

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

DORIS JEFFRIES,
2047 E. 115th St.
Cleveland, Ohio 44106,
on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

VOLUME SERVICES AMERICA, INC. (d/b/a
Centerplate and Centerplate/NBSE); and DOES 1
through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

No. 1:17-cv-01788

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff Doris Jeffries, by her counsel of record, brings this action on her own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated and alleges upon knowledge concerning each of her own respective acts and upon information and/or belief as to all other matters the following:

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated brings this action against VOLUME SERVICES AMERICA, INC. (d/b/a Centerplate and Centerplate/NBSE), and DOES 1 through 10 (all named and DOE defendants collectively referred to as “Defendants”) based on Defendants’ violations of the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act (“FACTA”), 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 *et seq.*

2. FACTA provides in relevant part that “**no person** that accepts credit cards or debit cards for the transaction of business **shall print more than the last 5 digits of the card number or the expiration date upon any receipt provided to the cardholder at the point of the sale or transaction.**” 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(g) (emphasis added).

3. The law gave merchants who accept credit and or debit cards up to three years to comply with its requirements, requiring full compliance with its provisions no later than December 4, 2006. Although Defendants had up to three years to comply, Defendants have willfully violated this law, and failed to protect Plaintiff and others similarly situated against identity theft and credit and debit card fraud, by printing more than the last 5 digits of the card number, the expiration date of the card, and the brand of the card (e.g. Mastercard, etc.) on receipts provided to credit card and/or debit card cardholders transacting business with Defendants. This conduct is in direct violation of FACTA. Moreover, Defendants' violations of FACTA are particularly egregious because they printed and disclosed the entire card number and the entire card expiration date on customer receipts provided to cardholders who transacted business with Defendants.

4. Defendants' willful violation of FACTA is not a trifling matter. In the statement provided during his signing of FACTA in 2003, President George W. Bush underscored the importance of the legislation in combating rampant identity theft:

“This bill also confronts the problem of identity theft. A growing number of Americans are victimized by criminals who assume their identities and cause havoc in their financial affairs. With this legislation, the Federal Government is protecting our citizens by taking the offensive against identity theft.”

5. Courts have likewise emphasized the purpose of FACTA. For example, the Ninth Circuit recently explained that, “[i]n fashioning FACTA, Congress aimed to ‘restrict the amount of information available to identity thieves.’” *Bateman v. Am. Multi-Cinema, Inc.*, 623 F.3d 708, 718 (9th Cir. 2010) (quoting 149 Cong. Rec. 26,891 (2003) (statement of Sen. Shelby)).

6. Similarly, the Seventh Circuit recently recognized that “identity theft is a serious problem, and FACTA is a serious congressional effort to combat it.” *Redman v. Radioshack Corp.*, 768 F.3d 622, 639 (7th Cir. 2014).

7. Here, by printing the entire card number, the expiration date of the card, and the brand of the card (e.g. Mastercard, etc.) on the receipts provided to Plaintiff and other credit card and/or debit card card holders transacting business with Defendants, Defendants have harmed Plaintiff and the Class (defined below) by exposing them to, at a minimum, an increased risk of identity theft and credit and or debit card fraud.

8. This harm is not made harmless when the risk fails to materialize because no potential identity thief actually sees the receipt. Even in this situation, the consumer (such as Plaintiff and Class members) must take additional steps to ensure the safety of his or her identity; he or she may not simply crumple the receipt and throw it into a nearby trash can, but must review it to assess what was printed, hold on to it, and perhaps shred it or cut it up later. The additional inconvenience that a consumer must undertake in order to secure their own rights, when a statute places that burden on Defendants, is surely a concrete harm. *Deschaaf v. American Valet & Limousine, Inc.*, Case No. 2:16-cv-03464-GMS, 2017 WL 610522 *4 (D. Ariz. Feb. 15, 2017). “As the Seventh Circuit observed, this is why statutory damages exist. Some harms—’a modest concern about privacy, a slight chance that information would leak out and lead to identity theft’—are not easy to quantify, at least in any appreciable dollar amount. *See Murray v. GMAC Mortg. Corp.*, 434 F.3d 948, 953 (7th Cir. 2006). But even if they give rise to no actual *damages*, they are still actual *harms*.” *Deschaaf*, 2017 WL 610522 at *4 and n. 7. “Allowing consumers to recover statutory damages furthers [the congressional purpose of keeping information out of the hands of identity thieves] by deterring *businesses* from willfully making consumer financial data available, even where no actual harm results.” *Deschaaf*, 2017 WL 610522 at *4 and n. 8 (alterations in original) (quoting *Bateman*, 623 F.3d at 718).

9. In sum, Defendants have violated FACTA and have thereby placed the security of Plaintiff and the Class members at risk. As a result of Defendants' unlawful practice of violating FACTA's provisions intended to safeguard against identity theft and credit and debit card fraud, Plaintiff seeks, on behalf of herself and the Class, statutory damages, punitive damages, costs, and attorney fees, all of which are expressly made available by statute, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 *et seq.*

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

10. This Court has federal question jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1681p.

11. Plaintiff's claims asserted herein arose in this District, and all Defendants do business in and reside in this judicial district.

12. Venue in this judicial district is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c) in that Defendant VOLUME SERVICES AMERICA, INC. (d/b/a Centerplate and Centerplate/NBSE) has done and continues to do business and intentionally avails itself of the markets within this District; owns, manages, maintains, and/or operates one or more physical retail locations within this District; and this is a class action case in which a substantial part of the acts and omissions giving rise to the claims occurred within this District.

PARTIES

13. Plaintiff DORIS JEFFRIES is and at all times relevant hereto was a citizen of the State of Ohio and a resident of Cleveland, Ohio.

14. Defendant VOLUME SERVICES AMERICA, INC. (d/b/a Centerplate and Centerplate/NBSE) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware.

15. At all times mentioned in this Complaint, Defendants and each of them were the agents, employees, joint venturers, and or partners of each other and were acting within the course

and scope of such agency, employment, joint venture, and or partnership relationship, and/or each of the Defendants ratified and or authorized the conduct of each of the other Defendants.

16. Plaintiff does not know the true names and capacities of defendants sued herein as Does 1 through 10, inclusive, and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and/or believes that each of the Doe defendants was in some manner legally responsible for the wrongful and unlawful conduct and harm alleged herein. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to set forth the true names and capacities of these defendants when they have been ascertained, along with appropriate charging allegations.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

17. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of herself and all other persons similarly situated pursuant to Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

18. The Class which Plaintiff seeks to represent is defined as:

All consumers to whom Defendants, within two years from the date of filing this action, provided an electronically printed receipt at the point of a sale or transaction at any of Defendants' physical locations in the United States, on which receipt Defendants printed more than the last 5 digits and/or the expiration date of the consumer's credit card or debit card (the "Class").¹

19. Excluded from the Class are Defendants and each of their directors, officers, and employees. Also excluded from the Class are any justice, judge, or magistrate judge assigned to this action or who presides over any proceeding concerning this action, and any such justice's, judge's, or magistrate judge's spouse, or a person within the third degree of relationship to any of them, or the spouse of such a person.

¹ Plaintiff reserves the right to amend or otherwise modify the Class definition and/or add sub-classes.

20. Numerosity (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1)): The Class is so numerous that joinder of all individual members in one action would be impracticable. The disposition of their claims through this Class action will benefit both the parties and this Court.

21. Plaintiff believes and thereon alleges that there are, at a minimum, thousands (*i.e.*, two thousand or more) of members that comprise the Class.

22. The exact size of the Class is ascertainable through Defendants' records, including but not limited to Defendants' sales and transaction records.

23. Members of the Class may be notified of the pendency of this action by techniques and forms commonly used in Class actions, such as by published notice, e-mail notice, website notice, and/or first-Class mail, or combinations thereof, or by other methods suitable to this Class and deemed necessary and or appropriate by the Court.

24. Typicality (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3)): Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the entire Class. The claims of Plaintiff and members of the Class are based on the same legal theories and arise from the same unlawful conduct.

25. Plaintiff and members of the Class were each customers of Defendants, each having made a purchase or transacted other business with Defendants within two years from the date of filing this action, using a credit or debit card. At the point of such sale or transaction with Plaintiff and members of the Class, Defendants provided to Plaintiff and each member of the Class a receipt in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1681c(g) (*i.e.*, a receipt on which is printed more than the last 5 digits and/or the expiration date of the credit or debit card).

26. Common Questions of Fact and Law (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2) and (b)(3)): There are a well-defined community of interest and common questions of fact and law affecting the members of the Class.

27. The questions of fact and law common to the Class predominate over questions which may affect individual members and include the following:

(a) Whether Defendants' conduct of providing Plaintiff and the Class with sales or transaction receipts whereon Defendants printed more than the last 5 digits and/or the expiration date of the card violated the FACTA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 *et seq.*;

(b) Whether Defendants' conduct was willful; and

(c) Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to statutory damages, punitive damages, costs, and or attorney fees for Defendants' acts and conduct.

28. Adequacy of Representation (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4)): Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class because her interests do not conflict with the interests of the Class that Plaintiff seeks to represent. Plaintiff will fairly, adequately, and vigorously represent and protect the interests of the Class and does not have any interests antagonistic to the Class. Plaintiff has retained counsel who are competent and experienced in the prosecution of class action litigation.

29. Superiority (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3)): A class action is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims of the Class. While the aggregate damages which may be and if awarded to the Class are likely to be substantial, the actual damages suffered by individual members of the Class are relatively small. As a result, the expense and burden of individual litigation makes it economically infeasible and procedurally impracticable for each member of the Class to individually seek redress for the wrongs done to them. Plaintiff does not know of any other litigation already commenced by or against any member of the Class concerning Defendants' printing of more than the last 5 digits and/or the expiration date of the card on customer receipts. The likelihood of individual Class members prosecuting separate claims is remote. Individualized litigation would also increase the delay and expense to all parties

and the court system resulting from multiple trials of the same factual issues. In contrast, the conduct of this matter as a class action presents fewer management difficulties, conserves the resources of the parties and the court system, and would protect the rights of each member of the Class. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty to be encountered in the management of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action.

CAUSE OF ACTION

COUNT ONE

**For Violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 *et seq.*
(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class as against Defendants)**

30. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in this Complaint.

31. Plaintiff asserts this claim on behalf of herself and the Class against Defendants.

32. Defendants are “persons,” as that term is defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1681a.

33. Plaintiff and the Class are “consumers,” as that term defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1681a.

34. Title 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(g)(1) provides that “no person that accepts credit cards or debit cards for the transaction of business shall print more than the last 5 digits of the card number or the expiration date upon any receipt provided to the cardholder at the point of the sale or transaction.”

35. By its express terms, 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(g)(1) applies to “any cash register or other machine or device that electronically prints receipts for credit card or debit card transactions” after December 3, 2006. 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(g)(3).

36. Defendants transact business in the United States and accept credit cards and debit cards in the course of transacting business with persons such as Plaintiff and members of the Class.

In transacting such business, Defendants use cash registers and or other machines or devices that electronically print receipts for credit card and debit card transactions.

37. After December 3, 2006, and within two years from the date of filing this action, Defendants, at the point of a sale or transaction with Plaintiff DORIS JEFFRIES, provided Plaintiff DORIS JEFFRIES with one or more electronically printed receipts on each of which Defendants printed more than the last 5 digits of her credit card, the expiration date of her credit card, and the brand of her card (e.g., Mastercard, etc.). Defendants' violations of FACTA are particularly egregious because they printed and disclosed DORIS JEFFRIES' **entire 16 digit card number** and **the entire card expiration date**.

38. After December 3, 2006, and within two years from the date of filing this action, Defendants, at the point of a sale or transaction with members of the Class, provided each member of the Class with one or more electronically printed customer receipts on each of which Defendants printed, for each respective Class member, more than the last 5 digits of the credit card or debit card number, the card's expiration date, and the brand of the card (e.g., Mastercard, etc.). Defendants' violations of FACTA are particularly egregious because they printed and disclosed **the entire card number** and **the entire card expiration date** on customer receipts provided to debit card and credit card cardholders who transacted business with Defendants.

39. As set forth above, FACTA was enacted in 2003 and gave merchants who accept credit and or debit cards up to December 4, 2006 to comply with its requirements.

40. Defendants knew of and were well informed about the law, specifically including FACTA's requirements concerning the truncation of credit and debit card numbers and prohibition on the printing of expiration dates.

41. For example, but without limitation, several years ago, VISA, MasterCard, the PCI Security Standards Council (a consortium founded by VISA, MasterCard, Discover, American Express and JCB); companies that sell cash register and other devices for the processing of credit or debit card payments; companies that sell software to operate payment card devices; companies that maintain and repair hardware or software used to process payment card transactions; and other entities informed Defendants and other merchants about FACTA, including its specific requirements concerning the truncation of credit and debit card numbers and prohibition on the printing of expiration dates, and Defendants' need to comply with same.

42. Other entities, including but not limited to Defendants' payment card processor (also known as the acquirer, merchant bank, or acquiring bank), which processes credit and debit card payments for transactions occurring at Defendants' stores, likewise informed Defendants about FACTA, including its specific requirements concerning the truncation of credit and debit card numbers and prohibition on the printing of expiration dates, and Defendants' need to comply with same.

43. In addition, many companies such as VISA and MasterCard devised and implemented policies well before the operative date of FACTA's requirements, wherein such policies VISA, MasterCard and others required Defendants (and informed Defendants of the requirements) to truncate credit and debit card numbers and prevent the printing of expiration dates on receipts.

44. In addition, these companies publicly announced some of these requirements. For example, on March 6, 2003, VISA USA's CEO, Carl Pascarella, held a press conference on Capitol Hill with Senators Dianne Feinstein, Judd Gregg, Jon Corzine, and Patrick Leahy, and publicly

announced Visa USA's new truncation policy to protect consumers from identity theft. At the March 2003 press conference, Mr. Pascarella explained, as follows:

Today, I am proud to announce an additional measure to combat identity theft and protect consumers. **Our new receipt truncation policy will soon limit cardholder information on receipts to the last four digits of their accounts. The card's expiration date will be eliminated from receipts altogether.** This is an added security measure for consumers that doesn't require any action by the cardholder. We are proud to be the first payments brand to announce such a move to protect cardholders' identities by restricting access to their account information on receipts.

The first phase of this new policy goes into effect July 1, 2003 for all new terminals. I would like to add, however, that even before this policy goes into effect, **many merchants have already voluntarily begun truncating receipts, thanks to groundwork that we began together several years ago.**

Receipt truncation is good news for consumers, and bad news for identity thieves. Identity thieves thrive on discarded receipts and documents containing consumers' information such as payment account numbers, addresses, Social Security numbers, and more. Visa's new policy will protect consumers by limiting the information these thieves can access. (Emphasis added).

45. Moreover, the Government, through the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC"), provided notice of FACTA's requirements to businesses on no less than three separate occasions in 2007, reminding them of the requirement to truncate credit and debit card information on receipts. Defendants were informed of and knew about these notices from the FTC. In one such notice, entitled "FTC Business Alert" "Slip Showing? Federal Law Requires All Businesses to Truncate Credit Card Information on Receipts," and dated May 2007, the FTC reminded businesses, among other things, of the following:

What's on the credit and debit card receipts you give your customers? The Federal Trade Commission (FTC), the nation's consumer protection agency, says it's time for companies to check their receipts and make sure they're complying with a law that's been in effect for all businesses since December 1, 2006.

According to the federal Fair and Accurate Credit Transaction Act (FACTA), the electronically printed credit and debit card receipts you give your customers must shorten — or truncate — the account information. **You may include no more than the last five digits of the card number, and you must delete the card's**

expiration date. For example, a receipt that truncates the credit card number and deletes the expiration date could look like this:

ACCT:*****12345

EXP:****

Why is it important for businesses to make sure they're complying with this law? Credit card numbers on sales receipts are a "golden ticket" for fraudsters and identity thieves. Savvy businesses appreciate the importance of protecting their customers — and themselves — from credit card crime. (Emphasis added).

46. Defendants' violations of FACTA were not accidental oversights. The electronic printing of more than the last 5 digits and/or the expiration date of a credit or debit card on a customer receipt does not occur by accident. Electronic receipt printing equipment must be intentionally programmed or otherwise intentionally configured to print more than the last 5 digits and/or the expiration date of a credit or debit card on a customer receipt.

47. Thus, despite knowing and being repeatedly informed about FACTA and the importance of truncating credit and debit card numbers and preventing the printing of expiration dates on receipts, and despite having had over three years to comply with FACTA's requirements, Defendants knowingly willfully, intentionally, and recklessly violated FACTA's requirements by, *inter alia*, printing more than the last 5 digits and/or the expiration date of the card upon the receipts provided to the credit card and/or debit card cardholders with whom they transact business.

48. Many of Defendants' business peers and competitors brought their credit and debit card receipt printing processes in compliance with FACTA's requirements by, for example, programming their card machines and devices to prevent them from printing more than the last five digits of the card number and or the expiration date upon the receipts provided to the cardholders. Defendants could have readily done the same.

49. Instead, Defendants knowingly, willfully, intentionally, and recklessly disregarded FACTA's requirements and used cash registers and or other machines or devices that printed receipts in violation of FACTA.

50. Defendant knowingly, willfully, intentionally, and recklessly violated FACTA in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff and the Class.

51. Defendants have also harmed Plaintiff and the Class by exposing them to at least an increased risk of identity theft and debit card fraud.

52. As a result of Defendants' willful violations of FACTA, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff and each member of the Class for the statutory damage amount of "not less than \$100 and not more than \$1,000" for each violation. 15 U.S.C. § 1681n.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment and relief against Defendants as follows:

A. An order certifying the Class and appointing Plaintiff as the representative of the Class, and appointing counsel of record for Plaintiff as counsel for the Class;

B. An award to Plaintiff and the Class of statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n for Defendants' willful violations (up to but not exceeding the fullest extent allowed under the Constitution of the United States);

C. An award to Plaintiff and the Class of punitive damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n (up to but not exceeding the fullest extent allowed under the Constitution of the United States);

D. Payment of costs of suit herein incurred pursuant to, *inter alia*, 15 U.S.C. § 1681n;

E. Payment of reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to, *inter alia*, 15 U.S.C. § 1681n; and

F. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: September 1, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Katherine Warren Van Dyck

Katherine Warren Van Dyck (No. 981272)
CUNEO GILBERT & LADUCA
4725 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Suite 200
Washington, DC 20016
Telephone: 202.789.3960
Facsimile: 202.789.1813
Email: kvandyck@cuneolaw.com

Chant Yedalian (to apply *pro hac vice*)
California Bar No. 222325
CHANT & COMPANY
A Professional Law Corporation
1010 N. Central Ave.
Glendale, CA 91202
Telephone: 877.574.7100
Facsimile: 877.574.9411
Email: chant@chant.mobi

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF

CIVIL COVER SHEET

JS-44 (Rev. 6/17 DC)

<p>I. (a) PLAINTIFFS DORIS JEFFRIES 2047 E. 115th St. Cleveland, Ohio 44106, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated + (b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF <u>88888</u> (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)</p>	<p>DEFENDANTS VOLUME SERVICES AMERICA, INC. (d/b/a Centerplate and Centerplate/NBSE); and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT _____ (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) <small>NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED</small></p>																								
<p>(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER) Katherine Van Dyck (D.C. Bar No. 981272) CUNEO GILBERT & LADUCA 4725 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Suite 200 Washington, DC 20016; Telephone: 202.789.3960</p>	<p>ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)</p>																								
<p>II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX ONLY)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff <input checked="" type="radio"/> 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> 2 U.S. Government Defendant <input type="radio"/> 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in item III)</p>	<p>III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) FOR DIVERSITY CASES ONLY!</p> <table style="width:100%; border:none;"> <tr> <td style="width:30%;"></td> <td style="width:10%; text-align:center">PTF</td> <td style="width:10%; text-align:center">DFT</td> <td style="width:40%;"></td> <td style="width:10%; text-align:center">PTF</td> <td style="width:10%; text-align:center">DFT</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Citizen of this State</td> <td style="text-align:center"><input type="radio"/> 1</td> <td style="text-align:center"><input type="radio"/> 1</td> <td>Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in This State</td> <td style="text-align:center"><input type="radio"/> 4</td> <td style="text-align:center"><input type="radio"/> 4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Citizen of Another State</td> <td style="text-align:center"><input type="radio"/> 2</td> <td style="text-align:center"><input type="radio"/> 2</td> <td>Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State</td> <td style="text-align:center"><input type="radio"/> 5</td> <td style="text-align:center"><input type="radio"/> 5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country</td> <td style="text-align:center"><input type="radio"/> 3</td> <td style="text-align:center"><input type="radio"/> 3</td> <td>Foreign Nation</td> <td style="text-align:center"><input type="radio"/> 6</td> <td style="text-align:center"><input type="radio"/> 6</td> </tr> </table>		PTF	DFT		PTF	DFT	Citizen of this State	<input type="radio"/> 1	<input type="radio"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in This State	<input type="radio"/> 4	<input type="radio"/> 4	Citizen of Another State	<input type="radio"/> 2	<input type="radio"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State	<input type="radio"/> 5	<input type="radio"/> 5	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="radio"/> 3	<input type="radio"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="radio"/> 6	<input type="radio"/> 6
	PTF	DFT		PTF	DFT																				
Citizen of this State	<input type="radio"/> 1	<input type="radio"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in This State	<input type="radio"/> 4	<input type="radio"/> 4																				
Citizen of Another State	<input type="radio"/> 2	<input type="radio"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State	<input type="radio"/> 5	<input type="radio"/> 5																				
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="radio"/> 3	<input type="radio"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="radio"/> 6	<input type="radio"/> 6																				

IV. CASE ASSIGNMENT AND NATURE OF SUIT

(Place an X in one category, A-N, that best represents your Cause of Action and one in a corresponding Nature of Suit)

<p><input type="radio"/> A. Antitrust</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust</p>	<p><input type="radio"/> B. Personal Injury/Malpractice</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Medical Malpractice <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Product Liability</p>	<p><input type="radio"/> C. Administrative Agency Review</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act</p> <p><u>Social Security</u> <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g))</p> <p><u>Other Statutes</u> <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions (If Administrative Agency is Involved)</p>	<p><input type="radio"/> D. Temporary Restraining Order/Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>Any nature of suit from any category may be selected for this category of case assignment.</p> <p>*(If Antitrust, then A governs)*</p>
<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> E. General Civil (Other) OR <input type="radio"/> F. Pro Se General Civil</p>			
<p><u>Real Property</u> <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent, Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property</p> <p><u>Personal Property</u> <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability</p>	<p><u>Bankruptcy</u> <input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 27 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157</p> <p><u>Prisoner Petitions</u> <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Conditions <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee – Conditions of Confinement</p> <p><u>Property Rights</u> <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent – Abbreviated New Drug Application <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark</p>	<p><u>Federal Tax Suits</u> <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (US plaintiff or defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 7609</p> <p><u>Forfeiture/Penalty</u> <input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other</p> <p><u>Other Statutes</u> <input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks & Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Organization <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Satellite TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions (if not administrative agency review or Privacy Act)</p>

<input type="radio"/> G. Habeas Corpus/ 2255 <input type="checkbox"/> 530 Habeas Corpus – General <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motion/Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Habeas Corpus – Alien Detainee	<input type="radio"/> H. Employment Discrimination <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Civil Rights – Employment (criteria: race, gender/sex, national origin, discrimination, disability, age, religion, retaliation) *(If pro se, select this deck)*	<input type="radio"/> I. FOIA/Privacy Act <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions (if Privacy Act) *(If pro se, select this deck)*	<input type="radio"/> J. Student Loan <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (excluding veterans)
<input type="radio"/> K. Labor/ERISA (non-employment) <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Labor Railway Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	<input type="radio"/> L. Other Civil Rights (non-employment) <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting (if not Voting Rights Act) <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Americans w/Disabilities – Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Americans w/Disabilities – Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	<input type="radio"/> M. Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran’s Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholder’s Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contracts <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	<input type="radio"/> N. Three-Judge Court <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Civil Rights – Voting (if Voting Rights Act)

V. ORIGIN
 1 Original Proceeding
 2 Removed from State Court
 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
 4 Reinstated or Reopened
 5 Transferred from another district (specify)
 6 Multi-district Litigation
 7 Appeal to District Judge from Mag. Judge
 8 Multi-district Litigation – Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE.)
 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 et seq., Fair Credit Reporting Act Violations

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT	X	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	DEMAND \$ 1,000,000 JURY DEMAND:	Check YES only if demanded in complaint YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
------------------------------------	---	---	-------------------------------------	--

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY	(See instruction)	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	If yes, please complete related case form
-------------------------------------	-------------------	------------------------------	--	---

DATE: 9/1/2017	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD _____ s/ Katherine Van Dyck
----------------	---

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET JS-44
 Authority for Civil Cover Sheet

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and services of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. Listed below are tips for completing the civil cover sheet. These tips coincide with the Roman Numerals on the cover sheet.

- I.** COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF/DEFENDANT (b) County of residence: Use 11001 to indicate plaintiff if resident of Washington, DC, 88888 if plaintiff is resident of United States but not Washington, DC, and 99999 if plaintiff is outside the United States.
- III.** CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES: This section is completed only if diversity of citizenship was selected as the Basis of Jurisdiction under Section II.
- IV.** CASE ASSIGNMENT AND NATURE OF SUIT: The assignment of a judge to your case will depend on the category you select that best represents the primary cause of action found in your complaint. You may select only one category. You must also select one corresponding nature of suit found under the category of the case.
- VI.** CAUSE OF ACTION: Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of the primary cause.
- VIII.** RELATED CASE(S), IF ANY: If you indicated that there is a related case, you must complete a related case form, which may be obtained from the Clerk’s Office.

Because of the need for accurate and complete information, you should ensure the accuracy of the information provided prior to signing the form.

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Columbia

DORIS JEFFRIES,
2047 E. 115th St.
Cleveland, Ohio 44106,
on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

VOLUME SERVICES AMERICA, INC. (d/b/a
Centerplate and Centerplate/NBSE); and DOES 1
through 10, inclusive,

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No. 1:17-cv-01788

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) VOLUME SERVICES AMERICA, INC. (d/b/a Centerplate and Centerplate/NBSE)
c/o Corporation Service Company
251 Little Falls Drive
Wilmington, DE 19808

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you
are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ.
P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of
the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
whose name and address are:

Katherine Warren Van Dyck (D.C. Bar No. 981272)
CUNEO GILBERT & LADUCA
4725 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Suite 200
Washington, DC 20016
Telephone: 202.789.3960
Facsimile: 202.789.1813

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 1:17-cv-01788

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____ .

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____ , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____ , who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because _____ ; or

Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0.00 .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Centerplate Operating Company Pegged with FACTA Lawsuit](#)
