UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FORT MYERS DIVISION

DAVID KAISER, for himself and on behalf of those similarly situated,

Plaintiff,	Case No.:
VS.	Cube 110
NORTHWOOD HOSPITALITY, LLC.,	

a Foreign Limited Liability Company; NWNG LLC d/b/a NAPLES GRANDE RESORT & SPA,

D	efendants.	
		,

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, DAVID KAISER, ("Plaintiff"), for himself and on behalf of those similarly situated, hereby files this Complaint against Defendant, NORTHWOOD HOSPITALITY, LLC., ("NORTHWOOD"), a Foreign Limited Liability Company, and NWNG, LLC d/b/a NAPLES GRANDE RESORT & SPA ("NAPLES GRANDE") (collectively "Defendants"), and states as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. Jurisdiction in this Court is proper as the claims are brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended (29 U.S.C. §201, et seq.) ("FLSA") to obtain declaratory relief, a judgment against Defendants as to liability, recover unpaid wages, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 2. The jurisdiction of the Court over this controversy is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331, as Plaintiff's claims arise under 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

PARTIES

- 3. At all times material to this action, Plaintiff was a resident of Collier County, Florida.
- 4. At all times material to this action, NORTHWOOD, was and continues to be a Foreign Limited Liability Company, Further, at all times material to this action, Defendant NORTHWOOD was, and continues to be, engaged in business in Florida, doing business, in Collier County, Florida.
- 5. At all times material to this action, Defendant NAPLES GRANDE is a beach resort and spa located in Naples, Florida. NAPLES GRANDE is a fictitious name owned by NWNG, LLC, a New York corporation, which is registered and continues to be engaged in business in Florida, doing business in Collier County, Florida.
- 6. At all times relevant hereto, NORTHWOOD and NAPLES GRANDE were Plaintiff's "integrated employer" under the FLSA, because they had: (i) Common management; (ii) Interrelated operations; (iii) Centralized control of labor relations; and (iv) Common ownership/financial control.
- 7. At all times relevant hereto, NORTHWOOD and NAPLES GRANDE were Plaintiff's "joint employer," for the purposes of FLSA coverage, pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §825.106, because: (i) There was an arrangement between them to share employees' services or to interchange employees; (ii) Each of them acted directly or indirectly in the interest of each other in relation to Plaintiff; or, (iii) They were not and are not completely disassociated with respect to the Plaintiff's employment, and shared control of Plaintiff, directly or indirectly, because each of them was under common control with each other.

- 8. NORWOOD is an enterprise engaged in the hospitality industry, and specifically in the operation of hotels and resorts.
- 9. NWNG does business as NAPLES GRANDE and is an enterprise engaged in the hospitality industry, and specifically in the operation of hotels and resorts.
- 10. NORTHWOOD and NAPLES GRANDE are currently listed with the Florida Department of State, Division of Corporations, as "Active" businesses. See Corporation search results for NORTHWOOD and NAPLES GRANDE attached hereto as Exhibits A and B, respectively.
- 11. NORWOOD and NAPLES GRANDE share the same principal and mailing addresses of 1819 Wazee, 2nd Floor, Denver, CO 80202.
- 12. Erwin Aulis, John Kukral, and Jonathan Wang jointly own, operate and/or control both NORTHWOOD and NAPLES GRANDE.
- 13. According to NORTHWOOD's website https://www.hcareers.com/seeker/employer-profiles/northwood-hospitality-jobs, it promotes Job Opportunities for several of its companies, including NAPLES GRANDE.
- 14. Plaintiff's paystubs are issued by NORTHWOOD through the location of Plaintiff's employment within NAPLES GRANDE.
- 15. At all times material to this action, Plaintiff was "engaged in commerce" within the meaning of §6 and §7 of the FLSA.
- 16. At all times material to this action, Plaintiff was an "employee" of Defendants within the meaning of the FLSA.
 - 17. At all times material to this action, Defendants were Plaintiff's

"employers" within the meaning of the FLSA.

- 18. Defendants were, and continue to be, "employers" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 19. At all times material to this action, Defendants were, and continue to be, an "enterprise engaged in commerce" and/or an enterprise engaged in the "production of goods for commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 20. Based upon information and belief, the annual gross revenue of Defendants was in excess of \$500,000.00 per annum during the relevant time periods.
- 21. At all times material to this action, Defendants had two (2) or more employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that had been moved in or produced for commerce such as cash registers, telephones, plates, cups, liquor, knives, chairs, tables, and cleaning supplies which were used directly in furtherance of Defendants' commercial activity of running a resort and spa.
- 22. At all times material hereto, the work performed by the Plaintiff was directly essential to the business performed by Defendants.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 23. On September 3, 2015, Defendants hired Plaintiff to work as a non-exempt hourly-paid server for Defendants' company.
- 24. Plaintiff's job duties included, but were not limited to, setting up and closing at pool area, maintenance and serving resort guests.
- 25. At various times material hereto, Plaintiff worked for Defendants in excess of forty (40) hours within a workweek.
 - 26. From at least September 3, 2015, through July 21, 2016, Defendants

failed to compensate Plaintiff at a lawful overtime rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a single workweek.

- 27. Specifically, Plaintiff was not paid the lawful minimum overtime wage for his overtime hours throughout his employment. Rather, Plaintiff was paid even less than the lower overtime wage permitted for tipped employees.
- 28. In addition, Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff the lawful minimum wage for all of his hours worked.
- 29. Specifically, Defendants paid Plaintiff only the minimum wage applicable to tipped employees for significant daily hours Plaintiff spent doing non-tipped work, including opening and closing the service area when no customers were present or permitted to be present.
- 30. Defendants are not permitted to utilize the "tip credit" for these significant hours spent doing non-tipped work.
- 31. Plaintiff should be compensated at the rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for those hours that Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek, as required by the FLSA.
- 32. Plaintiff should be compensated at the full minimum wage rate for hours spent doing non-tipped work before and after the restaurant was open.
- 33. Upon information and belief, the majority of Plaintiff's pay and time records are in the possession of Defendants.
- 34. The additional persons who may become Plaintiffs in this action also "worked" for Defendants as servers, and were denied proper overtime compensation for overtime hours because Defendants paid an hourly rate lower than the lawful minimum

5

hourly overtime rate for tipped employees, and were also denied proper minimum wage and overtime compensation because Defendants paid only the tipped minimum wage to its servers even though they performed significant non-tipped work due to the same policies, practices and procedures applicable to Plaintiff.

- 35. Defendants have violated Title 29 U.S.C. §206 from at least September 3, 2015, through July 21, 2016, in that:
 - Defendants have failed to pay Plaintiff, and those similarly situated,
 proper minimum wage for all hours worked for Defendants as
 required by the FLSA; and
 - b. No payments or provisions for payment have been made by Defendants to properly compensate Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, at the applicable minimum wage for each hour worked as provided by the FLSA, due to the policies and practices described above.
- 36. Defendants have violated Title 29 U.S.C. §207 from at least September 3, 2015, through July 21, 2016, in that:
 - a. Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, worked in excess of forty

 (40) hours in one or more workweeks for the period of
 employment with Defendants; and
 - b. No payments or provisions for payment have been made by Defendants to properly compensate Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, at the statutory rate of one and one-half times their regular rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per

workweek, as provided by the FLSA, due to the policies and practices described above.

- 37. Defendants' failure and/or refusal to properly compensate Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, at the rates and amounts required by the FLSA was willful, as Defendants are and have been aware of the FLSA mandates and their applicability to Plaintiff's employment, but chose not to pay him correct wages.
- 38. Defendants failed and/or refused to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff of his rights under the FLSA.
- 39. Plaintiff has retained the law firm of MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A. to represent Plaintiff in the litigation and has agreed to pay the firm a reasonable fee for its services.

COUNT I RECOVERY OF MINIMUM WAGES

- 40. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 39 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 41. Plaintiff was entitled to be paid the applicable minimum wage for each hour Plaintiff worked during Plaintiff's employment with Defendants.
- 42. Defendants paid Plaintiff the minimum wage applicable to tipped employees for significant daily hours Plaintiff spent doing non-tipped work.
- 43. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff the applicable minimum wage for each hour Plaintiff worked for Defendants performing significant schedules of non-tipped work.
- 44. Because Plaintiff was paid the tipped minimum wage for all hours, Defendants' use of the tip credit during significant hours of non-tipped work necessarily

resulted in Plaintiff's wages for each workweek falling below the minimum wage.

- 45. As a result of Defendants' actions in this regard, Plaintiff has not been paid the applicable minimum wage for each hour worked during one or more weeks of employment with Defendants.
- 46. Defendants had specific knowledge it was paying sub-minimum wage to Plaintiff, but still failed to pay Plaintiff at least minimum wages.
- 47. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff the applicable minimum wage for all hours during one or more weeks of work contrary to 29 U.S.C. §206.
- 48. Due to the intentional, willful, and unlawful acts of Defendants, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer damages and lost applicable minimum wage for one or more weeks of work contrary to 29 U.S.C. §206.
- 49. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

COUNT II RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION

- 50. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 39 of the Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.
- 51. From September 3, 2015, through July 21, 2016, Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours in one or more workweeks for which Plaintiff was compensated at a rate lower than the minimum lawful overtime wage rate.
- 52. Plaintiff was, and is, entitled to be paid at least the lowest lawful overtime wage rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek.
- 53. Defendants failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff's rights under the FLSA.

- 54. Defendants' actions were willful and/or showed reckless disregard for the provisions of the FLSA, as evidenced by their failure to compensate Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, at the statutory rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for the hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek when they knew, or should have known, such was, and is, due.
- 55. Due to the intentional, willful, and unlawful acts of Defendants, Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, suffered and continue to suffer damages and lost compensation for time worked over forty (40) hours per week, plus liquidated damages.
- 56. Based upon information and belief, the employees and former employees of Defendants similarly situated to Plaintiff were not paid proper overtime for hours worked in excess of forty (40) in one or more workweeks, because Defendants have failed to properly pay Plaintiff proper overtime wages for such hours, pursuant to a policy, plan or decision equally applicable to similarly situated employees.
- 57. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, for himself and on behalf of those similarly situated, request conditional certification; pursuant to Section 216(b) of the FLSA, of a class of the servers who worked for Defendants in the three years preceding the filing of the complaint, an order permitting Notice to all potential class members; a Declaration that Defendants' policy violates the FLSA; entry of judgment in Plaintiff's favor and against Defendants for actual and liquidated damages, as well as costs, expenses and attorneys' fees and such other relief deemed proper by this Court.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues so triable as a matter of right by jury.

Respectfully submitted this day of November, 2016.

Angeli Murthy, Esq.

Florida Bar No.: 088758 amurthy@forthepeople.com

Morgan & Morgan, P.A.

600 North Pine Island Road

Suite 400

Plantation, Florida 33324

Telephone: (954) 318-0268 Facsimile: (954) 327-3016 Trial Attorneys for Plaintiff

EXHIBIT "A"

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF STATE DIVISION OF CORPORATIONS



Detail by Entity Name

Foreign Limited Liability Company

NORTHWOOD HOSPITALITY LLC

Filing Information

Document Number

M11000003130

FEI/EIN Number

27-1721466

Date Filed

06/20/2011

State

DE

Status

ACTIVE

Last Event

LC AMENDMENT

Event Date Filed

07/19/2011

Event Effective Date

NONE

Principal Address

1819 Wazee, 2nd Floor Denver, CO 80202

Changed: 04/28/2016

Mailing Address

1819 Wazee, 2nd Floor Denver, CO 80202

Changed: 04/28/2016

Registered Agent Name & Address

C T CORPORATION SYSTEM 1200 SOUTH PINE ISLAND ROAD PLANTATION, FL 33324

Name Changed: 12/26/2013

Address Changed: 12/26/2013

Authorized Person(s) Detail

Name & Address

Title COO

AULIS, ERWIN 575 FIFTH AVE., 23RD FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10017 Title P

KUKRAL, JOHN 575 FIFTH AVE., 23RD FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10017

Title VPS

WANG, JONATHAN 575 FIFTH AVE., 23RD FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10017

Annual Reports

Report Year	Filed Date
2014	09/18/2014
2015	01/09/2015
2016	04/28/2016

Document Images

04/28/2016 ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
01/09/2015 ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
09/18/2014 ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
12/26/2013 Reg. Agent Change	View image in PDF format
01/17/2013 ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
07/17/2012 ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
07/19/2011 LC Amendment	View image in PDF format
06/20/2011 Foreign Limited	View image in PDF format

<u>Copyright</u> © and <u>Privacy Policies</u>
State of Florida, Department of State

EXHIBIT "B"

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF STATE DIVISION OF CORPORATIONS Home Contact Us **E-Filing Services Document Searches Forms** Help Previous on List **Next on List** Return to List Fictitious Name Search No Filing History Submit **Fictitious Name Detail Fictitious Name** NAPLES GRANDE RESORT & SPA Filing Information Registration Number G13000106455 Status **ACTIVE** Filed Date 10/29/2013 **Expiration Date** 12/31/2018 **Current Owners** County MULTIPLE Total Pages **Events Filed** NONE FEI/EIN Number 90-1006872 Mailing Address 575 5TH AVENUE, 23RD FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10017 Owner Information **NWNG LLC** 575 5TH AVENUE, 23RD FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10017 FEI/EIN Number: 90-1006872 Document Number: M13000005790 Document Images 10/29/2013 -- Fictitious Name Filing View image in PDF format

| Home | Contact us | Document Searches | E-Filing Services | Forms | Help | Copyright © and Privacy Policies
State of Florida, Department of State

Return to List

Next on List

Previous on List

No Filing History

Fictitious Name Search

Submit

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF STATE DIVISION OF CORPORATIONS



Detail by Entity Name

Foreign Limited Liability Company

NWNG LLC

Filing Information

Document Number

M13000005790

FEI/EIN Number

90-1006872

Date Filed

09/13/2013

State

DE

Status

ACTIVE

Last Event

LC STMNT OF RA/RO CHG

Event Date Filed

12/10/2015

Event Effective Date

NONE

Principal Address

1819 WAZEE 2ND FLOOR

DENVER, CO 80202

Changed: 12/10/2015

Mailing Address

1819 WAZEE 2ND FLOOR

DENVER, CO 80202

Changed: 12/10/2015

Registered Agent Name & Address

C T CORPORATION SYSTEM
1200 SOUTH PINE ISLAND ROAD

PLANTATION, FL 33324

Name Changed: 12/10/2015

Address Changed: 12/10/2015

<u>Authorized Person(s) Detail</u>

Name & Address

Title MGR

AULIS, ERWIN

575 5TH AVENUE, 23RD	FLOOR
NEW YORK, NY 10017	

Title MGR

KUKRAL, JOHN 575 5TH AVENUE, 23RD FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10017

Title MGR

WANG, JONATHAN 575 5TH AVENUE, 23RD FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10017

Title MGR

SULLIVAN, MICHAEL 575 5TH AVENUE, 23RD FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10017

Annual Reports

Report Year	Filed Date
2014	02/24/2014
2015	01/29/2015
2016	01/21/2016

Document Images

01/21/2016 ANNUAL REPORT [View image in PDF format
01/29/2015 ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
02/24/2014 ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
09/25/2013 LC Article of Correction	View image in PDF format
09/13/2013 Foreign Limited	View image in PDF format

<u>Copyright</u> © and <u>Privacy Policies</u>

State of Florida, Department of State

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS DAVID KAISER, for hims	self and on behalf of th	nose similarly situate	ed		DSPITALITY, LLC., a Fo	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Collier (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Angeli Murthy, Esq., Mor 600 N. Pine Island Rd, S (954) 318-0268	gan & Morgan, P.A.	,		Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	,		TF DEF 1	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	2	
				n or Subject of a cign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	0606
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						
CONTRACT		PERSONAL INJURY		RFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	0 Miller Act 0 Negotiable Instrument 0 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 1 Medicare Act 0 Slander 315 Airplane Product Liability 1 367 Health Care/ 9 Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 9 Jander Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability ☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal		5 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 881 O Other	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark	375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	□ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability	720 740 751	LABOR Description of the control of	SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g))	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		Employee Retirement	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General		Income Security Act	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer, w/Disabilities - Employment ☐ 446 Amer, w/Disabilities - Other ☐ 448 Education	☐ 535 Death Penalty Other: ☐ 540 Mandamus & Other: ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detaince - Conditions of Confinement	7 3/462 ☐ 462 ☐ 465	IMMIGRATION Naturalization Application Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes
	noved from	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reins Reope		r District Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 28 U.S.C §1331; Brief description of ca Unpaid Wages	tute under which you are 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, 2 use:	filing (D 06, 207			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.		EMAND \$ Jnknown	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	r if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	C(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE	\bigcirc		DOCKET NUMBER	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATUREOF ATT	O O	FRECORD		
	10UNT	APPLYING IFP		/ JUDGE	MAG. JU	IDGE

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 11/15)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
 - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Class Action Claims Northwood Hospitality Owes Unpaid Wages</u>