Michael F. Ram (SBN 104805) mram@robinskaplan.com					
Marie N. Appel (SBN 187483) mappel@robinskaplan.com ROBINS KAPLAN LLP					
2440 W El Camino Real, Suite 100					
Mountain View, CA 94040 Telephone: (650) 784-4040					
Jeffrey B. Cereghino (SBN 099480)					
jbc@cereghinolaw.com CEREGHINO LAW GROUP					
101 Montgomery Street, Suite 1800 San Francisco, California 94104					
Telephone: (415) 433-4949					
Charles J. LaDuca (<i>Pro Hac Vice to be submitted</i>) charles@cuneolaw.com					
Brendan Thompson (<i>Pro Hac Vice to be submitted</i>) brendant@cuneolaw.com					
CUNEO GILBERT & LaDUCA, LLP					
4725 Wisconsin Avenue, NW, Suite 200 Washington, DC 20016					
Telephone: (202) 789-3960					
Samuel J. Strauss (<i>Pro Hac Vice to be submitted</i>) sam@turkestrauss.com					
TURKE STRAUSS, LLP 936 N 34th Street, Suite 300					
Seattle, WA 98103 Telephone: (608) 237-1775					
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
WILLIAM KLAEHN, on behalf of himself					
and all others similarly situated,	No. '19CV1498 LAB KSC				
Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT				
v.	CLASS ACTION				
CALI BAMBOO, LLC, a California Limited	JURY TRIAL DEMAND				
Liability Company; and DOES 1 through 200, inclusive,					
Defendants.					

1

Plaintiff, WILLIAM KLAEHN, files this class action complaint against Defendant Cali
 Bamboo, LLC. On personal knowledge of his own circumstances and upon investigation and
 information and belief of his counsel, Plaintiff avers:

INTRODUCTION

5 1. Defendant develops, manufactures, advertises, sells, and distributes strand
6 bamboo flooring under the brand name Cali Bamboo (the "Product") throughout the United
7 States for installation in homes and other structures.

8 2. Defendant represents through its marketing that the Product is durable, meets
9 industry standards and has a fifty (50) year warranty for consumer use. Defendant provided a
10 reasonable expectation to consumers and the industry that the Product would have a usable
11 lifetime of at least fifty (50) years.

Contrary to Defendant's advertising and representations, the Product is subject
 to premature cracking, splitting, warping, and shrinking, all well before the warranted useful
 life, because of an inability to withstand foreseeable ambient moisture (the "Defect").
 Defendant had knowledge of the Defect but never disclosed any information about the Defect
 in its marketing, representations or warranty.

17 4. The Product's modes of failure potentially cause damage to other building18 components.

19 5. Plaintiffs bring this action to seek redress for damages caused by Defendant's20 wrongful conduct.

21

4

JURISDICTION

6. This Court has jurisdiction over this case under 28 U.S.C. 1332(d)(2) in that: (1)
this action is a class action with more than one hundred (100) Class Members; (2) Defendant
Cali Bamboo is a California limited liability company, based in the State of California, and is a
citizen of the State of California; (3) Plaintiff and all Class Members are United States citizens
and Plaintiff and many class members are citizens of states other than California; and (4) the
matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs.

1 2

6

VENUE

7. Venue in this Court is proper: (1) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(a)(1) in that
Defendant's headquarters are located in this District, and does sufficient business in this
District to subject it to personal jurisdiction; and (2) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(a)(2) in that a
substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District.

PARTIES

8. Plaintiff William Klaehn ("Plaintiff Klaehn") is an Ohio citizen and owns a
residential property located at 410 Hayes Avenue, Fremont, Ohio.

9 9. Defendant Cali Bamboo, LLC is a California limited liability company with its
10 principal place of business in San Diego, California. Plaintiff is informed and believes that
11 Defendant conducts business within the United States, and more specifically within the state of
12 California. Also on information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant was responsible for,
13 or otherwise involved in, the development, manufacture, marketing, sales, warranting and
14 distribution of the Product.

15 10. Defendant Cali Bamboo, LLC markets and distributes the Product via third party
16 retailers, such as Great American Flooring and Lowes Home Improvement Store.

17 11. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of Defendants sued as Does
1 through 100, inclusive, ("Doe Defendants") and therefore sues these Doe Defendants by
19 fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of
20 these fictitiously named Doe Defendants when they are ascertained. Each of the fictitiously
21 named Doe Defendants is responsible for the conduct alleged in this Complaint and Plaintiff's
22 damages were actually and proximately caused by the conduct of the fictitiously named Doe
23 Defendants.

Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that each of these
Doe Defendants was the agent, joint venture, and/or employee of Defendant and/or the Doe
Defendants, and in doing the things alleged, were acting within the course and scope of the
agency, joint venture, and employment with the advance knowledge, acquiescence, or

subsequent ratification of Defendant and each and every other Doe Defendant.

2

1

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

3 13. Plaintiff William Klaehn is an Ohio resident and owns a residential property 4 located at 410 Hayes Avenue, Fremont, Ohio.

5 14. Plaintiff Klaehn and his wife were shopping for a new floor for their residence 6 and visited their local Lowe's. One of the flooring products Lowe's sold was Cali Bamboo. 7 Plaintiff Klaehn saw Lowe's Cali Bamboo display which, echoing Cali Bamboo's advertising, 8 represented that the Cali Bamboo flooring was the "World's Hardest FloorsTM," "Pet-Friendly," 9 scratch resistant, "High Heel Resistant," long-lasting, durable and guaranteed to last 50 years. 10 The display did not disclose that the Product is subject to premature cracking, splitting, 11 warping, and shrinking, all well before the warranted useful life, because of an inability to 12 withstand foreseeable ambient moisture (the "Defect").

13 15. In reliance on the material omission to disclose the Defect, Plaintiff purchased 14 Cali Bamboo Bordeaux at Lowe's in Fremont, Ohio in approximately April 2018. The Cali 15 Bamboo Bordeaux Fossilized Flooring is part of a similarly manufactured line of floating, non-16 engineered solid bamboo flooring, hereinafter referred to as the "Product." Plaintiff Klaehn 17 purchased approximately \$2,000 of the Product. He also purchased the recommended Pergo 18 Gold's underlayment for approximately \$700. The Product employs a click installation system 19 and is solid strand bamboo and not a flooring product that utilizes a layer of bamboo overtop a 20 different substrate material.

21 16. 22

In December 2018, Plaintiff Klaehn noticed that his floors were cracked throughout. The floors also showed some scratches.

23 17. Mr. Klaehn contacted Lowe's who was advised by Cali Bamboo that because he 24 used glue during the installation process (albeit at Cali Bamboo's direction) his warranty is 25 void and there is nothing they can do. Cali Bamboo did offer to provide some t-moldings to 26 assist with repairing some of the gaps, and to have an inspection at a cost of approximately 27 \$300 (\$500 less a \$200 credit towards the inspection) and, if the inspector determined the

problem was due to a manufacturing defect, Defendant would provide a refund. Mr. Klaehn
 did not proceed with the inspection.

3

18. The Product continues to manifest defects to the present day.

Plaintiff placed Defendant on notice of these defects via a Consumers Legal
Remedies Act notice (Cal. Civil Code §1782), attached as <u>Exhibit A</u> hereto. Once 30 days
have elapsed, Plaintiff will amend this complaint to include a request for damages.

7

B.

Defendant's Representations, Warranties and Omissions

8 20. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant has been manufacturing and
9 selling the Product since approximately 2008.¹ Defendant has sold the Product to thousands of
10 customers throughout the United States, including California. The Product was and is
11 marketed and sold for use in homes and other structures.

12 21. Defendant concealed from and/or failed to disclose to Plaintiff and Class
13 Members the defective nature of the Product. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant
14 used a variety of methods to communicate representations about the durability and quality of
15 the Product and about its warranty to the general public and contractors in the flooring
16 installation business. These affirmative statements created a duty to disclose the Defect.

17 22. Defendant's representations were published on Defendant's web site, Internet
18 sites such as YouTube, social media sites such as Face Book, Twitter and Pinterest, and at
19 trade, building, and home shows typically open to the general public and contractors who
20 service ultimate consumers of the Product, and at retail stores that sell Defendant's Product.
21 Defendant communicated common and repeated themes regarding the Product:

22 23 a. The Product was so strong, hard and durable, it would last a lifetime and was guaranteed to last 50 years.²

24

///

///

- 25
- 26 27

¹<u>https://www.calibamboo.com/our-story/</u> (July 19, 2019) ²<u>https://www.calibamboo.com</u> (July 19, 2019).



b. Transform your home or business with the World's Hardest FloorsTM. Not all bamboo flooring is created equal. Cali Bamboo flooring undergoes our proprietary Fossilized[®] manufacturing process that makes it twice the density of any other hardwood floor in the world, backed by a 50-year warranty.

Preferred by contractors, builders, and DIY homeowners, Cali Bamboo is ultralow VOC with no added urea formaldehyde, the perfect union of sustainability and durability to last a lifetime.³

	ng i benibeet	Flooring					
Shop by Species		Transform your home or business with the World's Hardest Floors™. Not all bamboo flooring is created equal. Cali Bamboo flooring undergoes our proprietary Fossilized® manufacturing process that makes it twice the density of any other hardwood floor in the world, backed by a 50-year warranty.					
Bamboo (36)	8	entralises of the second s					
Cork (+) Eucalyptus (+)		Preferred by contractors, builders, and DIY homeowners, Cali Bamboo is ultra-low VOC with no added urea formaldehyde, the perfect union of sustainability and durability to last a lifetime.					
	с.	Bamboo's unique structural properties give it a higher tensile strength					
	than a	any traditional hardwood and even many alloys of steel. ⁴					
	d.	Thanks to its unique composition, bamboo is naturally designed for strength					
		Unlike wood, bamboo has no rays or knots, allowing it to withstand more tress throughout the length of each stalk.					
	• Bamboo's sectional anatomy, both as a cane and on a microscopic fiber level, enhances its structural integrity.						
	• T	The high silica content in bamboo fibers make the material difficult for ermites to digest.					

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

• Bamboo contains different chemical extractives than hardwood, which make it better suited for gluing. ⁵

3					
4	Strength and Durability				
5	 Thanks to its unique composition, bamboo is naturally designed for strength Unlike wood, bamboo has no rays or knots, allowing it to withstand more stress throughout the length of each stalk. 				
	 Bamboo's sectional anatomy, both as a cane and on a microscopic fiber level, enhances its structural integrity. 				
6	• The high silica content in bamboo fibers make the material difficult for termites to digest.				
7	 Bamboo contains different chemical extractives than hardwood, which make it better suited for gluing. 				
8					
9					
10	Bordeaux				
11	Bamboo Flooring				
12	5.0 (2) Write a review Ask a question				
13					
14	The World's Hardest Floors™				
15	Chart-topping Janka Rating				
16	 50-year residential warranty 10-coat scratch resistant finish 				
17	Ultra-low VOC; no added urea formaldehyde				
18					
19	23. Defendant represents prominently in its web site and marketing materials that				
20	the product will "Last a Lifetime" and provides a 50 year residential warranty that the Product				
21	"will not delaminate for a period beginning on the Purchase Date and ending on the earlier of				
22	(a) 50 years from the Purchase Date under normal traffic and usage conditions, and (b) the date				
23	on which the building in which the [Product] is installed is sold by the Original Owner." ⁶				
24	Defendant never disclosed the Defect.				
25					
26	 ⁵ <u>https://www.calibamboo.com/bamboo-facts/</u> (July 19, 2019) ⁶ <u>https://www.calibamboo.com/flooring-warranty/</u> (July 19, 2019); see, e.g., 				
27	https://www.calibamboo.com/product-bordeaux-hand-scraped-bamboo-flooring-				
-	<u>7006005301.html</u> .				

1

2

24. Defendant warrants that "[u]nder normal traffic and usage conditions, the
 surface finish will not wear through for a period beginning on the Purchase Date and ending on
 the earlier of (a) 50 years from the Purchase Date, and (b) the date on which the building in
 which the [Product] is installed is sold by the Original Owner."⁷

5 25. Defendant's advertisements, representations and warranties were deceptive and 6 failed to disclose that the Product would buckle, warp, separate and scratch (the "Defect"). 7 Because of the Defect, the Product, in fact, is not durable as flooring and is worth significantly 8 less than its sale price. Defendant's failure to disclose the Defect to consumers resulted in 9 members of the Class purchasing the Product with the expectation that the Product would have 10 the advertised qualities and function as represented during the warranty periods and beyond.

Defendant's failures to disclose the Defect were substantially uniform as to the
 material Product qualities throughout the class period and regardless of the third party retail
 vendor.

Plaintiff and members of the Class reasonably relied to their detriment on
Defendant's material omission concerning the durability of the Product and Defendant had
knowledge of this reliance.

17 28. Defendant concealed from and/or failed to disclose to Plaintiff and Class
18 Members the defective nature of the Product.

19 29. Defendant knew that the strength of the Product is a misleading indicator of20 whether it can withstand wear and tear better than other hardwood flooring products.

21 30. Defendant knew that it did not manufacture the Product in such a way as to last
22 longer than other hardwood flooring products.

31. By focusing on the strength of the Product and failing to disclose the other
factors that cause the defects experienced by Plaintiff and Class Members, Defendant intended
to mislead consumers into believing its representations that the Product "stands the test of time"
with a "surface finish that will not wear." These statements were and are false and misleading

27

 7 Id.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1 because Defendant deliberately failed to disclose that factors other than hardness will result in 2 the Product being easily scratched, dented or separate.

3

32. Defendant continues to advertise and sell the Product for use in homes and other 4 structures, but fails to disclose to Plaintiff and Class Members, their agents, or contractors 5 material facts concerning the Product, including, but not limited to, concealing that the Product 6 was defectively formulated, was and is susceptible to warping, splitting, shrinking, and 7 splintering, does not otherwise perform as represented, and fails far in advance of its purported 8 fifty year warranted life. All of these facts are material to a reasonable consumer. The Product 9 did not perform in accordance with the reasonable expectations of Plaintiff and Class Members 10 in that it was not durable and suitable for use as a flooring system in their homes and other 11 structures.

12 Because of Defendant's misconduct, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered 33. 13 actual damages in that the flooring in their homes and other structures has prematurely failed 14 and will continue to do so, potentially damaging other building elements, causing continuous 15 and progressive damage to property, and requiring Plaintiff and Class Members to expend 16 thousands of dollars to repair or replace the flooring long before the expiration of the useful life 17 of the Product as represented by Defendant.

18 34. Because of the relatively small size of the typical damages, and the modest 19 resources of most homeowners and of the individual Class Members, it is unlikely that most 20 Class Members could afford to seek recovery against Defendant on their own. A class action is 21 therefore the only viable, economical, and rational means for Class Members to recover from 22 Defendant for the damages they have caused.

23

C.

Defendant's Knowledge of and Notice that its Flooring was Defective

24 35. Defendant is well aware of the problems related to the cracking, splitting, 25 warping, cupping, scratching, and denting of the Product. It has received warranty claims and 26 complaints from customers (like those submitted by the Plaintiff). In addition, complaints 27 posted on websites such as the Better Business Bureau page put the Defendant on notice

1 concerning the defective nature of the Product. Indeed, Defendant responded to numerous 2 complaints online but never acknowledged the Defect. 3 36. The following constitutes a sampling of complaints concerning consumers' 4 experiences with the Product posted on the internet (sic throughout): 5 03/24/2018: This complain is regarding a special order of Cali a. Bamboo floor placed thru retailer ***** on Oct. 14.2017 in the amount of 6 \$6262.88. store number 2570 new hudson Mi . location . The gapping issue became evident two weeks or so after installing the Bamboo floor in 7 our home, proper manufacturer and ***** installlation guidelines were 8 followed. The problem we are having with this floating bamboo floor is: in certain area of the home the bamboo wood planks are gapping (some 9 areas more than 1") and splitting and buckling, coming apart to the point it is not safe in our home with our small children. They stubb and hurt 10 their toes daily on this product. In December we contacted ***** about the issue we are having and no one addresed it. In January we attempted to 11 contact them again with no response to our voicemails.we hit a brick wall 12 with the store so we contacted CEO executive support and also contacted Cali Bamboo manufacturer. ***** at Cali claimed it to be heavy furniture 13 placed on the floor and caused the gapping and splitting issues (the furniture we have in our home is normal furniture one owns, table, chairs 14 and sofas). Offered to send me a box of flooring to replace the ones that 15 are defective. We chose not to accept it due to the cost of labor . we spent over \$6000 on this floor plus labor for installation and we have a floor 16 that's looks awful and defective. We are seeking full reimbursement for material and labor or want the floor properly fixed by Cali. At this point 17 we are so fed up with this product not holding up to the promised quality. cali doesn't stand behind their product which carries a 20 year warranty. 18 This company needs to be held accountable for their defective product and 19 quality control issues, there are many other similar complains thru out the country.Please take the proper measures to solve this matter .Thank you.⁸ 20 3/12/2018: I ordered 1400 square feet of Cali Bamboo Florida for my b. 21 new construction home in Daytona Beach. While the floor looked beautiful when first installed, within months the floor started buckling. My 22 builder had left the recommended 3/8 minimum clearance under 23 baseboards. He has since had to come back 3 times removing baseboard and trim to try to resolve the issue. This flooring should not be sold in 24 Florida due to the humidity but I was not made aware of this when purchasing. As such, I am left with having to run the AC or heat 25 continuously to keep the humidity levels down. Have damp rid products 26 ⁸ <u>https://www.bbb.org/us/ca/san-diego/profile/building-materials/cali-bamboo-llc-1126-</u> 27 30003959/complaints (last visited Apr. 26, 2019)

all over my home and in addition cannot open windows or doors other than to quickly go in and out of the house. At the moment following the work my builder did, running the AC (colder than I would prefer) and using the damp rid, the floor is now mostly flat. However, this takes away from the enjoyment of my home and does not permit me to truly enjoy Florida living. Of note as well, my flloors were streaking very badly with my using BONA as recommended. I called the company and a very young man answered my call. Despite all the laughing and carrying on I was able to hear quite clearly in the background, the young man turned and asked his colleague for a recommendation and he said to dilute the BONA. This does not work either. For the past several months, I continue to do the floor with a very slightly damp microfiber cloth and the BONA continues to slowly come up though still streaking. This product should not be sold in high humidity areas of the country. I would never have bought this product if I had been made aware of the strict humidity level concerns. (I also see by other reviews that this is a known problem based on the negative reviews. Your product has taken the joy out of my new home and as I live on ,y military pension and social security, I am not in a position to tear out the product and replace it with something suitable. Nor should I have to⁹

10/16/2017: Had bamboo flooring installed 5/2017, I contacted c. 13 ****** ****** 7/11/17 to inform of dark spots on the flooring. In the meantime I have emailed and spoken with ****** 8/8/17, then 14 ****** ****** since 8/11/17. On 8/11/17 ** ****** emailed requesting 15 to fill out a claim form and provide a flooring purchase receipt from *****. Photos were resent to him on 8/20/17 showing the spots on the 16 flooring, claim form requested from Cali was also submitted along with receipt purchase from Lowe's. On 9/5/17 I emailed ** ****** for an 17 update. On 9/6/17 ** ***** replied he was awaiting quots, and to expect a call to schedule the inspection. On 9/8/17 ** ***** emailed that an 18 inspector, *** *****, would be hired "early next week" and would be in 19 contact to schedule the inspection. On 9/25/17 I emailed ** ***** stating I have had no contact with *** *****. On 9/26/17 I received a reply from 20 ** ****** that he would "follow up with him shortly". On 10/2/17 I emailed ** ***** requesting an "update". On 10/6/17 I received an email 21 from ** ***** stating "*** has stated he will contact you asap. I am unsure why it took so long...". On 10/12/17 I emailed ** ***** that I 22 have not had any response and requested from him to forward the 23 supervisor's contact information at Cali. I have yet to have a response from ****** *******, I called 10/16/17 his contact number provided in his 24 emails and received his voicemail, my contact information was left and have yet to receive a response. I contacted the Cali Customer Service and 25 left a message for a supervisor to return my call, have had no response. I am not able to clean my floors because I have had conflicting information 26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

⁹ Id.

from Cali customer service about cleaning products to use on my flooring. I want these floors evaluated by an inspector, not *** ***** due to lack of communication and replaced if they are indeed damaged. Cali will not even send someone to evaluate these floors.¹⁰

d. 9/7/2016: There are scratches and 3 decent size chips in our flooring. Customer service is putting the blame on us saying we must have moved something heavy over the flooring. We installed the flooring ourselves and promised the rep that we did not move anything heavy over it. We actually have not even put our furniture back onto the flooring because we didn't want them accusing us of causing the scratches. The scratches and chips are all over the place and can't be replaced with just taking a couple boards out. We just installed it and it already looks like it's been walked on for months. I bought this product because it was supposed to be the hardest flooring out there and it would withstand a 150 pound dog, high heels, etc...it obviously can not.¹¹

10 7/19/2016: Cali Bamboo Residential flooring has a 50-year warranty. e. I have a tri-level house, and purchased flooring 2 years ago for one level. I 11 purchased extra overlap stair nosings and treads at the same time in 12 anticipation of purchasing more flooring for the lower level (cost too much to buy all at once!). I have now reluctantly purchased flooring for 13 the lower level, even after I notice significant shrinking and ease of scratching on the first flooring installed. I just wanted it all to match. Now, 14 I go to open the boxes that the previously ordered nosings and treads were 15 shipped in (they have been stored in a spare, unused, air conditioned room). These nosings and treads are literally coming unglued, are 16 cracking, and have so many factory faults I cannot use them. At first I thought I would take my loss, and I ordered more nosings. These all came 17 with cracks and defects. None can be used!!! Luckily, the last batch was bought at *****, and not directly through the factory. I am sure ***** will 18 refund me for these. However, I am out hundreds of dollars for the first 19 nosings and treads I ordered, and Cali Bamboo is not willing to refund me for those. Even if I had installed them upon purchase, if they are falling 20 apart after 2 years then they should be under warranty.¹²

f. 6/13/2017: Stay away from Cali Bamboo. I had lowes install in my kitchen and after splintering, shifting and movement after 3 days, Lowes admitted it was a defective floor and is going to replace my entire kitchen now. They sent an independent floor guy to inspect and indeed not only is the floor defective but the installation was incorrect. Stay far far far away from Cali Bamboo and I agree....stay away from Lowes. Use your local

25

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- $26 \quad \begin{bmatrix} 10 & Id. \\ 11 & Id. \end{bmatrix}$
- $27 \qquad 12 Id.$

independent supplier for quality products¹³

g. 3/9/2018: DO NOT BUY Cali Bamboo, no matter what you do , no matter what the problem its the installer/homeowners fault. This stuff scratches easier than my car paint. This stuff is total junk, once it gets scratched its there for life. They say that it can be repaired but that just leaves dull stained patches, like repairing a scratch on your car with FLAT paint. Save yourself the heartache. The only part of the product that is true is that it is hard, but so is my concrete, but at least the concrete can be repaired. The product is hard but the surface is very , very, very soft. The only thing I can say about the positive reviews is that they must be employees that don't really have it. The fact that its shipped with a thick foam padding between each board. DO NOT BUY THIS CRAP.¹⁴

We were enamored with the descriptions of Cali Bamboo Flooring, h. 9 the different selections, the widths and the hardness of the product. We installed it paying close attention to their recommendations for 10 acclimation to the environment and literally let the product sit in our house 11 in a latticed stacked position for 3-4 months. We installed following directions precisely, leaving minimal 1/2" space all around at walls, doors, 12 etc. We have had nothing but ongoing bulging; areas raised as much as 1 -1 1/2 inches. We had to remove baseboard throughout the entire install 13 area, pry the edge boards out as they had expanded under the drywall, recut the edge boards 1/2'' back from the wall, put baseboard back and 2 14 months later have encountered the same problems. Also, the floor 15 scratches easily, plus we have footprints (and not from dirty shoes) that are difficult to get out, even with the recommended product Cali promotes. 16 Our opinion of this product is — I would never ever use bamboo flooring again unless it was glued or nailed down. But we can't do that as we have 17 a radiant floor heat system. We were assured this was an acceptable product to use. The local salesman who sold it to us (and the Cali 18 customer service rep we spoke with) said it's a great product and would 19 work well in our climate. We are in the mountains of North Carolina and our humidity runs 40 - 65%. My recommendation is there are other and 20 better products to be had for less money!¹⁵

i. 2/9/2011: Calli is a disreputable company that uses a hard sell to suck you in and doesn't stand behind their products after the sale. LISTEN TO THE WARNINGS! I should have. This is a direct quote from their customer service rep: "If we had to honor all our customer complaints, we'd go out of business." !!! My local supplier (Eco-Friendly Flooring, Madison, Wisconsin) said, "If any customer was that unhappy with my

25

27

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

26 ¹³ <u>https://www.houzz.com/discussions/3097451/has-anyone-used-solid-bamboo-floor-sold-at-lowes</u> (last visited 4/26/2019)

 14 Id.

 15 *Id*.

product and was willing to pay the shipping to return it, I'd give them a 1 full refund. " And Yanchi from Build Direct - is so confident of their product they will give a full refund if returned in 30 days AND they'll pay 2 the shipping. Wish I'd known how little confidence Calli has in their product before I gave them my money. My story: I really wanted a 3 floating floor so I could use a 6mm cork acoustic layer under. I also 4 wanted a solid hardwood and liked the look and feel of strand-woven bamboo. My local supplier, Eco-Friendly Flooring, is trustworthy & has 5 years of rave reviews from local residential & business customers. But she was out of their solid, click-lock, floating floors & I was just so stuck on 6 the idea. So I bought Calli's - letting myself be sucked in by their hard sell. 7 The stuff comes and is basically standard, tongue&groove boards slightly thicker, but in no way "engineered to be floating floors." The 8 boards have the slight warping typical of hardwoods. So when glued together, there'd be significant cracks. That's when I got into a back & 9 forth with the service rep who said "all sales are final" and "If we had to honor all our customer complaints, we'd go out of business." My 10 contractor called in a friend of his, a flooring expert with more experience using bamboo, who said he'd go ahead with the glued floating floor. So I 11 did it. It looked great at first - despite many cracks due to the warping, but 12 no more than one would get with any nailed down hardwood floor (where such cracks are the norm). The problem is that the installation didn't hold 13 up. The glue bonds simply could not hold. The warping in the boards made a good glue bond along the length and ends of each impossible to 14 achieve. Some boards rock from side-to-side as if they were floating in an 15 ocean of molasses. Others have ends that press down. The whole thing is a royal mess. Maybe these boards can be floated in small spaces over a 16 super subfloor with no underlayment, but they CAN NOT be used as Calli sold them to me to be used. Calli even sold me the underlayment - and 17 certainly knew the area of floor I was covering, AND I talked to them at length about all that plus our climate issues (very dry winters & humid 18 summers). "They are made for that kind of installation" "I have the exact 19 same thing in my condo" "Our bamboo have been used in your region many times" and on and on went their sell. So they knew exactly what 20 they were selling me. DON"T LET YOURSELF BE SUCKED IN. Go with a supplier that takes returns. That is a supplier that trusts their 21 product. Calli has no faith in their stuff so why should you?¹⁶ 22

> j. 3/11/2018: Oh man! I went through Lowe's and ordered Cali Bamboo click lock flooring and had it installed by Lowe's subcontracted installer 1.5 years ago. A few months after the installation I had to have 5 planks replaced as they cracked. I decided to go ahead and order and have installed more of the flooring in our living room. I went through Lowe's again. Right before the new wood was to be installed, I noticed a 17 foot

26

27

23

24

25

¹⁶ <u>https://www.complaintsboard.com/complaints/calibamboo-san-diego-california-</u> <u>c364073.html</u> (last visited Apr. 26, 2019).

1/3 " gap in the area that was previously installed. I showed this to the 1 installer and and he freaked out and refused to install the new flooring in the living room. I spoke with Lowe's installation and told them the story. 2 The tried to force the installer to install it but there was no way they were going to do it. So, I had to carry all of the boards to my garage, requiring 3 36 separate trips up and down our main stairs. Lowe's would not do this 4 for me. I have spent the past 3 months trying to get something done about the gap in the original installation. Lowe's is no help whatsoever as they 5 are trying to blame Cali Bamboo. Cali Bamboo is trying to blame the installer. They now say they have to have an inspector come out and take a 6 look at the floor. I have a whole series of emails from them saying the 7 problem is an "easy fix" and that we will not have to pay for it. I am so tired of their lies, lack of attention, slowness, and poor customer service. I 8 have spent almost \$9,000 on the flooring thus far and am very dissatisfied, to say the least. I did my homework 1.5 years ago before 9 choosing Lowe's and Cali Bamboo. Today, when I Googled Cali Bamboo a lot more negative information popped up. Today I contacted Lowe's 10 corporate office and filed a complaint and am going to try to call Cali 11 Bamboo corporate headquarters and speak to a CEO (if I can get so lucky). I am going to contact an attorney if nothing moves forward with 12 this claim. My advice - DO NOT BUY CALI BAMBOO AND, WORSE YET, DO NOT PURCHASE IT FROM LOWE'S AND HAVE IT 13 **INSTALLED BY THEM.**¹⁷ 14 7/26/2018: I am going through the same thing with Cali Bamboo. We k. have had the flooring for almost 2 years and have had nothing but 15 problems. When the flooring first started gapping, they said it was 16 installed wrong, that we needed T-Mold spacers (which btw were like having speed bumps throughout our house). They sent us more wood and 17 the T-Molds, told us to follow the gap instructions, and have it reinstalled. We did everything they said to do. The addition of the T-mold 18 spacers destroyed the aesthetic quality of the floors. 19 2 months later, the same things began to happen. Large gaps in the floor throughout the house. This time we hired a new contractor who re-20 installed the entire floor for a third time, this time without the T-Molds since they obviously had no impact on the problem and were a tripping 21 hazard for our elderly parents. Within a few months, the gapping began again. 22 I called Cali again and filed another complaint. We are at the point of 23 waiting for one of their inspectors to come to the house. We have another 2 weeks to go until they arrive, and now our flooring is buckling from 24 swelling in multiple areas. Some of the wood is actually snapping from the pressure. 25 If you have written to the Attorney General, can you share their response 26 ¹⁷ *Id*. 27

and/or their contact information. I would like to do the same.¹⁸ 1 **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS** 2 37. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Rule 23(b)(2) and Rule 3 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of himself and the class. This action 4 satisfies the numerosity, commonality, typicality, adequacy, predominance, and superiority 5 requirements as set forth in Rule 23(a) and Rule 23(b)(3). 6 38. Plaintiff advances this action on behalf of the following Class: 7 All individuals in the United States of America who purchased, for 8 personal, family, or household use, Cali Bamboo solid bamboo flooring manufactured by Cali Bamboo and sold by Lowes from 9 January 1, 2012 to present, and all individuals in the United States of America who purchased for personal, family, or household use 10 Cali Bamboo directly from Cali Bamboo between January 1, 2012 11 and December 31, 2016. Excluded from the Class are Defendants, their legal representatives, assigns and successors and any entity in 12 which Defendants have a controlling interest. Also excluded is the judge to whom this case is assigned and any member of the judge's 13 immediate family and judicial staff. Claims for personal injury are specifically excluded from the Class. 14 15 39. Numerosity (Rule 23(a)(1)). Although the actual size of the Class is uncertain, 16 Plaintiff is informed and believes the Class is comprised of many of thousands of property 17 owners, making joinder impractical. The disposition of the claims of these Class Members in a single class action will provide substantial benefits to all parties and to the Court. 18 19 40. Communality (Rule 23(a)(2)). There exist questions of law and fact common to 20 all Class Members. Common questions include, but are not limited to, the following: 21 Whether the Product is subject to premature failure well in a. 22 advance of its represented fifty-year useful life; 23 b. Whether the Product is not suitable for use as a long-term 24 flooring product; 25 Whether Defendant knew, or should have known, of the defective c. 26 nature of the Product before making it available for purchase and use by the 27 18 *Id*.

1 Plaintiff and Class Members; 2 d. Whether Defendant failed to disclose to Plaintiff and Class 3 Members the defective nature of the Product; 4 Whether Defendant, through making statements of material facts e. 5 regarding the Product's hardness and omitting other material facts regarding the particular susceptibility of the Product to cupping, warping, scratching, denting, 6 7 and other defects, had a duty to disclose full information regarding the Product's 8 characteristics; 9 f. Whether Defendant's failure to disclose material facts violated 10 Business Professions Code Section 17200; 11 Whether Defendant's failure to inform purchasers that the g. 12 Product was susceptible to the failures alleged herein was a material omission, 13 the nondisclosure of which was a deceptive sales practice under the consumer 14 protection statutes of applicable state law; 15 h. Whether Defendant had a duty to Plaintiff and Class Members to 16 disclose the true nature of the Product; 17 i. Whether the facts not disclosed by Defendant to Plaintiff and 18 Class Member are material facts; 19 Whether Defendant knew, or should have known that the Product j. 20 would prematurely fail, is not suitable for use as flooring in residences or 21 businesses, and is otherwise is not as represented by Defendant; 22 k. Whether Defendant violated California's Consumers Legal 23 Remedies Act (California Civil Code § 1750 et seq.), when it failed to disclose 24 the true nature of the Product, and led consumers to believe, through its 25 advertising, warranties, and other express representations that the Product had 26 characteristics that it did not actually have; 27 1. Whether, in committing the acts alleged herein, Defendant

1 engaged in unfair competition and in an unfair business practice or practices 2 within the meaning of California Business and Professions Code § 17200; 3 Whether such acts or practices were illegal, unfair, or fraudulent m. 4 within the meaning of California Business and Professions Code § 17200; 5 Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to n. compensatory damages, restitution, and the amounts thereof respectively; 6 7 Whether Defendant should be declared financially responsible for 0. 8 notifying all Class Members of the defective Product and for the costs and 9 expenses of repair and replacement of all defective flooring materials and 10 providing restitution of monies paid and inadequate value given; 11 Whether Defendant should be ordered to disgorge, for the benefit p. 12 of Class Members, all or part of their ill-gotten profits received from the sale of 13 defective Product and/or to make full restitution to Plaintiff and Class Members; 14 and 15 Whether Defendant should be enjoined from continuing to q. 16 market the Product, utilizing an omission of material facts. 17 Typicality (Rule 23(a)(3)). The claim of the representative Plaintiff is typical of 41. 18 the claims of Class Members, in that the representative Plaintiff, like all Class Members, own a 19 structure in which the defective Product was installed and failed prematurely. The 20 representative Plaintiff, like all Class Members, has suffered a common injury: Plaintiff will 21 incur the cost of repairing and/or replacing the defective Product and repairing any resultant 22 consequential damage to other building components. The factual basis of Defendant's 23 misconduct is common to all Class Members. 24 42. Adequacy (Rule 23(a)(4)). Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and 25 protect the interests of the Class. Plaintiff has retained counsel with substantial experience in 26 prosecuting consumer class actions, including actions involving defective building products, 27 failure to disclose material information regarding product performance, and violation of

consumer protection statutes. Plaintiff and his counsel are committed to vigorously prosecuting
 this action on behalf of the Class and have the financial resources to do so. Neither Plaintiff
 nor his counsel has any interest adverse to those of the Class.

4 43. Predominance of Common Questions (Rule 23(b)(3)). Common questions of 5 law and fact predominant over any questions involving individualized analysis. 6 Fundamentally, there are no material questions of fact or law that are not common to Class 7 Members. Common issues of fact include that all Class Members purchased the same Product 8 and received the same omission. The performance of the Product relative to its represented 9 qualities is a common question, as is the Defendant's knowledge regarding the Product's 10 performance and Defendant's uniform omission to Class Members of these material facts. 11 Common questions of law include whether Defendant's conduct violates California's consumer 12 protection statutes and other law, and the Class Members' entitlement to damages and 13 remedies.

14 44. Superiority (Rule 23(b)(3)). Plaintiff and Class Members have all suffered and 15 will continue to suffer harm and damages because of Defendant's unlawful and wrongful 16 conduct. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient 17 adjudication of the subject controversy. Because of the relatively small size of the individual 18 Class Members' claims, most Class Members likely would find the cost of litigating their 19 individual claims to be prohibitive and will have no effective remedy at law. Thus, absent a 20 class action, Class Members will continue to incur damages and Defendant's misconduct will 21 proceed without remedy. The class treatment of common questions of law and fact is also 22 superior to multiple individual actions or piecemeal litigation in that it conserves the resources 23 of the courts and the litigants and promotes consistency and efficiency of adjudication. There 24 is no impediment to the management of this action because of the virtual identity of the 25 common questions of law and fact to all Class Members.

26 45. <u>Injunctive Relief (Rule 23(b)(2)).</u> Defendant has engaged and continues to
27 engage in business practices which are unfair, unlawful, and/or fraudulent in violation of

1 California's Unfair Competition Law (Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq.) and the 2 False Advertising Law (Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 et seq.).

3

46. Plaintiff seeks class-wide injunctive relief on grounds consistent with the 4 standards articulated in Rule 23(b)(2) that establish final injunctive relief as an appropriate 5 class-wide remedy, in that Defendant continues to advertise the Product and continues to fail to 6 disclose material facts regarding the Product.

7

ESTOPPEL FROM PLEADING THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

8 47. Defendant knew or reasonably should have known that the Product was 9 defective before its sale. Defendant intentionally concealed material truths and disclosed half-10 truths while at the same time concealing material information that would have corrected 11 consumers' perceptions, concerning the Product from the general public and Class Members, 12 while continuing to falsely represent that the Product is fit for its intended use.

13 48. Defendant affirmatively represented to the general public the Product carried a 14 fifty-year (50) warranty. Through these representations, Defendant created a reasonable 15 expectation among ordinary consumers and in the construction trades that the Product would 16 have a useful life of at least fifty (50) years.

17 49. Defendant's acts of fraudulent concealment also include, but are not limited to, 18 using improper warranty tactics and commissioning sham inspections of Class Members' 19 flooring in response to complaints to mislead consumers as to the cause of the Product's 20 failures and the true nature of the Product defects.

21 50. Based upon Defendant's misrepresentations and concealment, Defendant is 22 equitably estopped from asserting a statute-of-limitations defense.

23 51. Alternatively, to the extent Defendant pursued a common policy of diverting 24 warranty claims or other customer complaints about the Product through misleading and 25 erroneous investigation, or delaying tactics that induced Plaintiff or Class Members to not 26 assert their rights in a timely manner, Defendant is equitably estopped from asserting a statute-27 of-limitations defense.

2

1

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Violation of California Consumers Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA"))

3 52. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding
4 paragraphs of this complaint.

5 53. Defendant and the Doe Defendants are "persons" as defined by California Civil
6 Code §1761(c).

54. Defendant engaged in unfair competition or unfair or deceptive acts or practices
in violation of California Civil Code §1770(a)(5), (a)(7), and (a)(9)when Defendant failed to
disclose that factors other than the Product's hardness can lead to the Product easily scratching
and denting and manifesting other defects complained of by Plaintiff and Class Members.
Defendant further violated the CLRA when Defendant falsely represented that the Product was
of a particular standard or quality.

13 55. Defendant's deceptive practices were specifically designed to induce Plaintiff
14 and Class Members to purchase the Product. Defendant engaged in marketing efforts as
15 detailed in the general allegations, to reach Class Members, their agents, and/or third parties on
16 whom they relied to persuade them to purchase and install the Product manufactured by
17 Defendant, or to purchase homes and other structures in which the defective Product
18 manufactured by Defendant has been installed.

19 56. To this day, Defendant continues to engage in unlawful practices in violation of
20 the CLRA. Defendant continues to conceal the defective nature of the Product and has omitted
21 to disclose, on inquiry from Plaintiff and Class Members, the Product's defective propensities.

57. Plaintiff served Defendant with notice of their violation of the CLRA by serving
notice on their President and CEO by certified mail to their San Diego Headquarters, on 6675
Mesa Ridge Road, Suite 100, San Diego, CA 92121. A copy of the notice is attached to this
Complaint as <u>Exhibit A</u>. Once 30 days have elapsed, Plaintiff will amend this complaint to
include a request for damages.

27

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff on behalf of himself and for all others similarly situated,

demands a permanent injunction be issued against Defendant to refrain from continued
 advertising of the Product that omits material facts about product performance, injunctive relief
 forcing Defendant to replace and repair all Product at issue for Class Members, plus costs and
 attorneys' fees pursuant to California Civil Code § 1780(e).

- 5
- 6

<u>SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION</u> (Violation of California Unfair Competition Law- Unlawful Business Practice)

7 58. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding
8 paragraphs of this Complaint.

9 59. California Business and Professions Code §17200 *et seq*. prohibits acts of unfair
10 competition, which includes unlawful business practices.

11 60. Defendant engaged in unlawful business practices in that omitted to disclose that12 the Product fails prematurely.

13 61. Defendant's deceptive practices constitute an unlawful business practice in that 14 the practices were specifically designed to induce Plaintiff, Class Members, and their agents or 15 third parties upon whom Plaintiff and Class Members' relied to provide appropriate guidance 16 regarding suitable flooring products, to purchase on Class Members' behalf the Product and 17 install the Product, recommend the use of the Product, or to purchase homes and other 18 structures in which the Product has been installed.

19 62. To this day, Defendant has engaged and continues to engage in unlawful
20 business practices by concealing the defective nature of the Product and have knowingly
21 misrepresented to Class Members the Product possess qualities and characteristics it does not
22 have.

63. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant's unfair and unlawful methods of
competition and unfair, deceptive or unlawful acts or practices, Plaintiff and Class Members
have suffered actual damages in that they own homes and other structures on which defective
Product is or was installed. The Product has failed and will continue to prematurely fail due to
its poor design, poor manufacture, and unsuitability for its intended purpose, which will require

(or has already required) Plaintiff and Class Members to incur costs to prematurely repair
 and/or replace their floorings.

64. As a proximate result of their unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent practices,
Defendant has been unjustly enriched and should be required to make restitution to the Plaintiff
and Class Members pursuant to §§ 17203 and 17204 of the California Business & Professions
Code.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, demands
judgment against Defendant, and each of them, for restitution and/or disgorgement of funds
paid to Defendant by Plaintiff and Class Members to purchase the Product, or the value of the
product in their home or structure, or in the form of repair and/or replacement of the defective
Product on the Class Members' homes and other structures.

- 12
- 13

<u>THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION</u> (Violation of Unfair Competition Law – Unfair Business Practice)

14 65. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding15 paragraphs of this complaint.

16 66. Defendant engaged in an unfair business practice by knowingly failing to
17 disclose material facts concerning the Product.

18 67. Defendant's "unfair" practices were designed to induce Plaintiff and Class
19 Members, or their agents, and/or third parties upon whom Plaintiff and Class Members relied to
20 provide appropriate flooring products, to purchase and install the Product, recommend the use
21 of the Product, or to purchase homes and other structures on which the Product has been
22 installed.

68. To this day, Defendant has failed to disclose facts concerning the Product
performance, facts that would be and are material to the consumer or those third parties, such as
flooring contractors and general contractors, on whom the consumer relies.

26 69. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant's unfair methods of competition
27 and unfair or deceptive acts or practices, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered actual

1	damages in that they own homes and other structures in which defective Product is or was					
2	installed. The Product will prematurely fail due to inadequate product testing, poor design					
3	and/or manufacturing techniques, and poor installation guidelines, which will require Plaintif					
4	and Class Me	embers to incur costs to prematurely repair and/or replace their flooring.				
5		PRAYER FOR RELIEF				
6	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that the Court enter judgment against Defendant, and					
7	each of them, and in favor of Plaintiff, and to award the following relief:					
8	1.	Certification of a national class consistent with the class definitions and				
9	appointment of Plaintiff as class representative;					
10	2.	Appointment of the undersigned as counsel for the proposed Class;				
11	3.	A declaration that Defendant's actions violate the state consumer protection				
12	statutes of California;					
13	4.	A declaration that Defendant is financially responsible for notifying all Class				
14	Members;					
15	5.	Injunctive relief requiring Defendant to replace and/or repair all Products				
16	installed in structures owned by the Class;					
17	6. A declaration that Defendant must disgorge, for the benefit of the Class, all or					
18	part of its ill-gotten profits received from the sale of defective Product, and/or to make full					
19	restitution to Plaintiff and the Class Members;					
20	7.	An award of costs and attorneys' fees, as allowed by law, and/or from a				
21	common fund created hereby;					
22	8.	Leave to amend to conform to the evidence presented at trial; and				
23	9.	Orders granting such other and further relief as may be appropriate.				
24	\\\\					
25	\\\					
26	\\\					
27	\\\					

1 JURY TRIAL DEMAND 2 Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial for all individual and Class claims so triable. 3 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED AND DATED this 9th day of August, 2019. 4 **ROBINS KAPLAN LLP** 5 By: /s/Michael F. Ram Michael F. Ram (SBN 104805) 6 mram@robinskaplan.com 7 Marie N. Appel (SBN 187483) mappel@robinskaplan.com 8 **ROBINS KAPLAN LLP** 2440 W El Camino Real, Suite 100 9 Mountain View, CA 94040 10 Telephone: (650) 784-4040 11 Jeffrey B. Cereghino (SBN 099480) jbc@cereghinolaw.com 12 CEREGHINO LAW GROUP 101 Montgomery Street, Suite 1800 13 San Francisco, California 94104 14 Telephone: (415) 433-4949 15 Charles J. LaDuca (Pro Hac Vice to be submitted) charles@cuneolaw.com 16 Brendan Thompson (Pro Hac Vice to be submitted) brendant@cuneolaw.com 17 CUNEO GILBERT & LaDUCA, LLP 18 4725 Wisconsin Avenue, NW, Suite 200 Washington, DC 20016 19 Telephone: (202) 789-3960 20 Samuel J. Strauss (Pro Hac Vice to be submitted) sam@turkestrauss.com 21 TURKE STRAUSS, LLP 22 936 N 34th Street, Suite 300 Seattle, WA 98103 23 Telephone: (608) 237-1775 24 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class 25 26 27

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17) Case 3:19-cv-01498-LAB-KSCI Document 1-1 Filed 08/09/19 PageID.26 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS WILLIAM KLAEHN, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated				DEFENDANTS CALI BAMBOO, LLC, a California Limited Liability Company; and					
 (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Sandusky (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Michael Ram Robins Kaplan LLP - (650) 784-4040 				DOES 1 through 200,					
				Attorneys (If Known) '19CV1498 LAB KSC					
2440 W El Camino Real			III CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	DINCIDA	I DADTIES	(DI	0	DI .:
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff				(For Diversity Cases Only) P	TF DEF	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	and One Box fe		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	★ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh)	ip of Parties in Item III)				Incorporated and F of Business In A		□ 5	X 5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			FC	DRFFITURF/PFNALTV		here for: <u>Nature o</u>			_
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 151 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY	PRTS PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury Product Liability Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 530 General 530 Frison Condition 560 Civil Rights 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of	Y □ 62 □ 69 □ 71 □ 72 □ 74 □ 79 □ 46	DRFEITURE/PENALTY 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other LABOR LABOR LABOR LABOR LABOR LABOR Labor/Management Relations Relations Relations Relations Relations Relations Example Act O Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	 422 Appe 423 With 28 U 28 U PROPEI 820 Copy 830 Paten 835 Paten New 840 Trade 862 Black 863 DIW 864 SSID 865 RSI (FEDER/ 870 Taxe: or D 871 IRS-26 U 	SC 157 TY RIGHTS rrights tt - Abbreviated Drug Application emark SECURITY (1395ff) (1395ff) (1395ff) (1395ff) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	 375 False Cl 376 Qui Tan 3729(a) 400 State Re 410 Antitrus 430 Banks a 450 Comme 460 Deporta 470 Rackete Corrupt 480 Consum 490 Cable/S 850 Securiti Exchan 891 Agricult 893 Environn 895 Freedon Act 899 Adminis Act/Rev 	n (31 USC)) apportionn st and Banking rce tion der Influene Organizati- ter Credit at TV es/Commou ge tatutory Act tural Acts mental Mat n of Inform ion strative Pro riew or App Decision ationality of	nent g eed and ons dities/ etions ters nation weedure peeal of
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	n One Box Only)	Confinement							
X 1 Original □ 2 Re	moved from \Box 3 the Court	Appellate Court	Reop	(specify	er District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	-	Multidis Litigatio Direct Fil	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN Violation of CA C Brief description of ca	ivil Code Section §? ause:	1770 an r Compe	etition Law, Violatior	n of Unfair	Business Prac			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	•	emand \$ \$5,000,000+		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:		n complair No	ıt:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	FORNEY (OF RECORD					
08/09/2019 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY									
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: <u>Nature of Suit Code Descriptions</u>.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Case 3:19-cv-01498-LAB-KSC Document 1-2 Filed 08/09/19 PageID.28 Page 1 of 3

Exhibit A

ROBINS KAPLANLLP

 2440 W EL CAMINO REAL
 650-784-4002 TEL

 SUITE 100
 650-784-4041 FAX
 MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94040 ROBINSKAPLAN COM

MICHAEL F RAM 650-784-4007 TEL MRAM@ROBINSKAPLAN COM

July 29, 2019

By Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested

Doug Jackson, President and CEO Cali Bamboo, LLC 6675 Mesa Ridge Road Suite 100 San Diego, CA 92121

Re: William Klaehn, Cali Bamboo Flooring, Purchase dates: 4/17/2018, 7/17/2018; Lowe's PO's: 72409560, 79974056; Case No. #67154 Notice of Violation of Consumers Legal Remedies Act

Dear Mr. Jackson:

We represent William Klaehn of Fremont, Ohio with respect to the abovereferenced purchases. I am writing to provide you notice that Mr. Klaehn demands correction under the California Consumers Legal Remedies Act on behalf of himself and a nationwide class of all others similarly situated.

In April 2018, Mr. Klaehn and his wife were shopping for a new floor for their residence and visited their local Fremont Lowe's to look at flooring. One of the flooring products Lowe's sold was Cali Bamboo. Mr. Klaehn purchased approximately \$2,000 of Cali Bamboo fossilized bamboo flooring ("Bamboo Flooring") after seeing the Cali Bamboo display which represented that the Cali Bamboo flooring was the "World's Hardest FloorsTM," "Pet-Friendly," scratch resistant, "High Heel Safe," long-lasting, durable and guaranteed to last 50 years. He also purchased the recommended Pergo Gold's underlayment for approximately \$700. The floor was installed in the kitchen, dining room and laundry room shortly thereafter, in May 2018. Within a few months, the floors showed some scratches and, by December 2018, Plaintiff Klaehn noticed that the floors were cracked throughout and were showing more scratches.

By falsely making the above-referenced representations including that the Bamboo Flooring was long-lasting, durable, and guaranteed to last 50 years, Cali July 29, 2019 Page 2

Bamboo, LLC violated the following sections of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act, Cal. Civil Code sections 1750 *et seq*.:

• Cal. Civil Code § 1770(a)(5), which prohibits representing that goods or services characteristics which they do not have;

• Cal. Civil Code § 1770(a)(7) which prohibits representing that goods or services are of a particular standard, quality, or grade, or that goods are of a particular style or model, if they are of another;

• Cal. Civil Code § 1770(a)(9), which prohibits advertising goods with the intent not to sell them as advertised.

Like Mr. Klaehn, other similar purchasers across the country also purchased defective Bamboo Flooring. Cali Bamboo, LLC knew or should have known that its Bamboo Flooring was defective and would not last the length of its purported warranty.

The Consumers Legal Remedies Act provides for injunctive relief and actual damages. Cal. Civil Code §1780(a). On behalf of Mr. Klaehn and all other similarly situated purchasers of Cali Bamboo, LLC's Bamboo Flooring, we demand that Cali Bamboo remedy the above violations within thirty (30) days of receiving this letter, as required the CLRA by refunding the purchase price of the Bamboo Flooring to all purchasers and notifying potential class members that refunds are available. If Cali Bamboo does not provide the relief requested in this letter within 30 days, Mr. Klaehn will sue for other damages among other things under the Consumer Legal Remedies Act.

As we are representing Mr. Klaehn, all contact with him must be made through our firm. I am available to discuss any reasonable offer of settlement you may wish to make. You may reach me directly at (650) 784-4007 or by e-mail at mram@robinskaplan.com.

Very Truly Yours,

Michael Ray

Michael F. Ram

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Cali Bamboo Flooring Prone to Premature Cracking, Warping, Class Action Suit Alleges</u>