
	NOTICE OF CASE ASSIG	NIMENT LINE IMITED CIVIL CASE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR HANDLING UNLIMITED CIVIL CASES

The following critical provisions of the California Rules of Court, Title 3, Division 7, as applicable in the Superior Court, are summarized for your assistance.

APPLICATION

The Division 7 Rules were effective January 1, 2007. They apply to all general civil cases.

PRIORITY OVER OTHER RULES

The Division 7 Rules shall have priority over all other Local Rules to the extent the others are inconsistent.

CHALLENGE TO ASSIGNED JUDGE

A challenge under Code of Civil Procedure Section 170.6 must be made within 15 days after notice of assignment for all purposes to a judge, or if a party has not yet appeared, within 15 days of the first appearance.

TIME STANDARDS

Cases assigned to the Independent Calendaring Courts will be subject to processing under the following time standards:

COMPLAINTS

All complaints shall be served within 60 days of filing and proof of service shall be filed within 90 days.

CROSS-COMPLAINTS

Without leave of court first being obtained, no cross-complaint may be filed by any party after their answer is filed. Cross-complaints shall be served within 30 days of the filing date and a proof of service filed within 60 days of the filing date.

STATUS CONFERENCE

A status conference will be scheduled by the assigned Independent Calendar Judge no later than 270 days after the filing of the complaint. Counsel must be fully prepared to discuss the following issues: alternative dispute resolution, bifurcation, settlement, trial date, and expert witnesses.

FINAL STATUS CONFERENCE

The Court will require the parties to attend a final status conference not more than 10 days before the scheduled trial date. All parties shall have motions in limine, bifurcation motions, statements of major evidentiary issues, dispositive motions, requested form jury instructions, special jury instructions, and special jury verdicts timely filed and served prior to the conference. These matters may be heard and resolved at this conference. At least five days before this conference, counsel must also have exchanged lists of exhibits and witnesses, and have submitted to the court a brief statement of the case to be read to the jury panel as required by Chapter Three of the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

SANCTIONS

The court will impose appropriate sanctions for the failure or refusal to comply with Chapter Three Rules, orders made by the Court, and time standards or deadlines established by the Court or by the Chapter Three Rules. Such sanctions may be on a party, or if appropriate, on counsel for a party.

This is not a complete delincation of the Division 7 or Chapter Three Rules, and adherence only to the above provisions is therefore not a guarantee against the imposition of sanctions under Trial Court Delay Reduction. Careful reading and compliance with the actual Chapter Rules is imperative.

Class Actions

Pursuant to Local Rule 2.3, all class actions shall be filed at the Stanley Mosk Courthouse and are randomly assigned to a complex judge at the designated complex courthouse. If the case is found not to be a class action it will be returned to an Independent Calendar Courtroom for all purposes.

*Provisionally Complex Cases

Cases filed as provisionally complex are initially assigned to the Supervising Judge of complex litigation for determination of complex status. If the case is deemed to be complex within the meaning of California Rules of Court 3.400 et seq., it will be randomly assigned to a complex judge at the designated complex courthouse. If the case is found not to be complex, it will be returned to an Independent Calendar Courtroom for all purposes.

CHUEURWED COSA MATERN LAW GROUP, PC MATTHEW J. MATERN (SBN 159798) Superior Court of California 2 | JOSHUA D. BOXER (SBN 226712) ROY K. SUH (SBN 283988) AUG 3 1 2018 1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200 Manhattan Beach, California 90266 Sherri R. Garter, executive utilicer/Cler Telephone: (310) 531-1900 Ru: Rita Mararuan Danut. Facsimile: (310) 531-1901 Attorneys for Plaintiff ADRIENNE LIGGINS individually and on behalf of others similarly situated and aggrieved 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 10 ADRIENNE LIGGINS individually and on Case No. BC717321 behalf of others similarly situated and 12 aggrieved [Assigned for all purposes to the Honorable Carolyn B. Kuhl, Dept. 12] 13 Plaintiff, NOTICE OF DEPOSIT OF ADVANCE 14 JURY FEE BY PLAINTIFF ADRIENNE vs. LIGGINS GMRI, INC., a Florida corporation doing 15 business as Olive Garden Italian Restaurant: August 22, 2018 Action Filed: OLIVE GARDEN HOLDINGS, LLC, a Trial Date: None Set Florida limited liability company; OLIVE GARDEN, LLC, a California limited liability 17 Company; DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC., 18 | a Florida corporation; OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RÉSTAURANT – MANHATTAN 19 BEACH, an entity of unknown form; and OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT - HUNTINGTON BEACH, an entity of 20 unknown form; and DOES 1 through 50, 21 inclusive. 22 Defendants. 23 24 25 26 27 28

TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 631(b), Plaintiff ADRIENNE LIGGINS hereby deposits an advance jury fee in the amount of \$150.00 in the above-captioned matter. Dated: August 28, 2018 MATERN LAW GROUP, PC By: JOSKUA D. BOXER ROY K. SUH Attorneys for Plaintiff ADRIENNE LIGGINS individually and on behalf of others similarly situated and aggrieved

			•				Supor Reserved for client's Staff	
		SUPERIOR COURT OF COUNTY OF LOS A			*****		Condition	p
COL	RT AD	DRESS:	****				AUG 3 1 2018	
312	N. S	pring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012					40.45	(0)
PLA	NTIFF:			<u> </u>		S	nerri R. Carter, Executive Office	r/Gierk
Α	drieni	ne Liggins					By: Rita Nazarvan, Deputy	·
DEF	ENDAN	IT:						
(GMRI	I, Inc. et al.						
		CIVIL DEPOSIT	•				CASE NUMBER: BC717321	
CLE	RK: PF	REPARE A FORM FOR EACH DEPOSITOR PAYING	SEPARATEL	.Y				
		REPORT TO THE CLERK'S OFFICE/CASHIEF 102, Central Civil Clerk's Office , Room_		_	De	partmer	nt Number <u>95</u> /2	
		tribution Codes	Amt Due		Distrib	ution Cod	es	Amt Due
	251	DAILY JURY FEES Dates:			74	DEPO:	SIT IN TRUST	
		# of day(s)x\$				<u> </u>		
X	72	JURY FEES Trial Date:			101	FIRST P	APERS- AL JURISDICTION	
		(Initial Deposit) \$150.00		<u> </u>	101	FIDST	APERS-LIMITED OVER \$10,000	
Ш	252	Dates:			101		elaration Limited to \$10,000	
		# of 1/ 2 day(s)x\$			141		P 6322.1(a))	
		Full Day		1 🗆	130	Limited t	o \$10,000	
П	721	SANCTIONS ORDERED ON		十一	211	RECLAS	SIFICATION FEE	
		Date:						
$\overline{\Box}$	213	MOTIONS/APPLICATION TO CONT. HEARING		+	150	COMPLE	EX LITIGATION TRIAL/PLAINTIFF	
\sqsubseteq						ļ		
ᆜ	200	MOTIONS/APPLICATION TO CONT.TRIAL		ᆜ	151	COMPLI	EX LITIGATION TRIAL/DEFENDANT	
Ц		Other:						<u> </u>
	To t		rtified Check/	•			Credit Card	
		On or Before	🗆	Forthy	vith			
	Pay	ment will be made by X Plaintiff Adrienne Li	ggins	П	Defenda	ınt		
		·	JOHN A. CLA	ARKE, E	xecutive	Officer/Cl	erk	
		DATE _August 31, 2018	BY:					
			***************************************	С	eputy Cla	erk	** * , / · ,	
		TO BE COMPLETED BY DEPOS	ITOR				CASHIER'S VALIDATION	
	Depos	sitor's Name:						
		sitor's Name: Matern Law Group, PC						
		laintiff in Pro Per Defendant in Pro Per						
	X C	ounsel for X Plaintiff Adrienne Lig	gins ne of Party			-		
		Defendant				_		
А	ddress	of depositor 1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suit	ne of Party e 200					
		Manhattan Beach, California						
		City/State/Zip	70200					

CIV 083 03-04 (Rev. 05/06) LASC Approved

CIVIL DEPOSIT

Distribution: Original - Case File Copy-Customer

SEP 2 4 2018

JULIE A. DUNNE, Bar No. 160544 1 CONFORMED COPY jdunne@littler.com ORIGINAL FILED 2 LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 501 W. Broadway, Suite 900 San Diego, CA 92101 3 OCT 17 2018 Telephone: 619. 232.0441 619. 232.4302 Fax No.: Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court By: Steven Drew, Deputy CARLOS JIMENEZ, Bar No. 227534 cajimenez@littler.com PENNY CHEN, Bar No. 280706 pchen@littler.com 7 LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 633 West 5th Street, 63rd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: 213.443.4300 9 Fax No.: 213.443.4299 10 Attorneys for Defendants GMRI, INC., OLIVE GARDEN HOLDINGS, LLC AND DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC. 11 12 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 13 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - SPRING STREET COURTHOUSE 14 ADRIENNE LIGGINS, individually and Case No. BC717321 15 on behalf of others similarly situated and ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO HON. aggrieved, JUDGE CAROLYN B. KUHL, DEPT. 12 16 Plaintiff, 1.7 **DEFENDANTS GMRI, INC., OLIVE** V. 18 GARDEN HOLDINGS, LLC AND DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.'S GMRI, INC., A Florida corporation doing 19 ANSWER TO CLASS ACTION business as Olive Garden Italian **COMPLAINT** Restaurant; OLIVE GARDEN 20 HOLDINGS LLC, a Florida limited liability company; OLIVE GARDEN, 21 LLC, a California limited liability Complaint Filed on August 22, 2018 Company; DARDEN RESTAURANTS, 22 INC., a Florida corporation; OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT -23 MANHATTAN BEACH, an entity of unknown form; and OLIVE GARDEN 24 ITALIAN RESTAURANT -HUNTINGTON BEACH, an entity of 25 unknown form; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive. 26 Defendants.

1.

27

28

2	
3	
4	

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

Defendants GMRI, INC. ("GMRI"), OLIVE GARDEN HOLDINGS, LLC ("OGH"), DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC. ("Darden") (collectively "Defendants") for themselves only, answer the unverified Class Action Complaint ("Complaint") filed by Plaintiff Adrienne Liggins ("Plaintiff") as follows.

GENERAL DENIAL

Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 431.30, Defendants generally deny each and every allegation contained in the Complaint. Defendants further deny that Plaintiff has been damaged in any sum, or at all, by reason of any act or omission on the part of Defendants or any of its representatives, agents, servants, or employees.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Defendants have not completed their investigation of the facts and circumstances raised in the Complaint. As such, Defendants reserve the right to amend or supplement their Answer and to plead further defenses. Defendants assert the following separate and distinct affirmative or other defenses. In so doing, however, Defendants do not concede that they have the burden of production or proof as to any defense asserted below.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure To State A Claim)

1. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that Plaintiff's and/or the alleged putative class members' claims in the Complaint, and each cause of action therein, fail to state a claim sufficient to constitute a cause of action upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Arbitration)

2. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that Plaintiff's and/or the alleged putative class members' claims may be subject to binding arbitration of the claims, which cannot be brought on a collective or representative basis and/or that each purported cause of action therein cannot be maintained because Plaintiff has failed to pursue the arbitration remedies by filing the instant action.

2

3

5

67

8

9 10

11

12

1314

1516

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

2526

27

28

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Equitable Defenses)

3. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that Plaintiff's and/or the alleged putative class members' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of waiver, estoppel, laches, consent and/or unclean hands.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Federal Preemption)

4. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that to the extent Plaintiff's and/or the alleged putative class members' claims involve conduct that is, or seek remedies that are, governed or preempted by federal laws, such claims are preempted and the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over them.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Exemption)

5. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that Plaintiff's and/or the alleged putative class members' claims are barred, in whole or in part, because, Plaintiff and/or the alleged putative class members' claims were exempt from overtime, including pursuant to the California Wage Orders.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Exemption – No Performance)

6. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants are informed and believes that a reasonable opportunity for investigation and discovery will reveal, and on that basis allege, that the Complaint, and each cause of action set forth therein, or some of them, are barred to the extent that Plaintiff and/or the putative class members she seeks to represent, or some of them, did not perform their duties in accordance with the reasonable expectations of Defendants and/or in the manner directed by Defendants, and accordingly such acts or omissions cannot deprive Defendants the benefit of the exemption from overtime consistent with *Ramirez v. Yosemite Water Co.*, 20 Cal. 4th 785 (2000).

2

3

6

5

7 8

9

1011

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

2728

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C 633 West 5th Street 63rd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 213.443.4300

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Exhaustion)

7. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that the Complaint and each cause of action set forth therein are barred because Plaintiff failed to timely, properly and/or completely exhaust all of the applicable contractual, administrative and/or statutorily required remedies available to her, and that such failure bars this suit in whole or in part.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Statute Of Limitations)

8. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that each purported cause of action set forth in the Complaint may be barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statute(s) of limitation, including but not limited to, California Code of Civil Procedure sections 337, 338 and 340, Labor Code Section 203, and/or Business and Professions Code section 17208.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Breach Of Duties)

9. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, Defendants allege that Plaintiff and/or putative class member claims are barred by their own breach of duties owed to Defendants, including but not limited to those under California Labor Code sections 2853, 2854 and 2856.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Bona Fide Dispute)

10. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, Defendants allege there exists a *bona fide* dispute as to whether any additional compensation is actually due to Plaintiff or to any putative class member, and if so, the amount thereof.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Class Action – Certification Prerequisites)

11. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that Plaintiff's Complaint, and each cause of action therein, fails to state a cognizable class under Section 382 of the California Rules of Civil Procedure, or any other applicable rule or law regulating the maintenance

of class actions, because: (a) Plaintiff cannot establish the necessary elements for class treatment; (b) a class action is not an appropriate method for the fair and efficient adjudication of the class claims described in the Complaint; (c) common issues of fact or law do not predominate, rather, to the contrary, individual issues predominate; (d) Plaintiff's claims are not typical of the claims of the alleged putative class; (e) Plaintiff cannot fairly and adequately represent the interests of the alleged putative class; (f) class treatment is neither appropriate nor constitutional under the circumstances in this case; and/or (g) a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and/or fact affecting Plaintiff and the members of the alleged putative class does not exist.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Class Action – Standing)

12. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each cause of action therein, Defendants allege that Plaintiff lacks standing to assert the legal rights or interests of others.

THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Class Action – Lack Of Manageability)

13. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that the Complaint, and each cause of action therein, cannot proceed as a purported class action because of difficulties that render the action unmanageable.

FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Class Action – Violation Of Due Process)

14. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that certification of a class and/or allowing the action to proceed with Plaintiff as a representative, as applied to the facts and circumstances of this case, would constitute a denial of Defendants' due process rights, both substantive and procedural, in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and the California Constitution.

2

3 4

5 6

7

8 9

10

- 11 12
- 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

27

26

28

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Class Action – No Damages)

15. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that this case cannot be tried on a representative basis or with the use of statistical sampling because the use of representative evidence or statistical sampling could/would result in damages being awarded to those who have suffered no injury and have no legal right to damages.

SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Wage Violation – "Hours Worked")

16. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that some or all of the hours claimed by Plaintiff and/or the alleged putative class members are not "hours worked" within the meaning of any Wage Order(s) issued by the California Industrial Welfare Commission and/or under applicable California law and, thus, do not require minimum wage compensation, or any compensation at all.

SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(De Minimis)

17. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that the Complaint, and each cause of action therein, are barred, in whole or in part, because some or all of the disputed time for which Plaintiff and/or the alleged putative class members seek to recover wages purportedly owed is not compensable pursuant to the *de minimis* doctrine.

EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Secreted Or Absented)

18. Plaintiff's and/or the alleged putative class members' claims for alleged failure to pay wages are barred to the extent that Defendants did not reasonably know hours were worked by Plaintiff and/or the putative class members Plaintiff seeks to represent, to the extent Plaintiff or the putative class members Plaintiff seeks to represent deliberately prevented Defendants from acquiring knowledge of the hours worked and/or to the extent Plaintiff and/or the putative class she seeks to represent secreted or absented themselves to avoid payment of wages.

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 633 West 5th Street 63rd Floor Los Angeles. CA 90071

NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Meal Periods – Waiver)

19. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that Plaintiff and/or the alleged putative class members waived their meal periods, including but not limited to: 1) any day in which their total work period was no more than six hours; 2) any day in which the total work period was between ten and twelve hours and Plaintiff and/or the alleged putative class members waived their second meal period and did not waive their first meal period; and 3) any day in which a meal period was provided by Defendants but not taken or otherwise voluntarily waived by Plaintiff and/or the alleged putative class members, as a result of the exercise of discretion, independent judgment, and self-determination.

TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Meal Breaks And Rest Periods Provided)

20. The meal period and rest breaks claims of Plaintiff and the putative class members Plaintiff seeks to represent fail, in whole or in part, because Defendants provided meal periods and rest breaks in compliance with California law and did not prevent Plaintiff or the putative class members from taking such meal periods and rest breaks.

TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Wage Statements – No "Knowing And Intentional Failure")

22. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that even assuming Plaintiff and/or the alleged putative class members were not provided with a proper itemized statement of wages and deductions, which Defendants deny, Plaintiff and/or the alleged putative class members are not entitled to recover any damages or penalties because, pursuant to Labor Code section 226(e) and/or other applicable California law, any alleged non-compliance was not a "knowing and intentional failure" by Defendants and, instead, was inadvertent and not willful.

TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Labor Code Section 203)

23. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that any claims pursuant to or related to Labor Code section 203 are barred to the extent that Plaintiff or putative

1	ıı 🕻
1	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

class members secreted or absented themselves to avoid payment of wages, thereby relieving Defendants of liability for waiting time penalties.

TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Labor Code Sections 201-203 – Payment Of All Wages)

24. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that while Defendants dispute any discharge of Plaintiff or putative class members, Defendants paid all wages due to Plaintiff and/or the alleged putative class members when their employment with Defendants ended.

TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Labor Code Section 203 – No Willful Or Intentional Violation)

25. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that the Complaint fails to state a claim for penalties under California Labor Code section 203 because Defendants did not willfully or intentionally violate Labor Code sections 201 or 202.

TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Labor Code Sections 201 And 202 – Good Faith Dispute)

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that the Complaint fails to state a claim for penalties under the California Labor Code because (1) there are *bona fide* good faith disputes as to whether further compensation is due to Plaintiff and/or the putative class members, and if so, as to the amount of such further compensation; (2) Defendants have not willfully failed to pay such additional compensation, if any is owed; and (3) to impose penalties in this case would be inequitable and unjust.

TWENTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Business And Professions Code §§ 17200 Et Seq. – Violates Due Process)

27. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that the Unfair Competition Law, Business and Professions Code section 17200, *et seq.*, is vague and overbroad, and that prosecution of a representative action under said statute, as applied to the facts and circumstances of this case, would constitute a denial of Defendants' due process rights, both substantive and procedural, in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States

23

24

25

26

27

28

Constitution and the California Constitution.

2

TWENTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

3

4

28.

(Adequate Remedy At Law – No Declaratory/Injunctive Relief)

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that Plaintiff and/or

5 6 the alleged putative class members are not entitled to equitable relief insofar as they have an adequate remedy at law. Defendants further allege that Plaintiff has no standing to seek injunctive relief or assert claims on behalf of others currently employed.

7

TWENTY-EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

8 9

(Actions Outside Scope Of Employment)

11

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20 2.1

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that if Plaintiff and/or the alleged putative class members suffered any damages that were proximately or legally caused by the actions of Defendants' employees, which Defendants deny, such actions were committed outside the course and scope of such employees' employment and were not authorized, adopted or ratified by Defendants and/or Defendants neither knew of nor should it have known of such conduct.

TWENTY-NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Good Faith)

30. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that the Complaint, and each cause of action therein, cannot be maintained because, without admitting that any violation took place, Defendants allege that any violation of the Labor Code and/or of any order of the Industrial Welfare Commission was an act or omission made in good faith, and that Defendants, in any participation in such acts, had reasonable grounds for believing that the act or omission was not a violation of the Labor Code and/or any order of the Industrial Welfare Commission.

THIRTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Substantial Compliance)

31. Defendants allege that, even assuming, arguendo, that Defendants failed to comply with any provision of the Labor Code, including Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512, Defendants substantially complied with the Labor Code and or any applicable Wage Orders and Regulations,

1 thus rendering an award of civil penalties inappropriate under the circumstances. 2 THIRTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 3 (No Basis For Attorneys' Fees And Costs) 32. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that Plaintiff failed 4 to state facts sufficient to constitute a claim for which attorneys' fees and costs may be awarded. 5 THIRTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 6 7 (Interest) 8 33. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that the Complaint 9 fails to properly state a claim upon which interest may be awarded, as the damages claimed are not 10 sufficiently certain to allow an award of interest. THIRTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 11 12 (No Imposition Of Penalties) 13 34. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that, as applied to this putative class action, imposition of penalties would result in the imposition of excessive fines in 14 violation of Article I, section 17 of the California Constitution and the Eighth Amendment to the 15 16 United States Constitution, as made applicable to the states under the Fourteenth Amendment to the 17 United States Constitution. 18 THIRTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Unconstitutional Penalties) 19 35. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that the imposition 20 21 of replicating individual penalties would deprive Defendants of its fundamental constitutional rights 22 to due process under the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution and under the Constitution and laws of the State of California. See State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance 23 24 Company v. Campbell, 538 U.S. 408 (2003); People ex. rel. Lockyer v. R.J. Reynolds, 37 Cal. 4th 25 707 (2005); Ratner v. Chemical Bank New York Trust Co., 54 F.R.D. 412 (S.D.N.Y. 1972). 26 27 28

THIRTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Claims Discharged)

36. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that the Complaint, and each cause of action therein, are barred, in whole or in part, because all or a portion of the wages, premium pay, interest, attorneys' fees, penalties and/or other relief sought by Plaintiff on his own behalf and/or the alleged putative class members, were, or will be before the conclusion of this action, paid or collected, and, therefore, Plaintiff's claims and/or the claims of the alleged putative class members have been partially or completely discharged.

THIRTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Avoidable Consequences)

37. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants are informed and believe that a reasonable opportunity for investigation and discovery will reveal, and on that basis alleges that any recovery sought by way of Plaintiff's Complaint by Plaintiff and/or any putative class members is barred in whole or in part by the avoidable consequences doctrine. More specifically, Defendants are informed and believe that a reasonable opportunity for investigation and discovery will reveal that Plaintiff and/or any putative class members could have taken reasonable steps to avoid or mitigate the damages they now seek to recover.

THIRTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Labor Code Sections And IWC Orders – Unconstitutionally Vague)

38. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that the Complaint and each cause of action therein, or some of them, are barred because the applicable wage order(s) of the Industrial Welfare Commission is unconstitutionally vague and ambiguous and violates Defendants' rights under the United States Constitution and the California Constitution as to, among other things, due process of law.

THIRTY-NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Employment Relationship)

39. As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that there was no employment relationship between one or all of them and Plaintiff or any putative class member;

2.1

2.2.

Case 2:18-cv-09000 Document 1-5 Filed 10/18/18 Page 12 of 14 Page ID #:172

therefore, the Complaint, and each of its purported claims, fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted as to Defendants, which never employed Plaintiff or any putative class member.

FORTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Collateral Estopple/Res Judicata)

	40.	As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendants allege that such claims are
barred	by colla	ateral estoppel and/or res judicata insofar as Plaintiff and/or individual putative class
membe	ers have	litigated or will litigate issues raised by the Complaint prior to adjudication of those
issues	in the in	stant action.

///

10 || /

11 | ///

12.

1 **ADDITIONAL DEFENSES** 42 Defendants presently have insufficient knowledge or information upon which to form 2 3 a belief as to whether there may be additional, as yet unstated, defenses and reserves the right to assert additional defenses or defenses in the event discovery indicates such defenses are appropriate. 4 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 5 6 WHEREFORE, Defendants pray for relief as follows: 7 1. Plaintiff takes nothing by way of the Complaint; 8 2. Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed in its entirety with prejudice; 9 3. Judgment be entered against Plaintiff and in favor of Defendants on all of Plaintiff's causes of action; 10 4. Defendants be awarded its costs of suit and attorneys' fees incurred as provided 11 by law and/or contract; and 12 5. For such other and further relief as the Court deems fair and just. 13 14 Dated: October 17, 2018 15 Kennyllu 16 17 CARLOS JIMENEZ PENNY CHEN 18 LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. Attorneys for Defendants 19 GMRI, INC., OLIVE GARDEN HOLDINGS, LLC, DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC. 20 21 22 FIRMWIDE:158321100.2 069299.1178 23 24 25 26 27 28

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C 633 West 5th Street 63rd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 213 443 4300

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 At the time of service, I was over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business 3 address is 633 West Fifth Street, 63rd Floor, Los Angeles, California 90071. 4 On October 17, 2018, I served true copies(y) of the following document(s) described as DEFENDANTS GMRI, INC., OLIVE GARDEN HOLDINGS, LLC AND DARDEN 5 RESTAURANTS, INC.'S ANSWER TO CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT on the interested parties in this action as follows: 6 Matthew J. Matern, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff ADRIENNE LIGGINS 7 Joshua D. Boxer, Esq. Roy K. Suh, Esq. 8 MATERN LAW GROUP, PC 1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200 9 Manhattan Beach, California 90266 Telephone: (310) 531-1900 Facsimile: (310) 531-1901 10 Emails: mmatern@maternlawgroup.com jboxer@maternlawgroup.com 11 rsuh@maternlawgroup.com 12 (BY U.S. MAIL) I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to 13 the persons at the addresses listed above or on the attached Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following Littler Mendelson's ordinary business practices. I am readily 14 familiar with Littler Mendelson's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that the correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the 15 ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. 16 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is 17 true and correct. 18 I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made. Executed on **October 17, 2018** at Los Angeles, California. 19 20 Jen Bu 21 22 23 Venus Bernardo 24 25 26 27

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C 633 West 5th Street 63rd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 213.443.4300

1 2 3 4	JULIE A. DUNNE, Bar No. 160544 jdunne@littler.com LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 501 W. Broadway, Suite 900 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619. 232.0441 Fax No.: 619. 232.4302				
5 6 7 8 9	CARLOS JIMENEZ, Bar No. 227534 cajimenez@littler.com PENNY CHEN, Bar No. 280706 pchen@littler.com LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 633 West 5th Street, 63rd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: 213.443.4300 Fax No.: 213.443.4299 Attorneys for Defendants GMRI, INC., OLIVE GARDEN HOL				
11	GMRI, INC., OLIVE GARDEN HOL LLC, DARDEN RESTAURANTS, IN	DINGS, IC.			
12 13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
14151617	ADRIENNE LIGGINS, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated and aggrieved, Plaintiff,	Case No. DECLARATION OF RANDOLPH BABITT SUPPORT OF NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF CIVIL ACTION TO FEDERAL COURT			
18	V	[28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441, & 1446]			
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	GMRI, INC., A Florida corporation doing business as Olive Garden Italian Restaurant; OLIVE GARDEN HOLDINGS LLC, a Florida limited liability company; OLIVE GARDEN, LLC, a California limited liability Company; DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC., a Florida corporation; OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT – MANHATTAN BEACH, an entity of unknown form; and OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT – HUNTINGTON BEACH, an entity of unknown form; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	Complaint Filed on August 22, 2018			
28					

DECLARATION OF RANDOLPH BABITT

- I, Randolph Babitt, hereby declare and state as follows:
- 1. I am the Vice President of Human Resources Shared Services for GMRI, Inc. in the above-entitled matter. I am an authorized custodian of GMRI's records pertaining to human resources practices and policies. Except where otherwise indicated, I have personal knowledge, or knowledge base on my review of corporate records that are within my custody and control, of the facts set forth herein, and if called as a witness, could competently testify thereto.
- 2. As a result of my job duties, I have read and am intimately familiar with human resources documents that GMRI, Inc. collects and maintains in the regular course of its business operations.
- 3. GMRI, Inc. pays its non-exempt, hourly employees in California on a weekly basis, resulting in 52 pay periods per year. This practice has been consistent at least since August 22, 2014.
- 4. Based on my review of the human resources data available to GMRI, Inc., which is created in the course of GMRI's regularly-conducted business operations, GMRI, Inc. employed more than 30,390 employees in Olive Garden Restaurants in California in non-exempt, hourly positions between August 22, 2014 and approximately October 11, 2018.
- 5. Based on my review of the human resources data available to GMRI, Inc., which is created in the course of GMRI's regularly-conducted business operations, the aforementioned 30,390 employees in Olive Garden Restaurants in California worked at least 1,942,649 workweeks between August 22, 2014 and October 11 2018, based on the hire and termination dates of these employees.
- 6. Based on my review of the human resources data available to GMRI, Inc., which is created in the course of GMRI's regularly-conducted business operations, GMRI, Inc. employed more than 26,156 employees in Olive Garden Restaurants in California in non-exempt, hourly positions between August 22, 2015 and approximately

October 11, 2018.

- 7. Based on my review of the human resources data available to GMRI, Inc., which is created in the course of GMRI's regularly-conducted business operations, the aforementioned 26,156 employees in Olive Garden Restaurants in California worked at least 1,513,744 workweeks between August 22, 2015 and October 11 2018, based on the hire and termination dates of these employees.
- 8. Based on my review of the human resources data available to GMRI, Inc. which is created in the course of GMRI Inc.'s regularly-conducted business operations, an estimated 16,247 putative class members have separated their employment with GMRI since August 22, 2015.
- 9. Based on my review of the human resources data available to GMRI, Inc. which is created in the course of GMRI Inc.'s regularly-conducted business operations, between August 22, 2017 to October 11, 2018, GMRI employed approximately 16,285 putative class members. Of these 16,285 putative class members, 7,621 putative class members were employed for 41 or more workweeks based on hire and termination dates. The remaining 8,664 putative class members were employed for a total of 146,256 workweeks based on hire and termination dates.
- 10. Based on my review of the human resources data and documents available to GMRI, Inc. which is created in the course of GMRI Inc.'s regularly-conducted business operations, Plaintiff Adrienne Liggins was employed from January 2016 until August 2016, then again from April 2017 through September 2017.
- 11. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I hereby declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the United States of America and the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on October 18, 2018, at Orlando, Florida.

RANDOLPH BABITT

FIRMWIDE:158503792.1 069299.1178

1 2 3 4	JULIE A. DUNNE, Bar No. 160544 jdunne@littler.com LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 501 W. Broadway, Suite 900 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619. 232.0441 Fax No.: 619. 232.4302	
5 6 7 8 9	CARLOS JIMENEZ, Bar No. 227534 cajimenez@littler.com PENNY CHEN, Bar No. 280706 pchen@littler.com LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 633 West 5th Street, 63rd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: 213.443.4300 Fax No.: 213.443.4299	
10 11	Attorneys for Defendants GMRI, INC., OLIVE GARDEN HOL LLC, DARDEN RESTAURANTS, IN	DINGS, IC.
12 13 14 15 16 17	CENTRAL DISTANCE ADRIENNE LIGGINS, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated and aggrieved, Plaintiff,	TES DISTRICT COURT TRICT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. DECLARATION OF COLLEEN H. LYONS IN SUPPORT OF NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF CIVIL ACTION TO FEDERAL COURT
118 119 120 121 122 122 123 124 125 126 127	GMRI, INC., A Florida corporation doing business as Olive Garden Italian Restaurant; OLIVE GARDEN HOLDINGS LLC, a Florida limited liability company; OLIVE GARDEN, LLC, a California limited liability Company; DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC., a Florida corporation; OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT – MANHATTAN BEACH, an entity of unknown form; and OLIVE GARDEN ITALIAN RESTAURANT – HUNTINGTON BEACH, an entity of unknown form; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	[28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441, & 1446] Complaint Filed on August 22, 2018
28	Defendants.	

3 4

56

7 8

9 10

11

1213

14

16

15

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

2728

FLER MENDELSON, P.C. 633 West 5th Street 63rd Floor Los Angeles. CA 90071

DECLARATION OF COLLEEN H. LYONS

I, Colleen H. Lyons, hereby declare and state as follows:

- 1. I am the Assistant Secretary of GMRI, Inc. ("GMRI"), a Defendant in the above-entitled matter. Except where otherwise indicated, I have personal knowledge, or knowledge based on my review of corporate records that are within my custody and/or control, of the facts set forth herein, and if called as a witness, could competently testify thereto.
- 2. GMRI, Inc. ("GMRI") is incorporated under the laws of the State of Florida and maintains its headquarters in Orlando, Florida.
- 3. Darden Restaurants, Inc. ("Darden") is incorporated under the laws of the State of Florida and maintains its headquarters in Orlando, Florida.
- 4. Olive Garden Holdings, LLC (OGH) is a limited liability company with its sole member residing in Florida.
- 5. Darden is the sole shareholder of GMRI; GMRI is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Darden.
 - 6. GMRI is the sole member of OGH.
- 7. In lieu of GMRI shareholder meetings, Darden takes actions as the sole shareholder of GMRI pursuant to written consents executed in the State of Florida.
 - 8. GMRI has only one director and he resides in the State of Florida.
- 9. In lieu of GMRI director meetings, GMRI's director takes actions as the sole director of GMRI pursuant to written consents executed in the State of Florida.
- 10. A substantial majority of GMRI, Inc.'s current corporate officers reside in the State of Florida.
- 11. GMRI's primary administrative and financial offices are located in the State of Florida, which is also where it maintains a substantial majority of its records.
- 12. A substantial majority of the corporate policy decisions of GMRI—including operational, executive, and administrative policy decisions, including human resources, benefits and payroll—are made at its Orlando, Florida headquarters.

- 13. In recent years, all of Darden's shareholder meetings have been held in the State of Florida.
- 14. In recent years, a majority of the meetings of Darden's Board of Directors have been held in the State of Florida.
 - 15. All of Darden's current executive officers reside in the State of Florida.
- 16. Darden's current general counsel resides and maintains an office in the State of Florida.
 - 17. Darden has no employees; no individuals draw a paycheck from Darden.
- 18. Darden's administrative and financial offices are located in the State of Florida, which is also where it maintains a substantial majority of its records.
- 19. A substantial majority of the corporate policy decisions of Darden including operational, executive, administrative policy decisions—are made at its Orlando, Florida headquarters.
 - 20. OGH has no employees; no individuals draw a paycheck from OGH.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I hereby declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the United States of America and the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on October $\frac{19}{2}$, 2018, at $\frac{19}{2}$, Florida.

Leolleen H. Lyons

FIRMWIDE:158503172.2 069299.1178

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Olive Garden Employee Files Suit Over Alleged Wage and Hour Violations