BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC

100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500 Garden City, New York 11530

Tel: (516) 203-7600 Fax: (516) 706-5055

Email: ConsumerRights@BarshaySanders.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Our File No.: 112946

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Matthew Leavens, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Datamax Corporation d/b/a Interstate Credit Collections,

Defendant.

Docket No:

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Matthew Leavens, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated (hereinafter referred to as "*Plaintiff*"), by and through the undersigned counsel, complains, states and alleges against Datamax Corporation d/b/a Interstate Credit Collections (hereinafter referred to as "*Defendant*"), as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action seeks to recover for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* ("FDCPA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has federal subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d).
- 3. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this Judicial District.

4. At all relevant times, Defendant conducted business within the State of New York.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff Matthew Leavens is an individual who is a citizen of the State of New York residing in Suffolk County, New York.
 - 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 7. On information and belief, Defendant Datamax Corporation d/b/a Interstate Credit Collections, is a North Carolina Corporation with a principal place of business in Forsyth County, North Carolina.
- 8. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.
 - 9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

- 10. Defendant alleges Plaintiff owes a debt ("the Debt").
- 11. The Debt was primarily for personal, family or household purposes and is therefore a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 12. Sometime after the incurrence of the Debt, Plaintiff fell behind on payments owed.
- 13. Thereafter, at an exact time known only to Defendant, the Debt was assigned or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection.
- 14. In its efforts to collect the debt, Defendant contacted Plaintiff by letter ("the Letter") dated December 13, 2016. ("Exhibit 1.")
- 15. The Letter was the initial written communication Plaintiff received from Defendant.
 - 16. The Letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).
- 17. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11) provides that it is a violation of the FDCPA to fail to disclose in the initial written communication with the consumer that the debt collector is attempting to collect a debt and that any information obtained will be used for that purpose.
 - 18. The Letter fails to contain the disclosure required by 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11).

19. Defendant's failure to include the disclosure required by 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11) is a violation of the FDCPA.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 20. Plaintiff brings this action individually and as a class action on behalf of all persons similarly situated in the State of New York from whom Defendant, while attempted to collect a consumer debt, failed to advise the consumer in the initial written communication that Defendant was attempting to collect a debt and that any information obtained will be used for that purpose, from one year before the date of this Complaint to the present.
- 21. This action seeks a finding that Defendant's conduct violates the FDCPA, and asks that the Court award damages as authorized by 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.
 - 22. Defendant regularly engages in debt collection.
- 23. The Class consists of more than 35 persons from whom Defendant, while attempted to collect a consumer debt, failed to advise the consumer in the initial written communication that Defendant was attempting to collect a debt and that any information obtained will be used for that purpose.
- 24. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class. Common questions of law or fact raised by this class action complaint affect all members of the Class and predominate over any individual issues. Common relief is therefore sought on behalf of all members of the Class. This class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.
- 25. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to the individual members of the Class, and a risk that any adjudications with respect to individual members of the Class would, as a practical matter, either be dispositive of the interests of other members of the Class not party to the adjudication, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests. Defendant has acted in a manner applicable to the Class as a whole such that declaratory relief is warranted.
- 26. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect and represent the interests of the Class. The management of the class action proposed is not extraordinarily difficult, and the factual and

legal issues raised by this class action complaint will not require extended contact with the members of the Class, because Defendant's conduct was perpetrated on all members of the Class and will be established by common proof. Moreover, Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in actions brought under consumer protection laws.

JURY DEMAND

27. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

- a. Certify this action as a class action; and
- b. Appoint Plaintiff as Class Representative of the Class, and Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel; and
- c. Find that Defendant's actions violate the FDCPA; and
- d. Grant damages against Defendant pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
- e. Grant Plaintiff's attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
- f. Grant Plaintiff's costs; together with
- g. Such other relief that the Court determines is just and proper.

DATED: October 24, 2017

BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC

By: <u>/s/ Craig B. Sanders</u>

Craig B. Sanders, Esq. 100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500

Garden City, New York 11530

Tel: (516) 203-7600 Fax: (516) 706-5055

csanders@barshaysanders.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Our File No.: 112946 INTERSTATE CREDIT COLLECTIONS
711 COLISEUM PLAZA COURT
WINSTON-SALEM, NC 27106
(336)777-3524
NC DEPT. OF INSURANCE PERMIT # 100785

DEC 13 2016

MATTHEW LEAVENS 35 CONTINENTAL DR CENTEREACH NY 11720

ACCOUNT#: 9837

RE: PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION

Balance: 228.54

Dear MATTHEW LEAVENS

You recently had contact with our office concerning your account with PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION.

Federal law requires that we provide you with the following notice pertaining to the above referenced account.

Federal law requires that we inform you that unless you notify this this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt, or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing at 711 Coliseum Plaza Court, Winston-Salem, NC 27106 within 30 days from receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt, or any portion thereof, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgement and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request of this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice, this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

Sincerely,

336-777-3524 / 800-325-4013

FORM LTR #125

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and provided by local rules of court purpose of initiating the civil do	This form, approved by the ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	ne Judicial Conference of t CTIONS ON NEXT PAGE	he Unite OF THI	ed States in September (S FORM.)	er 19	74, is required for the use of	as required by R the Clerk of Co	aw, exce art for th	e ne	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
MATTHEW LEAVENS				DATAMAX CORPORATION D/B/A INTERSTATE						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff SUFFOLK				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant FORSYTH						
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)						
				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Know	wn)					
BARSHAY SAND	DERS, PLLC									
100 Garden City P (516) 203-7600	laza, Ste 500, Garden C	ity, NY 11530								
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF	PR	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in C	ne Box fo	or Plaintiff	
01 119 0	A 2 F 1 10 3		(For L	Diversity Cases Only)	DOL	n DEE	and One Bo			
O 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	S. Government • 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)				PTI 0 1				DEF O 4	
O 2 U.S. Government Defendant	O 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State O		0 2	2 O 2 Incorporated <i>and</i> Principal Place O 5 O 5 of Business In Another State			O 5	
				en or Subject of a oreign Country	O 3	O 3 Foreign Nation		O 6	0 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		••			NY 7	B 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Orb A max	ere e	
CONTRACT		DEDGONAL INHUD		Drug Poloted Science		BANKRUPTCY	OTHER			
O 110 Insurance O 120 Marine O 130 Miller Act	PERSONAL INJURY O 310 Airplane O 315 Airplane Product	PERSONAL INJURY O 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability		Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 Other		O 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 O 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	O 375 False Claims Act O 400 State Reapportionment O 410 Antitrust O 430 Banks and Banking			
O 140 Negotiable Instrument O 150 Recovery of Overpayment &	Liability O 320 Assault, Libel &	O 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical				PROPERTY RIGHTS	O 450 Banks a O 450 Comme		ing	
Enforcement of Judgment O 151 Medicare Act	Slander O 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability				O 820 Copyrights O 830 Patent	O 460 Deporta O 470 Rackete		and and	
O 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	O 368 Asbestos Personal				O 840 Trademark	Corrup	Organiz	ations	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	O 340 Marine O 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability		LABOR		SOCIAL SECURITY	● 480 Consum O 490 Cable/S		t	
O 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERT	Y 0 710	Fair Labor Standards		O 861 HIA (1395ff)	O 850 Securitie		odities/	
of Veteran's Benefits O 160 Stockholders' Suits	O 350 Motor Vehicle	O 370 Other Fraud	0.720	Act Labor/Management		O 862 Black Lung (923) O 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	Exchan		1 atiana	
O 190 Other Contract	O 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	O 371 Truth in Lending O 380 Other Personal	0 720	Relations		O 864 SSID Title XVI	O 890 Other St O 891 Agricul			
O 195 Contract Product Liability	O 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Railway Labor Act		O 865 RSI (405(g))	O 893 Environ			
O 196 Franchise	Injury O 362 Personal Injury -	O 385 Property Damage Product Liability	0 /31	Family and Medical Leave Act			O 895 Freedom Act	1 OI InIoi	rmation	
DEAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice	PRICONED DETERMINATION		Other Labor Litigation	1	EEDEDAL MAN GUIGG	O 896 Arbitrat			
REAL PROPERTY O 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS O 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus:	0 791	Employee Retirement Income Security Act		FEDERAL TAX SUITS O 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	O 899 Adminis		rocedure Appeal of	
O 220 Foreclosure	O 441 Voting	O 463 Alien Detainee		meome security rice		or Defendant)	Agency	Decisio	n	
O 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment O 240 Torts to Land	O 442 Employment O 443 Housing/	O 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence				O 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	O 950 Constitu State St		of	
O 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	O 530 General				20 050 700)	State Si	atutes		
O 290 All Other Real Property	O 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	O 535 Death Penalty Other:	0.460	IMMIGRATION						
	O 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	O 540 Mandamus & Other		Naturalization Applicat 465 Other Immigration						
	Other O 448 Education	O 550 Civil Rights O 555 Prison Condition	A	ctions						
	O 448 Education	O 560 Civil Detainee								
		Conditions of Confinement								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in			<u> </u>	_			1			
• 1 Original O 2 Remo		**	4 Reinsta Reop	4 .4	her Di		Li	ultidistric tigation – rect File		
	Cite the U.S. Civil St	atute under which you are	filing (I	Do not cite jurisdictiona	ıl statı	utes unless diversity): 15 USC	§1692			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N Brief description of car	use: 15 USC §1692 F	air Debt	Collection Practices	Act	Violation				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: • CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			DI	MAND\$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes O No						
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See Instructions)		(See Instructions) JUDGE				DOCKET NUMBER				
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY (OF RECORD						
November 8, 2017				Craig B. Sanders	S					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					-					
RECEIPT # AM	10UNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGI	E	MAG. JU	DGE			

Case 2:17-cv-06490 Document 1-2 Filed 11/08/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 7 CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, <u>Craig B. Sanders</u> , counsel for <u>Plaintiff</u> , do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):
☐ monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
☐ the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
☐ the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason
DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:
RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)
Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."
NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)
 Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County: NO
 If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County?YES
b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? YES
If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?
(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).
BAR ADMISSION
I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. Yes No
Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? ☐ Yes (If yes, please explain) ■ No
I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature: <u>/s Craig B. Sanders</u>

Date: _____

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

EASTERN DISTRIC	<u>r</u> of <u>new york</u>						
Matthew Leavens, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated Plaintiff(s) V. Datamax Corporation d/b/a Interstate Credit Collections Defendant(s)))) (Civil Action No.))						
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION							
To: (Defendant's name and address) Datamax Corporation d/b/a Interstate Credit Colle 711 Coliseum Plaza Court Winston Salem, North Carolina 27106 A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons of 60 days if you are the United States, or a United State States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) – you attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Femotion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's att	on you (not counting the day you received it) – or s agency, or an officer or employee of the United ou must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the rederal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or						
BARSHAY SAN 100 GARDEN CITY F GARDEN CITY	PLAZA, SUITE 500						
If you fail to respond, judgment by default wil the complaint. You also must file your answer or moti	l be entered against you for the relief demanded in on with the court.						
	CLERK OF COURT						

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Consumer Claims Datamax Corporation Failed to Identify Itself as a Debt Collector