### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

DEBRA HYATT on her own behalf and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals,

Plaintiff,

v.

**CASE NO.:** 

THE BROKEN YOLK, INC., d/b/a BROKEN YOLK CAFE a Florida Profit Corporation, and SCOTT HOWARD, individually, and JOANNE HOWARD, individually,

Defendants.

### **COMPLAINT & DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff, DEBRA HYATT on her own behalf, and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals, by and through the undersigned attorney, and sues the Defendants, THE BROKEN YOLK, INC. d/b/a BROKEN YOLK CAFE and SCOTT HOWARD AND JOANNE HOWARD (collectively "Defendants") for failing to pay complete minimum wages and overtime for every hour worked over 40 in a work week, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b)( "FLSA).

#### **NATURE OF CASE**

- 1. Defendant, The Broken Yolk, Inc. d/b/a Broken Yolk Cafe, (hereinafter "Broken Yolk") is a restaurant located in Holiday, Pasco County, Florida.
- 2. As part of its enterprise, Defendant Broken Yolk hires servers to serve customers as well as additional employees who are not employed in a service capacity.
- 3. Plaintiff brings this case to address and correct the illegal pay practices conducted by Defendant Broken Yolk, and its owners, Scott Howard and Joanne Howard (collectively "Defendants").

- 4. Defendants violated the FLSA by failing to pay Plaintiff at least the full minimum wage for all hours worked pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b) and 29 U.S.C. § 201, et al.
- 5. Defendants further violated the FLSA by failing to pay Plaintiff overtime wages for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-209.

### **PARTIES**

- 6. Plaintiff was a server and cook and was employed by Defendant Broken Yolk for approximately 12 years.
- 7. Defendant Broken Yolk is a Florida for profit corporation which operates and conducts business in Pasco County, Florida and is therefore, within the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 8. Plaintiff brings this FLSA collective action individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, including present and former employees of Defendant Broken Yolk, to recover from Defendants unpaid minimum wage, overtime compensation, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 9. Defendants Joanne Howard and Scott Howard (hereinafter "Defendants") are the Owners and Directors of The Broken Yolk Cafe, Inc. d/b/a Broken Yolk Cafe.

#### **JURISDICTION & VENUE**

- 10. This action is brought under Federal law to recover from Defendants minimum wage, overtime compensation, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
  - 11. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims as they arise under the FLSA.
- 12. This Court has jurisdiction and venue over this complaint as each of Defendants' violations of the FLSA complained of took place in Pasco County, Florida.

### **GENERAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 13. Plaintiff worked for Broken Yolk Cafe at its 3350 Grand Blvd. Holiday, FL 34690 location.
- 14. Plaintiff was paid pursuant to a "tip credit" method where she was paid minimum wage minus the tip credit.
- 15. At all material times during her employment, Defendant Broken Yolk deducted a tip credit from Plaintiff.
- 16. At all material times during the last three years, Defendant Broken Yolk was an enterprise subject to the FLSA's provision on minimum wages.
- 17. At all material times during the last three years, Defendant Broken Yolk was an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, in that said enterprise has had at least two employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, or employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person.
- 18. Defendants' employees ran credit card transactions which transacted business in interstate commerce on a daily basis.
- 19. Defendants' employees handled goods such as napkins, silverware, appliances, food items, and restaurant equipment which had traveled in interstate commerce on a daily basis.
- 20. At all material times during the last three years, Defendant Broken Yolk has had an annual gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000.00) (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level which are separately stated).
- 21. Defendant Broken Yolk is a restaurant, providing food and drinks to the general public.

- 22. Defendants Scott and Joanne Howard controlled and/or were responsible for the work of Plaintiff.
- 23. Defendants Scott and Joanne Howard regularly supervised Plaintiff's daily activities.
- 24. Defendants Scott and Joanne Howard created and implemented the employer's policies and practices which violated the FLSA.
- 25. Defendants Scott and Joanne Howard knew the employer's policies and practices violated the FLSA, but continued enforcing such policies against Plaintiff and other employees.
- 26. Plaintiff did a specific job, i.e. serve food and drinks, which was/is an integral part of the restaurant business of Defendant Broken Yolk. In addition Plaintiff also worked in the kitchen.
- 27. Defendant Broken Yolk utilized the tip credit and paid Plaintiff under the applicable tipped minimum wage.
- 28. An employer may not claim a tip credit as to an employee's wages unless the employer has provided the employee with the provisions of the FLSA provisions of the tip credit.
- 29. Defendant Broken Yolk failed to provide employees with the provisions of the FLSA pertaining to the tip credit, and was therefore not permitted to take the tip credit.
- 30. Moreover, when an employer chooses to pay pursuant to a tip credit, the employees must be allowed to keep all of their tips, either individually or through a tip pool.
- 31. When employers take unlawful deductions from an employee's tips, the employer is not permitted to take the tip credit.

- 32. However, Defendant Broken Yolk had a practice of deducting monies from their employees' earned tips. Specifically, Defendant Joanne Howard, an owner, participated in the tip pool by keeping a portion of the tip for herself.
  - 33. Additionally, Defendant's deducted cash shortages from the employee's tip pool.
- 34. As a result of these common policies, Plaintiff is entitled to receive the tip credit taken for each hour worked as repayment for the tip credit improperly deducted from her wages.
- 35. In addition, Defendants required plaintiff to perform non-tipped work. Specifically Defendants had Plaintiff work in the Kitchen in which plaintiff was compensated on a shift basis. For these hours, plaintiff received a flat rate, in cash. These hours worked in the kitchen were not reflected on Plaintiff's paycheck and were not considered as "hours worked" for purposes of calculating overtime compensation. Plaintiff regularly worked up to and exceeding 25 hours in the kitchen in-addition to the hours she was working as a server.
- 36. By Plaintiff's estimates, she routinely worked more than 55 hours or more in a work week. In many weeks, Plaintiff was not paid minimum wage for all the hours worked in violation of 29 U.S.C. §206.
- 37. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants failed to comply with 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-209, because Defendants did not pay Plaintiff overtime wages for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week.
- 38. During her employment with Defendant, Plaintiff was not paid time and one-half her regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week during one or more weeks of employment.

39. Upon information and belief, the records, to the extent any exist, concerning the number of hours worked and amounts paid to Plaintiff are in the possession and custody of Defendants.

# <u>COUNT I</u> <u>VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT, 29 U.S.C. §216(b)</u> (Failure To Pay Minimum Wages)

- 40. Plaintiff readopts and reincorporates all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 39 above as if stated fully herein.
- 41. At all relevant times, Defendant Broken Yolk has been, and continues to be, an employer engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce, within the meaning of the FLSA.
  - 42. At all relevant times, Defendants employed Plaintiff.
  - 43. Defendants failed to inform Plaintiff of the tip credit provisions of the FLSA.
- 44. As stated above, Defendants have a policy and practice paying Plaintiff the reduced tip-credit minimum wage but not allowing Plaintiff to keep all of her tips.
  - 45. Defendants unlawfully retained a portion of Plaintiff's tips.
  - 46. Such a policy and practice violates the FLSA's minimum wage provisions.
- 47. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff the full minimum wage is a violation of 29 U.S.C. §206.
- 48. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §255(a).
- 49. In addition, Defendants did not maintain and keep accurate time records as required by the FLSA for Plaintiff.

- 50. Also, Defendants failed to post required FLSA informational listings as required by the FLSA for Plaintiff.
- 51. As a result of Defendants' intentional, willful and unlawful acts in refusing to pay Plaintiff the full and complete minimum wage for each hour worked, Plaintiff has suffered damages, plus incurring reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 52. As a result of Defendants' reckless disregard of the FLSA, Plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants for unpaid minimum wage and additional and equal amount of liquidated damages or if liquidated damages are not awarded then pre and post-judgment interest at the highest allowable rate, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, and any and all further relief that this Court determines to be just and appropriate.

# COUNT II COLLECTIVE ACTION, VIOLATION OF THE FLSA (Failure to Pay Minimum Wage)

- 53. Plaintiff readopts and reincorporates all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-39 above as if stated fully herein.
- 54. At all times material, Defendants employed numerous individuals who were similarly situated to Plaintiff.
- 55. Throughout their respective employment, individuals similarly situated to Plaintiff were subject to Defendants' unlawful pay practices.
- 56. Specifically, Defendants took an unlawful "tip credit" from Plaintiff and those similarly situated to Plaintiff by taking unlawful deductions from tips, requiring tipped

employees to share tips with non-tipped employees and paying the tip minimum wage for non-tip work.

- 57. Defendants' failure to pay such similarly situated individuals the required minimum wage was in reckless disregard of the FLSA.
- 58. As a direct and legal consequence of Defendants' unlawful acts, individuals similarly situated to Plaintiff have suffered damages and have incurred, or will incur, costs and attorneys' fees in the prosecution of this matter.
- 59. As a result of Defendants' intentional, willful and unlawful acts in refusing to pay Plaintiff the full and complete minimum wage for each hour worked, Plaintiff has suffered damages, plus incurring reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants for unpaid minimum wage and additional and equal amount of liquidated damages or if liquidated damages are not awarded then pre and post-judgment interest at the highest allowable rate, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, and any and all further relief that this Court determines to be just and appropriate.

### COUNT III RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION

- 60. Plaintiff reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraph 1-39 above.
- 61. Plaintiff was entitled to be paid time and one-half his regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) per work week.
- 62. During his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff regularly worked overtime hours but was not paid time and one-half compensation for the same.
- 63. As a result of Defendants' intentional, willful, and unlawful acts in refusing to pay Plaintiff time and one-half his regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) per

work week in one or more work weeks, Plaintiff has suffered damages and is incurring reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

- 64. Defendant was able to avoid paying overtime by paying Plaintiff cash for the 25 hours of non-server work that she performed. Therefore this was not included in addition to the 30 hours that Plaintiff worked as a server. Defendant was able to keep Plaintiff under 40 hours a week by engaging in this deceptive practice.
- 65. Defendants were aware Plaintiff performed non-exempt job duties but still refused to pay Plaintiff overtime for hours worked over forty (40).
- 66. Defendants did not maintain and keep accurate time records as required by the FLSA for Plaintiff.
- 67. Defendants failed to post required FLSA informational listings as required by the FLSA.
- 68. Defendants' conduct was willful and in reckless disregard of the overtime requirements of the FLSA.
  - 69. Defendants willfully violated the FLSA.
  - 70. Plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages.
  - 71. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant for the payment of all overtime hours at one and one-half the regular rate of pay for the hours worked by her for which Defendant did not properly compensate her, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, and all further relief that this Court deems to be just and appropriate.

# COUNT IV COLLECTIVE ACTION, VIOLATION OF THE FLSA (RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION)

72. Plaintiff reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraphs 1-39 above.

73. At all times material, Defendants employed numerous other non-exempt employees

who worked as restaurant servers and who worked a substantial number of hours in excess of forty

(40) per week.

74. Throughout their employment, those employees were similarly situated to Plaintiff

and were subject to the same unlawful pay practices.

75. Defendants failed to pay those individuals, who are similarly situated to Plaintiff,

one and one half times their regular hourly rate, for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) in

each week, in violation of the FLSA.

76. Defendants' failure to pay such similarly situated individuals the required

overtime rate was willful and in reckless disregard of the FLSA.

77. As a direct and legal consequence of Defendants unlawful acts, individuals

similarly situated to Plaintiff have suffered damages and have incurred, or will incur, costs and

attorneys' fees in the prosecution of this matter.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants for unpaid overtime

compensation, and additional and equal amount of liquidated damages or if liquidated damages are

not awarded then pre and post-judgment interest at the highest allowable rate, reasonable attorneys'

fees and costs incurred in this action, and any and all further relief that this Court determines to be

just and appropriate.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues so triable as a matter of right by jury.

Dated this 12<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

### MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A.

/s/ Marc R. Edelman Marc R. Edelman, Esq. Fla. Bar No. 0096342 Morgan & Morgan, P.A. 201 North Franklin Street, Suite 700 Tampa, FL 33602

Telephone: 813-223-5505 Fax: 813-257-0572

Email: <u>MEdelman@forthepeople.com</u> *Attorney for Plaintiff* 

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

ourpose of initiating the civil ac	ocket sneet. (SEE INSTRUC.	HONS ON NEXT PAGE O	rinisro	KM.)						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS DEBRA HYATT on her own behalf and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals,				DEFENDANTS THE BROKEN YOLK, INC., d/b/a BROKEN YOLK CAFE a Florida Profit Corporation, and SCOTT HOWARD, individually, and JOANNE HOWARD, individually,						
(E) County of Desidence of First Listed Blaintiff				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)						
				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Marc R. Edelman, Esq. 201 N. Franklin Street #700 Morgan & Morgan Tampa, FL 33602 (813) 223-5505				Attorneys (If Known)						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF		CIPA	L PARTIES	Place an "X" in and One Box fo		
□ 1 U.S. Government		ŀ	(For Diversity Cases Only	v) PTF I	DEF		ana One Box Jo	PTF	DEF	
Plaintiff							Incorporated or Prinof Business In Ti		□ 4	□ 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	en of Another State	<b>5</b> 2	<b>5</b> 2	Incorporated and Proof Business In A		<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
				en or Subject of a	<b>3</b> 3	<b>3</b>	Foreign Nation		<b>5</b> 6	<b>6</b>
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box On	ly)						Name of the last	San Alan Van	
CONTRACT				ORFEITURE/PENALTY			KRUPTCY	OTHER		P.2. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR	Y   🗆 62	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 883		2 Appea 3 Withd	al 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False C☐ 376 Qui Tai		:
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	☐ 69	0 Other	.   72		SC 157	3729(a	•	
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	☐ 367 Health Care/						400 State R		ment
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical					TY RIGHTS	410 Antitrus		
& Enforcement of Judgment		Personal Injury Product Liability				0 Copyi 0 Pateni		<ul> <li>430 Banks a</li> <li>450 Comme</li> </ul>		ıg
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	368 Asbestos Personal	1			0 Trade		460 Deporta		
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product						☐ 470 Rackete		
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability		LABOR			SECURITY	Corrupt  480 Consun	Organizat	ions
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER	RTY   25 71	0 Fair Labor Standards Act			1395ff) Lung (923)	490 Cable/S		
of Veteran's Benefits  ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud☐ 371 Truth in Lending	n 72	0 Labor/Management			C/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 850 Securiti		dities/
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	□ 380 Other Personal		Relations			Title XVI	Exchar		
195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		0 Railway Labor Act	□ 86	5 RSI (4	405(g))	<ul> <li>□ 890 Other S</li> <li>□ 891 Agricul</li> </ul>		ctions
□ 196 Franchise	Injury  362 Personal Injury -	<ul> <li>385 Property Damage Product Liability</li> </ul>	D 73	I Family and Medical  Leave Act				893 Enviror		atters
	Medical Malpractice	1 Todact Blackky	□ 79	O Other Labor Litigation				☐ 895 Freedor		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO	NS 🗆 🗆 79	1 Employee Retirement			L TAX SUITS	Act		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		Income Security Act	☐ 87		(U.S. Plaintiff	□ 896 Arbitrat		
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	.		7 87		fendant) -Third Party	□ 899 Admini	iew or Ap	
<ul> <li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li> <li>240 Torts to Land</li> </ul>	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	Sentence	<b>'</b>		"		SC 7609		Decision	p-=1-01
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	☐ 530 General						☐ 950 Constitu		of
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty	5.9 kGg/s A	IMMIGRATION				State St	atutes	
	Employment  446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other:  540 Mandamus & Oth		52 Naturalization Applicati 55 Other Immigration	ion					
	Other	☐ 550 Civil Rights		Actions						
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition								
		560 Civil Detaince - Conditions of								
		Confinement								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)									
		Remanded from Appellate Court	☐ 4 Rein Reo		ther Distr		☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation	ict		
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	itute under which you a	re filing (			ıless div	versity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca									
VII DEOUECTED IN	. <u></u>	IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$		C	HECK VES only	if demanded in	complair	nt.
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	MAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  JURY DEMAND:   Yes □ No									
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S)									
IF ANY	6/1	DOCKET NUMBER								
DATE 10-12-17		SIGNATURE OF AT	OFNIY	OF RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										
PECEIPT# AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE			MAG. JUI	OGE		

### **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Former Server Sues The Broken Yolk Café Over Unpaid Wages