UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----

DAVID KRAUS on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated consumers

Plaintiff,

-against-

#### MERCANTILE ADJUSTMENT BUREAU, LLC

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

#### Introduction

 Plaintiff David Kraus seeks redress for the illegal practices of Mercantile Adjustment Bureau, LLC concerning the collection of debts, in violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et *seq.* ("FDCPA").

#### **Parties**

- 2. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of New York who resides within this District.
- 3. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by Section 1692(a)(3) of the FDCPA, in that the alleged debt that Defendant sought to collect from Plaintiff is a defaulted consumer debt.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant's principal place of business is located in Williamsville, New York.
- 5. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.

6. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

- 7. This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as the acts and transactions that give rise to this action occurred, in substantial part, in this district.

#### Allegations Particular to David Kraus

- 9. Upon information and belief, on a date better known by Defendant, Defendant began to attempt to collect an alleged consumer debt from the Plaintiff.
- 10. On or about June 7, 2016, Defendant sent the Plaintiff a collection letter seeking to collect a balance allegedly incurred for personal purposes.
- 11. The said collection letter was confusing to the Plaintiff and is likely to be misconstrued by the "least sophisticated consumer" since it is open to more than one reasonable interpretation, at least one of which is inaccurate. The Second Circuit stated in <u>Avila v.</u>

*<u>Riexinger & Assocs., LLC</u>*, 817 F.3d 72, 74 (2d Cir. 2016)

"The question presented is whether a collection notice that states a consumer's "current balance," but does not disclose that the balance <u>may</u> increase due to interest and fees, complies with this provision. We hold that Section 1692e requires debt collectors, when they notify consumers of their account balance, to disclose that the balance <u>may</u> increase due to interest and fees."

- 12. The holding of the Second Circuit is that Section 1692e of the FDCPA requires every debt collector in every collection letter "to disclose that the balance <u>may</u> increase due to interest and fees".
- 13. However if the "account balance" will never increase and the holder of the debt will

#### Case 1:17-cv-03431 Document 1 Filed 06/07/17 Page 3 of 17 PageID #: 3

<u>always</u> accept payment of the amount set forth in full satisfaction of the debt then the Second Circuit alternatively stated:

"We hold that a debt collector will not be subject to liability under Section 1692e for failing to disclose that the consumer's balance <u>may</u> increase due to interest and fees if the collection notice *either* accurately informs the consumer that the amount of the debt stated in the letter <u>will</u> increase over time, *or* clearly states that the holder of the debt <u>will</u> accept payment of the amount set forth in full satisfaction of the debt." Id. at 817.

The Second Circuit in Avila did not "hold that a debt collector must use any particular disclaimer" *Id.* 

- 14. However the Second Circuit did address all the possible scenarios: 1) If the "current balance" <u>could</u> increase over time, then the collection notice must disclose that the "balance <u>might</u> increase due to interest and fees". *Id.* 2) If the "current balance" is <u>currently</u> increasing, then the collection notice must disclose that the amount of the debt stated, "in the letter <u>will</u> increase over time". *Id.* 3) If the "current balance" will never increase and the debt collector is always willing to accept this "specified amount" in "full satisfaction" of the debt, then the debt collector must state so clearly. However, if a debt collector is willing to accept a "specified amount" in full satisfaction of the debt only if payment is made by a specific date, then the debt collector must simplify the consumer's understanding by so stating, while advising that the amount due <u>could</u> increase by the accrual of additional interest or fees if payment is not received by that date.
- 15. In this case, the "**Current Balance**" was increasing due to interest per the creditor's contract. Nevertheless, the collection notice did not disclose that the amount of the debt stated in the letter "could" or "will" increase over time.

#### Case 1:17-cv-03431 Document 1 Filed 06/07/17 Page 4 of 17 PageID #: 4

- 16. The Plaintiff, as well as the "least sophisticated consumer" was unsure as to whether or not the said account was accruing interest.
- 17. The said letter fails to include the safe harbor language set out by the Second Circuit.
- The "Current Balance" in this case was for an amount that included original principal, fees, and contractual interest.
- 19. The Plaintiff was left uncertain as to whether the "**Current Balance**" was accruing interest as there was no disclosure that indicated otherwise.
- 20. The Plaintiff was left unsure whether the "**Current Balance**" would accrue any type of fees, costs and/or disbursements as there was no disclosure that indicated otherwise.
- 21. A reasonable consumer could read the notice and be misled into believing that he or she could pay her debt in full by paying the amount listed on the notice.
- In fact, however, since contractual interest is automatically accruing daily, and since there will be undisclosed fees that will accrue, a consumer who pays the "Current Balance" stated on the notice will not know whether the debt has been paid in full.
- 23. The debt collector could still seek the automatically accrued contractual interest that accumulated after the notice was sent but before the balance was paid, or sell the consumer's debt to a third party, which itself could seek the interest and undisclosed fees from the consumer.
- 24. The statement of a "**Current Balance**", without notice that the amount <u>may</u> increase or is already increasing due to automatically accruing contractual interest, would mislead the least sophisticated consumer into believing that payment of the amount stated will clear his or her account.
- 25. The FDCPA requires debt collectors, when notifying consumers of their account

-4-

#### Case 1:17-cv-03431 Document 1 Filed 06/07/17 Page 5 of 17 PageID #: 5

balance, to disclose that the balance <u>may</u> increase due to interest and fees; failure to include such disclosures would harm consumers such as the Plaintiff who may hold the reasonable but mistaken belief, that timely payment will satisfy their debts and it would abrogate the Congressional purpose of full and fair disclosure to consumers that is embodied in Section 1692e.

- 26. The amount of the contractual interest automatically increases each day that the defaulted debt remains unpaid due to the automatically accrued interest.
- 27. Collection notices that state only the "**Current Balance**," but do not disclose that the balance <u>might</u> increase due to interest and fees, are "misleading" within the meaning of Section 1692e.
- 28. To the extent that the Creditor or Defendant intended to waive the automatically accrued and accruing interest, it was required to disclose that in the most conspicuous of terms.
- 29. If the "**Current Balance**," will never increase and the debt collector is always willing to accept this "specified amount" in "full satisfaction" of the debt, then the debt collector must clearly state that the holder of the debt will <u>always</u> accept payment of the amount set forth in "full satisfaction" of the debt.
- 30. Defendant was required to include a disclosure that the automatically accrued interest was accruing, or in the alternative, the Defendant was required to disclose that the creditor has made an intentional decision to waive the automatically accruing interest and will always accept this "specified amount" in "full satisfaction" of the debt nonetheless it did not make any of those disclosures in violation of 1692e.
- 31. If interest was waived, the letter would need to contain that disclosure and clearly state that no interest is accruing on this account in order to provide full and fair disclosure to

-5-

#### Case 1:17-cv-03431 Document 1 Filed 06/07/17 Page 6 of 17 PageID #: 6

consumers of the actual balance as is embodied in Section 1692e.

- 32. The Second Circuit adopted a safe harbor disclaimer stating "that requiring such disclosure best achieves the Congressional purpose of full and fair disclosure to consumers that is embodied in Section 1692e. It also protects consumers such as the Plaintiff, who may hold the reasonable but mistaken belief that timely payment will satisfy their debts."<sup>1</sup>
- 33. Because the statement of the "**Current Balance**" that included original principal, fees, and contractual interest, without notice that the accruing interest was expressly waived can mislead the least sophisticated consumer into believing that payment of the amount stated will clear her account, the FDCPA requires debt collectors, when they notify consumers of their account balance, to expressly disclose that the amount of the debt stated in the letter <u>will</u> increase over time, *or* clearly state that the holder of the debt will always accept payment of the amount set forth in full satisfaction of the debt. Id. at 817.
- 34. Requiring such disclosure best achieves the Congressional purpose of full and fair disclosure to consumers that is embodied in Section 1692e. It also protects consumers such as the Plaintiff, who may hold the reasonable, but mistaken belief that timely payment will satisfy their debts and it protects them from other debt collectors seeking further interest on this debt in the future.
- 35. According to the Second Circuit's finding that the "**Current Balance**" must contain a full and fair disclosure, if a credit card account was being charged interest, pursuant to a contract and the interest was intended to be waived, disclosure of such a waiver is necessary or the consumer would not know what the balance is. "[i]n fact, however, if

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Avila v. Riexinger & Assocs., LLC, 817 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 2016)

#### Case 1:17-cv-03431 Document 1 Filed 06/07/17 Page 7 of 17 PageID #: 7

interest is accruing daily, [or was not expressly waived] a consumer who pays the 'current balance' stated on the notice will not know whether the debt has been paid in full. The debt collector could still seek the [accruing or unwaived] interest and fees that accumulated after the notice was sent but before the balance was paid, or sell the consumer's debt to a third party, which itself could seek the interest and fees from the consumer." *Avila v. Riexinger & Assocs., LLC*, 817 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 2016)

- 36. The 8th Circuit in <u>Haney v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs.</u>, No. 15-1932, 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 17287 (8th Cir. Sep. 21, 2016) clearly explains that merely not including interest in post charge off statements is not express waiver of interest, and the debt collector or creditor can seek the interest in the future.
- 37. In fact, in this case the Plaintiff is still not sure whether there was any intent to waive the interest. There was definitely no express waiver and disclosure of waiver is mandatory if interest was originally accruing per the contract. The consumer could not know what the real balance is.
- 38. The intent to waive a contractual right must be unmistakably manifested and may not be inferred from doubtful or equivocal acts.<sup>2</sup> A waiver of a contract right does not occur by negligence, oversight or thoughtlessness and cannot be inferred from mere silence.<sup>3</sup>
- 39. The 8th Circuit Court of Appeals found that the fact that the debt was charged off and that the creditor or debt buyer did not charge interest in its statements post charge off that alone does not constitute an express waiver and interest is still continuing to accrue and may be charged at a future time.

40. According to the Second Circuit in Avila, any debt that was accruing interest and fees

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> <u>Navillus Tile, Inc. v. Turner Const. Co.</u>, 2 A.D.3d 209, 770 N.Y.S.2d 3 (1st Dep't 2003)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> <u>Acumen Re Management Corp. v. General Sec. Nat. Ins. Co.</u>, 2012 WL 3890128, at \*6 (S.D. N.Y. 2012), reconsideration denied, motion to certify appeal granted, 2012 WL 6053936 (S.D. N.Y. 2012).

#### Case 1:17-cv-03431 Document 1 Filed 06/07/17 Page 8 of 17 PageID #: 8

would need full and complete disclosure which would either clearly state that the balance "may" or "will" increase over time or clearly state that the debt is "static" and holder of the debt will always accept payment of the amount set forth in "full satisfaction" of the debt.<sup>4</sup>

- 41. Failure to disclose such a waiver of the automatically accruing interest is in of itself deceptive and "misleading" within the meaning of Section 1692e since Courts have held that <u>even</u> if the right to collect interest was waived by the creditor, debt collectors could still charge interest and they would not violate the FDCPA for charging interest if the original credit card agreement permitted the charging of interest on late payments.<sup>5</sup>
- 42. The Defendant knew that the balance would increase due to interest, fees and/or disbursements.
- 43. Since the "**Current Balance**" is for an amount that includes original principal, fees, and contractual interest, the collection notice must accurately inform the consumer that interest may accrue or that interest has stopped accruing. "Applying these principles, we hold that Plaintiffs have stated a claim that the collection notices at issue here are misleading within the meaning of Section 1692e... a consumer who pays the "current

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> <u>Haney v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs.</u>, No. 15-1932, 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 17287 (8th Cir. Sep. 21, 2016). ("Nothing inherent in the process of charging off a debt precludes a claim for statutory interest, and [the states] prejudgment interest statute does not expressly preclude statutory prejudgment interest following a waiver of contractual interest...[The debtor] received monthly periodic statements from the original creditors prior to charge-off, and at least as to the *Wal-Mart* account, the charge-off statement itself is attached to the pleadings. [The debtor] received a demand for payment of his accounts when due. We conclude any demand requirement that exists as a precondition to the accrual of statutory prejudgment interest was satisfied by the original creditors' demands upon [the debtor].")

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> <u>Rice v. Resurgent Capital Servs., LP.</u>, No. 15 CV 6319 (KAM)(CLP), 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 20932, at \*19-20 (E.D.N.Y. Feb. 13, 2017). ("[C]ourts in other districts have held that <u>even</u> if the right to collect interest was waived by the creditor, debt collectors do not violate Section 1692(f)(1) if the original credit card agreement permitted the charging of interest on late payments.), <u>Simkus v. Cavalry Portfolio Servs., LLC</u>, 12 F. Supp. 3d 1103, 1110 (N.D. Ill. 2014). (granting summary judgment on the Section 1692(f)(1) claim and holding that, "even if *BOA* waived its right to collect [\*20] interest, Defendants cannot have violated 1692f(1) if the original agreement between *Mr. Simkus* and *BOA* allowed for charging interest on late payments"), <u>Wilder v. J.C. Christensen & Assocs., Inc.</u>, No. 16 CV 1979, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 168440, 2016 WL 7104283, at \*7 (N.D. Ill. Dec. 6, 2016). (granting the motion to dismiss and holding that, "Plaintiff's allegation that Defendant engaged in 'unfair' or 'unconscionable' conduct by claiming that interest 'may' be added to Plaintiff's account is not a violation of Section 1692(f)(1) even if she could demonstrate that Credit One waived its contractual right".), See also <u>Terech v. First Resolution Mgmt. Corp.</u>, 854 F. Supp. 2d 537, 544 (N.D. Ill. 2012). (granting the motion to dismiss the 1692(f)(1) claim and holding that, "[t]he Court agrees that § 1692f(1) appears to be directed at debt collectors who charge fees not contemplated by the original agreement, not debt collectors who seek to charge fees contemplated by the agreement but arguably waived thereafter".)

#### Case 1:17-cv-03431 Document 1 Filed 06/07/17 Page 9 of 17 PageID #: 9

balance" stated on the notice will not know whether the debt has been paid in full."<sup>6</sup>

- 44. The "Current Balance" is for an amount that includes original principal, fees, and contractual interest. If interest was waived or stopped accruing the collection notice must disclose that the debt is "static" and that the holder of the debt would accept payment of the amount set forth in full satisfaction of the debt. <u>McNamee v. Debski & Assocs., P.A.</u>, No. 8:16-cv-2272-T-33TBM, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 131912, at \*8-9 (M.D. Fla. Sep. 27, 2016). ("The letters did not inform [the debtor] that *Capital One* had instructed [the debt collector] to cease collecting[] interest... i.e., that *Capital One* was willing to accept \$3,129.05 in full satisfaction of the debt. Because the letters did not "clearly state[] that the holder of the debt [would] accept payment of the amount set forth in full satisfaction of the debt [...," <u>Avila</u>, 817 F.3d at 77, [the debtors] Complaint plausibly states a claim to relief under the FDCPA.") (citations omitted)
- 45. Since interest was accruing per the creditors contract the collection notice must inform the consumer that the amount of the debt stated in the letter will increase over time.
- 46. Collection letters failing to reference the accrual of interest or waiver of interest are subject to two different interpretations as to the accumulation of interest, rendering them deceptive under § 1692e(10). See <u>Sperber v. Central Credit Services LLC</u> No. CV 16-cv-05222 (ARR) (RLM), 2017 U.S. Dist. (E.D.N.Y. May. 1, 2017). ("This matter presents the question of whether failing to disclose [] interest, or failing to explicitly waive the right to collect it, constitutes a "false, deceptive, or misleading" practice under § 1692e... Plaintiff contends that the collection notice he received, which neither stated that [] interest was accruing nor waived the creditor's right to collect it, is deceptive or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> <u>Avila v. Riexinger & Assocs., LLC</u>, Nos. 15-1584(L), 15-1597(Con), 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 5327, at \*10-11 (2d Cir. Mar. 22, 2016)

#### Case 1:17-cv-03431 Document 1 Filed 06/07/17 Page 10 of 17 PageID #: 10

misleading under *Avila v. Riexinger & Associates, LLC*, 817 F.3d 72 (2d Cir. 2016)... Having alleged that interest was accruing on his debt and that *CCS* failed to either <u>disclose this interest or otherwise disclaim its right to collect it</u>, *Sperber* has stated a plausible claim that the collection notices he received from *CCS* were misleading under Section 1692e of the FDCPA. *See Avila*, 817 F.3d at 76.")

- 47. "None of the letters provided further detail regarding when or how the balance had been calculated, whether it included interest, or whether interest continued to accrue. The court finds that the "least sophisticated consumer" could have read these letters in at least two different ways. On one hand, an unsophisticated consumer could reasonably conclude that the balance was a fixed amount that would not be subject to further interest, late fees, or other charges. On the other, an unsophisticated consumer could just as reasonably determine that the balance would continue to grow over time as interest accrued. One of those meanings would necessarily be inaccurate. Therefore, the court finds that Defendants' letters were deceptive as a matter of law. Courts in other districts have reached the same conclusion on similar facts. The court grants Ms. Snyder's motion for summary judgment on this issue." Snyder v. Gordon, No. C11-1379 RAJ, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 120659, at \*8-9 (W.D. Wash. Aug. 24, 2012), Avila v. Riexinger & Assocs., LLC, 817 F.3d 72, 75 (2d Cir. 2016), ("[I]n considering whether a collection notice violates Section 1692e, we apply the "least sophisticated consumer" standard...Under this standard, a collection notice is misleading if it is "open to more than one reasonable interpretation, at least one of which is inaccurate.")
- 48. "The Court therefore finds that [the debt collectors] letters to [the debtor] are subject to two different interpretations as to the accumulation of interest, rendering them deceptive

#### Case 1:17-cv-03431 Document 1 Filed 06/07/17 Page 11 of 17 PageID #: 11

under § 1692e(10) ... The logic [applies] to stated outstanding debt and the need for consumers to be aware that this debt may be dynamic or static. They are concerned with a consumer's inability to discern whether an amount owed may grow with time, regardless of whether offers to settle are on the table or not. As [plaintiff] states, this information is relevant in a consumer's payment calculus, especially when some debts must be paid at the expense of others. And, of course, the existence of settlement offers would be entirely irrelevant to these considerations for the many consumers who are unable to take advantage of them...Plaintiff's claim is not that the stated balance was not itemized, but that it was unclear whether it was subject to future interest" *Michalek v. ARS Nat'l Sys.*, No. 3:11-CV-1374, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 142976, at \*16-17 (M.D. Pa. Dec. 13, 2011)

- 49. The Plaintiff and the least sophisticated consumer could conclude from the said collection letter, that the "Current Balance" is static and that his or her payment of the amount due would satisfy the debt irrespective of when payment was remitted. However, absent a disclosure by the holder of the debt that clearly stated that the holder of the debt would accept payment of the amount set forth in "full satisfaction" of the debt then even if the debtor pays the "Current Balance" the Defendant and or the creditor <u>could</u> still seek the automatic interest that accumulated after the breach of contract, or sell the consumer's debt to a third party, which itself could seek the automatic interest and from the consumer. (Avila, at \*10-11.)
- 50. A waiver of interest, even when made explicitly, has not prevented debt collection agencies from continuing to illegally charge the waived interest. At the bare minimum a debt collection agency must clearly convey, even to the least sophisticated consumer

-11-

that it intends to waive the automatically accruing interest, or clearly state that the holder of the debt would always accept payment of the amount set forth in "full satisfaction" of the debt.

- 51. Any debtor, who goes ahead and pays the "**Current Balance**" as stated in the Defendant's letter, will be left unsure as to whether or not the debt has been paid in full, as the Defendant could always attempt to collect on any interest and fees that may have accumulated after the Defendant's letter was sent, but before the balance due was paid.
- 52. The Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) for misrepresenting the amount of the debt owed by the Plaintiff.
- 53. Section 1692e of the FDCPA states:

A debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt. Without limiting the general application of the foregoing, the following conduct is a violation of this section:

(2) The false representation of --

(A) the character, amount, or legal status of any debt; or

(10) the use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer.

- 54. The said letter is a standardized form letter.
- 55. Upon information and belief, the Defendant's letters, such as the said collection letter, number in the hundreds.
- 56. Defendant's letter is in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(A) and 1692e(10) for the use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt and for misrepresenting the amount of the debt owed by the Plaintiff.
- 57. Plaintiff suffered injury in fact by being subjected to unfair and abusive practices of the

Defendant.

- 58. Plaintiff suffered actual harm by being the target of the Defendant's misleading debt collection communications.
- 59. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right not to be the target of misleading debt collection communications.
- 60. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right to a truthful and fair debt collection process.
- 61. Defendant used materially false, deceptive, misleading representations and means in its attempted collection of Plaintiff's alleged debt.
- 62. Defendant's communications were designed to cause the debtor to suffer a harmful disadvantage in charting a course of action in response to Defendant's collection efforts.
- 63. The FDCPA ensures that consumers are fully and truthfully apprised of the facts and of their rights, the act enables them to understand, make informed decisions about, and participate fully and meaningfully in the debt collection process. The purpose of the FDCPA is to provide information that helps consumers to choose intelligently. The Defendant's false representations misled the Plaintiff in a manner that deprived him of his right to enjoy these benefits, these materially misleading statements trigger liability under section 1692e of the Act.
- 64. These deceptive communications additionally violated the FDCPA since they frustrate the consumer's ability to intelligently choose his or her response.
- 65. As an actual and proximate result of the acts and omissions of Mercantile Adjustment Bureau, LLC, Plaintiff has suffered, including but not limited to, fear, stress, mental anguish, emotional stress and acute embarrassment for which he should be compensated in an amount to be established by a jury at trial.

#### AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

# Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act brought by Plaintiff on behalf of himself and the members of a class, as against the Defendant.

- 66. Plaintiff re-states, re-alleges, and incorporates herein by reference, paragraphs one (1) through sixty five (65) as if set forth fully in this cause of action.
- 67. This cause of action is brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the members of a class.
- 68. The class consists of all persons whom Defendant's records reflect resided in the State of New York and who were sent a collection letter in substantially the same form letter as the letter sent to the Plaintiff on or about June 7, 2016; and (a) the collection letter was sent to a consumer seeking payment of a personal debt purportedly owed to Bank of America, N.A.; and (b) the collection letter was not returned by the postal service as undelivered; (c) and the Plaintiff asserts that the letter contained violations of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(A) and 1692e(10) of the FDCPA for the use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt and for misrepresenting the amount of the debt owed by the Plaintiff.
- 69. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, a class action is appropriate and preferable in this case because:
  - A. Based on the fact that a form collection letter is at the heart of this litigation, the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.
  - B. There are questions of law and fact common to the class and these questions predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members. The principal question presented by this claim is whether the Defendant violated the FDCPA.

- C. The only individual issue is the identification of the consumers who received such collection letters (*i.e.* the class members), a matter capable of ministerial determination from the records of Defendant.
- D. The claims of the Plaintiff are typical of those of the class members. All are based on the same facts and legal theories.
- E. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the class members' interests. The Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in bringing class actions and collection-abuse claims. The Plaintiff's interests are consistent with those of the members of the class.
- 70. A class action is superior for the fair and efficient adjudication of the class members' claims. Congress specifically envisions class actions as a principal means of enforcing the FDCPA. 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k). The members of the class are generally unsophisticated individuals, whose rights will not be vindicated in the absence of a class action. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the classes would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications resulting in the establishment of inconsistent or varying standards for the parties and would not be in the interest of judicial economy.
- 71. If the facts are discovered to be appropriate, the Plaintiff will seek to certify a class pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 72. Collection attempts, such as those made by the Defendant are to be evaluated by the objective standard of the hypothetical "least sophisticated consumer."

#### Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

- 73. The Defendant's actions as set forth above in the within complaint violates the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 74. Because the Defendant violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the Plaintiff and the members of the class are entitled to damages in accordance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully requests preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, and that this Court enter judgment in his favor and against the Defendant and award damages as follows:

- A. Statutory damages provided under the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k);
- B. Attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs incurred in bringing this action; and
- C. Any other relief that this Court deems appropriate and just under the circumstances.

Dated: Woodmere, New York June 7, 2017

> /s/ Adam J. Fishbein Adam J. Fishbein, P.C. (AF-9508) Attorney At Law Attorney for the Plaintiff 735 Central Avenue Woodmere, New York 11598 Telephone: (516) 668-6945 Email: fishbeinadamj@gmail.com

Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Adam J. Fishbein Adam J. Fishbein (AF-9508)

Case 1:17-cv-03431 Docu	ument 1 Filed 06/07/17	Page 17 of 17 Pa	geID #: 17 CDBGR-W2
	Creditor: Reference Num	Bank of America	
MERCANTILE	Current Balance:	\$13,942.00	
Innovative Solutions, Exceptional Results	Amount Enclosed: \$		Contraction of the second
1-888-481-4348			
Office Hours (Eastern Time):Monday-Thursday8:00 am - 9:00 prFriday8:00 am - 5:00 pr	riease sellu p	<b>ayments or corresp</b> ustment Bureau, LLC	ondence to:
Date: 06/07/2016	PO Box 9055 Williamsville N	Y 14231-9055	
PLEASE DETA	ACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION WIT	Date: 06/07/201	6
David Kraus,		Date: 00/07/2019	0
We have previously attempted to cont understanding that you may not be ab would like to discuss the resolution of negotiate flexible terms with you and o 1-888-481-4348.	le to meet your full obligat your account through pay	ion to our client. With ment arrangements.	this in mind, we We are willing to
If you prefer to contact us by mail with information below. Please enclose yo correct as we will need to send you up are accepted, we will apply your paym will not be applied and collections will	our first payment and verify odates on your account. If nent to your account. If yo	that the address we the terms of your pay	show for you is ment agreement
Proposed Payment Plan			· · · ·
Payment Amount: \$			
Payment Frequency (circle one	e): Weekly Monthly		
Payment Due Date/Dates:			
Payment Due Date/Dates: Payment Type (circle one): De		ney Order	
Payment Type (circle one): De			
Payment Type (circle one): De	ebit Card Cash Mo	wing information:	
Payment Type (circle one): De If payment by Debit Car Names as it appears or	ebit Card Cash Mo rd, please provide the follo	wing information:	
Payment Type (circle one): De If payment by Debit Car Names as it appears or Debit Card Number:	ebit Card Cash Mo rd, please provide the follo	wing information:	
Payment Type (circle one): De If payment by Debit Car Names as it appears or Debit Card Number:	ebit Card Cash Mo rd, please provide the follo n the Debit Card: payment: \$ ervice that will reduce pap	wing information: Expiration Da	ate:
Payment Type (circle one): De If payment by Debit Car Names as it appears or Debit Card Number: Amount authorized for p We offer an on-line correspondence so customer experience. Please visit ww	ebit Card Cash Mo rd, please provide the follo n the Debit Card: payment: \$ ervice that will reduce pap	wing information: Expiration Da	ate:
Payment Type (circle one): De If payment by Debit Car Names as it appears or Debit Card Number: Amount authorized for p We offer an on-line correspondence se customer experience. Please visit ww access your account information or ma	ebit Card Cash Mo rd, please provide the follo n the Debit Card: payment: \$ ervice that will reduce pap	wing information: Expiration Da	ate:
Payment Type (circle one): De If payment by Debit Car Names as it appears or Debit Card Number: Amount authorized for p We offer an on-line correspondence se customer experience. Please visit ww access your account information or ma Sincerely, Joe Cavalieri	ebit Card Cash Mo rd, please provide the follo in the Debit Card: payment: \$ ervice that will reduce pap <b>/w.mercantilesolutions.c</b> ake payments on-line.	er consumption and in to sign-up for pap	ate: mprove your perless billing,
Payment Type (circle one): De If payment by Debit Car Names as it appears or Debit Card Number: Amount authorized for p We offer an on-line correspondence se customer experience. Please visit ww access your account information or ma Sincerely, Joe Cavalieri Phone Number: 1-888-481-4348	ebit Card Cash Mo rd, please provide the follo in the Debit Card: payment: \$ ervice that will reduce pap <b>w.mercantilesolutions.c</b> ake payments on-line.	er consumption and in com to sign-up for pap quality assurance pur	nprove your perless billing, rposes.
Payment Type (circle one): De If payment by Debit Car Names as it appears or Debit Card Number: Amount authorized for p We offer an on-line correspondence se customer experience. Please visit www access your account information or ma Sincerely, Joe Cavalieri Phone Number: 1-888-481-4348 Calls to or from this company may be THIS IS AN ATTEMPT TO COLLECT	ebit Card Cash Mo rd, please provide the follo in the Debit Card: payment: \$ ervice that will reduce pap <b>w.mercantilesolutions.c</b> ake payments on-line.	er consumption and in com to sign-up for pap quality assurance pur RMATION OBTAINE A DEBT COLLECTOR	nprove your perless billing, poses. D WILL BE USED R.
Payment Type (circle one): De If payment by Debit Car Names as it appears or Debit Card Number: Amount authorized for p We offer an on-line correspondence se customer experience. Please visit www access your account information or ma Sincerely, Joe Cavalieri Phone Number: 1-888-481-4348 Calls to or from this company may be THIS IS AN ATTEMPT TO COLLECT FOR THAT PURPOSE. THIS IS A CO New York City Department of Consum CREDITOR/ACCOUNT NUMBER Bank of America, N.A.	ebit Card Cash Mo rd, please provide the follo in the Debit Card: payment: \$ ervice that will reduce pap <b>w.mercantilesolutions.c</b> ake payments on-line.	er consumption and in com to sign-up for pap quality assurance pur RMATION OBTAINE A DEBT COLLECTOR	nprove your perless billing, poses. D WILL BE USED R.
Payment Type (circle one): De If payment by Debit Car Names as it appears or Debit Card Number: Amount authorized for p We offer an on-line correspondence se customer experience. Please visit www access your account information or ma Sincerely, Joe Cavalieri Phone Number: 1-888-481-4348 Calls to or from this company may be THIS IS AN ATTEMPT TO COLLECT FOR THAT PURPOSE. THIS IS A CO New York City Department of Consum CREDITOR/ACCOUNT NUMBER	ebit Card Cash Mo rd, please provide the follo in the Debit Card: payment: \$ ervice that will reduce pap w.mercantilesolutions.c ake payments on-line. monitored or recorded for <b>A DEBT AND ANY INFC</b> OMMUNICATION FROM mer Affairs License Numbe TOTAL	er consumption and in com to sign-up for pap quality assurance pur RMATION OBTAINE A DEBT COLLECTOR	nprove your perless billing, poses. D WILL BE USED R.

.

I

Г

 Case 1:17-cv-03431 Document 1-1 Filed 06/07/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 18

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

DAVID KRAUS	
Plaintiff(s)	
ν.	
MERCANTILE ADJUSTMENT BUREAU, LLC	
Defendant(s)	

#### SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Civil Action No.

To: (Defendant's name and address) MERCANTILE ADJUSTMENT BUREAU, LLC 165 LAWRENCE BELL DRIVE SUITE 100 WILLIAMSVILLE, NEW YORK, 14221

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Adam J. Fishbein, P.C. 735 Central Avenue

Woodmere NY 11598

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

#### Case 1:17-cv-03431 Document 1-1 Filed 06/07/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 19

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

#### **PROOF OF SERVICE**

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (name	e of individual and title, if any)			
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·			
	□ I personally served t	he summons on the individual a	at (place)		
			on (date)	; or	
	□ I left the summons a	t the individual's residence or u	usual place of abode with (name)		
		, a perso	n of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,	
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to	the individual's last known address; or		
	$\Box$ I served the summor	ns on (name of individual)		, who	is
	designated by law to a	ccept service of process on beha	alf of (name of organization)		
			on (date)	; or	
	$\Box$ I returned the summ	ons unexecuted because		; c	or
	<b>Other</b> ( <i>specify</i> ):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00	
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	is true.		
Date:					
Dute.			Server's signature		_
			Printed name and title		

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Server's address

## JS 44 (Rev. 1/2013) Case 1:17-cv-03431 Document Cover Sile 06(07/17) Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 20

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* 

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS		
DAVID KRAUS			MERCANTILE ADJUSTMENT BUREAU, LLC			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Kings (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant <u>Erie</u> (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
<ul> <li>(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)</li> <li>Adam J. Fishbein</li> <li>735 Central Avenue</li> <li>Woodmere NY 11598 516 668 6945 fishbeinadamj@gmail.com</li> </ul>			Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)			TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In 1	
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)			2 D 2 Incorporated and a of Business In .	Another State
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ıly) DRTS	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<ul> <li>Ito Insurance</li> <li>120 Marine</li> <li>130 Miller Act</li> <li>140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>150 Recovery of Overpayment &amp; Enforcement of Judgment</li> <li>151 Medicare Act</li> <li>152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)</li> <li>153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits</li> <li>160 Stockholders' Suits</li> <li>190 Other Contract</li> <li>195 Contract Product Liability</li> <li>196 Franchise</li> <li><b>REAL PROPERTY</b></li> <li>210 Land Condemnation</li> <li>220 Foreclosure</li> <li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li> <li>245 Tort Product Liability</li> <li>290 All Other Real Property</li> </ul>	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 735 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othel 550 Civil Rights 556 Prison Conditions of Confinement	Y         -         62           -         69         -           XTY         -         71           -         72         -           -         74         -           NS         -         79           -         46         -	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other LABOR	□       422 Appeal 28 USC 158         □       423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157         ■       PROPERTY RIGHTS         □       820 Copyrights         □       820 Copyrights         □       840 Trademark         ■       SOCIAL SECURITY         □       861 HIA (1395ff)         □       862 Black Lung (923)         □       863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))         □       864 SSID Title XVI         □       865 RSI (405(g))         ■       870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)         □       871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<ul> <li>375 False Claims Act</li> <li>400 State Reapportionment</li> <li>410 Antitrust</li> <li>430 Banks and Banking</li> <li>450 Commerce</li> <li>460 Deportation</li> <li>470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations</li> <li>480 Consumer Credit</li> <li>490 Cable/Sat TV</li> <li>850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange</li> <li>890 Other Statutory Actions</li> <li>891 Agricultural Acts</li> <li>895 Freedom of Information Act</li> <li>899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision</li> <li>950 Constitutionality of State Statutes</li> </ul>
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in						
	moved from $\Box$ 3 te Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	⊐ 4 Rein Reop		er District Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN 15 USC 1692 FA Brief description of ca Improper represe	IR DEBT COLLECT ause: intation of the amou	FION PR	Do not cite jurisdictional star RACTICES ACT	tutes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A <b>CLASS ACTION</b> 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	r if demanded in complaint: : X Yes □ No
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	<b>E(S)</b> (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 06/07/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATT /s/ Adam J. Fisl		OF RECORD		
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE

#### Case 1:17-cv-03431 Document 1-2 Filed 06/07/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 21 CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, <u>Adam J. Fishbein</u>, counsel for <u>Plaintiff</u>, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

- monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
- $\mathbf{X}$ the complaint seeks injunctive relief,

Class Action

 $\mathbf{X}$ the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

#### **DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1**

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

None

#### **RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)**

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

#### NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

- Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk 1.) County: No
- If you answered "no" above: 2.) a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? No

b) Did the events of omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

#### **BAR ADMISSION**

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. X Yes No

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? (If yes, please explain)

	2
X	No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Yes

Signature: /s/ Adam J. Fishbein

## **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Mercantile Adjustment Bureau Faces FDCPA Lawsuit</u>