Beth Creighton, OSB #972440 E-mail: <u>beth@civilrightspdx.com</u> CREIGHTON & ROSE, PC 65 S.W. Yamhill Street, Suite 300 Portland, Oregon 97204

Phone: (503) 221-1792 - Fax: (503) 223-1516

Harold Lichten, MA Bar #549689 (pro hac vice anticipated)

hlichten@llrlaw.com

Olena Savytska, MA Bar #693324 (pro hac vice anticipated)

osavytska@llrlaw.com

LICHTEN & LISS-RIORDAN, P.C.

729 Boylston Street, Suite 2000

Boston, MA 02116

Phone: (617) 994-5800 - Fax: (617) 994-5801

David M. Blanchard, MI P#67190 (pro hac vice anticipated)

blanchard@bwlawonline.com

Daniel Tai, MI P#76798 (pro hac vice anticipated)

tai@bwlawonline.com

BLANCHARD & WALKER, PLLC 221 N. Main Street, Suite 300

Ann Arbor, MI 48104

Phone: (734) 929-4313

Of Attorneys for Plaintiffs

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

MEDFORD DIVISION

JILL KILEY and MARCUS PAYNE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Civil Action No.	
------------------	--

Plaintiffs,

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

VS.

(FLSA 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1))

MEDFIRST CONSULTING HEALTHCARE STAFFING, LLC,

Defendants.

CREIGHTON & ROSE, PC AT LAW

ATTORNEYS

Plaintiffs Jill Kiley and Marcus Payne, through their undersigned counsel, individually and on behalf of all persons similarly situated, file this Collective Action Complaint against Defendant MedFirst Consulting Healthcare Staffing, LLC ("Defendant" or "MedFirst"), seeking all available relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. ("FLSA"). Plaintiffs allege that although Defendant classified them and other similarly situated consultants as independent contractors, they were in fact Defendant's employees for purposes of the FLSA and were not paid overtime wages when they worked over forty (40) hours in a week. The following allegations are based on personal knowledge as to Plaintiffs' own conduct, and are made on information and belief as to the acts of others.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' FLSA claims is proper under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 2. Venue in this Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 in that a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred within this Judicial District.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Jill Kiley is an individual residing in St. Petersburg, Florida. Kiley worked for Defendant as a consultant providing information technology support services to Defendant's clients in Klamath Falls, Oregon between August 2015 and September 2016, in Winston-Salem North Carolina in October 2016, and in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania from September to December 2014.
- 4. Plaintiff Marcus Payne is an individual residing in South Euclid, Ohio. Payne worked for Defendant as a consultant providing information technology support services to Defendant's clients in Medford and Klamath Falls, Oregon and Vincennes, Indiana between 2013 and 2016.

CREIGHTON ATTORNEYS AT LAW

¹ Plaintiffs also intend to bring claims under Oregon state law, once those claims are perfected.

- Defendant MedFirst Consulting Healthcare Staffing, LLC ("MedFirst") is a 5. corporation providing information technology and educational services for the healthcare industry across the country. MedFirst maintains its corporate headquarters in Birmingham, Alabama.
- 6. MedFirst is a leading healthcare information technology firm with a network of hundreds of healthcare IT consultants. "MedFirst works with health care organizations of all sizes across the United States, partnering them with the most knowledgeable and experienced IT consultants in the industry."²
- 7. MedFirst employs individuals engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce and/or handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced in commerce by any person, as required by 29 U.S.C. §§ 206-207.
 - MedFirst's annual gross volume of sales made or business done exceeds \$500,000. 8.

FACTS

- 9. As a leading healthcare information technology firm, MedFirst provides healthcare systems implementation support services, such as training and information technology support. MedFirst employs consultants, such as Plaintiffs, who provide such information technology support in the healthcare industry in this judicial district and throughout the United States.
- 10. MedFirst's financial results are significantly driven by the number of consultants performing information technology support services for MedFirst's customers and the fees that MedFirst charges the customers for these services.
- From approximately August 2015 to September 2016, Plaintiff Jill Kiley was 11. employed as a consultant by MedFirst in Klamath Falls, Oregon. She also worked in Winston-Salem North Carolina in October 2016, and in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania from September to December 2014.

² http://www.medfirst-consulting.com/about.aspx (last visited March 2, 2017).

Between April 2013 and March 2016, Plaintiff Marcus Payne has been employed 12.

as a consultant by MedFirst in Medford, Oregon, Klamath Falls, Oregon, and Vincennes,

Indiana.

13. Plaintiffs bring this lawsuit pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) as a collective action

on behalf of herself and the following opt-in litigants ("FLSA Class Members"):

All individuals who were classified as independent contractors while performing

consulting work for MedFirst Consulting Healthcare Staffing, LLC ("MedFirst")

in the United States from March 23, 2014 to the present.

14. MedFirst improperly, wrongfully and illegally classified Plaintiffs and other

consultants as independent contractors, when the economic reality of the position is that of an

employee, and MedFirst retains the right of control, and, in fact, actually does control the work

of the consultants.

15. Plaintiffs and FLSA Class Members routinely worked in excess of forty (40) hours

per workweek but, as a result of this misclassification, MedFirst did not pay them any overtime

compensation as required by the FLSA.

16. For example, in most weeks she worked for Defendant, Plaintiff Kiley regularly

worked 12 hours a day, 7 days a week, plus "on-call time" and was only paid a straight hourly

rate – and only for her billed hours.

17. Plaintiffs and FLSA Class Members qualify as employees for purposes of the

FLSA, as further described below.

Work performed by Plaintiffs and FLSA Class Members is an integral part of 18.

MedFirst's business. MedFirst is in the business of providing information technology services to

the healthcare industry. Plaintiffs and FLSA Class Members provide information technology

support and training to MedFirst's clients.

19. MedFirst provides training to Plaintiffs and FLSA Class Members, which they use

to provide basic information technology support to MedFirst's clients.

CREIGHTON & ROSE, PC AT LAW

beth@civilrightspdx.com

ATTORNEYS

- 20. Plaintiffs and FLSA Class Members are unable to perform services for any other company during their time working for MedFirst due to their schedule.
- 21. Plaintiffs were required to sign "restrictive covenant" agreements that prohibited them from working for competitors in the "go-live training and consulting industry" during and for a year after their last engagement with Defendant.
- 22. Plaintiffs and FLSA Class Members work for one MedFirst client continuously at a time. For example, Plaintiff Kiley worked at the same hospital in Klamath Falls, Oregon continuously for 13 months.
- 23. Plaintiffs and FLSA Class Members have little or no authority to refuse or negotiate MedFirst's rules and policies; they must comply or risk discipline and/or termination.
- 24. MedFirst instructs Plaintiffs and FLSA Class Members on how to do their work and dictates the details of the performance of their jobs. Plaintiffs and FLSA Class Members have no control over what prices to charge MedFirst's clients, or the scheduling of shifts. All negotiations over the cost and details of the work are done directly between MedFirst and the third-party client. MedFirst requires Plaintiffs and FLSA Class Members to perform in accordance with MedFirst's policies, manuals, standard operating procedures and the third-party client requirements, and requires Plaintiffs and FLSA Class Members to work the entire project from inception to conclusion.
- 25. Plaintiffs and FLSA Class Members have to request MedFirst's approval for time off. MedFirst had final discretion to grant or deny such requests.
- 26. MedFirst pays Plaintiffs and the FLSA Class Members a fixed hourly rate, which they are not able to negotiate.
- 27. Plaintiffs and FLSA Class Members usually work approximately twelve (12) hours per day, seven (7) days per week.
- 28. Although Plaintiffs and FLSA Class Members frequently are required, permitted or encouraged to work more than forty (40) hours per week, they do not receive one and one-half

beth@civilrightspdx.com

(1 ½) times their regular rate for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week, as required by the FLSA.

- 29. Instead, Plaintiffs and FLSA Class Members are paid a straight hourly rate for hours that they worked, regardless of whether they work more than forty hours in a week.
- 30. Plaintiffs and FLSA Class Members are employed as information technology support for software applications and programs provided by MedFirst. Plaintiff and FLSA Class Members are not working as computer systems analysts, computer programmers, or software engineers as defined in 29 C.F.R. §541.400(a).
- 31. Plaintiffs' and FLSA Class Members' duties consist of providing software support to MedFirst's healthcare clients and aiding healthcare staff with the new software. Plaintiff and Class Members' duties do not include the "application of systems analysis techniques and procedures" pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §541.400(b)(1). Plaintiffs and FLSA Class Members do not analyze, consult or determine hardware, software programs or any system functional specifications for MedFirst's clients. *See id*.
- 32. Plaintiffs and FLSA Class Members did not design, develop, document, analyze, create, test or modify a computer system or program as defined in 29 C.F.R. §541.400(b)(2).
- 33. While Plaintiffs' and FLSA Class Members' "work is highly dependent upon, or facilitated by, the use of computers and computer software programs," they are not "primarily engaged in computer systems analysis and programming." U.S. Dep't of Labor, Wage & Hour Div., Fact Sheet #17E: Exemption for Employees in Computer-Related Occupations under the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA). Plaintiffs and FLSA Class Members provided software support to MedFirst's clients.
- 34. Plaintiffs and FLSA Class Members are not paid a minimum guaranteed salary. Instead, Plaintiffs and Class Members are paid hourly and paid only for the time actually billed.

///

beth@civilrightspdx.com

COUNT I

VIOLATION OF THE FLSA

(ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS AND THE CLASS)

- 35. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.
- 36. The FLSA requires that covered employees be compensated for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week at a rate not less than one and one-half (1½) times the regular rate at which he is employed. See 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1).
- 37. The FLSA defines "employer" broadly to include "any person acting directly or indirectly in the interest of an employer in relation to an employee..." 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
- MedFirst is subject to the wage requirements of the FLSA because MedFirst is an "employer" under 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
- 39. At all relevant times, MedFirst was an "employer" engaged in interstate commerce and/or in the production of goods for commerce, within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203.
- 40. During all relevant times, Plaintiffs and FLSA Class Members were covered employees entitled to the above-described FLSA's protections. See 29 U.S.C. § 203(e).
 - 41. Plaintiffs and the FLSA Class are not exempt from the requirements of the FLSA.
- 42. Plaintiffs and the FLSA Class are entitled to be paid overtime compensation for all hours worked over forty (40) in a workweek pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1).
- 43. MedFirst, pursuant to its policies and practices, failed and refused to pay overtime premiums to Plaintiffs and the FLSA Class for all their overtime hours worked by misclassifying Plaintiffs and the FLSA Class as independent contractors, thereby exempting them from the requirements of the FLSA.
- 44. MedFirst knowingly failed to compensate Plaintiffs and the FLSA Class at a rate of one and one-half (1 ½) times their regular hourly wage for hours worked in excess of forty

CREIGHTON & ROSE, PC AT LAW

ATTORNEYS

(40) hours per week, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1).

- 45. In violating the FLSA, MedFirst acted willfully and with reckless disregard of clearly applicable FLSA provisions.
- 46. In violating FLSA, on information and belief, MedFirst did not have any good faith basis to rely on any legal opinion or advice to the contrary.

WHEREFORE Plaintiffs request that this Court enter the following relief:

- a. Certify a collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b) and authorize the issuance of notice to similarly-situated consultants;
 - b. Award unpaid overtime compensation;
 - c. Award liquidated damages under the FLSA;
 - d. Award all interest, costs and attorney's fees incurred prosecuting this claim;
 - e. Such other relief as in law or equity may pertain.

March 23, 2017 Respectfully Submitted,

> JILL KILEY AND MARCUS PAYNE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

By their attorneys,

CREIGHTON & ROSE, PC.

s/ Beth Creighton

Beth Creighton, OSB #972440 E-mail: <u>beth@civilrightspdx.com</u> Michael E. Rose, OSB #753221 E-mail: *mrose@civilrightspdx.com*

Harold Lichten, MA Bar #549689 (pro hac vice anticipated) hlichten@llrlaw.com Olena Savytska, MA Bar #693324 (pro hac vice anticipated) osavytska@llrlaw.com

David M. Blanchard, MI P#67190 (pro hac vice anticipated) blanchard@bwlawonline.com Daniel Tai, MI P#76798 (pro hac vice anticipated) tai@bwlawonline.com

CONSENT TO JOIN COLLECTIVE ACTION

Pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act. 29 U.S.C. §216(b)

- 1. I consent and agree to pursue my claims in a lawsuit arising out of my employment and work for Medfirst Consulting Healthcare Staffing Corporation LLC.
- 2. During the time I worked for Medfirst, I worked more than forty hours in certain weeks. I have not been paid overtime (time-and-a-half) for these hours.
- 3. I understand that the lawsuit will be brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §201, et seq. I hereby consent, agree, and "opt in" to become a plaintiff herein and to be bound by any judgment by the Court or any settlement of this action. I hereby designate Blanchard & Walker PLLC, and additional counsel as the firm may associate with, to represent me for all purposes in this action.

Signature:	Jill Kiley (Mar 16, 2017)	Date: 03-17-17
Print Name:	JILL M. KILEY	

Initials:____

CONSENT TO JOIN COLLECTIVE ACTION

Pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act. 29 U.S.C. §216(b)

- 1. I consent and agree to pursue my claims in a lawsuit arising out of my employment and work for Medfirst Consulting Healthcare Staffing Corporation LLC.
- 2. During the time I worked for Medfirst, I worked more than forty hours in certain weeks. I have not been paid overtime (time-and-a-half) for these hours.
- 3. I understand that the lawsuit will be brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §201, et seq. I hereby consent, agree, and "opt in" to become a plaintiff herein and to be bound by any judgment by the Court or any settlement of this action. I hereby designate Blanchard & Walker PLLC, and additional counsel as the firm may associate with, to represent me for all purposes in this action.

Marcus Payne Marcus Payne (Mar 14, 2017)	Date:	03/14/2017
Marcus Payne		

Signature:

Email: jillmkiley@yahoo.com

Initials: MP

Case 1:17-cv-00470-CL Document 1-2 Filed 03/23/17 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 07/16)

AMOUNT

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sneet. (SEE INSTRUC	ITONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	THIS FO	PRM.)		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS		
JILL KILEY and MARCUS PAYNE, individually and on behalf of a others similarly situated,				MEDFIRST CONSULTING HEALTHCARE STAFFING, LLC,		
(b) County of Residence o	f First Listed Plaintiff P	inellas County, FL		County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant	Shelby County, AL
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)					(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O NDEMNATION CASES, USE THOSE LAND INVOLVED.	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Number	r)		Attorneys (If Known)		
Beth Creighton, OSB #97 Yamhill Street, Suite 300						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	II. CI	<u> </u> TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti
□ 1 U.S. Government	Ճ 3 Federal Question	,		(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government !	Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State		incipal Place
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2	
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			l m			O MATTER CON L MATTER CO
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL INJURY		ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY 7 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	□ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability □ 367 Health Care/		25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 00 Other	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical			PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 410 Antitrust
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability	ŀ		☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability ☐ 340 Marine	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product			□ 840 Trademark	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPERT	TV X 71	LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff)	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		Act	☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	□ 72	20 Labor/Management Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	■ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage		O Railway Labor Act I Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
	☐ 362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability		Leave Act		□ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS		00 Other Labor Litigation 11 Employee Retirement	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: ☐ 463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate			☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	Act/Review or Appeal of
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence ☐ 530 General			26 USC 7609	Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	☐ 535 Death Penalty Other:	□ 46	IMMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Application		State Statutes
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Other		55 Other Immigration		
	Other 448 Education	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition		Actions		
		☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of				
V ODICIN		Confinement				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in X 1 Original □ 2 Report 2	• *	Remanded from	1 Rein	stated or	rred from 6 Multidistr	ict □ 8 Multidistrict
	te Court	Appellate Court	Reop	pened Anothe (specify)	r District Litigation Transfer	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are FLSA 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1) Pair of description of causes.		: Illing (I	To not cite jurisdictional stati	utes unless diversity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca Violation of the Fl					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.		EMAND \$ 5,000,000.00	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: Tyes X No
VIII. RELATED CASI						
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 02/22/2017		SIGNATURE OF ATTO		OF RECORD		
03/23/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		s/ Beth Creighto	D()			
OILLOE COLL ONLI						

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Oregon			
JILL KILEY and MARCUS PAYNE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff(s) v. MEDFIRST CONSULTING HEALTHCARE STAFFING, LLC, Defendant(s))))) Civil Action No.)))		
SUMMONS I	N A CIVIL ACTION		
To: (Defendant's name and address) MEDFIRST CONSULTIN Registered Agent Brian S	NG HEALTHCARE STAFFING, LLC,via and through its Smith, 60 Chelsea Corners, Suite 121, Chelsea, AL 35043		
A lawsuit has been filed against you.			
are the United States or a United States agency, or an off P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an a	dx.com PC Suite 300		
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.		
	CLERK OF COURT		
Date:			
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk		

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: IT Consultants File FLSA Lawsuit Against Medfirst for Unpaid Overtime