

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND**

DARREN KONDASH, individually and
on behalf of others similarly situated,
Plaintiff,

v.

CITIZENS BANK, NATIONAL
ASSOCIATION,
Defendant.

Jury Trial Demanded

Class Action

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1. Plaintiff Darren Kondash brings this action against Defendant Citizens Bank, National Association (“Citizens”), to secure redress for Defendant’s practice of calling the cellular telephone numbers of Plaintiff and others using an automatic telephone dialing system and prerecorded voice, in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”), 47 U.S.C. § 227.

INTRODUCTION

2. Advancements in telephone dialing technology by the 1980s and 90s made reaching a large number of consumers by telephone easier and more cost-effective. However, this technology has also brought with it an onslaught of unsolicited robocalls, spam text messages, and junk faxes that intrude on individual privacy and waste consumer time and money. As a result, the federal government and numerous states have enacted legislation to combat these widespread telecommunications abuses. As Congress recognized:

Many customers are outraged over the proliferation of intrusive, nuisance calls to their homes.... Banning such automated or prerecorded telephone calls to the home, except when the receiving party consents to receiving the call or when such calls are necessary in an emergency situation affecting the health and safety of the consumer, is the only effective means of protecting telephone consumers from this nuisance and privacy invasion.

Pub. L. No. 102-243, 105 Stat. 2394 § 2(6, 12) (1991).

3. As is relevant here, federal law under the TCPA prohibits “mak[ing] any call (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) using any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice ... to any telephone number assigned to a ... cellular telephone service[.]” 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii). The TCPA provides for injunctive relief and the greater of actual damages or \$500 per violation, which can be trebled where the statute was “willfully or knowingly” violated. 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3).

4. Defendant Citizens caused multiple automated calls to be made to Plaintiff’s cell phone without his consent, and Plaintiff files this class action complaint on behalf of himself and others similarly situated, seeking relief from Defendant’s illegal calling practices.

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff Darren W. Kondash is a natural person who resides in Los Angeles County, California.

6. Defendant Citizens Bank, National Association is a national association headquartered at One Citizens Plaza, Providence, Rhode Island 02903.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This Court has federal question subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 with respect to Plaintiff's TCPA claims. *Mims v. Arrow Financial Services, Inc.*, 132 S. Ct. 740 (2012).

8. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant and venue is appropriate in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) because Citizens does business in this District, made the calls that are the subject of this lawsuit to Plaintiff and others in this District, and because a substantial portion of the events giving rise to this cause of action occurred in this District.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

9. Plaintiff brings this action under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2) and (b)(3), on behalf of a class consisting of:

All persons in the United States whose cellular telephone number Citizens called using an artificial or prerecorded voice or the same or similar dialing system used to call Plaintiff, where such calling (a) was made to a telephone number the recipient had not provided to Citizens, or (b) occurred after the person asked Citizens not to call such number.

10. Upon information and belief, there were more than 100 persons whose cell phone number Citizens or a third-party on its behalf called without permission using the same equipment used to call Plaintiff.

11. Common questions of law or fact exist as to all members of the class, which predominate over any questions solely affecting any individual member, including Plaintiff. Such questions common to the class include but are not limited to:

a. Whether the calls to Plaintiff and the class were made using an “automatic telephone dialing system” as such term is defined or understood under the TCPA and applicable FCC regulations and orders;

b. Whether the calls to Plaintiff and the class were made using an artificial or prerecorded voice as such terms are defined or understood under the TCPA and applicable FCC regulations and orders

c. Whether Citizens had prior express consent to call the cell phone numbers of Plaintiff and the other members of the class; and

d. Damages, including whether any violations were performed willfully or knowingly, such that Plaintiff and the other members of the class are entitled to treble damages under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3).

12. Plaintiff’s claims are typical of the claims of the other members of the class. The factual and legal bases of Citizens’ liability to Plaintiff and the other members of the class are the same: Citizens violated the TCPA by causing automated calls to be made to the cellular telephone number of each member of the class, without permission.

13. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class. Plaintiff has no interests that might conflict with the interests of the class. Plaintiff is interested in pursuing his claims vigorously, and he has retained counsel competent and experienced in class and complex litigation, including with regards to the claims alleged herein.

14. Class action treatment is superior to the alternatives for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy alleged herein. Such treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual action would entail. There are, on information and belief, thousands of class members, such that joinder of all members is impracticable.

15. No difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action, and no superior alternative exists for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

16. Defendant has acted and failed to act on grounds generally applicable to Plaintiff and the other members of the class, thereby making relief appropriate with respect to the class as a whole. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the class, should they even realize that their rights have been violated, would likely create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual members of the class that would establish incompatible standards of conduct.

17. The identity of the class is, on information and belief, readily identifiable from Defendant's records.

FACTS

18. Defendant caused autodialed and prerecorded-voice calls to be made to the cell phones of Plaintiff and other consumers, without the prior express consent of the called party.

19. On information and belief, the equipment used to call Plaintiff and others not only had the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called using a random or sequential number generator (and to dial such numbers), but was programmed to sequentially or randomly access stored telephone numbers to automatically call such numbers. These calls were made with equipment capable of dialing numerous phone numbers in a short period of time without human intervention, as part of an automated process.

20. Defendant also employed unattended messages in calls to Plaintiff; that is messages that were recorded ahead of time, and then automatically played when Plaintiff or his voice mail picked up.

21. Many of the people to whom Defendant made or caused to be made autodialed or prerecorded-voice calls never expressly consented to receive such calls, or expressly told Citizens not to call their phones, including Plaintiff.

22. On information and belief, many of these individuals were sent more than one call, and Defendant lacks an adequate system for preventing autodialed or prerecorded-voice calls to phones for which it does not have consent.

23. Citizens made these calls to Plaintiff and the other members of the class defined below intentionally. Citizens has been well aware of the TCPA's prohibitions against use of autodialers in calls to consumers, but made the business decision to make these calls, anyway.

24. Indeed, Citizens was previously sued for violating the exact same TCPA autodialer and prerecorded-voice prohibitions at issue here, in the class action of *Sanders v. RBS Citizens, N.A.*, No. 3:13-cv-03136 (S.D. Cal. filed Dec. 20, 2013).

25. Moreover, on January 16, 2015, Citizens filed a petition for a declaratory ruling with the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”), asking it to rule that “prior express consent” to use otherwise prohibited autodialer and artificial/prerecorded-voice call technology to contact a consumer be deemed granted by the consumer merely publicly *listing* his number – for example, online – regardless of any relation to the caller, whatsoever. *See* Petition for Declaratory Ruling and/or Clarification brought by Citizen Bank, N.A., *In re Rules & Regs. Implementing the TCPA*, CG Docket No. 02-278 (FCC Jan. 16, 2015) (<https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/60001014186.pdf>) (last accessed April 9, 2018).

26. Citizens withdrew its FCC petition on July 20, 2016, after settling the *Sanders* TCPA case on a class action basis for \$4,551,267.50. *See* http://www.citizenstcpasettlement.com/media/626643/settlement_agreement.pdf (last accessed April 9, 2018). That settlement received final approval on January 27, 2017.

27. Despite paying millions to settle TCPA violation claims, Citizens has continued to make autodialed and prerecorded-voice calls to the cell phones of consumers without consent, including to Plaintiff.

Facts Relating to Plaintiff

28. Citizens and/or some vendor(s) on its behalf have made multiple calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number, including on December 12, 2017, December 13, 2017, December 15, 2017, January 3, 2018, January 5, 2018, January 8, 2018, January 9, 2018, January 10, 2018, January 11, 2018, and April 6, 2018, among other many other instances, which are not specifically delineated by date here, but all of which are alleged to be part of this case. Citizens' improper automated and prerecorded calls to plaintiff spanned over several years.

29. Citizens' calls to the cell phones of Plaintiff and others were made using an automatic telephone dialing system ("autodialer" or "ATDS") under the TCPA. The equipment had the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called using a random or sequential number generator, and to dial such numbers. In other words, no human being physically dialed each digit of Plaintiff's and the other class members' telephone numbers to call their phones—the calls were made using equipment with the capacity to dial a large number of phone numbers in a short period of time, without human intervention. The equipment used to call Plaintiff and the other class members sequentially or randomly accessed their stored telephone numbers, and automatically called them.

30. Citizens' calls to Plaintiff's cell phone number were also made using an artificial or prerecorded voice.

31. For example, on April 6, 2018, Plaintiff received the following voicemail message on his cell phone, which played a computerized or previously-recorded message that utilized awkward pacing and intonation that audibly differed from a “live” human voice:

...7-737-4615. Our hours of operation are Monday through Friday, from 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. Again, please contact Citizens One Home Loans at 1-877-737-4615. Goodbye.

32. The automated nature of Citizens’ calls is further evidenced by the fact that it repeated the same message across multiple calls to Plaintiff. For example, on or about December 12, 2017, Plaintiff received the following voicemail message on his cell phone from caller ID (401) 468-5599:

This is an important message from Citizens One Home Loans. Please return this call at 1-877-737-4615. Our hours of operation are Monday through Friday, from 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. Again, please contact Citizens One Home Loans at 1-877-737-4615. Goodbye.

33. “Citizens One Home Loans” is a brand name of Defendant Citizens. *See, e.g.*, <https://www.citizensone.com/home-loans/pay-my-loan.html> (last accessed April 9, 2018).

34. Upon information and belief, Citizens made other calls to Plaintiff’s cell phone, too.

35. Citizens did not have permission or consent to make the calls.

36. Citizens knew it did not have Plaintiff’s consent to make some or all of these calls. Plaintiff specifically told Defendant not to make such calls during multiple interactions. Nevertheless, Citizens continued to cause these calls to be made to Plaintiff.

37. Citizens' business model is to knowingly use an autodialer to call cellular telephone numbers it knows it does not have consent to call.

38. Plaintiff and the class have been damaged by Defendant's calls. Their privacy was improperly invaded, Defendant's calls temporarily seized and trespassed upon the use of their phones, and they were forced to divert attention away from other activities to address the calls. Defendant's calls were annoying and a nuisance, and wasted the time of Plaintiff and the class. *See, e.g., Mims v. Arrow Fin. Servs., Inc.*, 132 S. Ct. 740 (Jan. 18, 2012) (discussing congressional findings of consumer "outrage" as to autodialed and prerecorded calls).

COUNT I
Violations of the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227

39. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.

40. It is a violation of the TCPA to make "any call (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) using any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice ... to any telephone number assigned to a ... cellular telephone service...." 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).

41. Defendant initiated or caused to be initiated calls to the cellular telephone numbers of Plaintiff and the other members of the class defined below using an automatic telephone dialing system, as well as with an artificial or prerecorded voice.

42. These calls were made without regard to whether or not Defendant had previously obtained express permission from the called party to make such calls. In fact, Defendant did not have prior express consent to call the cell phones of Plaintiff and the other members of the class when the calls were made.

43. These calls were willful or knowing.

44. Citizens violated the TCPA by making non-emergency calls to the cell phones of Plaintiff and others using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice, without prior express consent.

45. To the extent that some of the calls to Plaintiff and the class were made by vendors of Citizens. Citizens is liable for those calls, too.

46. As a result of Defendant's conduct and pursuant to Section 227(b)(3) of the TCPA, Plaintiff and the other members of the class were harmed and are each entitled to a minimum of \$500 in damages for each violation. Plaintiff and the class are also entitled to an injunction against future calls. 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3).

47. Because Citizens knew or should have known that Plaintiff and the other members of the class had not given prior express consent to receive its automated calls to their cell phones—and/or willfully caused automated calls to be made to the cell phones of Plaintiff and the other members of the class without prior express consent—the Court should treble the amount of statutory damages available to Plaintiff and the other members of the class, pursuant to Section 227(b)(3) of the TCPA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Darren Kondash, individually and on behalf of the class, respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment against Defendant for:

- A. Certification of the class as alleged herein;
- B. A declaration that Citizens violated the TCPA as to Plaintiff and the class;
- C. Damages, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3);
- D. Injunctive relief, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3), aimed at ensuring the prevention of Citizens from violating the TCPA in the future, including:

- 1. Requiring Citizens to hire a Court-approved, independent auditing company to (a) investigate all allegations of TCPA violations, and (b) audit no less than 10% of Citizens' outbound calls to ensure that Citizens had consent and that the consumer had not previously asked that calls stop, and (c) report the results of the above investigations to the Court and Plaintiff's counsel on a quarterly basis.

- 2. Requiring Citizens to include an automated IVR opt-out mechanism at the beginning of any and all prerecorded-voice calls;

- E. Attorneys' fees and costs, as permitted by law; and
- F. Such other or further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff requests a trial by jury of all claims that can be so tried.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 31, 2018

DARREN KONDASH, individually and
on behalf of others similarly situated

By: /s/Christopher M. Lefebvre

Christopher M. Lefebvre, Esq. #4019
CONSUMER & FAMILY LAW CENTER
OF CLAUDE F. LEFEBVRE | CHRISTOPHER M. LEFEBVRE, P.C.
PO Box 479 • Pawtucket • RI • 02860
Telephone: (401) 728-6060 • Facsimile: (401) 728-6534
chris@lefebvrellaw.com

To be admitted *Pro Hac Vice*:

Alexander H. Burke
Daniel J. Marovitch
BURKE LAW OFFICES, LLC
155 N. Michigan Ave., Suite 9020
Chicago, IL 60601
Telephone: (312) 729-5288
Facsimile: (312) 729-5289
aburke@burkelawllc.com
dmarovitch@burkelawllc.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

DARREN W. KONDASH, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff _____
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Christopher M. Lefebvre, Esq. #4019, Consumer & Family Law Center of
Claude F. Lefebvre | Christopher M. Lefebvre, PC, PO Box 479,
Pawtucket, RI 02862

DEFENDANTS

CITIZENS BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant _____
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF
THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- ☐ 3 Federal Question
(U.S. Government Not a Party)
- ☒ 4 Diversity
(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- | | PTF | DEF | | PTF | DEF |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Citizen of This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Citizen of Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 |

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.](#)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
REAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty Other: <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement			

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding ☐ 2 Removed from State Court ☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court ☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened ☐ 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer ☐ 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227

Brief description of cause:

Telephone Consumer Protection Act, Class Action for damages regarding unauthorized telephonic communication

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

☒ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND: ☒ Yes ☐ No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions):

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

DATE

05/31/2018

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

/s/Christopher M. Lefebvre, Esq.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT #

AMOUNT

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

Print

Save As...

Reset

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Citizens Bank Sued in Rhode Island Over Potential TCPA Violations](#)
