

BLOOD HURST & O' REARDON, LLP

1 BLOOD HURST & O'REARDON, LLP
2 TIMOTHY G. BLOOD (149343)
3 THOMAS J. O'REARDON II (247952)
4 JAMES M. DAVIS (301636)
5 501 West Broadway, Suite 1490
6 San Diego, CA 92101
7 Tel: 619/338-1100
8 619/338-1101 (fax)
9 tblood@bholaw.com
10 toreardon@bholaw.com
11 jdavis@bholaw.com

7 EVANGELISTA WORLEY, LLC
8 James M. Evangelista (GA #707807)
9 David J. Worley (GA #7766650)
10 Kristi Stahnke McGregor (GA #674012)
11 Leslie G. Toran (GA #141637)
12 500 Sugar Mill Road, Suite 245A
13 Atlanta, GA 30350
14 Tel: 404/205-8400
15 404/205-8395 (fax)
16 jim@ewlawllc.com
17 david@ewlawllc.com
18 kristi@ewlawllc.com
19 leslie@ewlawllc.com

20 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

21 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

22 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

23 CRISTIAN KELLY and KENNETH THALL,
24 individually and on behalf of all others
25 similarly situated,

26 Plaintiffs,

27 v.

28 COINBASE GLOBAL, INC.; COINBASE,
INC.,

Defendants.

Case No.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

CLASS ACTION

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

1 Plaintiffs Cristian Kelly and Kenneth Thall file this Class Action Complaint against
 2 Coinbase Global, Inc. and Coinbase, Inc. (collectively, “Coinbase”) for damages, injunctive relief,
 3 and other equitable relief. Plaintiffs bring this action based upon personal knowledge of the facts
 4 pertaining to himself, and on information and belief as to all other matters, by and through the
 5 investigation of undersigned counsel.

6 **INTRODUCTION**

7 1. This class action is on behalf of all Coinbase “wallet” and account holders who have
 8 had their wallets or accounts frozen by Coinbase or hacked by third parties.

9 2. Coinbase is the largest cryptocurrency exchange in the United States. According to
 10 Coinbase, it has “built [its] reputation on the premise that [its] platform offers customers a secure
 11 way to purchase, store, and transact in crypto assets.” In its words, what “sets [Coinbase] apart”
 12 from competitors is its “customer technology[,] [which is] built to deal with the real-time, global,
 13 and 24/7/365 nature of the crypto asset markets.” Contrary to its entire premise, Coinbase
 14 improperly and unreasonably locks out its consumers from accessing their accounts and funds, either
 15 for extended periods of time or permanently. Because of the extreme volatility of cryptocurrencies’
 16 value – with freefalls of 40% within 24 hours not unheard of – the inability to access an account to
 17 sell, buy, or trade cryptocurrency leads to severe financial loss. Making matters worse, Coinbase
 18 fails to timely respond to customer pleas for support and help, and also fails to preserve and
 19 safeguard customer funds as it promises. Coinbase’s failures have prevented Plaintiffs and Class
 20 Members from having “full control of your crypto” and from being able to “invest, spend, save,
 21 earn, and use,” or withdraw their funds as Coinbase promises.

22 3. As a result of Coinbase’s conduct, Plaintiffs and Class Members have been damaged
 23 through the loss of their wallet and account access, the funds and investments in those accounts and,
 24 among other things, their investment opportunities. Accordingly, Plaintiffs seek damages and
 25 equitable relief on behalf of themselves and those similarly situated.

26 **THE PARTIES**

27 4. Plaintiff Cristian Kelly is a resident of the State of Texas. Relying on Coinbase’s
 28 representations about access to and control over his funds and cryptocurrency, Plaintiff Kelly

1 opened an account and a wallet with Coinbase through which he deposited funds and traded
2 cryptocurrency. Consistent with Coinbase's representations, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation
3 that he would be able to access his account and wallet whenever he wanted. Plaintiff, however, was
4 locked out of his account, his demands that Coinbase unfreeze his account have gone unheeded, and
5 his requests for support from Coinbase have been unresolved. Had Plaintiff known that Coinbase
6 would unreasonably lock him out of his account, Plaintiff would not have used Coinbase's service.
7 As a result of Defendants' acts and inaction, Plaintiff has suffering injury in fact and lost money or
8 property. Plaintiff desires to continue to maintain his account and wallet with Coinbase, provided
9 the misconduct is corrected. Nevertheless, unless and until Defendants correct their misconduct,
10 Plaintiff will be subjected to Defendants' ongoing conduct complained of in this Complaint.

11 5. Plaintiff Kenneth Thall is a resident of the State of Florida. Relying on Coinbase's
12 representations about access to and control over his funds and cryptocurrency, Plaintiff Thall opened
13 an account and a wallet with Coinbase through which he deposited funds and traded cryptocurrency.
14 Consistent with Coinbase's representations, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that he would be
15 able to access his account and wallet whenever he wanted. Plaintiff, however, was locked out of his
16 account, his demands that Coinbase unfreeze his account have gone unheeded, and his requests for
17 support from Coinbase have been unresolved. Had Plaintiff Thall known that Coinbase would
18 unreasonably lock him out of his account, Plaintiff would not have used Coinbase's service. As a
19 result of Defendants' acts and inaction, Plaintiff has suffering injury in fact and lost money or
20 property. Plaintiff desires to continue to maintain his account and wallet with Coinbase, provided
21 the misconduct is corrected. Nevertheless, unless and until Defendants correct their misconduct,
22 Plaintiff will be subjected to Defendants' ongoing conduct complained of in this Complaint.

23 6. Defendant Coinbase Global, Inc. is a publicly-traded Delaware company that is
24 involved in the business of cryptocurrency exchange, among other interrelated businesses. Although
25 Defendant Coinbase Global operates worldwide on a virtual platform and claims not to have a
26 formal physical headquarters since it is a "remote first" company, Defendant in fact currently
27 maintains its executive offices in San Francisco, California, and maintained such offices at times
28 relevant to this litigation.

BLOOD HURST & O' REARDON, LLP

1 7. Defendant Coinbase, Inc., a California company, is a wholly owned subsidiary of
2 Coinbase Global, Inc. Defendant Coinbase also currently maintains its executive offices in San
3 Francisco, California, and maintained such offices at times relevant to this litigation.

4 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

5 8. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act,
6 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2). The amount-in-controversy, exclusive of costs and interests, exceeds the
7 sum of \$5,000,000.00, in the aggregate, as there are well over 100 members of the Classes that are
8 known to exist, and this is a class action in which a Plaintiff is from a different State than the
9 Defendant.

10 9. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Defendants reside
11 in this district, their principal executive office is located here, a substantial part of the events or
12 omissions giving rise to the claims occurred here and/or a substantial part of property that is the
13 subject of the action is situated here.

14 10. Assignment is proper to the San Francisco Division of the Northern District of
15 California under Civil L.R. 3-2(c) and (d) because a substantial part of the events or omissions that
16 gave rise to Plaintiffs' claims occurred in San Francisco County.

17 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

18 **Background**

19 11. Coinbase is an online exchange platform for cryptocurrency transactions. It boasts
20 over 56 million users, in over 100 countries, with \$335 billion of cryptocurrency traded quarterly.
21 As of March 31, 2021, Coinbase held \$223 billion in custodial fiat currencies and cryptocurrencies
22 on behalf of its customers.

23 12. Coinbase holds itself out as providing the primary financial account for the crypto
24 economy – a safe, trusted, and easy-to-use platform to invest, store, spend, earn, and use crypto
25 assets. For example, Coinbase states it is “the easiest place to buy and sell cryptocurrency” and is
26 “The most trusted cryptocurrency platform.”¹ Likewise, Coinbase represents on its website—as the
27

28 ¹ <https://www.coinbase.com/>

1 reason to use its hosted wallet service—that customers are able to be “in full control of your crypto”
 2 and that its hosted wallet “is the easiest solution” for users to “buy, sell, send and receive crypto.”²
 3 It also represents that it offers “a more fair, accessible, efficient, and transparent financial system
 4 enabled by crypto.”³ Coinbase claims on its website that, as part of its “Security for Your Peace of
 5 Mind,” it undertakes “careful measures to ensure that [Coinbase users’] bitcoin is as safe as
 6 possible” and that “98% of customer funds are stored offline.”⁴ Coinbase further claims that it
 7 follows “industry best practices” regarding account security.⁵

8 13. Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class reasonably believed that Coinbase
 9 would provide the safe, secure, and easy-to-access platform it promised.

10 14. Plaintiffs, like the other Class Members they seek to represent, have established
 11 wallets (accounts) hosted by Coinbase that purportedly enable them to conduct transactions in
 12 cryptocurrency 24 hours a day, 7 days a week and 365 days a year. Each Coinbase customer’s
 13 account and wallet reflects those transactions and permits access to their cash, crypto assets (e.g.,
 14 cryptocurrencies) and other investment funds. Accordingly, Plaintiff, and each wallet or account
 15 holder are entitled to, reasonably expect, and must have access to their wallets at all times.

16 15. Coinbase combines the balances of customers’ “wallets” and holds such funds in
 17 FDIC insured bank accounts and/or purportedly invests them in liquid investments, the interest and
 18 earnings from which Coinbase keeps. *See* Coinbase User Agreement, Part 1: General Use, Sec. 2.
 19 (Wallet and Custodial Services) and 2.7 (USD Wallet).

20 16. Coinbase operates an online internet trading platform where its account holder
 21 customers can buy, sell, spend, and trade cryptocurrency, such as Bitcoin, Ethereum, and Litecoin.
 22 Coinbase’s platform also facilitates access to account holders’ cryptocurrencies and funds through
 23 a digital “wallet,” including customer proceeds for the purchase, and from the sale, of
 24 cryptocurrencies.

25

26

² <https://www.coinbase.com/learn/tips-and-tutorials/how-to-set-up-a-crypto-wallet>

27

³ <https://www.coinbase.com/about>

28

⁴ <https://www.coinbase.com/security>

⁵ <https://www.coinbase.com/>

1 17. Coinbase represents to its customers that it is a fully compliant, regulated entity,
2 registered as a Money Services Business with FinCEN, the United States Department of the
3 Treasury’s Financial Crimes Enforcement Network.

4 18. Coinbase earns the vast majority of its income, approximately \$1.5 billion for the
5 quarter ending March 31, 2021, through fees generated primarily from its United States individual
6 customers’ retail trade transactions in cryptocurrency. It also earns money on funds held in customer
7 accounts through its deposits and investments.

8 19. Coinbase recognizes its responsibilities, risks, and liabilities it undertakes holding its
9 customers valuable financial assets. For example, Coinbase made the following statement in its
10 Supplement No. 1 to its April 1, 2021, prospectus filed with the Securities and Exchange
11 Commission:

12 The Company has committed to securely store all crypto assets it holds on behalf of
13 users. As such, the Company may be liable to its users for losses arising from theft
14 or loss of user private keys. The Company has no reason to believe it will incur any
15 expense associated with such potential liability because (i) it has no known or
16 historical experience of claims to use as a basis of measurement, (ii) it accounts for
17 and continually verifies the amount of crypto assets within its control, and (iii) it has
18 established security around custodial private keys to minimize the risk of theft or
19 loss. Since the risk of loss is remote, the Company had not recorded a liability at
20 March 31, 2021 or December 31, 2020.

21 20. Coinbase also made the following statement in its Supplement No.1: “Our business
22 involves the collection, storage, processing, and transmission of confidential information, customer,
23 employee, service provider, and other personal data, as well as information required to access
24 customer assets. We have built our reputation on the premise that our platform offers customers a
25 secure way to purchase, store, and transact in crypto assets.”

26 21. Coinbase represents that its security measures “will provide absolute security or
27 prevent breaches and attacks,” and “we have developed systems and processes designed to protect
28 the data we manage, prevent data loss and other security breaches, effectively respond to known and
potential risks, and expect to continue to expend significant resources to bolster these
protections....”

1 22. Coinbase has experienced tremendous recent growth. For example, the company
2 grew from 199 employees as of December 31, 2017, to 1,717 employees as of March 31, 2021,
3 approximately 40% of which work in engineering, product and design teams. Its net income grew
4 from a loss of \$30.4 million in 2019 to positive net income of \$322.3 million in 2020. Its number of
5 monthly transacting users—retail users who make at least one transaction during a given 28-day
6 period—grew from approximately one million per month at the end of 2019 to approximately six
7 million per month one year later, at the end of 2020, a 600% increase. Its total number of verified
8 uses grew similarly—from a total of approximately 13 million users in September 2017 to
9 approximately 56 million in March 2021.

10 23. Coinbase users, such as Plaintiffs and Class Members, sign a “User Agreement”
11 when they create their account and wallet with Coinbase. Although that User Agreement contains
12 an arbitration provision, Coinbase systemically fails to follow its own mandated pre-arbitration
13 dispute resolution and arbitration procedures as set forth in the User Agreement thereby rendering
14 the provision void as to Plaintiffs and Class Members.

15 24. Coinbase knew, or should have known, that when Plaintiffs and Class Members
16 signed the User Agreement, Coinbase did not have adequate staffing or adequate policies, practices
17 and procedures in place to follow its mandated pre-arbitration dispute resolution procedures
18 incorporated into, and a pre-requisite for, the Arbitration provision in the User Agreement.
19 Accordingly, Coinbase misrepresented its ability to comply with its own arbitration procedures,
20 fraudulently induced Plaintiffs and Class Members to accept the User Agreement with the
21 Arbitration provision, breached their duties under the Arbitration provision, and rendered Plaintiffs’
22 and Class Members’ acceptance of it a mistake of fact, if not rendering the Arbitration provision
23 *void ab initio*.

24 **Plaintiffs’ Transactions**

25 25. Plaintiff Kelly opened his account with Coinbase on or about May 24, 2020. After
26 opening his account, Plaintiff received and sold Bitcoin. On or about December 28, 2020, and
27 through early May 2021 Plaintiff actively purchased Ethereum (ETH) cryptocurrency through the
28 Coinbase online platform and held the acquired ETH in his Coinbase account. In addition, during

1 the same period, Plaintiff purchased, held and then sold Bitcoin cryptocurrency. As of May 11,
2 2021, Plaintiff's Coinbase account reflected holdings of approximately 4.3 ETH at the then market
3 price for ETH of \$4,179.81. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Coinbase account had a total value of
4 approximately \$18,000.

5 26. On May 11, 2021, Plaintiff Kelly called the Coinbase customer support number that
6 was prominently displayed on Coinbase's website in order to add a feature to his account. A person
7 named Calvin, identifying themselves as a Coinbase representative, answered the phone and advised
8 that he needed remote access to Plaintiff's computer to add the feature. Shortly thereafter, Plaintiff
9 received a text message from Coinbase stating: "A withdraw from Coinbase is being delayed as a
10 security precaution." Plaintiff immediately received a second message from Coinbase stating:
11 "Please contact Coinbase Support immediately if you did not initiate this withdrawal, and lock your
12 account with this link." Plaintiff clicked the link and followed the steps to lock this account. He then
13 received an email from Coinbase confirming that that the account had been locked. Plaintiff then
14 filed formal online complaints with Coinbase using the Coinbase website and the procedures stated
15 on it. Plaintiff received an email from Coinbase the following day, May 12, confirming that
16 Coinbase had received the complaints and that it would provide assistance.

17 27. On May 12, 2021, Plaintiff Kelly also emailed Coinbase advising of his concern that
18 his account had been hacked and that his ETH assets were being transferred to an unknown party
19 without his authorization. Plaintiff received another email from Coinbase stating "we are working
20 with a specialist to address the issue properly." The following day, May 13, Plaintiff made clear in
21 another email to Coinbase his concern that the transaction automatically would be initiated after 72
22 hours if Plaintiff did not cancel the transaction. Plaintiff also made clear that he did not authorize
23 the transaction at issue. Thereafter, having not received any resolution and still being locked out of
24 his account, Plaintiff continued to email Coinbase, including on May 16, 17, 21, 25, and 27.

25 28. On June 6, 2021, Plaintiff Kelly filed an escalated complaint with Coinbase on its
26 website and then received a response from Coinbase stating that Plaintiff "would receive a response
27 from the customer complaint officer within 15 business days. If for any reason we require an
28 extension we will notify you as soon as possible." Coinbase acknowledged Plaintiff's

1 communications and complaints including in emails dated May 17, June 6, and July 15, 2021. In
2 connection with Plaintiff's complaints, Coinbase created formal "Case" and "Complaint" numbers.
3 In each instance, Coinbase stated it needed time to look into the issues.

4 29. On July 15, 2021, long after the originally promised 15 business days, Coinbase
5 finally responded to Plaintiff Kelly's escalated complaint, and stated that it needed another 20
6 business days to respond. To date, Coinbase has not provided any substantive or adequate response
7 and has not provided Plaintiff with access to his account or returned his funds.

8 30. Plaintiff Thall opened his Coinbase on or about April 3, 2020. Around that time
9 Plaintiff Thall purchased \$5,000 worth of Bitcoin and Ether. In January 2021, Plaintiff Thall
10 purchased an additional \$500 worth of Bitcoin. In or about early May 2021, the last time Plaintiff
11 Thall had access to his account, the value of his Coinbase cryptocurrency holdings had risen to
12 approximately \$8,000.

13 31. On or about May 17, 2021, Plaintiff Thall was alerted by his personal bank to
14 multiple charges from fees for transactions occurring within his Coinbase account that he did not
15 authorize. He immediately notified Coinbase's customer support and requested that his account be
16 locked. In response he received a May 17, 2021, email from Coinbase acknowledging this
17 complaint, assigning a case number and asking for more information. Plaintiff Thall also provided
18 detailed information relating to the transactions at issue that he obtained from his bank. On June 24
19 Coinbase emailed Plaintiff Thall again and stated that it needed more information.

20 32. Thereafter, Plaintiff Thall continued to email with Coinbase through July 2021,
21 asking for access to his account that had been locked, and he continued to receive responses from
22 Coinbase acknowledging his communication but failing to resolve his issues.

23 33. The last communication Plaintiff Thall received from Coinbase was on July 22, 2021.
24 To date, Coinbase has not provided any substantive or adequate response and has not provided
25 Plaintiff Thall with access to his account or returned his funds.

26 34. Plaintiffs and Class Members have all been locked out of their own accounts and/or
27 denied access to their funds by Defendants for reasons not disclosed to Plaintiffs and Class
28 Members.

BLOOD HURST & O' REARDON, LLP

1 35. Plaintiffs and Class Members remain locked out of their accounts and/or denied
2 access to their accounts despite numerous attempts at contacting Defendants' customer support,
3 even after full compliance with Coinbase's identity verification requirements.

4 36. As a result, Plaintiffs and Class Members have been damaged by the lack of access
5 to their accounts, loss of funds, loss of cryptocurrency assets, deprived of the use of their funds and
6 accounts preventing them from engaging in any authorized transactions including but not limited to,
7 investing, spending, saving, earning, using, selling or withdrawing their cryptocurrencies, and
8 related injuries.

9 37. Defendants' actions have irreparably harmed Plaintiffs and Class Members.

10 **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

11 38. This action is brought and may properly proceed as a class action pursuant to the
12 provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.

13 39. Plaintiffs seek certification of a Class which is composed of and defined as follows:

14 All current and former Coinbase account users and/or consumers in the United States
15 who registered for a Coinbase account at any time on or after the day four years prior
16 to the date on which this Complaint is filed, who maintained funds and/or
cryptocurrency in their Coinbase accounts, and who were subsequently deprived of
access to, or lost, their funds and/or cryptocurrency by Defendants' conduct.

17 40. Excluded from the Class are Defendants' officers and directors, current or former
18 employees, as well as their immediate family members, as well as any judge, justice, or judicial
19 officer presiding over this matter and members of their immediate families and judicial staff.

20 41. The members of the Class for whose benefit this action is brought are so numerous
21 that joinder of all members is impracticable.

22 42. There are questions of law and fact common to the members of the Class that
23 predominate over questions affecting only individuals. These common questions include, but are
24 not limited to:

- 25 a. Whether Defendants owed duties to Plaintiffs and the Class, the scope of
- 26 those duties, and whether Defendants breached those duties;
- 27 b. Whether Defendants' conduct was unfair or unlawful;
- 28 c. Whether Defendants engaged in deceptive conduct;

BLOOD HURST & O' REARDON, LLP

- 1 d. Whether Defendants have converted the funds belonging to Plaintiffs and the
- 2 Class;
- 3 e. Whether Defendants have been unjustly enriched as a result of their conduct
- 4 of locking out Plaintiffs and the Class from their Coinbase accounts;
- 5 f. Whether Plaintiffs and the Class are entitled to damages as a result of
- 6 Defendants' wrongful conduct; and
- 7 g. Whether injunctive relief is appropriate.

8 43. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class which they
9 seek to represent. All such claims arise out of the same policies, practices, procedures and other
10 actions by Defendants, and the same or similar documents used by Defendants in their dealings with
11 Plaintiffs and Class Members.

12 44. Plaintiffs have no interests antagonistic to those of the Class.

13 45. The Class, of which Plaintiffs are a member, is readily identifiable.

14 46. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class and have
15 retained competent counsel experienced in the prosecution of consumer litigation. Class Counsel
16 has investigated and identified potential claims in the action. Class Counsel has extensive experience
17 in handling class actions, other complex litigation, and claims of consumers.

18 47. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient
19 adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable. While the economic
20 damages suffered by the individual members of the Class are significant, the amount is modest
21 compared to the expense and burden of individual litigation.

22 48. The questions of law or fact common to the members of the Class predominate over
23 any questions affecting only individual members.

24 49. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would run
25 the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications, which would establish incompatible standards of
26 conduct for the Defendants in this action, or the prosecution of separate actions by individual
27 members of the Class would create the risk that adjudications with respect to individual members
28 of the Class would as a practical matter be dispositive of the interests of the other members not

1 parties to the adjudications, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests.
2 Prosecution as a class action will eliminate the possibility of repetitious litigation.

3 50. Defendants have acted, or refused to act, on grounds generally applicable to Plaintiffs
4 and Class Members, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory
5 relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

6 51. A class action will cause an orderly and expeditious administration of the claims of
7 the Class, and will foster economies of time, effort and expense.

8 52. Plaintiffs do not anticipate any difficulty in the management of this litigation.

9 **CAUSES OF ACTION**

10 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

11 **(Breach of Fiduciary Duty)**

12 45. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and realleges each and every allegation contained
13 above, as though fully set forth herein.

14 46. As custodian of their funds and cryptocurrency assets, and acting as agent transacting
15 on their behalf when they wish to buy, sell or convert crypto assets on the Coinbase platform,
16 Coinbase has a fiduciary relationship with Plaintiffs and Class Members, and must exercise the
17 fiduciary duties it therefore owes with the utmost good faith, integrity, and in the best interest of
18 Plaintiffs and Class Members.

19 47. As discussed herein, Coinbase represents and agrees that it will act as the custodian
20 of all funds and digital currency assets it holds in its customer accounts, that Coinbase will securely
21 store these funds and cryptocurrency assets, that Coinbase will hold these funds and cryptocurrency
22 assets for the benefit of Plaintiffs and Class Members, that Coinbase will allow Plaintiffs and Class
23 Members to control, access and withdraw their funds and cryptocurrency assets at any time, and that
24 Coinbase will not sell, transfer, loan, hypothecate, or otherwise alienate customer cryptocurrency
25 assets except as instructed by the customer.

26 48. As the custodian of their valuable assets, Plaintiffs and Class Members entrust
27 Coinbase to ensure the safekeeping of their assets and to provide them with access to and control
28 over their accounts and the assets within those accounts when they want.

BLOOD HURST & O' REARDON, LLP

1 49. Defendants owe a fiduciary duty to Plaintiffs and Class Members to provide them
2 with immediate access to their accounts, the funds and cryptocurrency assets within those accounts,
3 and to process only the respective customer's transactions within those accounts.

4 50. Defendants owe a fiduciary duty to Plaintiffs and Class Members to protect their
5 accounts, their transactions relating to those accounts, and their funds and cryptocurrency assets
6 within those accounts.

7 51. Defendants owe a fiduciary duty to Plaintiffs and Class Members to timely respond
8 to and resolve their complaints regarding security threats, hacking, and technological issues that
9 preclude Plaintiffs' and Class Members' access to their accounts, account transactions and account
10 funds and cryptocurrency assets.

11 52. Defendants owe a fiduciary duty to timely notify Plaintiffs and Class Members of
12 any security threats, hacking, and technological issues that preclude Plaintiffs' and Class Members'
13 access to their accounts, account transactions and account funds and cryptocurrency assets.

14 53. Defendants breached their fiduciary duty owed to Plaintiffs and Class Members to
15 provide them with immediate access to their accounts, the funds and cryptocurrency assets within
16 those accounts, and to process only the respective customer's transactions within those accounts.

17 54. Defendants breached their fiduciary duty owed to Plaintiffs and Class Members to
18 protect their accounts, their transactions relating to those accounts, and their funds and
19 cryptocurrency assets within those accounts.

20 55. Defendants breached their fiduciary duty owed to Plaintiffs and Class Members to
21 timely respond to and resolve their complaints regarding security threats, hacking, and technological
22 issues that preclude Plaintiffs' and Class Members' access to their accounts, account transactions
23 and account funds and cryptocurrency assets.

24 56. Defendants breached their fiduciary duty owed to timely notify Plaintiffs and Class
25 Members of any security threats, hacking, and technological issues that preclude Plaintiffs' and
26 Class Members' access to their accounts, account transactions and account funds and cryptocurrency
27 assets.

28

BLOOD HURST & O' REARDON, LLP

1 57. Defendants breached their fiduciary duties owed to Plaintiffs and Class Members by,
2 among other things, actively preventing Plaintiffs and Class Members from accessing their accounts,
3 accessing the funds and cryptocurrency assets within those accounts, processing transactions within
4 Plaintiffs' and Class Members' accounts when not initiated by Plaintiffs and Class Members,
5 locking Plaintiffs and Class Members out of their accounts, and/or refusing to return Plaintiffs' and
6 Class Members' funds to Plaintiffs and Class Members.

7 58. Defendants also breached their fiduciary duties owed to Plaintiffs and Class
8 Members by failing to act with utmost good faith and in the best interests of Plaintiffs and Class
9 Members by, among other things, ignoring or failing to timely respond to and resolve Plaintiffs' and
10 Class Members' repeated complaints and other communications demanding access to their Coinbase
11 accounts.

12 59. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' breach of their fiduciary duties,
13 Plaintiffs and Class Members have been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial, including
14 nominal damages.

15 60. In addition to actual or consequential damages, Plaintiffs and Class Members are
16 entitled to pre-judgment interest, attorney's fees and costs, along with any relief that this Court
17 deems just and proper.

18 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

19 **(Breach of Contract and the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing)**

20 61. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege each and every allegation contained
21 above, as though fully set forth herein.

22 62. Plaintiffs and Class Members each entered into a written contract, the User
23 Agreement, with Defendants upon their registration for a Coinbase account. Plaintiffs and Class
24 Members were presented with the User Agreement on a take-it-or-leave it basis and had no
25 opportunity to negotiate any of the specific terms or provisions thereunder.

26 63. Every contract, including the User Agreement, contains an implied duty of good faith
27 and fair dealing. Defendants entered into and are bound by the User Agreements with Plaintiffs and
28

BLOOD HURST & O' REARDON, LLP

1 Class Members, which are valid and enforceable contracts that contain an implied duty of good faith
2 and fair dealing.

3 64. Defendants breached the User Agreements and the implied covenant of good faith
4 and fair dealing by, among other things, failing to discharge their obligations and provide the
5 services they promised in exchange for the transaction fees they charged Plaintiffs and Class
6 Members for each transaction in their account and for the monies they earned on the funds within
7 Plaintiffs and Class Members' accounts.

8 65. Specifically, Defendants breached the User Agreements and the implied covenant of
9 good faith and fair dealing by failing to enable Plaintiffs and Class Members to have immediate
10 access to their accounts, the funds and cryptocurrency assets within those accounts, and to process
11 only the respective customer's transactions within those accounts.

12 66. Defendants breached the User Agreements and the implied covenant of good faith
13 and fair dealing by failing to protect Plaintiffs' and Class Members' accounts, their transactions
14 relating to those accounts, and their funds and cryptocurrency assets within those accounts.

15 67. Defendants breached the User Agreements and the implied covenant of good faith
16 and fair dealing by failing to timely respond to and resolve Plaintiffs' and Class Members'
17 complaints regarding security threats, hacking, and technological issues that precluded Plaintiffs'
18 and Class Members' access to their accounts, account transactions and account funds and
19 cryptocurrency assets.

20 68. Defendants breached the User Agreements and the implied covenant of good faith
21 and fair dealing by failing to timely notify Plaintiffs and Class Members of any security threats,
22 hacking, and technological issues that prevent Plaintiffs' and Class Members' access to their
23 accounts, account transactions and account funds and cryptocurrency assets.

24 69. Defendants breached the User Agreements and the implied covenant of good faith
25 and fair dealing by failing to meet their obligation of good faith and fair dealing to timely and
26 properly resolve Plaintiffs' and Class Members' complaints about their inability to access their
27 accounts, account transactions and account funds and cryptocurrency assets.

28

BLOOD HURST & O' REARDON, LLP

1 70. Defendants breached the User Agreements and the implied covenant of good faith
2 and fair dealing by failing to meet their obligation to ensure that Plaintiffs and Class Members could
3 access their accounts, account transactions and account funds and cryptocurrency assets.

4 71. Defendants breached the User Agreements and the implied covenant of good faith
5 and fair dealing by failing to return Plaintiffs' and Class Members' account funds and
6 cryptocurrency assets.

7 72. Defendants breached the User Agreements and the implied covenant of good faith
8 and fair dealing by failing to follow their own pre-requisites to require arbitration.

9 73. As a result of Defendants' breach of their contractual duties, obligations and/or
10 promises arising under the User Agreement and the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing,
11 Plaintiffs and Class Members were damaged by, including but not limited to, their payment of
12 transaction fees, the loss of use of their accounts, the inability to access the funds and cryptocurrency
13 assets in their accounts and the loss of value of those assets, all in an amount to be proven at trial.

14 74. In addition to Plaintiffs' and Class Members' actual contract damages, Plaintiffs and
15 Class Members seek recovery of their attorney's fees, costs to the extent provided by the User
16 Agreement and pre-judgment interest.

17 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**
18 **(Unjust Enrichment)**

19 75. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and realleges each and every allegation contained
20 above, as though fully set forth herein.

21 76. Plaintiffs and Class Members conferred a benefit upon Defendants by depositing
22 their currency funds and cryptocurrency assets into their accounts maintained by Defendants and
23 maintained such assets in those accounts, which enabled Defendants to profit from the investment
24 and trading of such assets.

25 77. Plaintiffs and Class Members conferred a benefit upon Defendants by paying fees to
26 Defendants in order to conduct transactions in their accounts, maintain their accounts and have
27 access to those accounts.
28

BLOOD HURST & O' REARDON, LLP

1 78. As a result of Defendants' actions and omissions alleged herein, Defendants have
2 been unjustly enriched at the expense of Plaintiffs and Class Members. Under principles of equity
3 and good conscience, Defendants should not be permitted to retain the transaction fees paid by
4 Plaintiffs and Class Members or the assets held within Plaintiffs' and Class Members' accounts.

5 79. Plaintiffs and Class Members are entitled to restitution of, disgorgement of, and/or
6 the imposition of a constructive trust upon all fee revenue, income, profits, and other benefits
7 obtained by Defendants at the expense of Plaintiffs and Class Members resulting from Defendants'
8 actions and/or omissions alleged herein, all in an amount to be proven at trial. Plaintiffs and Class
9 Members also are entitled to attorney's fees, costs and prejudgment interest, along with any relief
10 that this Court deems just and proper.

11 80. Plaintiffs and the Class have no adequate remedy at law.

12 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

13 **(Conversion)**

14 81. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and realleges each and every allegation contained
15 above, as though fully set forth herein.

16 82. Defendants have asserted, and improperly maintain, dominion and control over
17 Plaintiffs' and Class Members' accounts, account funds and cryptocurrency assets by preventing
18 Plaintiffs and Class Members to access their accounts and take possession of their account funds
19 and cryptocurrency assets.

20 83. Defendants have allowed Plaintiffs' and Class Members' funds and cryptocurrency
21 assets to be depleted and Defendants have benefited thereby by improperly retaining such funds and
22 cryptocurrency assets or by transaction fees paid by others that have taken Plaintiffs' and Class
23 Members' funds and cryptocurrency assets without their authorization.

24 84. Plaintiffs and Class Members are entitled to restitution of, disgorgement of, and/or
25 the imposition of a constructive trust upon all fee revenue, income, profits, and other benefits
26 obtained by Defendants at the expense of Plaintiffs and Class Members resulting from Defendants'
27 actions and/or omissions alleged herein, all in an amount to be proven at trial. Plaintiffs and Class
28

1 Members also are entitled to attorney’s fees, costs and prejudgment interest, along with any relief
2 that this Court deems just and proper.

3 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

4 **(Violation of the California Unfair Competition Law)**

5 85. Plaintiffs incorporates by reference and reallege each and every allegation contained
6 above, as though fully set forth herein.

7 86. Defendants’ User Agreement provides that “the laws of the State of California,
8 without regard to principles of conflict of laws, will govern this Agreement and any claim or dispute
9 that has arisen or may arise between you and Coinbase, except to the extent governed by federal
10 law.”

11 87. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the Class, bring this cause of action for
12 violations of the “unlawful” and “unfair” prongs of the Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof.
13 Code § 17200, *et seq.* (“UCL”).

14 88. Plaintiffs and Defendants are “persons” within the meaning of the UCL. Bus. & Prof.
15 Code § 17201.

16 89. The UCL prohibits unfair competition in the form of any unlawful, unfair, or
17 fraudulent business acts or practices.

18 90. Section 17204 allows “any person who has suffered injury in fact and has lost money
19 or property as a result of such unfair competition” to prosecute a civil action for violation of the
20 UCL.

21 91. As a result of Defendants’ actions and omissions as alleged herein, Defendants have
22 violated the UCL’s proscription against engaging in “unlawful” conduct by, by virtue of their
23 conduct, which constitutes a breach of their fiduciary duties to Plaintiffs and Class Members,
24 wrongful retention of Plaintiffs’ and Class Members’ accounts, account funds and cryptocurrency
25 assets, breach of contract, unjust enrichment, conversion, and violation of the California Consumers
26 Legal Remedies Act.

27 92. Plaintiffs reserve the right to allege other violations of law, which constitute other
28 unlawful business acts or practices. Such conduct is ongoing and continues to this date.

1 93. Defendants' acts and practices as alleged herein also constitute "unfair" business acts
2 and practices within the meaning of the UCL. In the course of conducting business, Defendants have
3 violated the UCL's proscription against unfair business practices by, among other things:
4 (1) improperly and unreasonably preventing Plaintiffs and Class Members from accessing their
5 accounts and funds, either for extended periods of time or permanently; (2) failing to timely respond
6 to requests for support; (3) failing to preserve and safeguard customer funds as represented; and
7 (4) not compensating Plaintiffs and Class Members for Defendants' wrongdoing and their losses.

8 94. Defendants' unfair business conduct is substantially injurious to consumers, offends
9 legislatively-declared public policy as announced by the violations of the laws alleged, and is
10 immoral, unethical, oppressive, and unscrupulous. The gravity of Defendants' wrongful conduct
11 outweighs any alleged benefits attributable to such conduct. There were reasonably available
12 alternatives to further Defendants' legitimate business interests other than engaging in the above-
13 described wrongful conduct.

14 95. As a result of these actions and inaction, including preventing Plaintiffs and Class
15 Members from accessing their accounts, account funds and cryptocurrency assets, Defendants are
16 able to unfairly compete with other comparable companies in violation of Business and Professions
17 Code sections 17000, *et seq.* and 17200, *et seq.* Due to these unlawful, unfair, and/or fraudulent
18 business practices, Defendants have gained a competitive advantage over other comparable
19 companies.

20 96. The UCL also prohibits any "fraudulent business act or practice." In the course of
21 conducting business, Defendants committed "fraudulent business act[s] or practices" by among
22 other things, failing to disclose to Plaintiffs and other members of the Class that it would improperly
23 and unreasonably restrict access to their wallets and accounts, and thereby prevent Plaintiffs and
24 other members of the Class from trading, withdrawing, or otherwise accessing their funds and
25 cryptocurrency. These misrepresentations and omissions are contrary to what Coinbase represents
26 is the entire supposed premise of its business – a safe, trusted, and easy-to-use platform to invest,
27 store, spend, earn, and use crypto assets.

28

BLOOD HURST & O' REARDON, LLP

1 97. Plaintiffs, in fact, have been deceived as a result of his reliance of Defendants'
2 material representations and omissions, which are described above.

3 98. The victims of Defendants' unlawful, unfair, and/or fraudulent business practices
4 include, but are not limited to, Plaintiffs and Class Members, competing cryptocurrency exchange
5 platforms providing similar services as Defendants, and the general public. Plaintiffs are informed
6 and believe, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants performed the alleged acts with the intent
7 of gaining an unfair competitive advantage and thereby injuring Plaintiffs and Class Members, other
8 competitors, and the general public.

9 99. Plaintiffs' success in this action will enforce important rights affecting the public
10 interest and public policy. In this regard, Plaintiffs sue on behalf of themselves and the public.

11 100. Business and Professions Code section 17203 provides that a court may make such
12 orders or judgments as may be necessary to prevent the use or employment by any person of any
13 practice which constitutes unfair competition. Injunctive relief is necessary and appropriate to
14 prevent Defendants from repeating their unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business acts and business
15 practices alleged.

16 101. Business and Professions Code section 17203 provides that the Court may restore to
17 any person in interest, any money or property that may have been acquired by means of such unfair
18 competition.

19 102. Plaintiffs and Class Members have suffered injury in fact and have lost money and
20 property as a result of Defendants' unfair conduct. Plaintiffs and Class Members are entitled to
21 restitution pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203 for their account funds and
22 cryptocurrency assets deposited into their Coinbase accounts that have been unlawfully withheld,
23 the losses incurred as a result of Plaintiffs and Class Members being unable to trade in their accounts,
24 and the fair value of other losses alleged herein, during the four-year period prior to the filing of this
25 complaint. All remedies are cumulative pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17205.

26 103. Plaintiffs and Class Members request injunctive relief pursuant to Business and
27 Professions Code section 17203 to enjoin Defendants from continuing the unfair/unlawful business
28 practices alleged herein.

1 104. Plaintiffs, on behalf of the general public, also seek a public injunction in accordance
2 with the McGill Rule (*McGill v. Citibank, N.A.*, 2 Cal. 5th 945 (2017)), requiring Defendants to
3 immediately cease such acts of unlawful, unfair and fraudulent business practices.

4 105. Plaintiffs herein take upon enforcement of these laws and lawful claims. There is a
5 financial burden involved in pursuing this action. The action is seeking to vindicate a public right,
6 and it would be against the interests of justice to penalize Plaintiffs by forcing Plaintiffs to pay
7 attorneys’ fees from the recovery in this action. Attorneys’ fees are appropriate including pursuant
8 to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

9 **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

10 **(Violations of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act)**

11 106. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege each and every allegation contained
12 above, as though fully set forth herein.

13 107. Defendants’ User Agreement provides that “the laws of the State of California,
14 without regard to principles of conflict of laws, will govern this Agreement and any claim or dispute
15 that has arisen or may arise between you and Coinbase, except to the extent governed by federal
16 law.”

17 108. Defendants’ acts and omissions as alleged herein were intended to deceive Plaintiffs
18 and Class Members, and have resulted in harm to Plaintiffs and Class Members.

19 109. Defendants’ actions as alleged herein violated, and continue to violate, California
20 Civil Code section 1750, *et seq.* also known as the Consumers Legal Remedies Act (“CLRA”)
21 including section 1770(a)(5) for making representations that their services have characteristics, uses,
22 or benefits which they do not, section 1770(a)(7) for making representations that their services are
23 of a particular quality, which they are not, and section 1770(a)(9) for advertising services with intent
24 not to sell them as advertised.

25 110. Pursuant to California Civil Code section 1782, attached herein as “**Exhibit A**” is a
26 true and correct copy of Plaintiffs’ Notice of Violation of the California Consumer Legal Remedies
27 Act (California Civil Code section 1750 *et seq.*) sent to Defendants on August 3, 2021. Once the
28 time period set forth in California Civil Code section 1782(a) have expired after providing Notice

BLOOD HURST & O' REARDON, LLP

1 and Demand to Defendant, Plaintiffs will amend this cause of action to seek recovery of damages
2 pursuant to California Civil Code section 1782(d).

3 111. Defendants' actions and omissions occurred in the County of San Francisco and
4 Defendants maintains its principal place of business in the County of San Francisco. This action is
5 brought in the California Northern District Court which presides over matters in the County of San
6 Francisco. Attached hereto as "**Exhibit B**" is an affidavit setting forth facts showing this district is
7 the proper place for trial pursuant to California Civil Code section 1780(d).

8 112. Plaintiffs, on behalf of the general public, seek a public injunction in accordance with
9 the McGill Rule (*McGill v. Citibank, N.A.*, 2 Cal. 5th 945 (2017)), requiring Defendants to
10 immediately cease such acts of unlawful business practices.

11 113. Pursuant to California Civil Code section 1780(a), Plaintiffs and Class Members also
12 are entitled to an order enjoining Defendants' wrongful acts alleged herein, an order awarding the
13 payment of costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to California Civil Code section 1780(e), and for such
14 other relief that this Court deems just and proper.

15 **SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

16 **(Declaratory Relief)**

17 114. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege each and every allegation contained
18 above, as though fully set forth herein.

19 115. Under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201, *et seq.*, this Court is
20 authorized to enter a judgment declaring the rights and legal relations of the parties and grant further
21 necessary relief. Furthermore, the Court has broad authority to restrain acts, such as here, that are
22 tortious and violate the terms of the federal and state statutes described in this Complaint.

23 116. Plaintiffs and Class Members allege that Defendants misrepresented the access that
24 Plaintiffs and Class Members would have to their Coinbase accounts and the funds and
25 cryptocurrency assets in those accounts.

26 117. Plaintiffs and Class Members allege that Defendants misrepresented the security of
27 Plaintiffs' and Class Members' Coinbase accounts and the funds and cryptocurrency assets in those
28 accounts.

1 118. Plaintiffs and Class Members allege that Defendants misrepresented their ability to
2 timely comply with their own pre-requisites for the arbitration provision stated in the User
3 Agreement, rendering Plaintiffs' and Class Members' acceptance of such provision a mistake of fact
4 such that no meeting of the minds occurred regarding such provision between Plaintiffs and Class
5 members, on the one hand, and Defendants, on the other. Moreover, in light of Defendants'
6 misrepresentation of its ability to comply with its own arbitration prerequisites, whether negligent
7 or intentional, renders the arbitration provision void *ab initio*.

8 119. Further, it is fundamentally unfair to Plaintiffs and Class Members, and breach of the
9 Defendants' duty of good faith and fair dealing, to hold Plaintiffs and Class Members to the
10 requirements of the arbitration provision in the User Agreement when Defendants cannot comply
11 with their own prerequisites to the implementation of arbitration.

12 120. Pursuant to its authority under the Declaratory Judgment Act, this Court should enter
13 a judgment declaring, among other things, the following:

- 14 a. Defendants immediately shall provide Plaintiffs and Class Members with
15 access to their accounts and account transaction information;
- 16 b. Defendants immediately shall provide Plaintiffs and Class Members with
17 access to their funds and cryptocurrency assets in their accounts;
- 18 c. Defendants immediately shall return to Plaintiffs and Class Members all
19 funds and cryptocurrency assets in their accounts prior to being locked out of
20 those accounts;
- 21 d. Defendants immediately shall return to Plaintiffs and Class Members all
22 funds and cryptocurrency assets wrongfully taken from their accounts;
- 23 e. Defendants immediately shall return to Plaintiffs and Class Members all fees
24 paid in connection with their transactions since the time they opened their
25 respective Coinbase accounts; and
- 26 f. The arbitration provision is null and void, *ab initio*; Defendants are not
27 entitled to dismissal of this action on the basis of the arbitration provision,
28

BLOOD HURST & O' REARDON, LLP

1 and Plaintiffs and Class members are entitled to pursue their claims in this
2 Court.

3 121. This Court also should issue corresponding prospective injunctive relief requiring
4 Defendants to employ adequate security, security and technology resolution, and customer
5 complaint resolution, policies, procedures, protocols and the ability to timely comply with same,
6 consistent with law and industry standards.

7 122. If an injunction is not issued, Plaintiffs and Class Members will suffer irreparable
8 injury, and lack an adequate legal remedy.

9 123. The hardship to Plaintiffs and Class Members if an injunction does not issue exceeds
10 the hardship to Defendants if an injunction is issued.

11 124. Issuance of the requested injunction will not disserve the public interest. To the
12 contrary, such an injunction would benefit the public, as well as eliminate the additional injuries
13 that would result to Plaintiffs and Class Members.

14 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

15 **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves, and all others similarly situated,
16 respectfully pray for relief as follows:

- 17 A. An order certifying the Class for declaratory and injunctive relief and for money
- 18 damages under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 23 and California Civil Code section 1781(a),
- 19 appointing Plaintiffs as Class Representatives, and appointing their attorneys as Class Counsel;
- 20 a. A judgment for actual damages;
- 21 b. A judgment for compensatory damages;
- 22 c. A judgment for disgorgement of transaction fees, income and other profits;
- 23 d. A declaratory judgment that Defendants violated the UCL and CLRA;
- 24 e. A judgment for injunctive relief enjoining Defendants from engaging in future
- 25 unlawful activities complained of herein, including violations of the UCL and
- 26 CLRA;
- 27 f. A public injunction under the McGill Rule (*McGill v. Citibank, N.A.*, 2 Cal. 5th
- 28 945 (2017));

david@ewlawllc.com
kristi@ewlawllc.com
leslie@ewlawllc.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

BLOOD HURST & O' REARDON, LLP

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Coinbase Class Action: Suit Alleges Crypto Exchange Locked Users out of Wallets, Froze Assets](#)
