Case 1:16-cv-06279 Document 1 Filed 11/11/16 Page 1 of 13 PageID #: 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ISAAC KAFF on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated consumers

Plaintiff,

-against-

ATLANTIC RECOVERY SOLUTIONS, LLC

Defendant.

# CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

# **INTRODUCTION**

- Plaintiff, Isaac Kaff, brings this action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, by way of this Class Action Complaint for the illegal practices of Defendant, Atlantic Recovery Solutions, LLC who, inter alia, used false, deceptive, and misleading practices, and other illegal practices, in connection with its attempts to collect an alleged debt from the Plaintiff and others.
- The Plaintiff alleges that Defendant's collection practices violate the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"). Such collection practices include, inter alia:
  - Leaving telephonic voice messages for consumers, which fail to provide meaningful disclosure of Defendant's identity;
  - (b) Leaving telephonic voice messages for consumers, which fail to disclose that the call is from a debt collector; and

#### Case 1:16-cv-06279 Document 1 Filed 11/11/16 Page 2 of 13 PageID #: 2

- (c) Leaving telephonic voice messages for consumers, which fail to disclose the purpose or nature of the communication (i.e. an attempt to collect a debt).
- 3. The FDCPA regulates the behavior of collection agencies attempting to collect a debt on behalf of another. The United States Congress has found abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors, and has determined that abusive debt collection practices contribute to a number of personal bankruptcies, marital instability, loss of jobs, and invasions of individual privacy. Congress enacted the FDCPA to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to ensure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote uniform State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses. 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a) (e).
- 4. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute, which provides for actual or statutory damages upon the showing of one violation. The Second Circuit has held that whether a debt collector's conduct violates the FDCPA should be judged from the standpoint of the "least sophisticated consumer." <u>Clomon v. Jackson, 988 F.2d 1314 (2d Cir. 1993)</u>.
- 5. To prohibit harassment and abuses by debt collectors, the FDCPA, at 15 U.S.C. § 1692d, provides that a debt collector may not engage in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt and names a non-exhaustive list of certain per se violations of harassing and abusive collection conduct. 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(l)-(6). Among the per se violations prohibited by that section are the placement of telephone calls without meaningful disclosure of the caller's identity. 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(6).

6. To prohibit deceptive practices, the FDCPA, at 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, outlaws the use of false, deceptive, and misleading collection practices and names a non-exhaustive list of certain per se violations of false and deceptive collection conduct. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(1)-(16). Among the per se violations prohibited by that section are: using any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10); the failure by debt collectors to disclose in initial oral communications that the debt collector is attempting to collect a debt and that any information obtained will be used for that purpose, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11); and the failure by debt collectors to disclose in subsequent oral communications with consumers that the communication is from a debt collector, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11).

### PARTIES

- 7. At all times relevant to this lawsuit, Plaintiff is citizen of the State of New York who resides within this District.
- 8. Plaintiff is consumer as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(3) of the FDCPA.
- 9. The alleged debt that Defendant sought to collect from the Plaintiff involves a consumer debt.
- 10. At all times relevant to this lawsuit, Defendant's principal place of business was located within East Amherst, New York.
- 11. Defendant is regularly engaged upon, for profit, in the collection of allegedly owed consumer debts.
- 12. Defendant is a "debt collector" as specifically defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).

# **JURISDICTION & VENUE**

- 13. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 14. Venue is appropriate in this federal district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims of Plaintiff occurred within this federal judicial district.

# FACTS PARTICULAR TO ISAAC KAFF

- 15. Upon information and belief, on a date better known by Defendant, Defendant began to attempt to collect an alleged consumer debt from the Plaintiff.
- 16. Within the one year immediately preceding the filing of this complaint, the Defendant contacted the Plaintiff on multiple occasions via telephone and left numerous voice messages in an attempt to collect the alleged obligation.
- 17. By way of limited example only, the following is a transcript of one such message thatDefendant left for Plaintiff on his cellular telephone voicemail system on or about May24, 2016:

"This message is for Isaac Kaff; this is Gregg calling from Atlantic Recovery Solutions; I have a time-sensitive file here in my office that does require you attention; you can reach me directly 7168445929 and when calling in refer to file number 764396. Thank you."

- 18. At the time Plaintiff received the said messages, he did not know the identity of the caller.
- 19. At the time Plaintiff received the said messages, he did not know that the caller was a debt collector.
- 20. At the time Plaintiff received the said messages, he did not know that the call concerned the collection of a debt.
- 21. Each of the messages is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

#### Case 1:16-cv-06279 Document 1 Filed 11/11/16 Page 5 of 13 PageID #: 5

- 22. Each of the above messages uniformly failed to identify the callers as debt collectors attempting to collect a debt.
- 23. The only way for Plaintiff and/or any least sophisticated consumer to obtain the identity of the caller leaving the messages, and to ascertain the purpose underlying the messages, was to place a return call to the telephone number provided in the messages and speak with a debt collector employed by Atlantic Recovery Solutions, LLC, and to provide the debt collector with personal information.
- 24. The Defendant intended that the messages have the effect of causing Plaintiff, and other least sophisticated consumers, to place return calls to the telephone number provided in the messages and to speak with their debt collectors, and then provide those debt collectors with their personal information, as the sole means of obtaining the identity of the caller leaving the messages, and to ascertain the purpose underlying the messages. Scores of federal court decisions including the 2nd Circuit Court of Appeals and

District Courts within the State of New York – uniformly hold that the FDCPA requires debt collectors to provide meaningful identification of itself in telephonic voice messages left for consumers, such as the said messages, by accurately stating the name of the debt collection company and stating the nature and/or purpose of the call.

- 25. At all times relevant to this action, Atlantic Recovery Solutions, LLC was aware of the substantial weight of legal authority requiring it to provide meaningful identification of itself in telephonic voice messages left for consumers, such as the said messages, by accurately stating its company name and stating the nature and/or purpose of the call.
- 26. At all times relevant to this action, Atlantic Recovery Solutions, LLC willfully, deliberately, and intentionally chose not to provide meaningful identification of itself in

-5

#### Case 1:16-cv-06279 Document 1 Filed 11/11/16 Page 6 of 13 PageID #: 6

telephonic voice messages left for consumers, such as the said messages, by accurately stating its company name and stating the nature and/or purpose of the call.

- 27. The Defendant's act of leaving the said messages for Plaintiff is conduct the natural consequences of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse a person in connection with the collection of a debt and is in violation of the FDCPA.
- 28. The Defendant's act of leaving the said messages for Plaintiff constitutes the use of a false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of a debt and is in violation of the FDCPA.
- 29. The FDCPA secures a consumer's right to have a debt collector cease further communications with the consumer. By failing to meaningfully identify itself, disclose the purpose of its call and state that Atlantic Recovery Solutions, LLC is a debt collector in a manner understandable to the least sophisticated consumer, the Defendant has engaged in conduct designed to deprive consumers of their right to have a debt collector cease further communications.
- 30. It is Defendant's policy and practice to leave telephonic voice messages for consumers and other persons, such as the above said messages, that violate the FDCPA by, inter alia:
  - (a) Failing to disclose that the call is from a debt collector; and
  - (b) Failing to provide meaningful disclosure of Atlantic Recovery Solutions, LLC's identity; and
  - (c) Failing to disclose the purpose or nature of the communication, i.e. an attempt to collect a debt.
- 31. Upon information and belief, such messages, as alleged in this complaint, number at least in the hundreds.

#### Case 1:16-cv-06279 Document 1 Filed 11/11/16 Page 7 of 13 PageID #: 7

- 32. Defendant has engaged in a pattern of leaving messages without disclosing that the communication is from a debt collector.
- 33. The said telephone messages are in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d, 1692d(6), 1692e(10) and 1692e(11) for failing to indicate that the messages were from a debt collector which constitutes a deceptive practice.
- Plaintiff suffered injury in fact by being subjected to unfair and abusive practices of the Defendant.
- 35. Plaintiff suffered actual harm by being the target of the Defendant's misleading debt collection communications.
- 36. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right not to be the target of misleading debt collection communications.
- 37. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right to a truthful and fair debt collection process.
- Defendant used materially false, deceptive, misleading representations and means in its attempted collection of Plaintiff's alleged debt.
- 39. Defendant's communications were designed to cause the debtor to suffer a harmful disadvantage in charting a course of action in response to the Defendant's collection efforts.
- 40. The FDCPA ensures that consumers are fully and truthfully apprised of the facts and of their rights, the act enables them to understand, make informed decisions about, and participate fully and meaningfully in the debt collection process. The purpose of the FDCPA is to provide information that helps consumers to choose intelligently. The Defendant's false representations misled the Plaintiff in a manner that deprived him of his right to enjoy these benefits, these materially misleading statements trigger liability under

section 1692e of the Act.

- 41. These deceptive communications additionally violated the FDCPA since they frustrate the consumer's ability to intelligently choose his or her response.
- 42. Plaintiff seeks to end these violations of the FDCPA. Plaintiff has suffered damages including but not limited to, fear, stress, mental anguish, emotional stress and acute embarrassment. Plaintiff and putative class members are entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, including, declaratory relief, and damages.

# **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

- 43. This action is brought as a class action. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 44. With respect to the Plaintiff's Class, this claim is brought on behalf of a class of: (a) all persons in the State of New York; (b) for whom Atlantic Recovery Solutions, LLC left a voicemail or answering machine message, in the form of the above said messages; (c) that did not identify Atlantic Recovery Solutions, LLC by its true company name or state that the call was for collection purposes; (d) made in connection with Atlantic Recovery Solutions, LLC's attempt to collect a debt; (e) which the said messages violate the FDCPA; (f) during a period beginning one year prior to the filing of this initial action and ending 21 days after the service of the initial complaint filed in this action.
- 45. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Atlantic Recovery Solutions, LLC and those business and governmental entities on whose behalf it attempts to collect debts.

#### Case 1:16-cv-06279 Document 1 Filed 11/11/16 Page 9 of 13 PageID #: 9

- 46. Excluded from the Plaintiff's Class is the Defendant and all officers, members, partners, managers, directors, and employees of Atlantic Recovery Solutions, LLC, and all of their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action and all members of their immediate families.
- 47. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff's Class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether the Defendant's telephonic voice messages, such as the above said messages, violate 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d, 1692d(6), 1692e(10), and 1692e(11).
- 48. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories.
- 49. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff's Class defined in this complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor his attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.
- 50. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
  - (a) <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Plaintiff's Class defined above is so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
  - (b) <u>**Common Questions Predominate:**</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff's Class and those questions predominate

-9

over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether the Defendant's telephonic voice messages, such as the above said messages violate 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d, 1692d(6), 1692e(10), and 1692e(11).

- (c) <u>Typicality:</u> The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff's Class defined in this complaint have claims arising out of the Defendant's common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
- (d) <u>Adequacy:</u> The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor his counsel have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.
- (e) <u>Superiority:</u> A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(l)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is appropriate because adjudications with respect to individual

members create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications which could establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant who, on information and belief, collects debts throughout the United States of America.

- 51. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that a determination that the said messages violate 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d(6), 1692e(10), and/or 1692e(11) is tantamount to declaratory relief and any monetary relief under the FDCPA would be merely incidental to that determination.
- 52. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff's Class predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 53. Further, Defendant has acted, or failed to act, on grounds generally applicable to the Rule(b)(l)(A) and (b)(2) Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief with respect to the Class as a whole.
- 54. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify one or more classes only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

# Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act brought by Plaintiff on behalf of himself and the members of a class, as against the Defendant.

55. Plaintiff re-states, re-alleges, and incorporates herein by reference, paragraphs one (1) through fifty four (54) as if set forth fully in this cause of action.

- 56. Defendant violated the FDCPA. Defendant's violations with respect to the above said messages include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - (a) Engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d;
  - (b) Leaving telephonic voice messages which fail to disclose the purpose or nature of the communication (i.e., an attempt to collect a debt), in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(6);
  - Using a false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10);
  - (d) Failing to disclose in its initial communication with the consumer, when that communication is oral, that Defendant, Atlantic Recovery Solutions, LLC was attempting to collect a debt and that any information obtained will be used for that purpose, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11); and
  - (e) Failing to disclose in all oral communications that Atlantic Recovery Solutions, LLC is a debt collector, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11).

# **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

57. As a direct and proximate result of these violations of the above FDCPA violations, Plaintiff and class members have suffered harm and are entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, and to recover actual and statutory damages, costs and attorney's fees.

# Case 1:16-cv-06279 Document 1 Filed 11/11/16 Page 13 of 13 PageID #: 13

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff, respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in Plaintiff's favor and against the Defendant and award damages as follows:

- (a) Statutory and actual damages provided under the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k);
- (b) Attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs incurred in bringing this action; and
- (c) An order enjoining and directing Defendant to comply with the FDCPA in its debt collection activities, including without limitation:
  - Directing Defendant to cease engaging in debt collection practices that violate the FDCPA; and
- (d) Any other relief that this Court deems appropriate and just under the circumstances.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York November 11, 2016

> /s/ Maxim Maximov Maxim Maximov, Esq. Attorneys for the Plaintiff Maxim Maximov, LLP 1701 Avenue P Brooklyn, New York 11229 Office: (718) 395-3459 Facsimile: (718) 408-9570 E-mail: m@maximovlaw.com

Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Maxim Maximov Maxim Maximov, Esq.

# JS 44 (Rev. 1/2013) Case 1:16-cv-06279 Document Covers Heer 14/14/16 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 14

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* 

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	
ISAAC KAFF			ATLANTIC RECOVERY SOLUTIONS, LLC	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff <u>KINGS</u> (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) MAXIM MAXIMOV, LLP OFFICE: (718) 395-3459 1701 AVENUE P FAX: (718) 408-9570 BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11229 E-MAIL: M@MAXIMOVLAW			Attorneys (If Known)	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only)     and One Box for Defendant)       PTF     DEF       Citizen of This State     1       1     1       Incorporated or Principal Place     4       0     1       1     1       1     1	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	□ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated <i>and</i> Principal Place 5 5 5 of Business In Another State	
			Citizen or Subject of a $\Box$ 3 $\Box$ 3 Foreign Nation $\Box$ 6 $\Box$ 6 Foreign Country	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)           CONTRACT         TORTS         FORFEITURE/PENALTY         BANKRUPTCY         OTHER STATUTES				
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise <b>REAL PROPERTY</b> 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR  PERSONAL INJUR  365 Personal Injury Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 530 General 530 General 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	of Property 21 USC 881       423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157       400 State Reapportionment         690 Other       430 Banks and Banking         90 Other       430 Danks and Banking         91 Other       430 PROPERTY RIGHTS         92 Ochyrights       460 Deportation         93 O Patent       470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations         1       840 Trademark         1       10 Fair Labor Standards Act         92 O Labor/Management Relations       861 HIA (1395ff)         93 Back Lung (923)       863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))         93 Revitations       864 SSID Title XVI         970 Cher Labor Litigation       865 RSI (405(g))         970 Other Labor Litigation       870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)         970 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)       899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision         950 Constitutionality of State Statutes       950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
		Remanded from [ Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or 5 Transferred from 6 Multidistrict Reopened Another District Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN 15 U.S.C. SECT Brief description of ca	ION 1692 FÁIR D ause:	re filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT (FDCPA) BT COLLECTION BUSINESS PRACTICES	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A <b>CLASS ACTION</b> 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N       DEMAND \$       CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:         JURY DEMAND:       X Yes       □ No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	<b>E(S)</b> (See instructions):	JUDGE	DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 11/11/2016 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /S/ MAXIM MAXIMOV, ESQ.		
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE MAG. JUDGE	

# Case 1:16-cv-062 R9 I Elocarition 10F ARIBUTER ALLION Elabor 12 PY ageID #: 15

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I,  $\underline{N/A}$ , counsel for \_\_\_\_\_, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,

the complaint seeks injunctive relief,

the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

# **DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1**

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

N/A

# **RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)**

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

#### NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

- Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County: NO
- If you answered "no" above:
   a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County?

b) Did the events of omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? YES

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?\_\_\_\_\_\_

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

# BAR ADMISSION

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. X Yes No

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?

(If yes, please explain)

Yes

No No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature: /S/ MAXIM MAXIMOV, ESQ.

Case 1:16-cv-06279 Document 1-2 Filed 11/11/16 Page 1 of 1 PageID #: 16

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ISAAC KAFF on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated consumers

Plaintiff,

-against-

ATLANTIC RECOVERY SOLUTIONS, LLC

Defendant.

# SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

TO: ATLANTIC RECOVERY SOLUTIONS, LLC 275 NORTHPOINTE PARKWAY, SUITE 80 AMHERST, NEW YORK 14228

**YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED** and required to file with the Clerk of this Court and serve upon PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY:

MAXIM MAXIMOV, ESQ. MAXIM MAXIMOV, LLP 1701 AVENUE P BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11229

an answer to the complaint which is herewith served upon you, with **21** days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.

CLERK

DATE

BY DEPUTY CLERK

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Atlantic Recovery Solutions Latest FDCPA Class Action Target</u>