# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT District of Minnesota

In Re: HardiePlank Fiber Cement Siding Litigation Case No. 12-md-2359 MDL No. 2359

#### JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

#### This Document Relates to:

HEIDI PICHT, Civil File No. 11-958 (MJD/LIB)
THE SUSAN S. BUCHANAN PERSONAL
RESIDENCE TRUST, Civil File No. 12-1393 (MJD/LIB)
JAMES DILLINGHAM, Civil File No. 12-1496 (MJD/LIB)
HUGH FENWICK, Civil File No. 12-1391 (MJD/LIB)
MICHAEL SWIENCKI, Civil File No. 12-1392 (MJD/LIB)
MARK KOSTOS, Civil File No. 12-1497 (MJD/LIB)
JONATHAN BOWERS, Civil File No. 12-727 (MJD/LIB)
RICHARD TREECE, Civil File No. 12-1669 (MJD/LIB)
MASOUD KAVIANPOUR, Civil File No. 12-2268 (MJD/LIB)
JOHN BROWN, Civil File No. 12-2817 (MJD/LIB)
BRIAN BETHEL, Civil File No. 12-2728 (MJD/LIB)
DAVID AND SHARON ANGELICI, Civil File No. 14-285 (MJD/LIB)
JOHN J. HERNANDEZ, Civil File No. 14-4655 (MJD/LIB)

☑ **Decision by Court**. This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered.

#### IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED THAT:

- 1. Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Swiencki) [MDL Docket No. 306] [Civil File No. 12-1392 Docket No. 36] is **GRANTED** and Plaintiff Swiencki's claims are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.
- 2. Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Kavianpour) [MDL Docket No. 311] [Civil File No. 12-2268 Docket No. 24] is **GRANTED** and Plaintiff Kavianpour's claims are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.
- Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Susan S. Buchanan Personal Residence Trust) [MDL Docket No. 316] [Civil File No. 12-1393 Docket No. 33] is GRANTED and Plaintiff Susan S. Buchanan Personal Residence Trust's claims are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

- 4. Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Dillingham) [MDL Docket No. 320] [Civil File No. 12-1496 Docket No. 26] is **GRANTED** and Plaintiff Dillingham's claims are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.
- 5. Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Fenwick) [MDL Docket No. 324] [Civil File No. 12-1391 Docket No. 32] is **GRANTED** and Plaintiff Fenwick's claims are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.
- 6. Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Brown, Kostos, Treece) [MDL Docket No. 328] [Civil File No. 12-2817 Docket No. 28] [Civil File No. 12-1497 Docket No. 42] [Civil File No. 12-1669 Docket No. 30] is **GRANTED** and Plaintiffs Brown's, Kostos's and Treece's clams are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.
- 7. Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Bethel) [MDL Docket No. 333] [Civil File No. 12-2728 Docket No. 26] is **GRANTED** and Plaintiff Bethel's claims are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.
- 8. Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Bowers) [MDL Docket No. 337] [Civil File No. 12-727 Docket No. 36] is **GRANTED** and Plaintiff Bowers' claims are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.
- 9. Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Hernandez) [MDL Docket No. 341] [Civil File No. 14-4655 Docket No. 41] is **GRANTED** and Plaintiff Hernandez's claims are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.
- 10. Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Angelici) [MDL Docket No. 346] [Civil File No. 14-285 Docket No. 46] is **GRANTED** and Plaintiffs Angelicis' claims are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.
- 11. Defendant's Combined Motion for Summary Judgment and to Dismiss under Rule 9(b) (Picht) [(Civil File No. 11-958 Docket No. 25] is **GRANTED** and Plaintiff Picht's claims are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

Date: 1/3/2018	KATE M. FOGARTY, CLERK
	s/Amy Halverson
	(By) Amy Halverson, Deputy Clerk



## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT District of Minnesota

Warren E. Burger Federal Building and U.S. Courthouse 316 North Robert Street, Suite 100 St. Paul, MN 55101 (651) 848-1100 U.S. Courthouse 300 South Fourth Street Suite 202 Minneapolis, MN 55415 (612) 664-5000

Gerald W. Heaney Federal Building and U.S. Courthouse and Customhouse 515 West First Street, Suite 417 Duluth, MN 55802 (218) 529-3500 Edward J. Devitt U.S. Courthouse and Federal Building 118 South Mill Street, Suite 212 Fergus Falls, MN 56537 (218) 739-5758

### **CIVIL NOTICE**

The appeal filing fee is \$505.00. If you are indigent, you can apply for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, ("IFP").

The purpose of this notice is to summarize the time limits for filing with the District Court Clerk's Office a Notice of Appeal to the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals or the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals (when applicable) from a final decision of the District Court in a civil case.

This is a summary only. For specific information on the time limits for filing a Notice of Appeal, review the applicable federal civil and appellate procedure rules and statutes.

Rule 4(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure (Fed. R. App. P.) requires that a Notice of Appeal be filed within:

- 1. Thirty days (60 days if the United States is a party) after the date of "entry of the judgment or order appealed from;" or
- 2. Thirty days (60 days if the United States is a party) after the date of entry of an order denying a timely motion for a new trial under Fed. R. Civ. P. 59; or
- 3. Thirty days (60 days if the United States is a party) after the date of entry of an order granting or denying a timely motion for judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 50(b), to amend or make additional findings of fact under Fed. R. Civ. P. 52(b), and/or to alter or amend the judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 59; or
- 4. Fourteen days after the date on which a previously timely Notice of Appeal was filed.

If a Notice of Appeal is not timely filed, a party in a civil case can move the District Court pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5) to extend the time for filing a Notice of Appeal. This motion must be filed no later than 30 days after the period for filing a Notice of Appeal expires. If the motion is filed after the period for filing a Notice of Appeal expires, the party bringing the motion must give the opposing parties notice of it. The District Court may grant the motion, but only if excusable neglect or good cause is shown for failing to file a timely Notice of Appeal.