

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION

JOHN ACE JONES,)
INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF)
OF ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY)
SITUATED,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
FINANCIAL ASSET)
MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS, INC.)
Defendant.)

Case No.:

CLASS ACTION
COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, John Ace Jones, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated, brings this action under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 *et seq.* (“FDCPA”), for a finding that Defendant’s form debt collection letter violated the FDCPA, and to recover damages for Defendant’s violations of the FDCPA, and alleges:

Jurisdiction

1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 as the action arises under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 *et seq.* (“FDCPA”), a federal statute.
2. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (2).

3. Venue is proper in this Court because the acts and transactions originated in the Northern District of Georgia and Defendant resides in the Northern District and the Atlanta Division.

Parties

4. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Gordon, Georgia, which is located in Baldwin County, and is a “consumer” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3), and/or a person affected by a violation of the FDCPA with standing to bring this claim under 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692k.
5. Defendant Financial Asset Management Systems, Inc. (“FAMS”) is a debt collection company that uses the mail to actively collect consumer debts in the State of Georgia. FAMS is a “debt collector” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
6. Via an instrumentality of interstate commerce, Defendant FAMS operates a nationwide debt collection business and attempts to collect debts from consumers in several states, including Georgia.
7. The Summons and Complaint may be served on Defendant FAMS by service on its registered agent in Georgia, Timothy Burson at 200 Ashford Center North, Suite 500, Atlanta, GA 30338.

The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

8. Congress enacted the FDCPA to “eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to ensure that those debt collectors who

refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses.” 15 U.S.C. § 1692(e); *see also Jerman v. Carlisle, McNellie, Rini, Kramer & Ulrich L.P.A.*, 559 U.S. 573, 576 (2010).

Factual Allegations Common to the Class

9. As a matter of pattern and practice, Defendant FAMS sends form debt collections to hundreds of consumers who may, or may not, have received medical treatment from certain healthcare provider(s) in the Emory University Healthcare System.
10. These “dunning” letters never identify the specific provider(s) or entity that the consumer allegedly owes money to. These letters, instead, identify the original creditor generally as “EMORY HEALTHCARE PROVIDER.”
11. Defendant FAMS’ letter fails to accurately identify the original creditor. There are literally hundreds of healthcare providers in the Emory Healthcare System. “EMORY HEALTHCARE PROVIDER” could mean any number of individual providers, third parties, or affiliates who may (or may not) have rendered services to consumers(s).
12. Defendant FAMS’ form debt collection letters also assert to the consumers receiving these letters that the alleged amount(s) owed to the

unidentifiable “EMORY HEALTHCARE PROVIDER” will increase due to “interest, late charges, and other charges” if not paid within a certain window time period.

13. Prior to receiving these form letters, consumer(s) did not receive a bill from any entity or individual claiming to be “EMORY HEALTHCARE PROVIDER,” as no such individual or entity exists.

14. Therefore, Consumer(s) are unable to ascertain whether the charges listed in the dunning letters are legitimate.

15. Because there is no such creditor “EMORY HEALTHCARE PROVIDER,” Defendant FAMS was never authorized to charge, collect, or threaten to impose interest or late charges. With respect to all putative class members, these letters were intended to create the false impression in consumer(s) that the amount owed may increase unless he or she acts immediately to pay the alleged debt.

16. The identity of a consumer’s creditor is a critical piece of information and materially affects the way that consumer(s) proceed.

17. With respect to all putative class members and at all times relevant for this Complaint, Defendant FAMS was attempting to collect a consumer debt.

18. With respect to all putative class members and at all times relevant for this Complaint, Defendant FAMS used interstate commerce—chiefly the

United States Postal Service—to send materially misleading collection letters to consumer(s) from December 22, 2017 to the present.

Factual Allegations of Plaintiff

19. Defendant FAMS sent Plaintiff Jones a form debt collection letter dated December 22, 2017, which identifies the original creditor as “EMORY HEALTHCARE PROVIDER” and further states:

As of the date of this letter, you owe \$1,115.60. Because of interest, late charges, and other charges that may vary from day to day, the amount due on the day you pay may be greater.

20. A copy of Defendant’s December 22, 2018 form debt collection letter is attached hereto as Exhibit “A.”

21. Defendant FAMS sent Mr. Jones another form debt collection letter dated December 29, 2017, which again identified the original creditor as “EMORY HEALTHCARE PROVIDER” and again stated the amount owed may increase because of “interest, late charges, and other charges.” A copy of Defendant’s December 29, 2017 form debt collection letter is attached hereto as Exhibit “B.”

22. As with other letters to putative class members, Defendant FAMS’ letter fails to accurately identify the original creditor. There are hundreds of healthcare providers in the Emory Healthcare System. “EMORY HEALTHCARE PROVIDER” could mean any number of individual

providers, third parties, or affiliates who may, or may not, have rendered services to Mr. Jones.

23. Prior to the FAMS' letter, Mr. Jones had never received a bill from any entity or individual claiming to be "EMORY HEALTHCARE PROVIDER."

24. Mr. Jones was unable to ascertain whether the charges listed in the December 22, 2017 and December 29, 2017 dunning letters were legitimate because he could not locate "EMORY HEALTHCARE PROVIDER" on any explanation of benefits sent to him by his health insurance and had never treated with any entity known as "EMORY HEALTHCARE PROVIDER."

25. The identity of a consumer's creditor is a critical piece of information, and the intentional misleading and/or false identification of the creditor in a dunning letter would be likely to mislead a consumer in a material way and could cause the consumer to suffer a disadvantage in charting the course of action in response to the collection effort. *Bourff v. Rubin Lublin, LLC*, 674 F.3d 1238, 1241 (11th Cir. 2012).

26. Because there is no such creditor "EMORY HEALTHCARE PROVIDER," Defendant FAMS was never authorized to charge, collect, or threaten to impose interest or late charges.

27. By erroneously stating the amount owed may increase because of “interest” and late charges, the December 22, 2017 and December 29, 2017 form debt collection letters create the false impression in the consumer that the amount owed may increase unless the consumer acts immediately to pay the alleged debt and, thus, is intended to mislead the consumer in a material way and to cause the consumer to suffer a disadvantage in charting the course of action in response to the collection effort.
28. Defendant FAMS was attempting to collect a consumer debt from Mr. Jones in the December 22, 2017 and December 29, 2017 form debt collection letters.
29. Mr. Jones received the December 22, 2017 and December 29, 2017 form debt collection letters.
30. The December 22, 2017 and December 29, 2017 debt collection letters were communications directed to Mr. Jones and originated in this judicial district.
31. Defendant FAMS used means of interstate commerce—chiefly the United States Postal Service—to send the December 22, 2017 and December 29, 2017 form debt collection letters to Mr. Jones.
32. Defendant FAMS’ collection actions complained of herein occurred within one year of the date of this Complaint.

33. Defendant FAMS' collection communications are to be interpreted under the "least sophisticated consumer" standard.

34. The debts identified in the December 22, 2017 and December 29, 2017 debt collection letters are for medical debt and, thus, are consumer debts.

Causes of Action

Count I – Violation of § 1692e

False, Deceptive, or Misleading Collection Actions

35. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs as though fully stated herein.

36. Section 1692e of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.

37. Making a false statement as to the name of the original creditor is a materially misleading statement, which violates § 1692e of the FDCPA, see, *Bourff, supra*.

38. Making a false statement as to the imposition of interest and late charges when the same were not accruing is a materially misleading statement, which violates § 1692e of the FDCPA.

39. Defendant's violations of § 1692e of the FDCPA render it liable for statutory damages, costs, and reasonable attorneys' fees. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.

Count II – Violation of § 1692f

Unfair or Unconscionable Collection Actions

40. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

41. Section 1692f of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collection from using any unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect a debt.

42. Defendant FAMS, by falsely stating the original creditor was “EMORY HEALTHCARE PROVIDER” on December 22, 2017 and December 29, 2017 and by falsely stating interest and other charges were accruing, used unfair or unconscionable collection means to collect a debt, in violation of § 1692f of the FDCPA.

43. Defendant’s violations of § 1692e of the FDCPA render it liable for statutory damages, costs, and reasonable attorneys’ fees. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.

Class Allegations

44. Plaintiff brings this action seeking class certification accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 on behalf of himself and the following Class:

All persons in the United States who on a date one year prior to the filing of the initial complaint in this case to the date notice is sent to the Class sent to the Class, (2) received a collection letter (as represented by Exhibit “A” and Exhibit “B” to this Complaint) via an instrument of interstate commerce, e.g. United States Mail, from Defendant FAMS, (3) for the purpose

of collecting a debt, with (4) the misidentification of the creditor as “EMORY HEALTHCARE PROVIDER.”

45. The following individuals are excluded from the Classes: (1) any Judge or Magistrate presiding over this action and members of their families; (2) Defendant, Defendant’s subsidiaries, parents, successors, predecessors, and any entity in which Defendant or its parents have a controlling interest and its current or former employees, officers, and directors; (3) Plaintiff’s attorneys; (4) persons who properly execute and file a timely request for exclusion from the classes; (5) the legal representatives, successors or assigns of any such excluded persons; and (6) persons whose claims against Defendant have been fully and finally adjudicated and/or released. Plaintiff anticipates the need to amend the classes’ definitions following discovery regarding the size and scope of the alleged classes

46. On information and belief, the number of members of the Classes are so numerous as to make joinder impracticable.

47. This action seeks a finding that Defendant FAMS’ use of the aforementioned form letters that misidentify the creditor(s) violates the FDCPA and asks that the Court award damages as authorized by § 1692k(a)(2) of the FDCPA.

48. Plaintiff Jones' claims are typical of the claims of the Class. Common questions of law or fact raised by this class action complaint affect all members of the Class and predominate over any individual issues. Common relief is therefore sought on behalf of all members of the Class.

49. This class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

50. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to the individual members of the Class, and a risk that any adjudications with respect to individual members of the Class would, as a practical matter, either be dispositive of the interests of other members of the Class not party to the adjudication, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests. Defendant FAMS has acted in a manner applicable to the Class as a whole such that declaratory relief is warranted.

51. Plaintiff Jones will fairly and adequately protect and represent the interests of the Class. The management of the class action proposed is not extraordinarily difficult, and the factual and legal issues raised by this class action complaint will not require extended contact with the members of the Class, because Defendant's conduct was perpetrated on all members of the Class and will be established by common proof.

Moreover, Plaintiff Jones has retained counsel experienced in class action litigation and seasoned consumer rights litigators.

Demand for a Jury Trial

52. Trial by jury is hereby demanded.

Prayer for Relief

Plaintiff, John Ace Jones, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, prays that this Court:

1. Certify this action as a class action;
2. Appoint Plaintiff Jones as Class Representative of the Class and his attorneys as Class Counsel;
3. Find that Defendant FAMS' form collection letter violates the FDCPA;
4. Enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff Jones and the Class, and against Defendant FAMS, for statutory damages, costs, and reasonable attorneys' fees as provided by § 1692k(a) of the FDCPA; and,
5. Grant such further relief as deemed just.

John Ace Jones, individually and on
behalf of all other similarly situated,

Submitted December 18, 2018.

/s/Ronald Edward Daniels
RONALD EDWARD DANIELS
Georgia Bar No.: 540854

P.O. BOX 4939
Eastman, GA 31023

478.227.7331 (t)
478.352.0173 (f)
ron@dlawllc.com
rondanielslaw.com

Cliff Carlson Law, P.C.
1114-C1 Highway 96 #347
Kathleen, Georgia 31047
Tel. 478-254-1018
cc@cliffcarlsonlaw.com

Shamp Jordan Woodward LLC
1718 Peachtree Street NW
Suite 660
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
Tel. 404-893-9400
jordan@sjwtriallaw.com

/s/ Clifford Carlson
Clifford Carlson
Georgia Bar No. 227503

/s/ Jennifer Auer Jordan
Jennifer Auer Jordan
Georgia Bar No. 027857

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1D, the undersigned counsel certifies that this document has been prepared using Times New Roman 14-point font.

Submitted December 18, 2018.

/s/Ronald Edward Daniels
RONALD EDWARD DANIELS
Georgia Bar No.: 540854

P.O. BOX 4939
Eastman, GA 31023
478.227.7331 (t)
478.352.0173 (f)
ron@dlawllc.com
rondanielslaw.com

Please read the following important rights:

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request of this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor. This communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

Calls to and from FAMS may be monitored and/or recorded.

Hours: Monday through Friday: 8:00 AM to 7:00 PM; Select Saturdays: 8:00 AM to 12:00 PM; Sunday: Closed

Voice Mail: The toll-free number provided with your notice accepts voice mail messages 24 hours daily. Please leave a complete message containing your full name; creditor; FAMS account ID number; name of the person within FAMS that you wish to reach; and your 10-digit callback number. Calls will be returned within two business days.

Corporate Office: 665 Molly Lane, Suite 110, Woodstock, GA 30189; 1-866-924-3267

Location: 70 Corporate Hills Drive, Suite 103, St. Charles, MO 63301

Notice: If you send FAMS a check to make your payment, your check may be converted into an electronic fund transfer. "Electronic fund transfer" is the term used to refer to the process by which we electronically instruct your financial institution to transfer funds from your account to our account rather than processing your check.

NOTE CHANGES ONLY

FIRST NAME																					MI	
LAST NAME																						
ADDRESS																						
CITY											HOME PHONE		-		-							
STATE		ZIP			-			WORK PHONE			-											

EMAIL ADDRESS _____ @ _____

Please read the following important rights:

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request of this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor. This communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

Calls to and from FAMS may be monitored and/or recorded.

Hours: Monday through Friday: 8:00 AM to 7:00 PM; Select Saturdays: 8:00 AM to 12:00 PM; Sunday: Closed

Voice Mail: The toll-free number provided with your notice accepts voice mail messages 24 hours daily. Please leave a complete message containing your full name; creditor; FAMS account ID number; name of the person within FAMS that you wish to reach; and your 10-digit callback number. Calls will be returned within two business days.

Corporate Office: 665 Molly Lane, Suite 110, Woodstock, GA 30189; 1-866-924-3267

Location: 70 Corporate Hills Drive, Suite 103, St. Charles, MO 63301

Notice: If you send FAMS a check to make your payment, your check may be converted into an electronic fund transfer. "Electronic fund transfer" is the term used to refer to the process by which we electronically instruct your financial institution to transfer funds from your account to our account rather than processing your check.

NOTE CHANGES ONLY

FIRST NAME																					MI	
LAST NAME																						
ADDRESS																						
CITY											HOME PHONE		-		-							
STATE		ZIP		-			WORK PHONE		-		-											

EMAIL ADDRESS _____ @ _____

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

JOHN ACE JONES, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED,

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff BALDWIN (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Ron Daniels, Daniels Law LLC P.O. BOX 4939 Eastman, GA 31023 478-227-7331

DEFENDANTS

FINANCIAL ASSET MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS, INC.

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff, 2 U.S. Government Defendant, 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party), 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- Citizen of This State, Citizen of Another State, Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country, PTF DEF, Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State, Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State, Foreign Nation

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.

Table with 5 columns: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, TORTS, CIVIL RIGHTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES. Includes various legal categories like Insurance, Personal Injury, Real Estate, etc.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding, 2 Removed from State Court, 3 Remanded from Appellate Court, 4 Reinstated or Reopened, 5 Transferred from Another District, 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer, 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 15 USC 1692. Brief description of cause: ACTION FOR DAMAGES UNDER FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ in excess of \$100,000 CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

DATE 12/18/2018 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD s/ Clifford Carlson

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Financial Asset Management Systems Failed to Identify Creditor, Class Action Alleges](#)
