Case 2:18-cv-09931 Document 1 Filed 11/27/18 Page 1 of 12 Page ID #:1

personal knowledge.

INTRODUCTION / PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's

- 1. Congress enacted the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (hereinafter "the FDCPA" or "Act") in 1977 in response to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. §1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that "abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." *Id.* Congress concluded that "existing laws ... [we]re inadequate to protect consumers," and that "the effective collection of debts" does not require "misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices." 15 U.S.C. §1692(b) & (c).
- 2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to "insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." *Id.* §1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws were inadequate, *Id.* §1692(b), Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. *Id.* §1692k.

1	principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due		
2	another.		
3	10.John Does 1-25, are fictitious names of individuals and businesses alleged		
4			
5	for the purpose of substituting names of Defendants whose identities will be		
6 7	disclosed in discovery and should be made parties to this action.		
8	CLASS ALLEGATIONS		
9	11. Plaintiff brings this claim on behalf of the following class, pursuant to		
10			
11	Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3).		
12	12. The class consists of:		
13	a. all individuals with addresses in the State of California;		
14	b. to whom Defendant Dynamic sent a collection letter		
15 16	attempting to collect a consumer debt;		
	attempting to concert a consumer acct,		
17	c. on behalf of Cach, LLC;		
18	d. without disclosing that the statute of limitations to file a lawsuit to		
19	#** ******** #************************		
20	collect the debt had lapsed;		
21	e. and falsely stating that making partial payment on the account may		
22	nestant the statute of limitations to collect the debt.		
23	restart the statute of limitations to collect the debt;		
24	d. which letter was sent on or after a date one (1) year prior to the		
25	filing of this action and on or before a date twenty-one (21) days after the		
26	filing of this action		
27	filing of this action.		
28			

- 13. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Defendants and those companies and entities on whose behalf they attempt to collect and/or have purchased debts.
- 14. Excluded from the Plaintiff Class are the Defendants and all officer, members, partners, managers, directors and employees of the Defendants and their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action, and all members of their immediate families.
- 15. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff Class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as Exhibit "A", violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692e and §1692f.
- 16. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff Class defined in this Complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor her attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.
- 17. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:

- a. <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Plaintiff Class defined above is so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
- b. <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff Class and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as Exhibit "A" violate 15 U.S.C. §1692e and §1692f.
- c. <u>Typicality:</u> The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. The Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff class have claims arising out of the Defendants' common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
- d. Adequacy: The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues and class

actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor her counsel have any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.

- e. <u>Superiority</u>: A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender.
- 18. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil

 Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common
 to members of the Plaintiff Class predominate over any questions affecting
 an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available
 methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 19. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery,

 Plaintiffs may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify a

 class(es) only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

20.Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this

Complaint as though fully stated herein with the same force and effect as if
the same were set forth at length herein.

- 21. Some time prior to December 13, 2017, an obligation was allegedly incurred to Bank of America, N.A.
- 22. The Bank of America, N.A. alleged obligation arose out of a transaction involving an issued credit card, the funds from which Plaintiff used primarily for personal, family or household purposes.
- 23. The alleged Bank of America, N.A. obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5).
- 24. Due to her financial constraints, Plaintiff could not pay the alleged debt, and it went into default.
- 25. Sometime thereafter, the current creditor, Cach, LLC, a defaulted debt buyer, purportedly purchased the alleged debt.
- 26. Cach, LLC, a subsequent owner of the Bank of America, N.A. debt, contracted with Defendant Dynamic to assist it in collecting the alleged debt.
- 27. Defendant Dynamic collects and attempts to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the United States Postal Services, telephone and internet.

<u>Violation – December 13, 2017 Collection Letter</u>

28.On or about December 13, 2017, Defendant Dynamic sent Plaintiff a collection letter (the "Letter") regarding the alleged debt currently owed to

Cach, LLC. See a true and correct copy of the Letter attached hereto as Exhibit A.

- 29. The December 13, 2017 Letter fails to disclose that the statute of limitations for anyone to file a lawsuit against Plaintiff to collect the debt has lapsed.
- 30. This is a material omission that fails to advise the consumer of her legal rights while still attempting to coerce payment from the consumer.
- 31. Further, the letter falsely advises the consumer that making a partial payment on the debt may restart the statute of limitations when, this is untrue under California State law.
- 32.Defendant made false, deceptive and misleading representations when it failed to advise the consumer of the expired statute of limitations and falsely advised the consumer that making payment could restart the statute of limitations in violation of §§1692e, 1692e(2), 1692e(5) and 1692e(10).
- 33. Plaintiff incurred an informational injury as he was deceived as to the true legal status of his debt and falsely advised with regard to making partial payment on his account.
- 34. This false and deceptive information confused Plaintiff as he was unsure of his legal rights and responsibilities regarding payment of the loan.
- 35.As a result of Defendant's deceptive, misleading and unfair debt collection practices, Plaintiff has been damaged.

COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. § 1692e et seq.

- 36.Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this

 Complaint as though fully state herein with the same force and effect as if
 the same were set forth at length herein.
- 37.Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 38. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, a debt collector may not use any false, deceptive or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- 39. Defendant violated said section
 - a. by omitting material information creating a false and misleading representation of the status of the debt in violation of §1692e(10); and
 - b. by falsely representing the character, amount or legal status of the debt in violation of §1692e(2)(A);
- 40. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692e *et seq.* of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

1 **COUNT II** VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES 2 **ACT** 3 15 U.S.C. § 1692f et seq. 4 41. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in the 5 paragraphs above with the same force and effect as if the same were set 6 7 forth at length herein. 8 42. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards 9 Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not 10 11 limited to 15 U.S.C. §1692f. 12 43. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692f, a debt collector may not use any unfair or 13 unconscionable means in connection with the collection of any debt. 14 15 44. Defendant violated this section by both omitting material information and 16 providing false information that gave Plaintiff a false understanding of the 17 rights provided him under the FDCPA. 18 19 **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY** 20 45. Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff 21 hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable. 22 23 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 24 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Sangshin Lee, individually and on behalf of all others 25 similarly situated demands judgment from Defendant Dynamic Recovery Solutions, 26 27 LLC as follows: 28

1	1. Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action			
2 3	and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative, and Jonathan A. Stieglitz, Esq. as			
4	Class Counsel;			
5	2.	2. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;		
6	3.	3. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;		
7 8	4.	4. Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable		
9	attorneys' fees and expenses;			
10	5. Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and			
11 12	6.	Awarding Plaintiff and the Class su	ch other and further relief as this	
13	Court may deem just and proper.			
14		guestic guestic de la propertie de la properti		
15	Dated: Nov	vember 26, 2018	Respectfully Submitted,	
16 17			THE LAW OFFICES OF	
18			JONATHAN A. STIEGLITZ	
19		By:	/s/ Jonathan A Stieglitz Jonathan A Stieglitz	
20				
21 22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27 28				
20	- 12 -			

EXHIBIT A

December 13, 2017



Original Creditor: Bank of America, N.A.
Original Account Number: ***********4501

Current Creditor: CACH, LLC DRS Account No. 6449 Current Balance: \$1,091.50

Dear Sangshin Lee,

We did not receive a response from our initial contact letter. In order to assist you in clearing this debt, we are offering you options; however, the options listed below are not your only options.

1

You may resolve your account for \$382.03 if payment is received before January 27, 2018. We are not obligated to renew this offer. Upon receipt and clearance of your payment, this account will be satisfied and closed, and a satisfaction letter will be issued or;

2

You may resolve your account for \$436.60 in 2 payments starting on January 27, 2018. To comply with this offer, payments should be no more than 30 days apart. We are not obligated to renew this offer. Upon receipt and clearance of these two payments of \$218.30, this account will be considered satisfied and closed, and a satisfaction letter will be issued or;

3

You may resolve your account for \$491.18 in 4 payments starting on January 27, 2018. To comply with this offer, payments should be no more than 30 days apart. We are not obligated to renew this offer. Upon receipt and clearance of these four payments of \$122.80, this account will be considered satisfied and closed, and a satisfaction letter will be issued or;

4

If you are unable to accept the above offer(s), please contact our office. We take pride in working with all consumers, regardless of your current financial position.



Customer Service: 877-821-1659



http://drs.cssimpact.com/negotiator/



PO BOX 25759, GREENVILLE, SC 29616-0759

This is an attempt to collect a debt by a debt collector and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

ACH DISCLOSURE: When you provide a check as payment, you authorize us either to use information from your check to make a one-time electronic fund transfer from your account or to process the payment as a check transaction. When information from your check is used to make an electronic fund transfer, funds may be withdrawn from your account as soon as the same day we receive your payment, and you will not receive your check back from your financial institution. If you wish to rescind this authorization and cancel this payment, or if the amount withdrawn is different than the amount authorized herein, please call 877-821-1659 between 9:00 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. EST, Monday – Friday at least 2 business days prior to the date of the payment.

bill oňlíne.

Please note that a negative credit bureau report reflecting on your credit record may be submitted to a credit reporting agency by the current account owner if you fail to fulfill the terms of your credit obligations. This notice in no way affects any rights you may have.

If you make a partial payment on this account it may restart the statute of limitations on this account.

PLEASE SEE THE REVERSE SIDE FOR IMPORTANT INFORMATION.
Please Detatch And Return in The Enclosed Envelope With Your Payment.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Dynamic Recovery Solutions Misrepresented California Consumers 'Time-Barred Debts, Lawsuit Says