UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

KHATUNA KORIDZE on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated consumers

Plaintiff,

-against-

ALLIED INTERSTATE LLC

Defendant.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Introduction

1. Plaintiff, Khatuna Koridze, brings this action against Allied Interstate LLC for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et *seq.* ("FDCPA"). The FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair collection practices while attempting to collect on debts.

Parties

- 2. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of New York who resides within this District.
- 3. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by Section 1692(a)(3) of the FDCPA, in that the alleged debt that Defendant sought to collect from Plaintiff a consumer debt.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant's principal place of business is located in New Albany, Ohio.
- 5. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.
- 6. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 7. This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as the acts and transactions that give rise to this action occurred, in substantial part, in this district.

Allegations Particular to Khatuna Koridze

- 9. Upon information and belief, on a date better known by Defendant, Defendant began to attempt to collect an alleged consumer debt from the Plaintiff.
- 10. On or about October 24, 2017, Defendant sent the Plaintiff a collection letter.
- 11. The said letter was an effort to collect on a defaulted consumer debt.
- 12. The October 24, 2017 letter was an initial communication, sent by the Defendant to the Plaintiff.
- 13. On the rear side of the letter the Defendant stated in part: "Correspond with Allied (other than payments at: Allied Interstate LLC, PO Box 361774, Columbus, OH 43236."
- 14. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g provides that within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing certain enumerated information.
- 15. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(3) requires the notice to include a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector.
- 16. There is no requirement that the consumer dispute the debt in writing.
- 17. It is a violation of FDCPA to require disputes be made in writing.
- 18. It is a violation of the FDCPA to include language in the letter that overshadows or

- contradicts the required 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(3) statement.
- 19. It is a violation of the FDCPA to include language in the letter that, when examined from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer, leads the least sophisticated consumer to believe that her dispute must be in writing.
- 20. Disputes need not be in writing. The FDCPA allows consumers to dispute their debts orally.¹
- 21. Defendant's October 24, 2017 letter misrepresented the Plaintiff's right to dispute the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(10) and 1692g.
- 22. It is a violation of FDCPA to require disputes be made in writing and it is a violation of the FDCPA to include language in a letter that overshadows the required 15 U.S.C. § 1692g statement.
- 23. It is a further violation of the FDCPA to include language in the letter that contradicts the required 15 U.S.C. § 1692g statement.
- 24. The said letter could be reasonably interpreted by an unsophisticated consumer as incorrectly representing that a dispute must be communicated in writing.²
- 25. An unsophisticated consumer would conclude from the above mentioned language, that he has no option to make an oral dispute.

¹ See Hooks v. Forman, Holt, Eliades & Ravin, LLC, 717 F.3d 282, 2013 U.S. App. LEXIS 10754, 2013 WL 2321409 (2d Cir. N.Y. 2013) (Requiring a consumer to dispute a debt in writing violates the FDCPA.); Balke v. Alliance One Receivables Mgmt., No. 16-cv-5624(ADS)(AKT), 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 94021, at *14 (E.D.N.Y. June 19, 2017) ("[T]he Plaintiff correctly notes that consumers are not required to dispute an alleged debt in writing. Thus, by advising the consumer that any correspondence for this account should be sent to the listed mailing address, it wrongly implies that disputes must be made in writing, and may lead the least sophisticated consumer to believe she has that obligation. . . . It is undisputed that the Second Circuit has prohibited debt collectors from stating, directly or indirectly, that consumers may only enforce their right to dispute an alleged debt in writing.") (emphasis added)

² <u>Vetrano v. CBE Grp., Inc., 2016 WL 4083384 (E.D.N.Y. Aug. 1, 2016)</u> ("Because the language "written disputes" as used in the challenged phrase has the potential to overshadow or contradict the information contained within the Section 1692g notice and thereby confuse or mislead the least sophisticated consumer into erroneously believing that a dispute must be in writing, the Plaintiff has stated a valid cause of action under this theory of recovery.")

- 26. This language overshadowed and contradicted the validation notice stated above it in the letter, and was misleading, since it leaves the debtor with a false notion that disputing an alleged debt requires a written communication to be sent to the above mentioned address.
- 27. The said language can be reasonably read to have two or more different meanings, one of which is inaccurate.
- 28. Defendant's October 24, 2017 letter violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(10), and 1692g(a)(4) for failing to comply with the validation notice requirements, and in particular, for misrepresenting Plaintiff's right to dispute the debt.
- 29. Plaintiff suffered injury in fact by being subjected to unfair and abusive practices of the Defendant.
- 30. Plaintiff suffered actual harm by being the target of the Defendant's misleading debt collection communications.
- 31. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right not to be the target of misleading debt collection communications.
- 32. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right to a truthful and fair debt collection process.
- 33. Defendant used materially false, deceptive, misleading representations and means in its attempted collection of Plaintiff's alleged debt.
- 34. Defendant's communications were designed to cause the debtor to suffer a harmful disadvantage in charting a course of action in response to Defendant's collection efforts.
- 35. The FDCPA ensures that consumers are fully and truthfully apprised of the facts and of their rights, the act enables them to understand, make informed decisions about, and participate fully and meaningfully in the debt collection process. The purpose of the FDCPA is to provide information that helps consumers to choose intelligently. The Defendant's false representations misled the Plaintiff in a manner that deprived her of her

- right to enjoy these benefits, these materially misleading statements trigger liability under section 1692e of the Act.
- 36. These deceptive communications additionally violated the FDCPA since they frustrate the consumer's ability to intelligently choose his or her response.
- 37. Plaintiff seeks to end these violations of the FDCPA. Plaintiff has suffered damages including but not limited to, fear, stress, mental anguish, emotional stress and acute embarrassment. Plaintiff and putative class members are entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, including, declaratory relief, and damages.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 38. This action is brought as a class action. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 39. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Allied Interstate LLC and those business and governmental entities on whose behalf it attempts to collect debts.
- 40. Excluded from the Plaintiff's Class is the Defendant and all officers, members, partners, managers, directors, and employees of Allied Interstate LLC, and all of their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action and all members of their immediate families.
- 41. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff's Class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether Defendant's communications with the Plaintiff, such as the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

- 42. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories.
- 43. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff's Class defined in this complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither Plaintiff nor her attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.
- 44. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
 - (a) <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Plaintiff's Class defined above is so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
 - (b) <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff's Class and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether the Defendant's communications with the Plaintiff, such as the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
 - (c) **Typicality:** The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff's Class defined in this complaint have claims arising out of the Defendant's common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
 - (d) Adequacy: The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the

absent class members. The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor his counsel have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.

- (e) Superiority: A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(l)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is appropriate because adjudications with respect to individual members create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications which could establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant who, on information and belief, collects debts throughout the United States of America.
- 45. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that a determination that the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, and is tantamount to declaratory relief and any monetary relief under the FDCPA would be merely incidental to that determination.
- 46. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff's Class predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a

- class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 47. Further, Defendant has acted, or failed to act, on grounds generally applicable to the Rule (b)(l)(A) and (b)(2) Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief with respect to the Class as a whole.
- 48. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify one or more classes only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

AS AND FOR A CAUSE OF ACTION

Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act brought by Plaintiff on behalf of herself and the members of a class, as against the Defendant.

- 49. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered one (1) through forty eight (48) herein with the same force and effect is if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 50. This cause of action is brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the members of a class.
- 51. The class involves all individuals whom Defendant's records reflect resided in the State of New York and who were sent a collection letter in substantially the same form letter as the letter sent to the Plaintiff on or about October 24, 2017; and (a) the collection letter was sent to a consumer seeking payment of a personal debt; and (b) the collection letter was not returned by the postal service as undelivered; and (c) the Plaintiff asserts that the letter contained violations of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(10), and 1692g(a)(4) for failing to comply with the validation notice requirements, and in particular, for misrepresenting Plaintiff's right to dispute the debt.

Case 1:18-cv-04520 Document 1 Filed 08/09/18 Page 9 of 9 PageID #: 9

Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

52. The Defendant's actions as set forth above in the within complaint violates the Fair Debt

Collection Practices Act.

53. Because the Defendant violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the Plaintiff and

the members of the class are entitled to damages in accordance with the Fair Debt

Collection Practices Act.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully requests preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, and that this

Court enter judgment in Plaintiff's favor and against the Defendant and award damages as follows:

(a) Statutory damages provided under the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k);

(b) Attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs incurred in bringing this action; and

(c) Any other relief that this Court deems appropriate and just under the

circumstances.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York August 9, 2018

/s/ Maxim Maximov

Maxim Maximov, Esq. Attorneys for the Plaintiff

Maxim Maximov, LLP

1701 Avenue P

Brooklyn, New York 11229

Office: (718) 395-3459 Facsimile: (718) 408-9570

E-mail: m@maximovlaw.com

Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Maxim Maximov____

Maxim Maximov, Esq.

-9-

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil decket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PACE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF T	HIS FORM.)	y r ., is required for the use of	and offers of obtain for the		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS				
KHATUNA KORIDZE			ALLIED INTERS	ALLIED INTERSTATE LLC			
,	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	,	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A MAXIM MAXIMOV, LLP 1701 AVENUE P BROOKLYN, NEW YOR	OFFICE: FAX: (71	^{r)} (718) 395-3459 8) 408-9570 M@MAXIMOVLAW.C	Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif		
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	★ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In □			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2			
-			Citizen or Subject of a				
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES		
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	FORFEITURE/PENALTY □ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 690 Other LABOR □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Management Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION □ 462 Naturalization Application Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ▼ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
Proceeding Sta	moved from 3 tte Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court	Reinstated or Beopened 5 Transfer Anothe (specify, lling (Do not cite jurisdictional state)	r District Litigation Transfer			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Brief description of ca	tuse: 2 Fair Debt Collection IS A CLASS ACTION	Practices Act Violation DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint: : ☒ Yes ☐ No		
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 08/09/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR /S/ MAXIM MAXI					
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE_	MAG. JUI	DGE		

Case 1:18-cv-04520 Document 1-1 Filed 08/09/18 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 11

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I Maxim	Maximov, counsel for Plaintiff, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil actio
-,	gible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):
[monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
	the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
[the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason
	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
	Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:
	RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)
to anothe substanti deemed ' "Presump	ist all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related er civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a ial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that ptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still before the court."
	NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)
1.)	Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No
2.)	If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffoli County? Yes No
	b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes No
	c) If this is a Fair Debt Collection Practice Act case, specify the County in which the offending communication was received: Kings County
Suffolk	answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or County? Yes No
	(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).
	BAR ADMISSION
	I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.
	✓ Yes No
	Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?
	Yes (If yes, please explain No
	I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.
	Signature:

Reset

Last Modified: 11/27/2017

Print

Save As...

10/24/2017

KHATUNA KORIDZE 462 Avenue P Ste 2 Brooklyn, NY 11223

Re:

BananaCard

Creditor: SYNCHRONY BANK

Amount Owed: \$323.92

Allied Interstate Account No.: A73921328/P63068159

KHATUNA KORIDZE:

We are a debt collector and SYNCHRONY BANK has contracted with us to collect the debt noted above. Please refer to the enclosed Account Listing for detail regarding your account(s).

The amount of the debt due as of charge-off is \$323.92. The total amount of interest accrued since charge-off is \$0.00. The total amount fees accrued since charge-off is \$0.00. The total of amount of payments made on the debt since the charge-off is: \$0.00.

To make a payment, please call us at 877-236-1115 between the hours of Mon-Fri 8am-10pm ET and Sat 8am-4pm ET or mail your payment using the coupon on the reverse side of this letter. We process some checks electronically and if we do your checking account will be debited on the day we receive your payment. Your check will not be returned.

Unless you notify us within 30 days after receiving this letter that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, we will assume that this debt is valid. If you notify us in writing within 30 days after receiving this letter that you dispute the validity of this debt, or any portion thereof, we will obtain and mail to you verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment. If you request of us in writing within 30 days after receiving this letter, we will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. We look forward to assisting you in resolving this matter.

Sincerely, Allied Interstate LLC Jeffrey Swedberg

Debt collectors, in accordance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. section§1692 *et seq.*, are prohibited from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection efforts, including but not limited to:

the use or threat of violence;

the use of obscene or profane language; and,

repeated phone calls made with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass.

If a creditor or debt collector receives a money judgment against you in court, state and federal laws may prevent the following types of income from being taken to pay the debt:

- 1. Supplemental security income, (SSI);
- 2. Social security;
- 3. Public assistance (welfare);
- 4. Spousal support, maintenance (alimony) or child support;
- 5. Unemployment benefits;
- 6. Disability benefits;

- 7. Workers' compensation benefits;
- 8. Public or private pensions;
- 9. Veterans' benefits;
- 10. Federal student loans, federal student grants, and federal work study funds; and
- 11. Ninety percent of your wages or salary earned in the last sixty days.

Case 1:18-cv-04520, Document 1-2, Filed 08/09/18, Page 2 of 3 PageID #: 13

(Do not send payments or correspondence to this address.)

If you have a question or comment, please (i) write us at P.O. Box 361774, Columbus, OH 43236; (ii) email us at: advocacygroup@allied-interstate.com; or, (iii) call us toll-free at (800) 811-4214 between 9:00 AM Eastern Time and 6:00 PM Eastern Time Monday through Friday.

New York City Department of Consumer Affairs license numbers: 1474413-DCA, 1473185-DCA, 1473141-DCA, 1473196-DCA, 1473186-DCA, 1466817-DCA, 1473155-DCA, 1473165-DCA, 1473170-DCA, 1473181-DCA, 1473180-DCA, 1473198-DCA, 1473177-DCA, 1473174-DCA.

IRWS/4101/759003279990

255 / 000000085 / 000000002

Correspond with Allied (other than payments) at: Allied Interstate LLC, PO Box 361774 Columbus OH 43236

(Detach and return with payment.)

RETURN MAIL ONLY

P. O. Box 1954 Southgate, MI 48195-0954 A73921328/P63068159

- փիգևուվելիկիիիկիրգեսիկիրեկիսիիկիկիսկիս

KHATUNA KORIDZE 462 Avenue P Ste 2 Brooklyn, NY 11223-1927 Allied Interstate LLC

PO Box 361774 Columbus, OH 43236

Account Listing

	Principle		Interest			Collection	Amount
Client	Balance	Placed	Rate	Added	Fees	Costs	Owed
XXXXXXXXXXX9943	\$323.92	\$0.00	0.000 %	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$323.92
Totals	\$323.92	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$323.92

	ED STATES DISTRICT COURT ERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	ΓUNA KORIDZE on behalf of herself Il other similarly situated consumers
	Plaintiff,
	-against-
ALLI	ED INTERSTATE LLC
	Defendant.
	SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
TO:	ALLIED INTERSTATE LLC 7525 WEST CAMPUS ROAD NEW ALBANY, OHIO 43054
and se	YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to file with the Clerk of this Court erve upon PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY:
	MAXIM MAXIMOV, ESQ. MAXIM MAXIMOV, LLP 1701 AVENUE P BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11229
summ	swer to the complaint which is herewith served upon you, with 21 days after service of this nons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will ten against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
CLEF	RK DATE
BY D	EPUTY CLERK

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: New York Consumer Claims Allied Interstate Misrepresented Her Debt Dispute Rights