#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

X
Civil Action No
:
<ul><li>INDIVIDUAL AND</li><li>COLLECTIVE/CLASS</li><li>ACTION COMPLAINT</li></ul>
:
: Jury Trial Demanded
Electronically Filed
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
X

#### INDIVIDUAL AND COLLECTIVE/CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT Nature of the Action, Jurisdiction, and Venue

- This is an individual and collective/class action under the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (FLSA), 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a) & 216(b), the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act (PMWA), 43 P.S. §§ 333.104(c) & 333.113, and the Pennsylvania Wage Payment and Collection Law (WPCL)(breach of contract), 43 P.S. § 260.3; and, an individual action under the FLSA (retaliation) to recover damages for non-payment of wages.
- 2. Jurisdiction of this court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and, for the supplemental state claims, 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 3. The actions and policies alleged to be unlawful were committed in whole or in part around Pittsburgh, PA, where Plaintiff worked for Defendant. This action is within the jurisdiction of, and venue is proper in, the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

#### **Parties**

- 4. Plaintiff Annette Lanning resides at 1816 Hickory Nut Road, Apollo, PA 15613. Plaintiff Lanning worked for Defendant Petco Animal Supplies, Inc., as a groomer from on or about June 26, 2016, until on or about October 19, 2017, at Defendant's Gibsonia, PA, store.
- 5. Plaintiff regularly performed work within the state of Pennsylvania.
- 6. Defendant Petco Animal Supplies, Inc., an American privately held pet retailer with stores throughout the United States, maintains its corporate headquarters in San Diego, CA, and operates stores in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Petco sells pet products and services (grooming, adoption, training), as well as certain types of live animals. Plaintiff worked in Defendant's Gibsonia, PA, store.
- 7. At all relevant times Defendant has been an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce with annual revenues in excess of \$500,000 and has employees engaged in interstate commerce and the production of goods in interstate commerce and has been subject to the provisions of Section 203(s)(1) of the FLSA.
- 8. Defendant employs in excess of 500 full time employees.
- 9. Defendant has annual revenues in excess of \$75MM.
- 10. Defendant has regularly employed individuals in the state of Pennsylvania, including Plaintiff, in the performance of work on behalf of Defendant and is, therefore, subject to the provisions of the PMWA and the WPCL.

#### **Statement of Claims**

11. Plaintiff was a groomer.

- 12. She worked in the grooming salon at Defendant's Gibsonia, PA, store.
- 13. There were approximately 4 other groomers at the Gibsonia, PA, store at any one time, and another 15 groomers who have worked at the Gibsonia, PA, store over the past 3 years.
- 14. There have been approximately 75 or more groomers at the nine Petco stores (hereinafter referred to as "9 Regional Stores")(Butler, North Hills, Washington, Fox Chapel, Bethel Park, Waterfront (Homestead), Robinson, Cranberry and Gibsonia) in the Western PA region since February 2015.
- 15. Plaintiff was a W-2 employee.
- 16. Plaintiff was an employee within the meaning of the FLSA and PMWA.
- 17. Plaintiff reported to the Gibsonia, PA, store.
- 18. Plaintiff's primary duty was to perform as a groomer.
- 19. Plaintiff typically was scheduled to work 5 shifts each week.
- 20. Each shift was normally 8 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> hours.
- 21. Plaintiff was paid an hourly wage (\$15/hour), plus commissions once she exceeded a certain level of services (e.g., \$900) per week.
- 22. Plaintiff was non-exempt within the meaning of the FLSA and PMWA.
- 23. Plaintiff regularly worked more than 40 hours in workweeks.
- 24. Plaintiff was entitled to payment of overtime at one-and-one-half times her regular rate of pay for the hours worked in excess of forty hours in workweeks.
- 25. Plaintiff clocked in and clocked out each day by entering when she started to work, when
   if at all she took a lunch break, and when she stopped working at the end of the day.

- 26. Defendant, a sophisticated employer with knowledge of its obligations under the FLSA and the PMWA, understood it was required to maintain accurate records of time worked by Plaintiff and the other groomers.
- 27. Notwithstanding this knowledge (par. 26) Defendant knowingly and intentionally falsified the time records of Plaintiff and the other groomers.
- 28. Specifically, as a matter of custom and practice Defendant regularly altered the time records of Plaintiff and the other groomers at the 9 Regional Stores by deleting hours recorded in order to reduce the recorded hours and, in turn, reduce pay (straight time and overtime) owed.
- 29. The most common way Defendant would do this (alter the time records) would be to simply deduct 2 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> hours each week for lunch.
- 30. Management would subtract the time for lunch breaks from Plaintiff's and the other groomers' time records (even if lunch breaks were not taken) to bring hours below 40 hours.
- 31. By altering the time records Defendant regularly eliminated the records of overtime hours worked in many workweeks and, in turn, avoided paying overtime pay otherwise due to Plaintiff and the other groomers.
- 32. This was the policy in the Gibsonia, PA, store. It was also, as noted above and below, the policy in the other 9 Regional Stores.
- 33. By altering the time records Defendant also necessarily denied Plaintiff and the other groomers the straight time pay promised.
- 34. Defendant, as a sophisticated employer with knowledge of its obligations under the FLSA, understood it was prohibited from requiring or suffering to permit Plaintiff and the other groomers from working "off-the-clock."

- 35. Nevertheless, Plaintiff was told to clock out for lunch breaks even though she did not normally take lunch breaks, and to clock out and continue to work if her hours were close to or about to exceed 40 hours in a workweek.
- 36. Similarly, the other groomers at the 9 Regional Stores were told the same thing: clock out for breaks regardless of whether the breaks were actually taken, and clock out and continue to work if they were close to or about to exceed 40 hours in a workweek.
- 37. As a matter of policy and practice Defendant has falsified the time records by reducing the hours ostensibly worked by Plaintiff and the other groomers at the 9 Regional Stores and, in turn, failed to pay wages (straight and overtime) due.
- 38. Plaintiff regularly complained about these practices (falsifying time records, requiring off the clock work, failing to pay for all hours worked) for herself and the other groomers.
- 39. Beginning in or about February 2017 and afterwards, Plaintiff complained about these practices (falsifying time records, requiring off the clock work, failing to pay for all hours worked), especially concerning lunch breaks.
- 40. Plaintiff complained about these practices not only with respect to herself but the other groomers as well.
- 41. On one occasion, in response to Plaintiff's complaints, the Store Leader (Store Manager) stated "that's what they all do" (referring to putting lunch breaks in the time records regardless of whether breaks were actually taken).
- 42. Plaintiff understood the Store Leader to mean that was the practice (falsifying time records, requiring off the clock work, failing to pay for all hours worked) at other Petco stores as well.
- 43. On or about October 19, 2017, Plaintiff was terminated.

- 44. She was told it was because she had failed to "check all the blocks" in a grooming checklist.
- 45. The reason given for the termination was false.
- 46. Even assuming Plaintiff had failed to "check all the blocks" the alleged infraction did not rise to the level sufficient to be terminated.
- 47. Many other groomers at the 9 Regional Stores have failed to "check all the blocks" and have not been terminated.
- 48. The real reason for the termination was because of Plaintiff's regular complaints about her and the other groomers having their time records falsified, being required to work offthe-clock and being denied the promised straight time and overtime wages.
- 49. Defendant's practices of failing to maintain accurate records of time worked by Plaintiff and the other groomers, falsifying Plaintiff's and the other groomers' time records, requiring Plaintiff and the other groomers to work off-the-clock and failing to pay overtime wages due in overtime workweeks were a violation of the FLSA and PMWA.
- 50. Defendant knowingly and intentionally violated the FLSA's explicit requirement at 29 U.S.C. §211(c) that it maintain accurate records of time worked, and at 29 U.S.C. §207(a) that it pay for overtime worked.
- 51. Defendant also knowingly and intentionally violated the FLSA's explicit prohibition against retaliation at 29 U.S.C. §215(a) with respect to Plaintiff.
- 52. Defendant also violated PA common law and the Pennsylvania Wage Payment and Collection Law (WPCL) by breaching its contractual duty to pay Plaintiff and the other groomers their promised wages.
- 53. Despite its contractual obligation to compensate Plaintiff and the other groomers for work

performed during non-overtime hours, Defendant breached those contractual obligations when it withheld Plaintiff and the other groomers' wages by subtracting lunch breaks from the hours worked and requiring the groomers to work off the clock.

- 54. Defendant did not have any good-faith basis on which to withhold the wages.
- 55. As a result of Defendant's breaches, Plaintiff and the other groomers have been denied the benefit of the bargain, and have suffered substantial damages in the form of unpaid wages.
- 56. Defendant's violations of the FLSA, PMWA and WPCL have been knowing, willful and in reckless disregard of the FLSA, PMWA and WPCL.

#### **Collective/Class Action Averments**

- 57. There are at least 75 other groomers who have been employed by Defendant since February 2015 (three years prior to the filing of this Complaint) in the 9 Regional Stores.
- 58. This Region includes at least 9 stores: Butler; North Hills; Washington; Fox Chapel; Bethel Park; Waterfront (Homestead); Robinson; Cranberry; and, Gibsonia.
- 59. The other groomers have performed the same primary duties as Plaintiff.
- 60. The other groomers have been W-2 employees.
- 61. The other groomers are, like Plaintiff, paid an hourly wage (typically \$12 to \$15 per hour), plus commissions after a certain level of services each week (e.g., \$900 per week).
- 62. The other groomers have been employees within the meaning of the FLSA and PMWA.
- 63. The other groomers are non-exempt within the meaning of the FLSA and PMWA.
- 64. The other groomers regularly work more than 40 hours in workweeks.

- 65. These groomers report through a common chain-of-command to a single district/regional manager.
- 66. As with Plaintiff, Defendant fails to maintain accurate records of time worked for the groomers.
- 67. In fact, as with Plaintiff, Defendant has a policy of falsifying time records (deleting time from the records submitted by the groomers) in order to avoid paying overtime and straight time wages.
- 68. Defendant also has a policy of instructing the groomers, as with Plaintiff, to work off-theclock in order to avoid having to pay overtime.
- 69. The groomers employed by Defendant in the 9 Regional Stores over the past three years have been subject to the same pay policies as Plaintiff (see Par. 15, 16, 19-25, 28-30, 33, above.)
- 70. The groomers employed by Defendant in the 9 Regional Stores over the past three years have regularly worked overtime.
- 71. Defendant has knowingly and intentionally failed to pay the groomers in the 9 Regional Stores for their overtime hours either at the straight rate or proper overtime rate.
- 72. The groomers, like Plaintiff, have been non-exempt within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 73. The groomers, like Plaintiff, have been non-exempt within the meaning of the PMWA.
- 74. Defendant's failure to pay overtime due to the groomers employed by Defendant over the past three years at the 9 Regional Stores, and its failure to maintain accurate records of time worked, has been in violation of the FLSA and the PMWA.
- 75. Defendant has knowingly and intentionally violated the FLSA and PMWA with respect to the failure to pay overtime and failure to maintain accurate time records at the 9

Regional Stores.

#### **<u>COUNT I: VIOLATION OF THE FLSA</u> Individual and Collective Action (9 Regional Stores)**

- 76. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of this complaint.
- 77. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated groomers are employees of Defendant within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 78. Defendant is an employer within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 79. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated groomers have been paid an hourly rate.
- 80. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated groomers have regularly worked more than forty hours per week (overtime work).
- 81. Defendant has not paid overtime compensation to Plaintiff and all other similarly situated groomers for all hours of overtime.
- 82. Defendant has not paid overtime compensation to Plaintiff and all other similarly situated groomers at the proper overtime rate.
- 83. Defendant has failed to maintain accurate records of time worked for Plaintiff and all other similarly situated groomers.
- 84. Plaintiff and the other similarly situated groomers have been non-exempt within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 85. Defendant's failure to pay overtime at the proper rate to the groomers has violated and continues to violate the FLSA.

- 86. For at least the past three years, Defendant's violations of the FLSA are knowing, willful, and in reckless disregard of the FLSA's overtime requirements.
- 87. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated groomers are entitled to recover from Defendant the overtime pay improperly withheld by Defendant, plus interest, attorneys' fees, and costs.
- 88. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated groomers are also entitled to recover liquidated damages under 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a) & 216(b).

#### **<u>COUNT II: VIOLATION OF THE PMWA</u> Individual and Class Action (9 Regional Stores)**

- 89. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of this complaint.
- 90. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated groomers in Pennsylvania are employees of Defendant within the meaning of the PMWA.
- 91. Defendant is an employer within the meaning of the PMWA.
- 92. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated groomers have been paid an hourly rate.
- 93. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated groomers have regularly worked more than forty hours per week.
- 94. Defendant has not paid overtime compensation to Plaintiff and all other similarly situated groomers for all hours of overtime.
- 95. Defendant has not paid overtime compensation to Plaintiff and all other similarly situated groomers at the proper overtime rate.

- 96. Defendant has failed to pay Plaintiff and all other similarly situated groomers for hours worked in overtime weeks at the promised rate.
- 97. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated groomers are non-exempt within the meaning of the PMWA.
- 98. Defendant's failure to pay overtime to Plaintiff and similarly situated groomers employed in Pennsylvania violates the PMWA.
- 99. Defendant's failure to maintain accurate records of time worked for Plaintiff and similarly situated groomers employed in Pennsylvania (the 9 Regional Stores) violates the PMWA.
- 100. Plaintiff and similarly situated groomers employed in Pennsylvania (the 9 Regional Stores) are entitled to recover from Defendant the overtime pay improperly withheld by Defendant, plus interest, attorneys' fees, and costs.

#### COUNT III: VIOLATION OF THE FLSA (Retaliation) Individual

- 101. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of this complaint.
- 102. Plaintiff is an employee of Defendant within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 103. Defendant is an employer within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 104. Plaintiff engaged in a protected activity (complained about the falsification of time records and failure to pay overtime).
- 105. Plaintiff suffered an adverse action following the protected acts (termination).
- 106. There is a causal connection between the protected acts and the adverse employment

action.

- 107. There is no bona fide business reason for the adverse action.
- 108. Defendant's retaliation against Plaintiff is in violation of the FLSA.
- 109. Defendant's violation of the FLSA is knowing, willful, and in reckless disregard of the FLSA.
- 110. Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant the value of the lost wages, benefits, prejudgment and post-judgment interest, compensatory damages, attorneys' fees, and costs.
- 111. Plaintiff is also entitled to recover liquidated damages under 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a) & 216(b).

#### **<u>COUNT IV: BREACH OF CONTRACT</u>** Individual and Class Action (9 Regional Stores)

- 112. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of this complaint.
- 113. When Defendant hired Plaintiff and the other groomers at the 9 Regional Stores Defendant made definite, clear promises to pay a certain hourly rate for hours worked.
- 114. Those promises created enforceable contractual obligations.
- 115. Plaintiff and the other groomers provided consideration for those promises by promising to deliver and actually delivering valuable services to Defendant.
- 116. Despite its contractual obligation to compensate Plaintiff and the other groomers for work performed, Defendant breached those contractual obligations when it falsified time records and, in turn, withheld Plaintiff's and the other groomers' pay.

- 117. The amount owed to Plaintiff and the other groomers represents wages.
- 118. Defendant did not have any good-faith basis on which to withhold the wages.
- 119. As a result of Defendant's breaches, Plaintiff and the other groomers have been denied the benefit of the bargain, and have suffered substantial damages in the form of unpaid wages.
- 120. Plaintiff and the other groomers are entitled to damages commensurate with the unpaid wages, plus interest, plus compensatory damages resulting from the breach.

#### **<u>COUNT V: VIOLATION OF THE WPCL</u> Individual and Class Action (9 Regional Stores)**

- 121. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of this complaint.
- 122. Defendant's contractual obligation to pay Plaintiff and the other groomers for hours worked each hour at an agreed upon amount created obligations under the WPCL, 43 P.S. § 260.1 *et seq*.
- 123. The compensation Defendant failed to pay to Plaintiff and the other groomers for hours worked constitutes wages within the meaning of the WPCL.
- 124. Defendant violated the WPCL by failing to pay the promised wages for work Plaintiff performed and the other groomers performed.
- 125. Defendant did not have any good-faith basis for withholding the promised wages.
- 126. Plaintiff and the other groomers are entitled to unpaid wages as well as statutory penalties (25% of unpaid wages), pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees, and

costs.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

# 127. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and all others similarly situated respectfully request that this Court:

- A. Order Defendant to pay the unpaid overtime compensation owed to Plaintiff and all other similarly situated groomers (9 Regional Stores);
- B. Order Defendant to pay liquidated damages to Plaintiff and all other similarly situated groomers (9 Regional Stores);
- C. Order Defendant to pay Plaintiff and the similarly situated groomers (9 Regional Stores) for unpaid non-overtime wages;
- D. Order Defendant to pay pre- and post-judgment interest as well as the litigation costs and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred by Plaintiff and all other similarly situated groomers (9 Regional Stores); and
- E. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

<u>s/Joseph H. Chivers</u> Joseph H. Chivers, Esq. PA ID No. 39184 First & Market Building Suite 650 100 First Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15222 jchivers@employmentrightsgroup.com Tel: (412) 227-0763 Fax: (412) 774-1994

Counsel for Plaintiff and all others similarly situated

Dated: February 27, 2018

#### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* 

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Annette Lanning, on behalf of herself and similarly situated employe 1816 Hickory Nut Road, Apollo, PA 15613				DEFENDANT etco Animal Su 125 Rehco Rd.,	S pplies, Inc. San Diego	o, CA, 92121			
<ul> <li>(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff <u>Armstrong</u> (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)</li> <li>(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)</li> </ul>				County of Residence NOTE: IN LAND ( THE TRAC	ce of First Lis <i>(IN U.S. F</i> CONDEMNAT CT OF LAND II			OF	
100 First Avenue, Suite	., The Employment Ri 650, Pittsburgh, PA 1	ghts Group 5222 (412) 227-0763		Attorneys (If Known	1)				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	III. CITIZ	ENSHIP OF	PRINCIPA	AL PARTIES	(Place on "V" in	One Paul	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Governmen		(POF I	(For Diversity Cases Only)       PTF       DEF       One Box for Defendence         (For Diversity Cases Only)       PTF       DEF       PTF         en of This State       1       1       Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State       4				or Defenda PTF	DEF
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens)	hip of Parties in Item III)			2 2 2	Incorporated and of Business In		□ 5	<b>D</b> 5
			Citizen or S Foreign (		3 0 3	Foreign Nation		<b>D</b> 6	<b>1</b> 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI					Click	here for: Nature	of Suit Code De	scription	c
□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	ORTS		TURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUTE	S.
<ul> <li>120 Marine</li> <li>130 Miller Act</li> <li>140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>150 Recovery of Overpayment &amp; Enforcement of Judgment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>310 Airplane</li> <li>315 Airplane Product Liability</li> <li>320 Assault, Libel &amp;</li> </ul>	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury		g Related Seizure roperty 21 USC 881 pr	423 With 28 U PROPER	SC 157	□ 375 False Cl □ 376 Qui Tam 3729(a) □ 400 State Res □ 410 Antitrust	n (31 USC ) apportionm t	
<ul> <li>151 Medicare Act</li> <li>152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)</li> <li>153 Recovery of Overpayment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>330 Federal Employers' Liability</li> <li>340 Marine</li> <li>345 Marine Product Liability</li> </ul>	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability			New 1 840 Trade	t t - Abbreviated Drug Application emark	<ul> <li>430 Banks ar</li> <li>450 Commer</li> <li>460 Deportat</li> <li>470 Racketee</li> <li>Corrupt (</li> </ul>	ce ion	ed and
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	□ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	PERSONAL PROPERT DESCRIPTION D	<ul> <li>才10 Fair Act</li> <li>720 Labc Rela</li> <li>740 Raily</li> <li>751 Fami</li> </ul>	LABOR Labor Standards n/Management tions vay Labor Act ly and Medical	□ 861 HIA ( □ 862 Black	Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	<ul> <li>480 Consume</li> <li>490 Cable/Sa</li> <li>850 Securitie Exchang</li> <li>890 Other Sta</li> <li>891 Agricultu</li> <li>893 Environm</li> </ul>	er Credit t TV s/Commodi se atutory Acti iral Acts	ities/ ions
REAL PROPERTY         210 Land Condemnation         220 Foreclosure         230 Rent Lease & Ejectment         240 Torts to Land         245 Tort Product Liability         290 All Other Real Property	<ul> <li>Addicational produces</li> <li>CIVIL RIGHTS</li> <li>440 Other Civil Rights</li> <li>441 Voting</li> <li>442 Employment</li> <li>443 Housing/ Accommodations</li> <li>445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment</li> <li>446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other</li> <li>448 Education</li> </ul>	550 Civil Rights	<ul> <li>790 Othe</li> <li>791 Empi Incor</li> <li>791 462 Natu</li> <li>465 Other</li> </ul>	Leave Act 7 90 Other Labor Litigation 7 91 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application Actions Herein Actions Herein Actions FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609			<ul> <li>By Streedom of Information Act</li> <li>By Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision</li> <li>950 Constitutionality of State Statutes</li> </ul>		
V. ODICIN		<ul> <li>555 Prison Condition</li> <li>560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement</li> </ul>							
	noved from 3 e Court	Appellate Court	4 Reinstated Reopened	Anothe	r District	6 Multidistri Litigation	- Î	Aultidistri	-
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N Brief description of ca	tute under which you are f ards Act of 1938 (FLS use: ations (failure to pay	5A), 29 0.5.	ite jurisdictional stati C. §§ 207(a) &	216(b)			Pirect File	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	ations (failure to pay IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAN	D \$	СН	retaliation); Br IECK YES only i RY DEMAND:	each of Cont f demanded in c X Yes	omplaint:	PCL)
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE				NUMBER	A 103	0110	
DATE 02/27/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATTOP /s/Joseph H. Chiv		)RD					
RECEIPT # AMO	OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUDO	E		

#### JS 44A REVISED June, 2009

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA THIS CASE DESIGNATION SHEET MUST BE COMPLETED

#### PART A

This case belongs on the ( O Erie O Johnstown • Pittsburgh) calendar.

- 1. ERIE CALENDAR If cause of action arose in the counties of Crawford, Elk, Erie, Forest, McKean. Venang or Warren, OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said counties.
- 2. JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR If cause of action arose in the counties of Bedford, Blair, Cambria, Clearfield or Somerset OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said counties.
- 3. Complete if on ERIE CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose in\_ County and that the \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_resides in \_\_\_\_\_County.
- 4. Complete if on JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose in \_\_\_\_County and that the\_\_\_\_\_resides in \_\_\_\_ County.

PART B (You are to check ONE of the following)

1. O This case is related to Number\_\_\_\_\_ . Short Caption\_\_\_

2. 💽 This case is not related to a pending or terminated case.

DEFINITIONS OF RELATED CASES:

CIVIL: Civil cases are deemed related when a case filed relates to property included in another suit or involves the same issues of fact or it grows out of the same transactions as another suit or involves the validity or infringement of a patent involved in another suit EMINENT DOMAIN: Cases in contiguous closely located groups and in common ownership groups which will lend themselves to consolidation for trial shall be deemed related. HABEAS CORPUS & CIVIL RIGHTS: All habeas corpus petitions filed by the same individual shall be deemed related. All pro se Civil Rights actions by the same individual shall be deemed related.

#### PARTC

- I. CIVIL CATEGORY (Select the applicable category).
  - 1. O Antitrust and Securities Act Cases
  - 2. O Labor-Management Relations
  - 3. O Habeas corpus
  - 4. O Civil Rights
  - 5. O Patent, Copyright, and Trademark
  - 6. O Eminent Domain
  - 7. 🗿 All other federal question cases
  - All personal and property damage tort cases, including maritime, FELA, 8.0 Jones Act, Motor vehicle, products liability, assault, defamation, malicious prosecution, and false arrest
  - 9. 0 Insurance indemnity, contract and other diversity cases. 10.0
    - Government Collection Cases (shall include HEW Student Loans (Education), V A Overpayment, Overpayment of Social Security, Enlistment Overpayment (Army, Navy, etc.), HUD Loans, GAO Loans (Misc. Types), Mortgage Foreclosures, SBA Loans, Civil Penalties and Coal Mine Penalty and Reclamation Fees.)

I certify that to the best of my knowledge the entries on this Case Designation Sheet are true and correct

/s/Joseph H. Chivers

Date: 02/28/2018

ATTORNEY AT LAW

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS OF BOTH FORMS MUST BE COMPLETED BEFORE CASE CAN BE PROCESSED.

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Pennsylvania

)

Annette Lanning, on behalf of herself and similarly situated employees,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

Petco Animal Supplies, Inc.

Defendant(s)

#### SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Petco Animal Supplies, Inc. 9125 Rehco Road San Diego, CA 92121

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Joseph H. Chivers, Esg.

The Employment Rights Group 100 First Avenue, Suite 650 Pittsburgh, PA 15222 jchivers@employmentrightsgroup.com (412) 227-0763

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

	PRO	OF OF SERVICE	
	(This section should not be filed with	the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4	(1))
	This summons for (name of individual and title, if a	uny)	
was re	ceived by me on (date)		
	□ I personally served the summons on the in		
		on (date)	; or
	□ I left the summons at the individual's resid	lence or usual place of abode with (name)	
		, a person of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,
	on (date) , and mailed a	copy to the individual's last known address; or	
	□ I served the summons on (name of individual)		, who is
<i>.</i>	designated by law to accept service of proces		
		on (date)	; or
	□ I returned the summons unexecuted because	5e	; or
	□ Other (specify):		
	My fees are \$ for travel and	\$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this infe	ormation is true.	
Date:			
		Server's signature	
	-		
		Printed name and title	
	_		
		Server's address	
Additic	onal information regarding attempted service, et	e:	

## **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Groomer Unleashes Lawsuit Against Petco Over Alleged Wage Violations</u>