UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

RACHEL LABIN on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated consumers

Plaintiff,

-against-

DISTRESSED ASSET PORTFOLIO IV, LLC & UNIFUND CCR, LLC

Defendants.

## CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

## Introduction

 Plaintiff, Rachel Labin, brings this action against Unifund CCR, LLC and Distressed Asset Portfolio IV, LLC for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et *seq.* ("FDCPA"). The FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair collection practices while attempting to collect on debts.

## Parties

- 2. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of New York who resides within this District.
- 3. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by Section 1692(a)(3) of the FDCPA, in that the alleged debt that Defendants sought to collect from Plaintiff a consumer debt.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Unifund CCR, LLC's principal place of business is located in Albany, New York.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant Distressed Asset Portfolio IV, LLC's principal place of business is located in Cincinnati, Ohio.

- 6. Defendants are regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.
- 7. Defendants are a "debt collector" as that term is defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).

## Jurisdiction and Venue

- This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 9. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as the acts and transactions that give rise to this action occurred, in substantial part, in this district.

## **Allegations Particular to Rachel Labin**

- 10. Distressed Asset Portfolio IV, LLC hired Defendant Unifund CCR, LLC to collect the debt on its behalf.
- 11. Distressed Asset Portfolio IV, LLC uses the instrumentality of interstate commerce as well as the mails in its business which the principal purpose of which is the collection of debts.
- 12. Distressed Asset Portfolio IV, LLC regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another.
- 13. The principal purpose of Distressed Asset Portfolio IV, LLC's business is the purchase of defaulted consumer debts originally owed or due or alleged to be originally owed or due to others.
- 14. Distressed Asset Portfolio IV, LLC purchased the Plaintiff's defaulted debt from First National Bank of Omaha, placed it with Unifund CCR, LLC, and sought to collect on it.

- 15. Distressed Asset Portfolio IV, LLC uses the mail in purchasing defaulted consumer debts, usually at a significant discount to their face value, and then seeks to collect on such debts.
- Distressed Asset Portfolio IV, LLC, directed, controlled and instructed Unifund CCR,
   LLC to use these specific letters when communicating with the Plaintiff.<sup>1</sup>
- 17. Upon information and belief, on a date better known by Defendants, Defendants began to attempt to collect an alleged consumer debt from the Plaintiff.
- 18. On or about February 24, 2017, Defendant Unifund sent the Plaintiff a collection letter.
- 19. The said collection letter was confusing to the Plaintiff and is likely to be misconstrued by the "least sophisticated consumer" since it is open to more than one reasonable interpretation, at least one of which is inaccurate. The Second Circuit stated in <u>Avila v.</u>

Riexinger & Assocs., LLC, 817 F.3d 72, 74 (2d Cir. 2016):

"The question presented is whether a collection notice that states a consumer's "current balance," but does not disclose that the balance <u>may</u> increase due to interest and fees, complies with this provision. We hold that Section 1692e requires debt collectors, when they notify consumers of their account balance, to disclose that the balance <u>may</u> increase due to interest and fees."

- 20. The holding of the Second Circuit is that Section 1692e of the FDCPA requires every debt collector in every collection letter "to disclose that the balance <u>may</u> increase due to interest and fees".
- 21. However, if the "account balance" will never increase and the holder of the debt will <u>always</u> accept payment of the amount set forth in full satisfaction of the debt then the Second Circuit alternatively stated:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Janetos v. Fulton Friedman & Gullace, LLP, No. 15-1859, 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 6361, at \*19 (7th Cir. Apr. 7, 2016). ("A debt collector should not be able to avoid liability for unlawful debt collection practices simply by contracting with another company to do what the law does not allow it to do itself. Like the Third Circuit, we think it is fair and consistent with the Act to require a debt collector who is independently obliged to comply with the Act to monitor the actions of those it enlists to collect debts on its behalf.")

## Case 1:17-cv-06151 Document 1 Filed 10/22/17 Page 4 of 21 PageID #: 4

"We hold that a debt collector will not be subject to liability under Section 1692e for failing to disclose that the consumer's balance <u>may</u> increase due to interest and fees if the collection notice *either* accurately informs the consumer that the amount of the debt stated in the letter <u>will</u> increase over time, *or* clearly states that the holder of the debt <u>will</u> accept payment of the amount set forth in full satisfaction of the debt." Id. at 817.

The Second Circuit in *Avila* did not "hold that a debt collector must use any particular disclaimer" *Id*.

- 22. However, the Second Circuit did address all the possible scenarios: 1) If the "current balance" could increase over time, then the collection notice must disclose that the "balance <u>might</u> increase due to interest and fees". *Id.* 2) If the "current balance" is currently increasing, then the collection notice must disclose that the amount of the debt stated, "in the letter will increase over time". *Id.* 3) If the "current balance" will never increase and the debt collector is always willing to accept this "specified amount" in "full satisfaction" of the debt, then the debt collector must state so clearly. However, if a debt collector is willing to accept a "specified amount" in full satisfaction of the debt <u>only</u> if payment is made by a specific date, then the debt collector must simplify the consumer's understanding by so stating, while advising that the amount due <u>could</u> increase by the accrual of additional interest or fees if payment is not received by that date.
- 23. In this case, the "outstanding balance" was increasing due to interest per the creditor's contract. Nevertheless, the collection notice did not disclose that the amount of the debt stated in the letter "could" or "will" increase over time.
- 24. The Plaintiff, as well as the "least sophisticated consumer" was unsure as to whether or not the said account was accruing interest.
- 25. The said letter fails to include the safe harbor language set out by the Second Circuit.

-4-

#### Case 1:17-cv-06151 Document 1 Filed 10/22/17 Page 5 of 21 PageID #: 5

- 26. The "outstanding balance" in this case was for an amount that included original principal, fees, and contractual interest.
- 27. The Plaintiff was left uncertain as to whether the "outstanding balance" was accruing interest as there was no disclosure that indicated otherwise.
- 28. The Plaintiff was left unsure whether the "outstanding balance" would accrue any type of fees, costs and/or disbursements as there was no disclosure that indicated otherwise.
- 29. A reasonable consumer could read the notice and be misled into believing that he or she could pay her debt in full by paying the amount listed on the notice.
- 30. In fact, however, since contractual interest is automatically accruing daily, and since there will be undisclosed fees that will accrue, a consumer who pays the "outstanding balance" stated on the notice will not know whether the debt has been paid in full.
- 31. The debt collector could still seek the automatically accrued contractual interest that accumulated after the notice was sent but before the balance was paid, or sell the consumer's debt to a third party, which itself could seek the interest and undisclosed fees from the consumer.
- 32. The statement of an "outstanding balance," without notice that the amount <u>may</u> increase or is already increasing due to automatically accruing contractual interest, would mislead the least sophisticated consumer into believing that payment of the amount stated will clear his or her account.
- 33. The FDCPA requires debt collectors, when notifying consumers of their account balance, to disclose that the balance <u>may</u> increase due to interest and fees; failure to include such disclosures would harm consumers such as the Plaintiff who may hold the reasonable but mistaken belief, that timely payment will satisfy their debts and it would abrogate the

#### Case 1:17-cv-06151 Document 1 Filed 10/22/17 Page 6 of 21 PageID #: 6

Congressional purpose of full and fair disclosure to consumers that is embodied in Section 1692e.

- 34. The amount of the contractual interest automatically increases each day that the defaulted debt remains unpaid due to the automatically accrued interest.
- 35. Collection notices that state only the "outstanding balance," but do not disclose that the balance <u>might</u> increase due to interest and fees, are "misleading" within the meaning of Section 1692e.
- 36. To the extent that the Creditor or Defendants intended to waive the automatically accrued and accruing interest, they were required to disclose that in the most conspicuous of terms.
- 37. If the "outstanding balance," will never increase and the debt collector is always willing to accept this "specified amount" in "full satisfaction" of the debt, then the debt collector must clearly state that the holder of the debt will <u>always</u> accept payment of the amount set forth in "full satisfaction" of the debt.
- 38. Defendants were required to include a disclosure that the automatically accrued interest <u>was</u> accruing, or in the alternative, the Defendants were required to disclose that the creditor has made an intentional decision to waive the automatically accruing interest and will always accept this "specified amount" in "full satisfaction" of the debt nonetheless it did not make any of those disclosures in violation of 1692e.
- 39. If interest was waived, the letter would need to contain that disclosure and clearly state that no interest is accruing on this account in order to provide full and fair disclosure to consumers of the actual balance as is embodied in Section 1692e.
- 40. The Second Circuit adopted a safe harbor disclaimer stating "that requiring such

-6-

#### Case 1:17-cv-06151 Document 1 Filed 10/22/17 Page 7 of 21 PageID #: 7

disclosure best achieves the Congressional purpose of full and fair disclosure to consumers that is embodied in Section 1692e. It also protects consumers such as the Plaintiff, who may hold the reasonable but mistaken belief that timely payment will satisfy their debts."<sup>2</sup>

- 41. Because the statement of the "outstanding balance" that included original principal, fees, and contractual interest, without notice that the accruing interest was expressly waived can mislead the least sophisticated consumer into believing that payment of the amount stated will clear her account, the FDCPA requires debt collectors, when they notify consumers of their account balance, to expressly disclose that the amount of the debt stated in the letter <u>will</u> increase over time, *or* clearly state that the holder of the debt will always accept payment of the amount set forth in full satisfaction of the debt. Id. at 817.
- 42. Requiring such disclosure best achieves the Congressional purpose of full and fair disclosure to consumers that is embodied in Section 1692e. It also protects consumers such as the Plaintiff, who may hold the reasonable, but mistaken belief that timely payment will satisfy their debts and it protects them from other debt collectors seeking further interest on this debt in the future.
- 43. According to the Second Circuit's finding that the "outstanding balance" must contain a full and fair disclosure, if a credit card account was being charged interest, pursuant to a contract and the interest was intended to be waived, disclosure of such a waiver is necessary or the consumer would not know what the balance is. "[i]n fact, however, if interest is accruing daily, [or was not expressly waived] a consumer who pays the 'current balance' stated on the notice will not know whether the debt has been paid in full. The debt collector could still seek the [accruing or unwaived] interest and fees that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Avila v. Riexinger & Assocs., LLC, 817 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 2016).

## Case 1:17-cv-06151 Document 1 Filed 10/22/17 Page 8 of 21 PageID #: 8

accumulated after the notice was sent but before the balance was paid, or sell the consumer's debt to a third party, which itself could seek the interest and fees from the consumer." <u>Avila v. Riexinger & Assocs., LLC, 817 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 2016)</u>

- 44. The 8th Circuit in <u>Haney v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs., No. 15-1932, 2016 U.S. App.</u> <u>LEXIS 17287 (8th Cir. Sep. 21, 2016)</u> clearly explains that merely not including interest in post charge off statements is not express waiver of interest, and the debt collector or creditor can seek the interest in the future.
- 45. In fact, in this case the Plaintiff is still not sure whether there was any intent to waive the interest. There was definitely no express waiver and disclosure of waiver is mandatory if interest was originally accruing per the contract. The consumer could not know what the real balance is.
- 46. The intent to waive a contractual right must be unmistakably manifested and may not be inferred from doubtful or equivocal acts.<sup>3</sup> A waiver of a contract right does not occur by negligence, oversight or thoughtlessness and cannot be inferred from mere silence.<sup>4</sup>
- 47. The 8th Circuit Court of Appeals found that the fact that the debt was charged off and that the creditor or debt buyer did not charge interest in its statements post charge off that alone does not constitute an express waiver and interest is still continuing to accrue and may be charged at a future time.
- 48. According to the Second Circuit in *Avila*, any debt that <u>was</u> accruing interest and fees would need full and complete disclosure which would either clearly state that the balance "may" or "will" increase over time or clearly state that the debt is "static" and holder of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Navillus Tile, Inc. v. Turner Const. Co., 2 A.D.3d 209, 770 N.Y.S.2d 3 (1st Dep't 2003).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> <u>Acumen Re Management Corp. v. General Sec. Nat. Ins. Co., 2012 WL 3890128, at \*6 (S.D. N.Y. 2012)</u>, reconsideration denied, motion to certify appeal granted, 2012 WL 6053936 (S.D. N.Y. 2012).

## Case 1:17-cv-06151 Document 1 Filed 10/22/17 Page 9 of 21 PageID #: 9

the debt will always accept payment of the amount set forth in "full satisfaction" of the debt.<sup>5</sup>

- 49. Failure to disclose such a waiver of the automatically accruing interest is in of itself deceptive and "misleading" within the meaning of Section 1692e since Courts have held that <u>even</u> if the right to collect interest was waived by the creditor, debt collectors could still charge interest and they would not violate the FDCPA for charging interest if the original credit card agreement permitted the charging of interest on late payments.<sup>6</sup>
- 50. The Defendants knew that the balance would increase due to interest, fees and/or disbursements.
- 51. Since the "outstanding balance" is for an amount that includes original principal, fees, and contractual interest, the collection notice must accurately inform the consumer that interest may accrue or that interest has stopped accruing. "Applying these principles, we hold that Plaintiffs have stated a claim that the collection notices at issue here are misleading within the meaning of Section 1692e... a consumer who pays the "current balance" stated on the notice will not know whether the debt has been paid in full."<sup>7</sup>
- 52. The "outstanding balance" is for an amount that includes original principal, fees, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> <u>Haney v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs., No. 15-1932, 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 17287 (8th Cir. Sep. 21, 2016)</u> ("Nothing inherent in the process of charging off a debt precludes a claim for statutory interest, and [the states] prejudgment interest statute does not expressly preclude statutory prejudgment interest following a waiver of contractual interest...[The debtor] received monthly periodic statements from the original creditors prior to charge-off, and at least as to the *Wal-Mart* account, the charge-off statement itself is attached to the pleadings. [The debtor] received a demand for payment of his accounts when due. We conclude any demand requirement that exists as a precondition to the accrual of statutory prejudgment interest was satisfied by the original creditors' demands upon [the debtor].")

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Rice v. Resurgent Capital Servs., L.P., No. 15 CV 6319 (KAM)(CLP), 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 20932, at \*19-20 (E.D.N.Y. Feb. 13, 2017) ("[C]ourts in other districts have held that <u>even</u> if the right to collect interest was waived by the creditor, debt collectors do not violate Section 1692(f)(1) if the original credit card agreement permitted the charging of interest on late payments.); <u>Simkus v. Cavalry Portfolio Servs., LLC, 12</u> <u>F. Supp. 3d 1103, 1110 (N.D. Ill. 2014)</u> (granting summary judgment on the Section 1692(f)(1) claim and holding that, "even if BOA waived its right to collect [\*20] interest, Defendants cannot have violated 1692f(1) if the original agreement between Mr. Simkus and BOA allowed for charging interest on late payments"); Wilder v. J.C. Christensen & Assocs., Inc., No. 16 CV 1979, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 168440, 2016 WL 7104283, at \*7 (N.D. Ill. Dec. 6, 2016) (granting the motion to dismiss and holding that, "Plaintiff's allegation that Defendant engaged in 'unfair' or 'unconscionable' conduct by claiming that interest 'may' be added to Plaintiff's account is not a violation of Section 1692(f)(1) even if she could demonstrate that Credit One waived its contractual right".); See also <u>Terech v. First Resolution Mgmt. Corp., 854 F. Supp. 2d 537, 544 (N.D. Ill. 2012</u>) (granting the motion to dismiss the 1692(f)(1) claim and holding that, "[t]he Court agrees that § 1692f(1) appears to be directed at debt collectors who seek to charge fees not contemplated by the original agreement, not debt collectors who seek to charge fees contemplated by the original agreement, not debt collectors who seek to charge fees contemplated by the original agreement, not debt collectors who seek to charge fees contemplated by the original agreement, not debt collectors who seek to charge fees contemplated by the agreement but arguably waived thereafter".)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Avila v. Riexinger & Assocs., LLC, Nos. 15-1584(L), 15-1597(Con), 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 5327, at \*10-11 (2d Cir. Mar. 22, 2016).

#### Case 1:17-cv-06151 Document 1 Filed 10/22/17 Page 10 of 21 PageID #: 10

contractual interest. If interest was waived or stopped accruing the collection notice must disclose that the debt is "static" and that the holder of the debt would accept payment of the amount set forth in full satisfaction of the debt. <u>McNamee v. Debski & Assocs., P.A., No. 8:16-cv-2272-T-33TBM, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 131912, at \*8-9 (M.D. Fla. Sep. 27, 2016)</u>. ("The letters did not inform [the debtor] that *Capital One* had instructed [the debt collector] to cease collecting[] interest... i.e., that *Capital One* was willing to accept \$3,129.05 in full satisfaction of the debt. Because the letters did not "clearly state[] that the holder of the debt [would] accept payment of the amount set forth in full satisfaction of the debt. . . .," *Avila*, 817 F.3d at 77, [the debtors] Complaint plausibly states a claim to relief under the FDCPA.") (citations omitted)

- 53. Since interest was accruing per the creditors contract the collection notice must inform the consumer that the amount of the debt stated in the letter will increase over time.
- 54. Collection letters failing to reference the accrual of interest or waiver of interest are subject to two different interpretations as to the accumulation of interest, rendering them deceptive under § 1692e(10). See Sperber v. Central Credit Services LLC No. CV 16-cv-05222 (ARR) (RLM), 2017 U.S. Dist. (E.D.N.Y. May. 1, 2017) ("This matter presents the question of whether failing to disclose [] interest, or failing to explicitly waive the right to collect it, constitutes a "false, deceptive, or misleading" practice under § 1692e... Plaintiff contends that the collection notice he received, which neither stated that [] interest was accruing nor waived the creditor's right to collect it, is deceptive or misleading under Avila v. Riexinger & Associates, LLC, 817 F.3d 72 (2d Cir. 2016)... Having alleged that interest was accruing on his debt and that CCS failed to either disclose this interest or otherwise disclaim its right to collect it, Sperber has stated a

#### Case 1:17-cv-06151 Document 1 Filed 10/22/17 Page 11 of 21 PageID #: 11

plausible claim that the collection notices he received from *CCS* were misleading under Section 1692e of the FDCPA. *See Avila*, 817 F.3d at 76.")

- 55. "None of the letters provided further detail regarding when or how the balance had been calculated, whether it included interest, or whether interest continued to accrue. The court finds that the "least sophisticated consumer" could have read these letters in at least two different ways. On one hand, an unsophisticated consumer could reasonably conclude that the balance was a fixed amount that would not be subject to further interest, late fees, or other charges. On the other, an unsophisticated consumer could just as reasonably determine that the balance would continue to grow over time as interest accrued. One of those meanings would necessarily be inaccurate. Therefore, the court finds that Defendants' letters were deceptive as a matter of law. Courts in other districts have reached the same conclusion on similar facts. The court grants Ms. Snyder's motion for summary judgment on this issue." Snyder v. Gordon, No. C11-1379 RAJ, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 120659, at \*8-9 (W.D. Wash. Aug. 24, 2012); Avila v. Riexinger & Assocs., LLC, 817 F.3d 72, 75 (2d Cir. 2016) ("[I]n considering whether a collection notice violates Section 1692e, we apply the "least sophisticated consumer" standard...Under this standard, a collection notice is misleading if it is "open to more than one reasonable interpretation, at least one of which is inaccurate.")
- 56. "The Court therefore finds that [the debt collectors] letters to [the debtor] are subject to two different interpretations as to the accumulation of interest, rendering them deceptive under § 1692e(10) ... The logic [applies] to stated outstanding debt and the need for consumers to be aware that this debt may be dynamic or static. They are concerned with a consumer's inability to discern whether an amount owed may grow with time, regardless

#### Case 1:17-cv-06151 Document 1 Filed 10/22/17 Page 12 of 21 PageID #: 12

of whether offers to settle are on the table or not. As [plaintiff] states, this information is relevant in a consumer's payment calculus, especially when some debts must be paid at the expense of others. And, of course, the existence of settlement offers would be entirely irrelevant to these considerations for the many consumers who are unable to take advantage of them...Plaintiff's claim is not that the stated balance was not itemized, but that it was unclear whether it was subject to future interest" <u>Michalek v. ARS Nat'l Sys.</u>, No. 3:11-CV-1374, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 142976, at \*16-17 (M.D. Pa. Dec. 13, 2011)

- 57. The Plaintiff and the least sophisticated consumer could conclude from the said collection letter, that the "outstanding balance" is static and that his or her payment of the amount due would satisfy the debt irrespective of when payment was remitted. However, absent a disclosure by the holder of the debt that clearly stated that the holder of the debt would accept payment of the amount set forth in "full satisfaction" of the debt then even if the debtor pays the "outstanding balance" the Defendants and or the creditors *could* still seek the automatic interest that accumulated after the breach of contract, or sell the consumer's debt to a third party, which itself could seek the automatic interest and from the consumer. (Avila, at \*10-11.)
- 58. A waiver of interest, even when made explicitly, has not prevented debt collection agencies from continuing to illegally charge the waived interest. At the bare minimum a debt collection agency must clearly convey, even to the least sophisticated consumer that it intends to waive the automatically accruing interest, or clearly state that the holder of the debt would always accept payment of the amount set forth in "full satisfaction" of the debt.
- 59. Any debtor, who goes ahead and pays the "outstanding balance" as stated in the

#### Case 1:17-cv-06151 Document 1 Filed 10/22/17 Page 13 of 21 PageID #: 13

Defendants' letter, will be left unsure as to whether or not the debt has been paid in full, as the Defendants could always attempt to collect on any interest and fees that may have accumulated after the Defendants' letter was sent, but before the balance due was paid.

- 60. As per the terms and conditions of the credit card agreement, the creditor charged the Plaintiff interest on balances carried on the alleged account.
- 61. As per the terms and conditions of the credit card agreement, the creditor charged the Plaintiff late fees on any and all payments due, but which were not timely made by the Plaintiff.
- 62. As per the terms and conditions of the credit card agreement, the creditor charged Plaintiff other fees on the account
- 63. At no point did the creditor waive its right to collect from the Plaintiff, interest, late fees or other charges on any balance carried on the account.
- 64. At no point did the assignee or successor-in-interest waive its right to collect from the Plaintiff, interest, fees or other charges on any balance carried on the account.
- 65. At no point was the Plaintiff ever informed by the creditor or the Defendants, that the terms and conditions of the credit card agreement had been changed.
- 66. As per the terms and conditions of the credit card agreement, interest, late fees and other charges continued to accrue on any unpaid balance.
- 67. As per the terms and conditions of the credit card agreement, the creditor and any assignee or successor-in-interest had the legal right to collect from Plaintiff interest, late fees, and other charges on any balance carried on the account.
- 68. As per the terms and conditions of the credit card agreement, the legal right of the creditor and any assignee or successor-in-interest to collect from the Plaintiff interest on

#### Case 1:17-cv-06151 Document 1 Filed 10/22/17 Page 14 of 21 PageID #: 14

any balance carried on the account is not waived by the creditor or any assignee or successor-in-interest as a result of a failure by either the creditor or any assignee or successor-in-interest at any point in time to attempt to collect from Plaintiff the aforementioned interest, late fees or other charges.

- 69. The letter fails to inform Plaintiff whether the amount listed is the actual amount of the debt due.
- 70. The letter fails to inform Plaintiff whether the amount listed already includes accrued interest, late fees or other charges.
- 71. The letter fails to advise Plaintiff what portion of the amount listed is principal.
- 72. The letter fails to inform Plaintiff that the amount listed will increase.
- 73. The letter fails to inform Plaintiff if there is accrued interest, what the amount of the accrued interest will be.
- 74. The letter fails to inform Plaintiff if there is accrued interest, when such interest will be applied.
- 75. The letter fails to inform Plaintiff if there is accrued interest, what the interest rate is.
- 76. The letter fails to inform Plaintiff if there is accrued interest, the amount of money the amount listed will increase per any measurable period.
- 77. The letter fails to indicate the minimum amount the Plaintiff owed at the time of the letter.
- 78. The letter fails to provide information that would allow the least sophisticated consumer to determine the minimum amount he or she owes at the time of the letter.
- 79. The letter fails to provide information that would allow the Plaintiff to determine what Plaintiff will need to pay to resolve the debt at any given moment in the future.

- 80. The letter, because of the aforementioned failures, would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine the amount of his or her debt.
- 81. The least sophisticated consumer could reasonably believe that the amount listed was accurate only on the date of the letter.
- 82. In order to induce payments from consumers that would not otherwise be made if the consumer knew the true amount due, Defendants do not inform the consumer whether the amount listed will increase.
- 83. Defendants' conduct constitutes a false, deceptive and misleading means and representation in connection with the collection of the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 84. The letter can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings concerning the actual balance due, one of which must is inaccurate, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 85. The Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) for misrepresenting the amount of the debt owed by the Plaintiff.
- 86. Section 1692e of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from using any false, or any deceptive or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of a debt, including the false representation of the character, amount or legal status of any debt, see, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) and § 1692e(10).
- 87. Upon information and belief, such actions are part of a scheme or business of the Defendants when attempting to collect alleged debts from consumers in the State of New York.
- 88. Upon information and belief, the Defendants' collection letters, such as the said February

24, 2017 collection letter, number in at least the hundreds.

- 89. The Defendants, by failing to state that it would add interest to the amount of the debt, made materially false statements, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e of the FDCPA.
- 90. Defendants' February 24, 2017 letter is in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(A) and 1692e(10) of the FDCPA for the use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt and for misrepresenting the amount of the debt owed by the Plaintiff.
- 91. Plaintiff suffered injury in fact by being subjected to unfair and abusive practices of the Defendants.
- 92. Plaintiff suffered actual harm by being the target of the Defendants' misleading debt collection communications.
- 93. Defendants violated the Plaintiff's right not to be the target of misleading debt collection communications.
- 94. Defendants violated the Plaintiff's right to a truthful and fair debt collection process.
- 95. Defendants used materially false, deceptive, misleading representations and means in its attempted collection of Plaintiff's alleged debt.
- 96. Defendants' communications were designed to cause the debtor to suffer a harmful disadvantage in charting a course of action in response to Defendants' collection efforts.
- 97. The FDCPA ensures that consumers are fully and truthfully apprised of the facts and of their rights, the act enables them to understand, make informed decisions about, and participate fully and meaningfully in the debt collection process. The purpose of the FDCPA is to provide information that helps consumers to choose intelligently. The Defendants' false representations misled the Plaintiff in a manner that deprived her of her

#### Case 1:17-cv-06151 Document 1 Filed 10/22/17 Page 17 of 21 PageID #: 17

right to enjoy these benefits, these materially misleading statements trigger liability under section 1692e of the Act.

- 98. These deceptive communications additionally violated the FDCPA since they frustrate the consumer's ability to intelligently choose his or her response.
- 99. Plaintiff seeks to end these violations of the FDCPA. Plaintiff has suffered damages including but not limited to, fear, stress, mental anguish, emotional stress and acute embarrassment. Plaintiff and putative class members are entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, including, declaratory relief, and damages.

#### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

- 100. This action is brought as a class action. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 101. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Unifund CCR, LLC and Distressed Asset Portfolio IV, LLC and those business and governmental entities on whose behalf it attempts to collect debts.
- 102. Excluded from the Plaintiff's Class are the Defendants and all officers, members, partners, managers, directors, and employees of Unifund CCR, LLC and Distressed Asset Portfolio IV, LLC, and all of their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action and all members of their immediate families.
- 103. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff's Class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether Defendants' communications with the Plaintiff, such as the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

- 104. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories.
- 105. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff's Class defined in this complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor her attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.
- 106. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
  - (a) <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Plaintiff's Class defined above is so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
  - (b) <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff's Class and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether the Defendants' communications with the Plaintiff, such as the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
  - (c) <u>Typicality:</u> The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff's Class defined in this complaint have claims arising out of the Defendants' common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.

- (d) <u>Adequacy:</u> The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor her counsel have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.
- (e) Superiority: A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(l)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is appropriate because adjudications with respect to individual members create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications which could establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendants who, on information and belief, collects debts throughout the United States of America.
- 107. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that a determination that the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, and is tantamount to declaratory relief and any monetary relief under the FDCPA would be merely incidental to that determination.

- 108. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff's Class predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 109. Further, Defendants have acted, or failed to act, on grounds generally applicable to the Rule (b)(l)(A) and (b)(2) Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief with respect to the Class as a whole.
- 110. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify one or more classes only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

## **CAUSE OF ACTION**

# Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act brought by Plaintiff on behalf of herself and the members of a class, as against the Defendants.

- 111. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered one (1) through one hundred and ten (110) herein with the same force and effect is if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 112. This cause of action is brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the members of a class.
- 113. The class involves all individuals whom Defendants' records reflect resided in the State of New York and who were sent a collection letter in substantially the same form letter as the letter sent to the Plaintiff on or about February 24, 2017; and (a) the collection letter was sent to a consumer seeking payment of a personal debt; and (b) the collection letter was not returned by the postal service as undelivered; and (c) the Plaintiff asserts that the letter contained violations of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(A) and 1692e(10) of the

Case 1:17-cv-06151 Document 1 Filed 10/22/17 Page 21 of 21 PageID #: 21

FDCPA for the use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt and for misrepresenting the amount of the debt owed by the Plaintiff.

## **Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act**

- 114. The Defendants' actions as set forth above in the within complaint violates the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 115. Because the Defendants violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the Plaintiff and the members of the class are entitled to damages in accordance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully requests preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, and that this Court enter judgment in Plaintiff's favor and against the Defendants and award damages as follows:

- (a) Statutory damages provided under the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k);
- (b) Attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs incurred in bringing this action; and
- (c) Any other relief that this Court deems appropriate and just under the circumstances.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York October 22, 2017

> /s/ Maxim Maximov Maxim Maximov, Esq. Attorneys for the Plaintiff Maxim Maximov, LLP 1701 Avenue P Brooklyn, New York 11229 Office: (718) 395-3459 Facsimile: (718) 408-9570 E-mail: m@maximovlaw.com

Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Maxim Maximov\_\_\_\_ Maxim Maximov, Esq.

## JS 44 (Rev. 1/2013) Case 1:17-cv-06151 Document Cover Stilled 10/22/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 22

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* 

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS		
RACHEL LABIN			DISTRESSED ASSET PORTFOLIO IV, LLC & UNIFUND CCR, LLC			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff <u>KINGS</u> (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			NOTE: IN LAND CO	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) MAXIM MAXIMOV, LLP OFFICE: (718) 395-3459 1701 AVENUE P FAX: (718) 408-9570 BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11229 E-MAIL: M@MAXIMOVLAW			Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in G	One Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	✗ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Pr of Business In T		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)		2 D 2 Incorporated and H of Business In A	Another State	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ıly) DRTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<ul> <li>CONTRACT</li> <li>110 Insurance</li> <li>120 Marine</li> <li>130 Miller Act</li> <li>140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>150 Recovery of Overpayment &amp; Enforcement of Judgment</li> <li>151 Medicare Act</li> <li>152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)</li> <li>153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits</li> <li>160 Stockholders' Suits</li> <li>190 Other Contract</li> <li>195 Contract Product Liability</li> <li>196 Franchise</li> </ul> <b>REAL PROPERTY</b> <ul> <li>210 Land Condemnation</li> <li>220 Foreclosure</li> <li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li> <li>245 Tort Product Liability</li> <li>290 All Other Real Property</li> </ul>	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	<ul> <li>PERSONAL INJURY</li> <li>365 Personal Injury - Product Liability</li> <li>367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability</li> <li>368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Gest Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881</li> <li>Gest Gest Gest Gest Gest Gest Gest Gest</li></ul>	□       422 Appeal 28 USC 158         □       423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157         ■       PROPERTY RIGHTS         □       820 Copyrights         □       820 Copyrights         □       840 Trademark         ■       SOCIAL SECURITY         □       861 HIA (1395ff)         □       862 Black Lung (923)         □       863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))         □       864 SSID Title XVI         □       865 RSI (405(g))         ■       870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)         □       871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<ul> <li>375 False Claims Act</li> <li>400 State Reapportionment</li> <li>410 Antitrust</li> <li>430 Banks and Banking</li> <li>450 Commerce</li> <li>460 Deportation</li> <li>470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations</li> <li>480 Consumer Credit</li> <li>490 Cable/Sat TV</li> <li>850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange</li> <li>890 Other Statutory Actions</li> <li>891 Agricultural Acts</li> <li>893 Environmental Matters</li> <li>896 Arbitration</li> <li>899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision</li> <li>950 Constitutionality of State Statutes</li> </ul>	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)	Confinement				
		Remanded from Appellate Court		er District Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	DN 15 U.S.C. SECT Brief description of ca UNLAWFUL ANI	ION 1692 FÁIR D ause:	(specify e filing (Do not cite jurisdictional stat EBT COLLECTION PRACT T COLLECTION BUSINES DEMAND \$	tutes unless diversity): TICES ACT (FDCPA) S PRACTICES	if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2			JURY DEMAND:	Yes D No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	(See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 10/22/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /S/ MAXIM MAXIMOV, ESQ.					
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE	

## Case 1:17-cv-06ERT I FIOCANTION 10F ARBUTIRAZ PION Fabel But PIPy ageID #: 23

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I,  $\underline{N/A}$ , counsel for \_\_\_\_\_, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,

the complaint seeks injunctive relief,

the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

## **DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1**

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

N/A

## **RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)**

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

#### NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

- Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County: NO
- If you answered "no" above:
   a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County?

b) Did the events of omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? YES

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

## BAR ADMISSION

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. X Yes No

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?

(If yes, please explain)

Yes

No No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature: /S/ MAXIM MAXIMOV, ESQ.

Document 1-2 Filed 10/22/17

PO Box 505 Linden MI 48451-0505 ADDRESS SERVICE REQUESTED

Y1D4C1B4E2 1378



02/24/2017

RACHEL LABIN

252 Keap St Apt 1 Brooklyn NY 11211-7325

Unifund CCR, LLC 10625 Techwoods Circle Cincinnati, OH 45242 888-384-8171

Original Creditor Account Number: 1999 4583 Original Creditor: FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF OMAHA / Last Pay Date: 05/23/2013 Current Creditor to Whom the Debt is Owed: DISTRESSED ASSET PORTFOLIO IV, LLC Servicer: Unifund CCR, LLC

Dear RACHEL LABIN:

Unifund is offering you the opportunity to earn dollar for dollar credit toward reducing your outstanding balance of \$3,724.15. Here is how to begin:

- 1) Go to www.unifund.com/LearnAndEarn
- 2) Create an account
- 3) Watch videos under a variety of financial education topic options and earn points for viewing.
- 4) Redeem those points by submitting to us a screen shot of your Better Money Habits points total along with a corresponding payment to **LearnandEarn@unifund.com** and credit equal to the payment will be applied to the balance of your account.



## Example:

Watch 5 videos worth 100 points each for a total of 500 points. Submit \$500 payment along with evidence of points earned and you will receive a \$1000 reduction in the balance of your account.

We appreciate you working toward resolving your account. If you have any question regarding this opportunity, please contact Account Resolution department directly at 888-384-4799.

Sincerely, STACY S Unifund CCR, LLC

This communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

\* See reverse side for important information regarding your rights.

## Case 1:17-cv-06151 Document 1-2 Filed 10/22/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 25

Unifund CCR, LLC understands that your privacy is very important to you. Unifund CCR, LLC has created this Privacy Policy, which explains what information we collect, how we use that information, and how we protect your privacy.

#### Collection of Information

Personally identifiable information that is collected may include:

- · Contact information (including, but not limited to: name, mailing address, telephone number, fax number, email address)
- Financial information (including, but not limited to: credit or debit card number and expiration date, banking account number, name on account, billing address, account applications, account statements, credit bureau reports, employment information, and property ownership information)

#### Use of Information

Unifund CCR, LLC will only release your contact information or financial information as permitted or required by law. Unifund CCR, LLC uses your personally identifiable information to process and service your account. Your contact information and financial information may also be shared with Unifund CCR, LLC affiliates, vendors, or servicers for the purposes of servicing your account. Unifund CCR, LLC will provide your contact information and financial information to non-affiliated companies when your account is assigned or sold to such non-affiliated companies.

#### Confidentiality and Security

We restrict access to nonpublic personally identifiable information about you to those employees determined by Unifund CCR, LLC to have a need to know the information. We maintain strict physical, electronic, and procedural safeguards to protect your information from unauthorized access by third parties.

Contacting Us If you have inquiries or wish to provide feedback about our Privacy Policy, please contact us at: Unifund CCR, LLC PO Box 42121 Cincinnati, Ohio 45242-0121 888-384-8171 Our office hours are Monday through Friday, 9am to 5pm ET, except for Federal Holidays and the Friday after Thanksgiving.

#### For Colorado Residents Only:

A consumer has the right to request in writing that a debt collector or collection agency cease further communication with a consumer. A written request to cease communication will not prohibit the debt collector or collection agency from taking any action authorized by law to collect the debt. Our local office address is 80 Garden Center, Suite 3, Englewood, CO 80112. Our local phone number is 303 920-4763.

## FOR INFORMATION ABOUT THE COLORADO FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, SEE WWW.COAG.GOV/CAR

For North Carolina Residents Only: NC License #107952

#### For California Residents Only:

The State Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act require that, except under unusual circumstances, collectors may not contact you before 8 a.m. or after 9 p.m. They may not harass you by using threats of violence or arrest or by using obscene language. Collectors may not use false or misleading statements or call you at work if they know or have reason to know that you may not receive personal calls at work. For the most part, collectors may not tell another person, other than your attorney or spouse, about your debt. Collectors may contact another person to confirm your location or enforce a judgment. For more information about debt collection activities, you may contact the Federal Trade Commission at 1-877-FTC-HELP or www.ftc.gov.

For New York Residents Only: New York City Department of Consumer Affairs license number: 1419944.

For Tennessee Residents Only:

Unifund CCR, LLC is licensed by the Tennessee Collection Services Board of the Department of Commerce and Insurance. License Number: 1323.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

RACHEL LABIN on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated consumers

Plaintiff,

-against-

DISTRESSED ASSET PORTFOLIO IV, LLC & UNIFUND CCR, LLC

Defendants.

## SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

TO: DISTRESSED ASSET PORTFOLIO IV, LLC 10625 TECHWOOD CIRCLE CINCINNATI, OHIO 45242

> DISTRESSED ASSET PORTFOLIO IV, LLC C/O CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 80 STATE STREET ALBANY, NEW YORK, 12207-2543

UNIFUND CCR, LLC 10625 TECHWOOD CIRCLE CINCINNATI, OHIO 45242

UNIFUND CCR, LLC C/O CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 80 STATE STREET ALBANY, NEW YORK, 12207-2543

**YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED** and required to file with the Clerk of this Court and serve upon PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY:

MAXIM MAXIMOV, ESQ. MAXIM MAXIMOV, LLP 1701 AVENUE P BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11229 an answer to the complaint which is herewith served upon you, with **21** days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.

CLERK

DATE

BY DEPUTY CLERK

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Two Debt Collectors Sued Over Allegedly Incomplete Collection Letters</u>