UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MALIKA JERMANY, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

MRS BPO, LLC

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff MALIKA JERMANY (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), a New York resident, brings this class action complaint by and through her attorneys, Cohen & Mizrahi LLP, against Defendants MRS BPO, LLC (hereinafter "Defendant"), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. Congress enacted the FDCPA in 1977 in response to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that "abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." *Id.* Congress concluded that "existing laws . . . [we]re inadequate to protect consumers," and that "the effective collection of debts" does not require "misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices." 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).
- 2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to "insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using

abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." *Id.* § 1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws were inadequate, *id.* § 1692(b), Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. *Id.* § 1692k.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. If applicable, the Court also has pendent jurisdiction over the state law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of New York consumers seeking redress
 for Defendant's actions of using a misleading, deceptive, unfair and unconscionable means to
 collect a debt.
- 6. Defendant's actions violated § 1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA") which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.
- 7. Plaintiff is seeking damages, and declaratory and injunctive relief.

PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff is a natural person and a resident of the State of New York, and is a "Consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(3).
- 9. Defendant is a collection agency with an office maintained in Cherry Hill, New Jersey.
- 10. Defendant is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile, and regularly engages in business, the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 11. Defendant is a "debt collector," as defined under the FDCPA under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 12. Plaintiff brings claims, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter "FRCP")
 Rule 23, individually and on behalf of the following consumer class (the "Class"):
 - Plaintiff brings this action individually and as a class action on behalf of all
 persons similarly situated in the State of New York from whom Defendant
 attempted to collect a consumer debt using the same unlawful form letter herein,
 from one year before the date of this Complaint to the present.
 - The Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 of the FRCP for maintaining a class action:
- 13. The Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 of the FRCP for maintaining a class action:
 - Upon information and belief, the Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable because there are hundreds and/or thousands of persons who have received debt collection letters and/or notices from Defendant that violate specific provisions of the FDCPA. Plaintiff is complaining of a standard form letter and/or notice that is sent to hundreds of persons (*See Exhibit A*, except that the undersigned attorney has, in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2 partially redacted the financial account numbers in an effort to protect Plaintiff's privacy);
 - There are questions of law and fact which are common to the Class and which
 predominate over questions affecting any individual Class member. These
 common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:
 - a. Whether Defendant violated various provisions of the FDCPA;
 - b. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have been injured by Defendant's conduct:
 - c. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages and are

entitled to restitution as a result of Defendant's wrongdoing and if so, what is the proper measure and appropriate statutory formula to be applied in determining such damages and restitution; and

- d. Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to declaratory and/or injunctive relief.
- Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class, which all arise from the same operative facts and are based on the same legal theories.
- Plaintiff has no interest adverse or antagonistic to the interest of the other members of the Class.
- Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the Class and has retained experienced and competent attorneys to represent the Class.
- A Class Action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication
 of the claims herein asserted. Plaintiff anticipates that no unusual difficulties are
 likely to be encountered in the management of this class action.
- A Class Action will permit large numbers of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously and without the duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would engender. Class treatment will also permit the adjudication of relatively small claims by many Class members who could not otherwise afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein. Absent a Class Action, class members will continue to suffer losses of statutory protected rights as well as monetary damages. If Defendant's conduct is allowed to proceed without remedy, it will continue to reap and retain the proceeds of its ill-gotten gains.

Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class, thereby
making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with
respect to the Class as a whole.

ALLEGATIONS PARTICULAR TO MALIKA JERMANY

- 14. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "13" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 15. Defendant collects and attempts to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the United States Postal Services, telephone, facsimile, and Internet.
- 16. Upon information and belief, within the last year Defendant commenced efforts to collect an alleged consumer "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5), when it mailed a Collection Letter to Plaintiff seeking to collect on an unpaid account allegedly owed to Chase Bank USA, N.A.
- 17. On or around July 6, 2017, Defendant sent Plaintiff a collection letter (hereinafter, the "Letter"). See Exhibit A.
- 18. The Letter was sent or caused to be sent by persons employed by Defendant as a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).
- 19. The Letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(2).
- 20. The Letter states in pertinent part: "If we settle this debt with you for less than the full outstanding balance, Chase may offer you less favorable terms in the future for some Chase products or services, or may deny your application."
- 21. As a result of the following Counts, Defendant violated the FDCPA.

First Count

15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(10), 1692e(2)(A) & 1692f

Defendant's Letter Dated July 6, 2017 Falsely Implies That Paying The Debt Claimed In Full Rather Than Accepting A Settlement Will Enhance The Consumer's Likelihood Of Receiving Future Credit Products, And Will Lead To Improved Creditworthiness

- 22. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "21" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 23. Sections 1692e, 1692e(10), and 1692e(2)(A), of Title 15 of the U.S. Code, prohibit false, misleading or deceitful statements in collection communications.
- 24. Section 1692f prohibits debt collectors from using unconscionable or unfair means in connection with the collection of a debt.
- 25. A collection notice that may confuse or mislead the least sophisticated consumer is deceptive under the FDCPA, such as where "it can be reasonably read to have two or more different meanings, one of which is inaccurate." *Russell v. Equifax A.R.S.*, 74 F.3d 30, 35 (2d Cir. 1996); *accord Brown v. Card Serv. Ctr.*, 464 F.3d 450, 455 (3rd Cir. 2006); *Kistener v. Law Offices of Michael P. Margelefsky, LLC*, 518 F.3d 433, 441 (6th Cir. 2008); *Gonzales v. Arrow Fin. Servs., LLC*, 660 F.3d 1055 (9th Cir. 2011) (conditional language on liability such as "may" or "if" may render a true statement misleading).
- 26. According to guidance published by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (hereinafter "CFPB"), a debt collector's representation to a consumer that paying debts may improve the consumer's creditworthiness or "enhance the likelihood that a consumer will subsequently receive credit from a lender" may be deceptive. CFPB Bulletin 2013-08 Representations Regarding Effect of Debt Payments on Credit Reports and Scores (July 10, 2013). available at: http://files.consumerfinance.gov/f/201307_cfpb_bulletin_collections-consumer-credit.pdf.

- 27. "The CFPB has authority to issue substantive rules for debt collection under the FDCPA."

 Zweigenhaft v. Receivables Performance Mgmt., LLC, No. 14 CV 01074 (RJD)(JMA), 2014

 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 160441, at *9 n.2 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 13, 2014).
- 28. Courts appropriately consider guidance in CFPB Bulletins and other publications to determine whether a given statement or communication violates the FDCPA. See, e.g., Zweigenhaft, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 160441; Bautz v. ARS Nat'l Servs., 226 F. Supp. 3d 131, 148 n.7 (E.D.N.Y. 2016); Portalatin v. Blatt, 125 F. Supp. 3d 810, 816 (N.D. Ill. 2015) (citing Jerman v. Carlisle, McNellie, Rini, Kramer & Ulrich, L.P.A., 559 U.S. 573, 130 S. Ct. 1605 (2010)). Carter v. First Nat'l Collection Bureau, Inc., 135 F. Supp. 3d 565, 573 (S.D. Tex. 2015); Buchanan v. Northland Grp., 776 F.3d 393, 398 (6th Cir. 2015); Oberg v. Blatt, Hasenmiller, Leibsker & Moore, LLC, No. 14 C 7369, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 172439, at *9 (N.D. Ill. Dec. 29, 2015).
- 29. Courts frequently adjure debt collectors to look to consumer protection agencies for compliance with the FDCPA, as the rules, guidance and advisory opinions issued by these agencies are supported by extensive scientific studies and research to determine whether certain collection practices are likely to deceive the least sophisticated consumer. *See*, *e.g.*, *Bautz*, 226 F. Supp.3d at 148 n.7; *Portalatin*, 125 F. Supp. 3d at 816 (*citing Jerman*, 559 U.S. 573 (2010)) ("the whole point of authorizing the CFPB to produce advisory opinions is to encourage debt collectors to seek CFPB guidance regarding the meaning of the FDCPA."); *Hasenmiller*, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 172439, at *9 (Section 1692k(e) "provides that a debt collector that acts in reliance on a CFPB advisory opinion cannot be held liable even if the CFPB advisory opinion is later rescinded or reversed, either by the agency or by judicial decision").

- 30. Defendant's July 6, 2017 letter is misleading and deceptive viewed from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer, in that it implies that the consumer may enhance her likelihood of approval for credit products by paying the claimed debt in full rather than the reduced settlement amount.
- 31. The language at issue states: "If we settle this debt with you for less than the full outstanding balance, Chase may offer you less favorable terms in the future for some Chase products or services, or may deny your application."
- 32. This language falsely implied that if the Plaintiff does the converse -- that is, pays the claimed balance in full rather than agreeing to the lesser settlement amount -- Plaintiff could enhance her likelihood of receiving future credit products from Chase Bank or improve her overall creditworthiness.
- 33. On information and belief, Plaintiff's payment in full of the amount claimed would not have enhanced her likelihood of obtaining Chase credit products or services in the future, nor would it have improved her overall creditworthiness.
- 34. Thus, Defendant's July 6, 2017 Letter violates Section 1692e(10) of the FDCPA when viewed from the perspective of the "least sophisticated consumer," by falsely implying that payment in-full (rather than settlement) of the claimed debt would have enhanced her likelihood of receiving future credit products or enhanced her overall creditworthiness.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

- (a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative and Cohen & Mizrahi LLP, as Class Counsel;
- (b) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
- (c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;
- (d) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
- (e) Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Daniel Cohen
Daniel Cohen, Esq.
Cohen & Mizrahi LLP
300 Cadman Plaza W, 12th floor
Brooklyn, New York 11201
Phone: 929.575.4175

Fax: 929.575.4195 Email: Dan@cml.legal Attorneys for Plaintiff

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Daniel Cohen
Daniel Cohen, Esq.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

July 10, 2018



S-SFMRSA11 P755EF00307790 - 521433646 107791 Return Address : MRS BPO, L.L.C. 1930 OLNEY AVE. CHERRY HILL, NJ 08003 PRESORT FIRST-CLASS U.S. POSTAGE & FEES PAID HOV SERVICES

438 68TH ST APT 1 BROOKLYN NY 11220-5950



Send Payment/Correspondence to: MRS Associates 1930 OLNEY AVE. CHERRY HILL, NJ 08003 800-689-2102

Office Hours:

Monday - Thursday 9am - 9pm ET Friday 9am - 5pm ET CREDITOR: CHASE BANK USA N.A.

MRS ACCT#: LU4.3197313

CREDITOR ACCT#: xxxxxxxxxx2060

ACCOUNT BALANCE: \$3,863.42

July 6, 2017

Dear MALIKA JERMANY,

We recognize that a possible hardship or pitfall may have prevented you from satisfying your obligation. We are presenting three options to resolve your balance. We are not obligated to renew this offer.

Option 1: You pay \$1,545.38 in ONE PAYMENT to be received in this office on or before 07/20/2017.

Option 2: You make TWO PAYMENTS of \$1,081.76 each. The first payment to be received in this office on or before 07/20/2017 and the second payment on or before 08/18/2017.

Option 3: A monthly payment plan to pay the full balance of the account.

Payment may be made by calling 800-689-2102, mailing to the above address or by using our online payment website at https://mrspay.webview.com (internet connection required).

When you call please let our representative know that you have received the CHASE BANK USA N.A. Option Letter.

Sincerely,

MRS Associates 800-689-2102 MRS Associates is a trade name of MRS BPO, L.L.C. LU4.**3197313**.8938985

This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. This communication is from a debt collector.

If we settle this debt with you for less than the full outstanding balance, Chase may offer you less favorable terms in the future for some Chase products or services, or may deny your application.

NEW YORK CITY RESIDENTS:

New York City Department of Consumer Affairs, license number 1292105, 1292103. MRS Associates contact: Denise Eichenberg - Mon - Fri 9 AM - 5 PM ET (800) 716-6429.

STL002wWP

3104

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sneet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FC	PRM.)					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
MALIKA JERMANY, on and all others similarly s			MRS BPO, LLC						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Kings				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant					
(E.	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ASES)		NOTE: IN LAND OF	,	LAINTIFF CASES O		OF.	
				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	OF LAND I	ON CASES, USE TI NVOLVED.	HE LOCATION (ЭГ	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)					
COHEN & MIZRAHI LLP,	_		11201						
(929)575-4175		,,,,	0.,						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	III. CI	 TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box f	or Plainti
□ 1 U.S. Government 💥 3 Federal Question				(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF		and One Box fo	or Defenda PTF	ant) DEF
Plaintiff			Citiz			Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		□ 4	1 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant			Citiz	en of Another State	1 2 🗖 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		□ 5	□ 5
			Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6 6 Foreign Country						
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY		here for: Nature of NKRUPTCY		scription STATUT	
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		25 Drug Related Seizure		eal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Cl		Lo
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other		☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))			
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	☐ 367 Health Care/		Li 690 Other		☐ 400 State Reapportionmer			ment
 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			PROPE □ 820 Copy	RTY RIGHTS yrights	☐ 410 Antitrus ☐ 430 Banks ar		g
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal			☐ 830 Pater	nt nt - Abbreviated	☐ 450 Commer ☐ 460 Deportat		
Student Loans	□ 340 Marine	Injury Product			New	Drug Application	☐ 470 Racketee	er Influenc	
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER		LABOR		SECURITY	₹ 480 Consum		.ons
of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud☐ 371 Truth in Lending	□ 71	0 Fair Labor Standards Act	□ 861 HIA □ 862 Blac	(1395ff) k Lung (923)	☐ 490 Cable/Sa☐ 850 Securitie		dities/
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	☐ 380 Other Personal	- 72	20 Labor/Management		C/DIWW (405(g))	Exchang	ge	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage		Relations 40 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI		☐ 890 Other St ☐ 891 Agricult	ural Acts	
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	□ 75	I Family and Medical Leave Act			☐ 893 Environr ☐ 895 Freedom		
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:		90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Employee Retirement		AL TAX SUITS es (U.S. Plaintiff	Act ☐ 896 Arbitrati	ion	
☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act		efendant)	☐ 899 Adminis		ocedure
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land☐	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	;			—Third Party JSC 7609	Agency	iew or App Decision	-
245 Tort Product Liability290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION	-		☐ 950 Constitu State Sta	tionality o	f
1 290 All Other Real Froperty	Employment	Other:		52 Naturalization Application	1		State Sta	tutes	
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth ☐ 550 Civil Rights	er 🛮 🗖 46	55 Other Immigration Actions					
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition☐ 560 Civil Detainee -							
		Conditions of							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	On a Para Only)	Confinement							
▼1 Original □ 2 Re		Remanded from Appellate Court	J 4 Rein Reo	pened Anothe	er District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	-	Multidis Litigatio Direct Fil	on -
	15 USC 1692	atute under which you a	re filing (A	(specify Do not cite jurisdictional sta	,		·	Direct I ii	ic .
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca Defendant violate								
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.) D	EMAND \$		CHECK YES only URY DEMAND:		complain	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKI	ET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY (OF RECORD					
7/10/18		/s/ Daniel Cohe	en						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE	_	_
	-								

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, DANIEL				ounsel for PLAINTIFF			, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action		
	is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):								
F	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,								
-	7	mplaint seeks injuncti							
Ľ	the ma	atter is otherwise ineliç	jible for th	e following reason	Question of	law ra	ather than questions of fact predominates		
		DISCLOSURE	STATI	EMENT - FEDE	RAL RUL	ES C	CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1		
NONE	≣	Identify any parent	corporation	on and any publicly h	eld corporatior	that o	wns 10% or more or its stocks:		
		RELATED CA	SE ST	ATEMENT (Se	ection VIII	on th	ne Front of this Form)		
to another substantial deemed "re "Presumpt	civil case for purpos I saving of judicial re elated" to another ci	ses of this guideline when esources is likely to result vil case merely because	, because of from assign the civil cas	of the similarity of facts a ning both cases to the s e: (A) involves identical	and legal issues ame judge and r legal issues, or	or becai nagistra (B) invol	ont of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" use the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a te judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be lives the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still		
			NY-E C	IVISION OF BU	SINESS RU	JLE 5	<u>0.1(d)(2)</u>		
1.)	Is the civil act	tion being filed in Yes	the Eas	tern District remo	oved from a	New	York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk		
2.)		red "no" above: ents or omissions Yes	giving r	ise to the claim c No	or claims, or	a sub	ostantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk		
	b) Did the even	ents or omissions Yes	giving r	ise to the claim o	or claims, or	a sub	ostantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern		
c) If this is a Fair Debt Collection Practice Act case, specify the County in which the offending communication was received: KINGS COUNTY .									
Suffolk (nts, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or ants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or		
•		ration shall be cons	idered a	resident of the Co	unty in which	it has	the most significant contacts).		
BAR ADMISSION									
	I am currently	admitted in the Eas	ern Distr	ict of New York an	d currently a	memb	per in good standing of the bar of this court.		
			Yes				No		
	Are you curre	ently the subject o		sciplinary action (s) in this or	any c	other state or federal court?		
	·	П	Yes	(If yes, please	, ,		No		
		_	1 69	(ii yos, piease t	λημαπί Ι		110		
	I certify the a	ccuracy of all info	rmation	provided above.					
	Signaturo:	/s/ Daniel Co	hon						

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York							
MALIKA JERMANY, on beha and all others similarly situa)))					
Plaintiff(. V.	s)) Civil Action No.					
MRS BPO, LLC)))					
Defendant	f(s))					
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION							
To: (Defendant's name and address)	MRS BPO, LLC C/O NATIONAL CORPOR 10 EAST 40TH STREET 1 NEW YORK, NEW YORK	0TH FLOOR					
A lawsuit has been file	ed against you.						
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney,							
whose name and address are:	COHEN & MIZRAHI LLP 300 CADMAN PLAZA WES 12TH FLOOR BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 1						
If you fail to respond, You also must file your answe		entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.					
		DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT					
Date:		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk					

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

		ne of individual and title, if ar	ny)						
was re	ceived by me on (date)		·						
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the ind	lividual at (place)						
		; or							
	on (date) ; or I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)								
			, a person of suitable age and discretion who res	ides there,					
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or								
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual) , w designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)								
	designated by law to	accept service of process		; or					
		on (date)							
	☐ I returned the summ	I returned the summons unexecuted because							
	☐ Other (<i>specify</i>):								
	My fees are \$	for travel and S	for services, for a total of \$	0.00					
	I declare under penalty								
Date:		-							
			Server's signature						
		_	Printed name and title						
		_	Server's address						

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: MRS BPO Sued Over Allegedly 'Deceptive' Collection Letter