UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA OKLAHOMA CITY DIVISION

SHANE KEDDY, CHRIS BROWNING, and CASE NO: CIV-17-142-C JOSHUA MULLINS, Each Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

COLLECTIVE ACTION

v.

ONEFLOW ENERGY SERVICES, LLC.

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

SUMMARY

1. Plaintiffs Shane Keddy, Chris Browning, and Joshua Mullins file this Collective Action Complaint against Defendant Oneflow Energy Services, LLC ("Oneflow") to recover the unpaid overtime wages owed to Oneflow's Hands and Leaders under federal law.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 since a substantial part of the events giving 3. rise to this claim occurred in this District.
 - 4. Oneflow maintained business operations in or around Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

PARTIES

- 5. Keddy worked as a Hand for Oneflow during the relevant statutory time period. As a Hand, Keddy performed largely technical and manual labor and regularly worked more than 12 hours a day, and 40 hours a week, without receiving the overtime pay required by federal law. Oneflow paid Keddy a salary/day rate. Keddy's written consent is attached as Exhibit A.
 - 6. Browning worked as a Leader for Oneflow during the relevant statutory time period.

As a Leader, Browing performed largely technical and manual labor and regularly worked more than 12 hours a day, and 40 hours a week, without receiving the overtime pay required by federal law. Oneflow paid Browning a salary/day rate. His written consent is attached as Exhibit B.

- 7. Mullins worked as a Hand and a Leader for Oneflow during the relevant statutory time period. At times, Oneflow classified Mullins as an independent contractor, although he performed exactly the same work as Oneflow's employees. He performed largely technical and manual labor and regularly worked more than 12 hours a day, and 40 hours a week, without receiving the overtime pay required by federal law. Oneflow paid Browning a salary/day rate. His written consent is attached as Exhibit C.
- 7. Plaintiffs brings this action on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated employees under §16(b) of the FLSA 29 U.S.C. §216(b). These workers were all subjected to the same FLSA violations as Plaintiffs and are properly defined as:

All Hands and Leaders paid a salary/day rate and treated as an exempt employee or independent contractor by Oneflow Energy Services, LLC, during the past three (3) years.

The Putative Class Members are ascertainable from Oneflow's business records, particularly personnel records.

8. Oneflow may be served through its registered agent for process at 2300 N Lincoln Blvd., Ste. 101, Oklahoma City, OK 73105.

FLSA COVERAGE

- 9. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Oneflow has been an employer within the meaning of the Section 3(d) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
- 10. Oneflow directly controlled the job duties, work schedules, tools used, policies and procedures implemented, and all other aspects of Plaintiffs and the Putative Class Members' jobs.
 - 11. As will be shown though this litigation, Oneflow treated all of the Putative Class

Members as employees (regardless of whether Oneflow paid the Putative Class Members through an IRS Form 1099 or W-2) and uniformly dictated the pay practices its to which its employees were subjected.

- 12. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Oneflow has been part of an enterprise within the meaning of section 3(r) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).
- 13. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Oneflow has been part of an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods and services for commerce within the meaning of section 3(s)(1) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1).
- 14. Oneflow's employees handle, sell, or otherwise work on goods or materials such as tools, oilfield equipment, cellphones, and tanks that have been moved in or produced for commerce.
- 15. Oneflow's annual gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than \$1,000,000 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level which are separately stated).
- 16. Indeed, Oneflow specializes in providing services to the oil and gas industry nationwide in exchange for money.
- 17. Because "virtually every enterprise in the nation doing the requisite dollar volume of business is covered by the FLSA[,]" *Dunlop v. Industrial America Corp.*, 516 F.2d 498, 501–02 (5th Cir.1975), it would be silly for an employer with multi-state operations like Oneflow to waste this Court's time arguing it is not a covered enterprise.
- 18. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Plaintiffs and the Putative Class Members were engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

19. Oneflow operates an oilfield service company, providing frac, water transfer, and well tank services to the oil and gas industry. Oneflow is based in Texas, but conducts operations and provides services to the oil and gas industry throughout the United States.

- 20. Oneflow specifically performed oil and gas services in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, within the relevant time period. Plaintiffs spent time working for Oneflow in Oklahoma.
- 21. Plaintiffs and all those similarly situated to them worked for Oneflow as Hands and Leaders.
- 22. These employees typically work together in teams performing technical services, usually at the well site.
- 23. Hands and Leaders use tools, hard hardhats, and machinery produced for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 24. No advanced degree is required to become an employee of Oneflow. In fact, Oneflow regularly hires Hands and Leaders with only a high-school diploma (or less).
- 25. Hands and Leaders are blue collar workers. They rely on their hands, physical skills, and energy, to perform manual labor in the oilfield and must have strong mechanical skills.
- 26. Hands and Leaders work long hours amounting to more than 40 hours a week and are on-call 24/7 during every workweek.
- 27. While in the field, Hands and Leaders regularly work more than 12 hours in a day, and more than 84 hours in a week.
- 28. But Oneflow did not pay its Hands and Leaders overtime for hours worked in excess of 40 in a workweek.
- 29. Instead, Oneflow paid Hands and Leaders a salary and/or day rate for each day worked out in the field.
- 30. As a result of Oneflow's pay policies, Plaintiffs and the Putative Class Members were denied the overtime pay required by the Fair Labor Standards Act.
- 31. Oneflow knew, or showed reckless disregard for whether, Plaintiffs and the Putative Class Members were entitled to overtime pay under federal law.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 32. Plaintiffs bring this claim under the FLSA as a collective action on behalf of those who opt-in to this case pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 33. Numerous employees have been victimized by Oneflow's patterns, practices and policies, which are in willful violation of the FLSA.
- 34. Many of these employees have worked with Plaintiff and have reported that they were paid in the same manner and were not properly compensated for all hours worked as required by the FLSA.
- 35. Thus, from their experiences with these employees, Plaintiffs are aware that the illegal practices or policies of Oneflow have been imposed on the Putative Class Members.
- 36. The Putative Class Members all regularly worked in excess of forty hours per week and were not paid overtime as required by the FLSA.
- 37. These employees are victims of Oneflow's unlawful compensation practices and are similarly situated to Plaintiffs in terms of relevant job duties, pay provisions, and employment practices.
- 38. Oneflow's failure to pay wages and overtime compensation at the rates required by the FLSA result from generally applicable, systematic policies and practices which are not dependent on the personal circumstances of the Putative Class Members.
- 39. Plaintiffs' experiences are typical of the experiences of the Putative Class Members. The specific job titles or precise job locations of the various Putative Class Members does not prevent collective treatment.
- 40. All of the Putative Class Members, regardless of their precise job requirements or rates of pay, are entitled to be properly compensated for all hours worked in excess of forty hours per week.
 - 41. Even if the issue of damages were individualized in character, nothing detracts from

the common nucleus of liability facts.

- 42. The Putative Class Members are similarly situated in all relevant respects. While their precise job duties might vary somewhat, these differences do not matter for the purposes of determining their entitlement to overtime. The Putative Class Members are all blue-collars workers entitled to overtime after 40 hours in a week. Like Plaintiffs, the members of the Putative Class work long hours and deserve to be paid in accordance with the law.
- 43. Oneflow employed many Hands and Leaders in the United States during the past three years. These workers are geographically disbursed, residing and working in states across the county. Because these workers do not have fixed work locations, Hands and Leaders may work in different states across the country in the course of a given year.
- 44. Absent a collective action, many members of the Putative Class likely will not obtain redress of their injuries and Oneflow will retain the proceeds of its violations of the FLSA.
- 45. Furthermore, individual litigation would be unduly burdensome to the judicial system. Concentrating the litigation in one forum will promote judicial economy and parity among the claims of individual members of the classes and provide for judicial consistency.

CAUSE OF ACTION—VIOLATION OF THE FLSA

- 46. Oneflow violated, and is violating, Section 7 of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207, by employing Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods or services for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA for workweeks longer than forty hours without compensating such employees for their employment in excess of forty hours per week at rates no less than 1.5 times the regular rates for which they were employed.
 - 47. Oneflow employed Plaintiff and each Putative Class Member.
- 48. Oneflow's pay policy denies and has denied Hands and Leaders overtime for hours worked in excess of 40 hours per week.

- 49. Oneflow's knowingly, willfully, or in reckless disregard carried out this illegal pattern or practice of failing to pay overtime to Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members.
- 50. Oneflow's failure to pay overtime at the correct rate was neither reasonable or made in good faith.
- 51. Accordingly, Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members are entitled to overtime in an amount equal to 1.5 times their rate of pay, plus liquidated damages, attorney's fees and costs.

JURY DEMAND

52. Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury.

RELIEF SOUGHT

- 53. WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Oneflow as follows:
 - (a) An Order designating the Putative Class as a collective action and permitting the issuance of a notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated individuals with instructions to permit them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual consents to sue pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
 - (b) For an Order pursuant to section 16(b) of the FLSA finding Oneflow liable for unpaid back wages due to Plaintiffs and the Putative Class for liquidated damages equal in amount to their unpaid compensation;
 - (c) For an Order awarding attorneys' fees, penalties, costs and pre- and post-judgment interest; and
 - (d) For an Order granting such other and further relief as may be necessary and appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Matthew S. Parmet

By: __

Richard J. (Rex) Burch Texas Bar No. 24001807 seeking admission pro hac vice Matthew S. Parmet Texas Bar No. 24069719

BRUCKNER BURCH PLLC

8 Greenway Plaza, Suite 1500 Houston, Texas 77046 Telephone: (713) 877-8788 Telecopier: (713) 877-8065

rburch@brucknerburch.com
mparmet@brucknerburch.com

Michael A. Josephson Texas Bar No. 24014780 seeking admission pro hac vice Andrew W. Dunlap Texas Bar No. 24078444 seeking admission pro hac vice

FIBICH, LEEBRON, COPELAND, BRIGGS & JOSEPHSON

1150 Bissonnet Houston, Texas 77005

Telephone: (713) 751-0025 Telecopier: (713) 751-0030 mjosephson@fibichlaw.com

adunlap@fibichlaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

EXHIBIT A

CONSENT TO JOIN WAGE CLAIM

Print Name: Shane Alexander Keddy

- 1. I hereby consent to participate in a collective action lawsuit against OneFlow Energy Services to pursue my claims of unpaid overtime during the time that I worked with the company.
- 2. I understand that this lawsuit is brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act, and consent to be bound by the Court's decision.
- 3. I designate the law firm and attorneys at FIBICH, LEEBRON, COPELAND, BRIGGS & JOSEPHSON as my attorneys to prosecute my wage claims.
- 4. I authorize the law firm and attorneys at FIBICH, LEEBRON, COPELAND, BRIGGS & JOSEPHSON to use this consent to file my claim in a separate lawsuit, class/collective action, or arbitration against the company.

sn-12

Signature: Shane Alexander heddy (Jun 30, 2016)

Date Signed: Jun 30, 2016

EXHIBIT B

CONSENT TO JOIN WAGE CLAIM

Print Name:	Chris	browning
-------------	-------	----------

- 1. I hereby consent to participate in a collective action lawsuit against OneFlow Energy Services to pursue my claims of unpaid overtime during the time that I worked with the company.
- 2. I understand that this lawsuit is brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act, and consent to be bound by the Court's decision.
- 3. I designate the law firm and attorneys at FIBICH, LEEBRON, COPELAND, BRIGGS & JOSEPHSON as my attorneys to prosecute my wage claims.
- 4. I authorize the law firm and attorneys at FIBICH, LEEBRON, COPELAND, BRIGGS & JOSEPHSON to use this consent to file my claim in a separate lawsuit, class/collective action, or arbitration against the company.

Signature: Chris browning (Apr 26, 2016)

Date Signed: Apr 26, 2016

EXHIBIT C

CONSENT TO JOIN WAGE CLAIM

Print Name: Joshua W. Mullins

- 1. I hereby consent to participate in a collective action lawsuit against OneFlow Energy Services to pursue my claims of unpaid overtime during the time that I worked with the company.
- 2. I understand that this lawsuit is brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act, and consent to be bound by the Court's decision.
- 3. I designate the law firm and attorneys at FIBICH, LEEBRON, COPELAND, BRIGGS & JOSEPHSON as my attorneys to prosecute my wage claims.
- 4. I authorize the law firm and attorneys at FIBICH, LEEBRON, COPELAND, BRIGGS & JOSEPHSON to use this consent to file my claim in a separate lawsuit, class/collective action, or arbitration against the company.

Signature: Joshua W.

Date Signed: May 4, 2016

Case 5:17-cv-00142-Cypocument 1-4 HEiled-02/10/17 Page 1 of 1

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	,,,,		DEFENDANT	S			
Shane Keddy, Chris Browning, and Joshua Mullins, each individual on behalf of all others similarly situated			and Oneflow Energy	Oneflow Energy Services, LLC			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff			County of Residence	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Parker, Texas			
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			NOTE BULLIE	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)			
				CONDEMNATION CASES, USE T CT OF LAND INVOLVED.	THE LOCATION OF		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)			Attorneys (If Known)				
Matthew S. Parmet, Bruc 1500, Houston, TX 77040		ireenway Plaza, Ste.					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF (For Diversity Cases Only)		(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	★ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		PTF DEF 1 1 1 Incorporated or P of Business In			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	☐ 2 ☐ 2 Incorporated and of Business In			
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	□ 3 □ 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT				Click here for: Nature of Su			
CONTRACT	ĺ	RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	1	OTHER STATUTES		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Neartichle Lecturement	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability ☐ 367 Health Care/	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC		
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment☐	□ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical		PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust		
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability		☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce		
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability ☐ 340 Marine	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product		☐ 840 Trademark	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and		
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	Corrupt Organizations		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPERTY ☐ 370 Other Fraud	★ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act	□ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 480 Consumer Credit☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV		
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	☐ 720 Labor/Management Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange		
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	□ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage	740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions		
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	☐ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act		☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 893 Environmental Matters		
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation☐ 791 Employee Retirement	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 896 Arbitration		
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate		or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of		
☐ 240 Torts to Land	□ 443 Housing/	Sentence		26 USC 7609	Agency Decision		
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION		☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Other	☐ 462 Naturalization Application 465 Other Immigration	on			
	Other	☐ 550 Civil Rights	Actions				
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee -					
		Conditions of Confinement					
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	n One Box Only)		<u> </u>				
X 1 Original □ 2 Rea	moved from 3	Remanded from 4 Appellate Court		sferred from her District fy)			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	29 USC s 216	•	ling (Do not cite jurisdictional sa	tatutes unless diversity):			
VI. CHOSE OF HETIC	Brief description of ca action to recover						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint:		
VIII. RELATED CASI	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD							
02/10/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		/s/ Matthew S. Pa	ırmet				
RECEIPT#AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	JDGE		

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Oneflow Energy Services Knocked with Unpaid Overtime Lawsuit</u>