Defendant,

27

28

### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- Plaintiffs are or were employed by Defendant City of San Diego ("Defendant" or "the City") and bring this action pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. Section 201 *et seq.* to recover unpaid overtime and other compensation, interest thereon, liquidated damages, costs of suit, reasonable attorney fees, and other relief.
- 2. This action arises from Defendant's failure to properly calculate the "regular rate" of pay used to calculate Plaintiffs' overtime compensation under the FLSA and its failure to properly compensate employees for compensatory time off.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

Jurisdiction of this action is conferred on this Court by 28 U.S.C. Section 1331 and 29
 U.S.C. Section 216(b). This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 29 U.S.C.
 Sections 207 et seq. Venue lies within this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1391.

### **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiffs are current and former employees of Defendant. Plaintiffs are or were "employees" within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. Section 203(e) and entitled to the rights, protections, and benefits of the FLSA.
- Defendant is a political subdivision of the State of California. Defendant is an "employer" within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. Section 203(d), an "enterprise" under 29 U.S.C. Section 203(r), and a "public agency" within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. Section 203(x). Defendant employs or employed the Plaintiffs.

# **COLLECTIVE ACTION**

6. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and other similarly situated individuals who are or were non-exempt employees in Defendant's Fire Department at any time during the three years preceding the filing of this action and were deprived of their complete statutorily required overtime compensation as described in this Complaint.

Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. Sections 216(b) and 256, the named Plaintiffs have executed and hereby file with the Court their consents in writing to become party Plaintiffs in this action. (**Exhibit A**) When other similarly situated individuals join this action, their consents will be filed with the Court. The written consent forms identify each Plaintiff by name and reflect their intent to be a party to this lawsuit.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 8. Plaintiffs and all similarly situated individuals are or were non-exempt employees of Defendant during the three years preceding the filing of this action.
- 9. At all times relevant herein, Defendant required or suffered or permitted Plaintiffs to work overtime hours under 29 U.S.C. Section 207.

# Cash-In-Lieu Payments

- 10. At all times relevant herein, Defendant failed to properly calculate the "regular rate" of pay upon which the overtime compensation for Plaintiffs and all similarly situated individuals must be based under 29 U.S.C. Section 207(a).
- 11. Employers are permitted to exclude from the "regular rate" contributions they irrevocably make to a trustee or third person pursuant to a "bona fide plan" for providing health insurance benefits. 29 U.S.C. § 207(e)(4).
- 12. The Department of Labor's interpretation of the term "bona fide plan" as set forth in 29 C.F.R. section 778.215(a)(5), states in relevant part:

"The plan must not give an employee the right to assign his benefits under the plan nor the option to receive any part of the employer's contributions in cash instead of the benefits under the plan: Provided, however, that if a plan otherwise qualified as a bona fide benefit plan under section 7(e)(4) of the Act, it will still be regarded as a bona fide plan even though it provides, as an incidental part thereof, for the payment to an employee in case of all or part of the amount standing to his credit."

- 13. As part of the compensation paid to Plaintiffs and all similarly situated individuals,

  Defendant provided monetary compensation in-lieu of contributions towards the cost of health
  insurance benefit coverage ("in-lieu payments").
- 14. Defendant did not include the in-lieu payments made to Plaintiffs and all similarly situated individuals when calculating their "regular rate" in violation of the FLSA. As a result, Defendant impermissibly lowered the "regular rate" of pay and improperly reduced the amount of overtime compensation required to be paid to Plaintiffs and all similarly situated individuals under the FLSA.
- 15. At all times relevant herein, Defendant did not place any conditions on the use by Plaintiffs of in-lieu payments.
- 16. At all times relevant herein, Defendant treated the in-lieu payments paid to Plaintiffs and all similarly situated individuals as wages for purposes of applicable tax withholdings.
- 17. Defendant was required to include the value of the in-lieu payments in calculating the "regular rate" of pay used to determine overtime compensation for Plaintiffs and all similarly situated individuals as required by the FLSA.
- 18. The monetary contributions made by Defendant for medical insurance and other health and welfare benefits were not made pursuant to a "bona fide plan" within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. Section 207(e)(4) and 29 C.F.R. Section 778.215. Consequently, those payments were compensation for work that must be included in the "regular rate" of pay when determining overtime compensated as required by the FLSA.
- 19. By excluding the value of in-lieu payments and Defendant's monetary contributions for medical insurance and other health and welfare benefits in the calculation of the "regular rate" of pay when determining the amount of overtime compensation to be paid to Plaintiffs and all similarly situated individuals, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiffs and all similarly situated individuals the correct amount of overtime compensation for all hours of overtime worked as required by the FLSA.

# **Compensatory Time Off**

- Defendant employs a system of using compensatory time off ("CTO") to compensate Plaintiffs and similarly-situated individuals for overtime hours worked. Under certain circumstances, Defendant "cashes out" the accrued but unused CTO but fails to do so at the regular rate of pay.
- 21. 29 U.S.C. Section 207(o)(3)(B) requires that if "compensation is paid to an employee for accrued compensatory time off, such compensation shall be paid at the regular rate earned by the employee at the time the employee receives such payment."
- 29 U.S.C. Section 207(o)(4) requires that, upon termination of employment, Plaintiffs must be paid for unused CTO at a rate of compensation not less than the greater of "(A) the average regular rate received by such employee during the last 3 years of the employee's employment, or (B) the final regular rate received by such employee."

## Cycle Time

- Defendant treats Plaintiffs holding the ranks of firefighter: Fire Recruit, Firefighter I, Firefighter II, Firefighter III, Fire Engineer, Fire Engineer-Metro Arson Strike Team (MAST), Fire Captain, Fire Captain-Metro Arson Strike Team (MAST), Fire Battalion Chief, Assistant Fire Marshall, Emergency Medical Technician I, Paramedic I, Paramedic II, Fire Prevention Inspector I, Fire Prevention Supervisor I, Fire Prevention Supervisor II, Fire Prevention Supervisor II, Fire Helicopter Pilot and Air Operations Chief (collectively referred to as "Firefighters") as subject to the partial overtime exemption described in 29 U.S.C. 207(k) (the "Section 7(k) Exemption"). Defendant has adopted a 28-day work period under the Section 7(k) Exemption, which requires Defendant to pay overtime to Plaintiffs under the FLSA when they work more than 212 hours in the 28-day work period.
- In three twenty-eight-day work periods Firefighters work two nine-shift work cycles (216 hours each) and one ten-shift work cycle (240 hours). In order to account for a consistent pay system Defendant uses a concept known as "Cycle Time." Defendant's system of Cycle Time involves subtracting eight hours from four consecutive paychecks and adding sixteen

hours to two consecutive paychecks. This maintains employees at 112 hours per bi-weekly pay period.

- Defendant calculates the regular rate for FLSA overtime by dividing all remuneration by 224 hours, the average number of hours worked over three work periods.
- The Cycle Time system has the effect of Defendant failing to properly count all hours worked by Firefighters in the pay periods in which Defendant has subtracted eight hours from the actual work hours of Firefighters.

## The City's Formulas For Calculating FLSA Overtime.

- 27. Under 29 U.S.C. Section 207(a), the regular rate of pay is produced by dividing all remuneration for employment by the employee's regularly-scheduled hours worked, and the FLSA overtime rate is one and one-half times the regular rate of pay.
- Instead of using the regularly-scheduled hours worked of employees as the denominator in the regular rate equation, Defendant divides remuneration for employment by all hours worked by an employee, including overtime hours worked. This results in a reduction in the employee's FLSA overtime rate, where each hour of overtime worked produces a lower overtime rate. Consequently, Defendant failed to compensate plaintiffs under an appropriate calculation of their rate(s) of pay.
- 29. Instead of multiplying the regular rate of pay by time and one-half, the City's overtime formulas multiply certain forms of remuneration for employment by half-time. Consequently, Defendant failed to compensate Plaintiffs at the appropriate FLSA overtime rate.
- 30. In addition, through its Cycle Time system, Defendant calculates the regular rate by averaging the total number of hours worked over three work periods. This practice violates 29 C.F.R. § 778.104, which disallows the averaging of hours over multiple work periods. The result of this use of Cycle Time is that Defendant failed to compensate plaintiffs for all hours worked in calculation of their rate(s) of pay.

# **Liquidated Damages and Willfulness**

- Defendant's failure to properly calculate the overtime payments due Plaintiffs and all similarly situated individuals was neither reasonable nor in good faith.
- Defendant's failure to properly calculate the overtime payments due Plaintiffs and all similarly-situated individuals was a willful violation of the FLSA.

### **FIRST COUNT**

# Violation of 29 U.S.C. Section 207(a)-The Improper Treatment Of Cash-In-Lieu Payments Under The FLSA

- 33. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 32, inclusive, as though set forth herein.
- 34. Defendant required, suffered or permitted Plaintiffs and all similarly situated individuals to work overtime, but failed to properly compensated Plaintiffs and similarly-situated individuals at time and one-half the "regular rate" of pay.
- Defendant's failure to compensate Plaintiffs and all similarly situated individuals as required by the FLSA was neither reasonable nor in good faith.
- 36. Defendant's failure to compensate Plaintiffs and all similarly situated individuals as required by the FLSA was a willful violation of the FLSA. Based on Defendant's willful violation of the FLSA, Plaintiffs and all similarly situated individuals are entitled to damages and liquidated damages as allowed by the FLSA for a period of three years immediately preceding the filing of this action.
- The employment and work records for Plaintiffs and similarly situated individuals are in the exclusive possession, custody and control of the City, and Plaintiffs are unable to state at this time the exact amounts owing to them. The City is under a duty imposed by the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. Section 211(c), and the regulations of the United States Department of Labor, to maintain and preserve payroll and other employment records.

# SECOND COUNT

# Violation of 29 U.S.C. Section 207(o)-Failure to Properly Compensate Employees For Compensatory Time Off

- 38. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 32, inclusive, as though set forth herein.
- 39. Defendant failed to include all forms of compensation as required by the FLSA in the "regular rate" of pay when it compensated Plaintiffs and all similarly situated individuals for accrued but unused CTO.
- 40. Defendant's failure to compensate Plaintiffs and all similarly situated individuals as required by the FLSA was neither reasonable nor in good faith.
- 41. Defendant's failure to compensate Plaintiffs and all similarly situated individuals as required by the FLSA was a willful violation of the FLSA. Based on Defendant's willful violation of the FLSA, Plaintiffs and all similarly situated individuals are entitled to damages and liquidated damages as allowed by the FLSA for a period of three years immediately preceding the filing of this action.

# THIRD COUNT

# Violation of 29 U.S.C. Section 207(a) - Defendant's Cycle Time System

- 42. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through @32 inclusive, as though set forth herein.
- 43. Defendant's use of the Cycle Time system results in Defendant failing to compensate Firefighters for all overtime hours worked under the FLSA, and results in an improper calculation of the regular rate of pay.
- 44. Defendant's failure to compensate Firefighters required by the FLSA was neither reasonable nor in good faith.
- 45. Defendant's failure to compensate Firefighters as required by the FLSA was a willful violation of the FLSA. Based on Defendant's willful violation of the FLSA, Firefighters are entitled to damages and liquidated damages as allowed by the FLSA for a period of three years immediately preceding the filing of this action.

# **FOURTH COUNT**

11 12

13

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

# Violation of 29 U.S.C. Section 207(a)-Defendant's Overtime Formula

- 46. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 32, inclusive, as though set forth herein.
- 47. Defendant's overtime formula, which divides remuneration for employment by all hours worked rather than the regularly-scheduled hours worked of employees, results in Defendant failing to compensate Plaintiffs and all similarly-situated individuals at time and one-half the regular rate of pay.
- 48. Defendant's failure to compensate Plaintiffs and all similarly situated individuals as required by the FLSA was neither reasonable nor in good faith.
- 49. Defendant's failure to compensate Plaintiffs and all similarly situated individuals as required by the FLSA was a willful violation of the FLSA. Based on Defendant's willful violation of the FLSA, Plaintiffs and all similarly situated individuals are entitled to damages and liquidated damages as allowed by the FLSA for a period of three years immediately preceding the filing of this action.

# PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs and all similarly situated individuals pray for judgment as follows:

- Recovery of monetary damages in the form of unpaid overtime compensation,
   liquidated damages equal to the amount of unpaid overtime compensation, plus prejudgment
   and post-judgment interest;
- 2. A determination that Defendant willfully violated the FLSA thereby entitling Plaintiffs and all similarly situated individuals to recover monetary damages for a three-year period preceding the filing of this action;
- A complete and accurate accounting of all compensation to which Plaintiffs and all similarly situated individuals are entitled;
- 4. For reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. Section 216(b);
- 5. For costs of suit incurred herein, and
- 6. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: April 02, 2019 Respectfully submitted, Law Offices of James J Cunningham BY:S/JAMES J. CUNNINGHAM JAMES J. CUNNINGHAM Attorney for Plaintiffs jimcunninghamlaw@gmail.com 

# EXHIBIT A

# CONSENT TO JOIN ACTION (FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)) LITIGATION ENTITLED: KELLEY, ET AL. v. CITY OF SAN DIEGO

I,	mia under the Fair Labor properly compensate its semployees for overtime of the period in the three pehalf and on the behalf of (b) of the FLSA. Unpaid
I hereby designate the Law Offices of James Cunningham, the Public Safety Law Law Firm as legal counsel to represent me for all purposes in this action.  Signature	Group PC and the Napier
Printed Name D. Kelly	
RSP 2140 @GMA) L. COM Email Address	

# CONSENT TO JOIN ACTION (FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)) LITIGATION ENTITLED: KELLEY, ET AL. v. CITY OF SAN DIEGO

PATRICK HESTERS
, of San Diego County, State of California, hereby consent to
become a party to the litigation action entitled Eric Kelley, et al.
v. City of San Diego filed on March @@, 2019 in the Southern
District Court of California under the Fair Labor Standards Act
(FLSA). The lawsuit alleges that the City of San Diego failed to
properly compensate its employees for overtime hours worked
and failed to make timely payments to its employees for overtime
hours worked. I am, or was, employed by the City of San Diego
during some or all of the period in the three years preceding the
signing of this Consent. This action has been brought on my

behalf and on the behalf of similarly situated employees of the City of San Diego pursuant 29 U.S.C. § 216 (b) of the FLSA. Unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, attorney's fees, costs and other relief are sought in the action.

I hereby designate the Law Offices of James Cunningham, the Public Safety Law Group PC and the Napier Law Firm as legal counsel to represent me for all purposes in this action.

Signature

PATRICK HESTERS

Printed Name

Pshesters @ g mail, can

Email Address

3/29/19

# CONSENT TO JOIN ACTION (FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)) LITIGATION ENTITLED: KELLEY, ET AL. v. CITY OF SAN DIEGO

I, ERIC B. DUNN	, of San Diego County, State
	the litigation action entitled Eric Kelley, et al. v. City of
San Diego filed on March @@, 2019 in the So	uthern District Court of California under the Fair Labor
	the City of San Diego failed to properly compensate its
	to make timely payments to its employees for overtime
	of San Diego during some or all of the period in the three
	action has been brought on my behalf and on the behalf of
	Diego pursuant 29 U.S.C. § 216 (b) of the FLSA. Unpaid ney's fees, costs and other relief are sought in the action.
overtime compensation, inquidated damages, attori	ley's rees, costs and other rener are sought in the action.
I hereby designate the Law Offices of James Cunr	ningham, the Public Safety Law Group PC and the Napier
Law Firm as legal counsel to represent me for all p	
STOR X	
A Down	_
Signature	
ERIC B. DUNNICK	
Printed Name	-
Enis Da a Hamanica	200
ERICD2@ HOTMAIL:CO	
Email Address	
3-29-19	
DATE	=

Case 3:19-cv-00622-AJB-MDD Document 1-1 Filed 04/02/19 PageID.15 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FO	PRM.)					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS  ERIC KELLEY, PATRICK HESTERS, and ERIC DUNNICK, on behalf of themselves and other similarly situated individuals				DEFENDANTS					
				of City of San Diego					
(b) County of Residence o	f First Listed Plaintiff S	an Diego		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant San Diego					
(EX	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)			•	PLAINTIFF CASES O			
				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Number	r)		Attorneys (If Known) San Diego City Attorney '19CV0622 AJB MDD					
Law Offices of Jam	es J. Cunningham A.F	P.C.							
"see atta									
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF PI (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in C and One Box for		
□ 1 U.S. Government	★ 3 Federal Question			PT				PTF	DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citiz	en of This State	1 0 1	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		□ 4	□ 4
2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity		Citiz	en of Another State	2 🗇 2	Incorporated and P	Principal Place	□ 5	<b>D</b> 5
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)				of Business In A	Another State		
				en or Subject of a  reign Country	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		<b>D</b> 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			I D	AD BEGINS DE DENIA TOU		here for: Nature of	of Suit Code Des		
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		25 Drug Related Seizure		eal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Cla	THE RESERVE	ES
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 With		☐ 376 Qui Tam		2
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability  367 Health Care/	□ 69	00 Other	28 U	JSC 157	3729(a))  ☐ 400 State Rea		ment
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical			PROPE	RTY RIGHTS	☐ 410 Antitrust		ment
& Enforcement of Judgment		Personal Injury	- 1		☐ 820 Copy		430 Banks an		ıg
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability  368 Asbestos Persona	1		☐ 830 Pater ☐ 835 Pater	nt nt - Abbreviated	☐ 450 Commercial 460 Deportation		
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product				Drug Application	☐ 470 Racketee	er Influen	
(Excludes Veterans)  ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	RTY	LABOR	SOCIAL	SECURITY	Corrupt (		ions
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud		10 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA	(1395ff)	☐ 490 Cable/Sa	t TV	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal		Act 20 Labor/Management		k Lung (923) /C/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 850 Securitie Exchang		odities/
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations	☐ 864 SSII	O Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Sta	atutory A	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury  362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability		40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI	(405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultu ☐ 893 Environn		
	Medical Malpractice	EFR		Leave Act			☐ 895 Freedom		
REAL PROPERTY  ☐ 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		90 Other Labor Litigation		AL TAX SUITS es (U.S. Plaintiff	Act		
220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus:  ☐ 463 Alien Detainee	13.13	21 Employee Retirement Income Security Act		Defendant)	☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure		
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	e			—Third Party	Act/Revi	- 600	ppeal of
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence  530 General			200	JSC 7609	Agency I  950 Constitut		of
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION	1		State State	tutes	
	Employment  446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other:  540 Mandamus & Oth		52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration			1		
	Other	☐ 550 Civil Rights		Actions					
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee -					1		
		Conditions of Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i.	n One Box Only)	Commencia			1				
▼1 Original □ 2 Re	moved from 3	Remanded from	☐ 4 Reir	nstated or 5 Transfe	erred from	☐ 6 Multidistr	rict 🗆 8	Multidi	strict
Proceeding Sta	ite Court	Appellate Court	Reo		r District	Litigation Transfer		Litigation Direct F	
			re filing (	Do not cite jurisdictional stat		iversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 29 USC Section 2 Brief description of ca		0.00						
THE DECLIEGED IN	Failure to calcula	te overtime pay cor				arman rea	.01 111		* .
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	DEMAND \$		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:		□ No	
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):								
IF ANY	(Dee man actions):	JUDGE			DOCK	ET NUMBER _			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD					
04/02/2019		and the same and the same and the same							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY									
RECEIPT# Al	MOUNT	APPL YING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	OGE		

Attachment Additional Plaintiff Attorney:

William B. Aitchison (pro hac vice motion forthcoming) Public Safety Labor Group 3021 NE Broadway Street Portland, OR, 97232 Tel. (866) 486-5556 Fax. (866) 401-2201 Will@PSLGlawyers.com

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>San Diego Firefighters File Suit Seeking Allegedly Unpaid Overtime</u>