Honorable Jason Holloway 1 Hearing: September 9, 2025 ŒĠ ÁÙÒÚÆÍÆFKHFÆŒ 2 Without Oral Argument SOÞ ŐÁÔU WÞVŸ ÙWÚÒÜQJÜÁÔUWÜVÁÔŠÒÜS 3 ÒËZ(ŠÒÖ ÔOEÙÒÀKÁGI ËGËË Î Î JËJÁÙÒOE. 4 5 6 7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR KING COUNTY 8 NICOLE KERSEY, DANA GIBSON, 9 XANDRA ABRAM, and CASEY SAPUTO, NO. 24-2-17679-9 SEA individually and on behalf of all others 10 similarly situated, [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING 11 PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR Plaintiffs, PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF 12 v. **CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT** 13 THERAPEUTIC HEALTH SERVICES, 14 Defendant. 15 Plaintiffs Nicole Kersey, Dana Gibson, Xandra Abram, and Casey Saputo, by motion 16 have submitted a proposed Class Action Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement") to the 17 Court for review. Having reviewed the Settlement Agreement and Plaintiffs' motion and 18 supporting declaration, the Court FINDS, CONCLUDES, and ORDERS as follows: 19 1. The Court concludes that the Settlement Agreement is the result of arm's-length 20 negotiations between the parties after contested litigation. The Settlement Agreement has no 21 obvious defects and is within the range of possible settlement approval, such that the terms are 22. reasonable and notice to the Class is appropriate. Capitalized terms appearing in this Order have 23 the same meaning as used in the Settlement Agreement. 24 2. The proposed notices will be issued in a manner reasonably calculated to satisfy 25 due process, and will be disseminated via mail to all Settlement Class Members for whom it has 26 mailing addresses, and posted at the settlement website that is to be established by the Settlement 27 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC

> 1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1700 Seattle, Washington 98101 TEL. 206.682.5600 • FAX 206.682.2992

ACTION SETTLEMENT - 1

Administrator, examples of which are attached to the Settlement Agreement and are sufficient in detail to provide sufficient notice of the Settlement Agreement to the Settlement Class. The proposed plan of distribution of the notice through mail, and the establishment of a website, are likewise sufficient.

- 3. The forms of Notice fairly, plainly, accurately, and reasonably inform Settlement Class members of: (1) appropriate information about the nature of this litigation, the Settlement, the Settlement Class definition, the identity of Class Counsel, and the essential terms of the Settlement; (2) appropriate information about Class Counsel's forthcoming application for attorneys' fees and the proposed incentive award to the Settlement Class Representative; (3) appropriate information about how to participate in the Settlement; (4) appropriate information about this Court's procedures for final approval of the Settlement, and about Settlement Class Members' right to appear through counsel if they desire; (5) appropriate information about how to challenge or opt-out of the Settlement, if they wish to do so; and (6) appropriate instructions as to how to obtain additional information regarding this litigation and the Settlement. In addition, pursuant to CR 23(c)(2)(B), the Notices inform Settlement Class Members that any Settlement Class Member who fails to opt-out will be prohibited from bringing a lawsuit against Defendant and certain entities related to Defendant based on or related to any of the claims asserted by Plaintiffs.
- 4. The Court finds that the factors of CR 23(a) are satisfied here. The proposed Settlement Class includes all individuals whose Personal Information was impacted by the Therapeutic Health Services Data Incident, which encompasses approximately 42,000 individuals, and joinder is therefore impracticable. The claims asserted by the Plaintiffs are both common and typical of the claims of the class members. The Court finds no conflict of interest present among Class Counsel or Plaintiffs with the Settlement Class. In addition, the Court finds that the factors of CR 23(b) are also satisfied. The Court finds both factual questions and legal issues that are common to the Plaintiffs' claims and the Settlement Class that predominate over

any individualized issues. Certification of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes is superior to piecemeal litigation of the Plaintiffs' and Settlement Class Members' claims. The Court therefore certifies as the Settlement Class the following:

All U.S. residents whose Personal Information was accessed and/or acquired in the Data Incident, as identified in the Settlement Class List to be provided by Defendant. Excluded from the Settlement Class are: (1) the Judge(s) presiding over the Action and members of their immediate families and their staff; (2) Defendant and its subsidiaries, parent companies, successors, predecessors, and any entity in which Defendant, has a controlling interest and their current and former officers and directors; (3) Settlement Class Members who properly execute and submit a valid Request for Exclusion prior to the Opt-Out Deadline; and (4) the successors or assigns of any such excluded natural person(s).

- 5. The Court appoints M. Anderson Berry of Clayeo C. Arnold, APC, Joan M. Pradhan of Tousley Brain Stephens PLLC, and Timothy Emery of Emery Reddy, PLLC as Settlement Class Counsel.
- 6. The Court appoints Nicole Kersey, Dana Gibson, Xandra Abram, and Casey Saputo as Settlement Class Representatives.
- 7. The Court appoints Eisner Amper as the Settlement Administrator in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, and finds that Eisner Amper has sufficient knowledge, skill, and expertise to effectively distribute the Notice and to handle the administration of claims to be submitted by the Settlement Class. The Settlement Administrator shall distribute Notice to the Settlement Class as provided by the Settlement Agreement.
- 8. The Settlement Administrator will establish the Settlement Website as soon as practicable following entry of this Order and prior to the mailing of Notice. A copy of this Order, Class Counsel's fee application, the Settlement Agreement, contact information for the Settlement Administrator, Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Settlement, and the downloadable and online versions of the Claim Form and Long-Form Notice shall be posted on

1	DATED this day of September, 2	025.
2		
3		
4		T
5		The Honorable Jason Holloway King County Superior Court Judge
6	Presented by:	
7	/s/ Joan M. Pradhan Kaleigh N. Boyd, WSBA #52684 kboyd@tousley.com	
8	Joan M. Pradhan, WSBA #58134	
9	jpradhan@tousley.com TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC	
10	1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1700 Seattle, WA 98101-3147 Tel: 206.682.5600	
11		
12	M. Anderson Berry WSBA #63160 aberry@justice4you.com	
13	Gregory Haroutunian* gharoutunian@justice4you.com	
14	Brandon P. Jack* bjack@justice4you.com	
15	CLAYEO C. ARNOLD A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION	
16	865 Howe Avenue Sacramento, CA 95825	
17	Tel: 916.239.4778	
18	Timothy W. Emery, WSBA #34078 emeryt@emeryreddy.com	
19	Patrick B. Reddy, WSBA #34092	
20	reddyp@emeryreddy.com Brook Garberding, WSBA No. 37140	
21	brook@emeryreddy.com	
22	Paul Cipriani, WSBA No. 59991 paul@emeryreddy.com	
	EMERY REDDY, PLLC 600 Stewart Street, Suite 100	
23	Seattle, WA 98101	
24	Tel: (206) 442-9106	
25	*Pro Hac Vice application forthcoming	
26		
27	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Settlement Class	
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLAS ACTION SETTLEMENT - 5	TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC 1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1700

Seattle, Washington 98101 TEL. 206.682.5600 • FAX 206.682.2992

King County Superior Court Judicial Electronic Signature Page

Case Number: 24-2-17679-9 SEA

Case Title: KERSEY ET AL VS THERAPEUTIC HEALTH SERVICES

Document Title: Order

Date Signed: 09/15/2025

Judge: Jason Holloway

Key/ID Number: *293118428*

Page Count: This document contains 5 page(s) plus this signature page.