IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISON

ULYSSES HOLMES, and LAPHADRA HOLMES,

Plaintiffs,

CASE NO.:

v.

WCA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC.,

Defendant.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs, Ulysses Holmes and LaPhadra Holmes, ("Plaintiffs"), file this Class Action complaint against Defendant, WCA Waste Systems, Inc. ("Defendant" or "WCA"), alleging that Defendant failed to provide them and the putative class adequate notice of their right to continued health care coverage under the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 ("COBRA").

1. Defendant, the plan sponsor of the Health Plan ("Plan"), has repeatedly violated ERISA by failing to provide participants and beneficiaries in the Plan with adequate notice, as prescribed by COBRA, of their right to continue their health insurance coverage following an occurrence of a "qualifying event" as defined by the statute.

2. Defendant's COBRA notice, attached as Exhibit "A," violates 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606–4(b)(4)(viii) because it fails to include a termination date for COBRA coverage if elected. The notice also violates COBRA because it fails to sufficiently identify the Plan Administrator.

3. Because Defendant's COBRA notice omits several critical information items, it collectively violates 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606–4(b)(4), which requires the plan administrator of a group-health plan to provide a COBRA notice "written in a manner calculated to be understood by the average plan participant." Without information on *when* COBRA coverage ends, and *who* is the Plan Administrator, the notice is not written in a manner calculated to be understood by the average plan participant.

4. As a result of these violations, which threaten Class Members' ability to maintain their health coverage, Plaintiffs seek statutory penalties, injunctive relief, attorneys' fees, costs and expenses, and other appropriate relief as set forth herein and provided by law.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. Venue is proper in the United States Court for the Middle District of Florida, because the events giving rise to these claims arose in this district.

 Plaintiffs are Florida residents, residing in this district and were participants in the Plan prior to Mr. Holmes' termination, a qualifying event within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 1163(2).

7. Defendant is a foreign corporation with its headquarters in Houston, Texas, and employed more than 20 employees who were members of the Plan in each year from 2015 to 2020. Defendant is the Plan sponsor within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §1002(16)(B), and the administrator of the Plan within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 1002(16)(A). The Plan provides medical benefits to employees and their beneficiaries, and is an employee welfare benefit plan within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 1002(1) and a group health plan within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 1167(1).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

COBRA Notice Requirements

8. The COBRA amendments to ERISA included certain provisions relating to continuation of health coverage upon termination of employment or another "qualifying event" as defined by the statute.

9. Among other things, COBRA requires the plan sponsor of each group health plan normally employing more than 20 employees on a typical business day during the preceding year to provide "each qualified beneficiary who would lose coverage under the plan as a result of a qualifying event ... to elect, within the election period, continuation coverage under the plan." 29 U.S.C. § 1161. (Emphasis added).

10. Notice is of enormous importance. The COBRA notification requirement exists because employees are not presumed to know they have a federally protected right to continue healthcare coverage subsequent to a qualifying event.

11. COBRA further requires the administrator of such a group health plan to provide notice to any qualified beneficiary of their continuation of coverage rights under COBRA upon the occurrence of a qualifying event. 29 U.S.C. § 1166(a)(4). This notice must be "[i]n accordance with the regulations prescribed by the Secretary" of Labor. 29 U.S.C. § 1166(a).

12. The relevant regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Labor concerning notice of continuation of coverage rights are set forth in 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4 as follows:

(4) The notice required by this paragraph (b) shall be written in a manner calculated to be understood by the average plan participant and shall contain the following information:

- The name of the plan under which continuation coverage is available; and the name, address and telephone number of the party responsible under the plan for the administration of continuation coverage benefits;
- (ii) Identification of the qualifying event;
- (ii) Identification, by status or name, of the qualified beneficiaries who are recognized by the plan as being entitled to elect continuation coverage with respect to the qualifying event, and the date on which coverage under the plan will terminate (or has terminated) unless continuation coverage is elected;
- (iii) A statement that each individual who is a qualified beneficiary with respect to the qualifying event has an independent right to elect continuation coverage, that a covered employee or a qualified beneficiary who is the spouse of the covered employee (or was the spouse of the covered employee on the day before the qualifying event occurred) may elect continuation coverage on behalf of all other qualified beneficiaries with respect to the qualifying event, and that a parent or legal guardian may elect continuation coverage on behalf of a minor child;
- (iv) An explanation of the plan's procedures for electing continuation coverage, including an explanation of the time period during which the election must be made, and the date by which the election must be made;
- (v) An explanation of the consequences of failing to elect or waiving continuation coverage, including an explanation that a qualified beneficiary's decision whether to elect continuation coverage will affect the future rights of qualified beneficiaries to portability of group health coverage, guaranteed access to individual health coverage, and special enrollment under part 7 of title I of the Act, with a reference to where a qualified beneficiary may obtain additional information about such rights; and a description of the plan's procedures for revoking a waiver of the right to continuation coverage before the date by which the election must be made;

- (vi) A description of the continuation coverage that will be made available under the plan, if elected, including the date on which such coverage will commence, either by providing a description of the coverage or by reference to the plan's summary plan description;
- (vii) An explanation of the maximum period for which continuation coverage will be available under the plan, if elected; an explanation of the continuation coverage termination date; and an explanation of any events that might cause continuation coverage to be terminated earlier than the end of the maximum period;
- (viii) A description of the circumstances (if any) under which the maximum period of continuation coverage may be extended due either to the occurrence of a second qualifying event or a determination by the Social Security Administration, under title II or XVI of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 401 et seq. or 1381 et seq.) (SSA), that the qualified beneficiary is disabled, and the length of any such extension;
- (ix) In the case of a notice that offers continuation coverage with a maximum duration of less than 36 months, a description of the plan's requirements regarding the responsibility of qualified beneficiaries to provide notice of a second qualifying event and notice of a disability determination under the SSA, along with a description of the plan's procedures for providing such notices, including the times within which such notices must be provided and the consequences of failing to provide such notices. The notice shall also explain the responsibility of qualified beneficiaries to provide notice that a disabled qualified beneficiary has subsequently been determined to no longer be disabled;
- (x) A description of the amount, if any, that each qualified beneficiary will be required to pay for continuation coverage;
- (xi) A description of the due dates for payments, the qualified beneficiaries' right to pay on a monthly basis, the grace periods for payment, the address to which payments should be sent, and the consequences of delayed payment and nonpayment;

- (xii) An explanation of the importance of keeping the administrator informed of the current addresses of all participants or beneficiaries under the plan who are or may become qualified beneficiaries; and
- (xiii) A statement that the notice does not fully describe continuation coverage or other rights under the plan, and that more complete information regarding such rights is available in the plan's summary plan description or from the plan administrator.

13. To facilitate compliance with these notice obligations, the United States Department of Labor ("DOL") has issued a Model COBRA Continuation Coverage Election Notice ("Model Notice"), which is included in the Appendix to 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4. A copy of this Model Notice is attached hereto as Exhibit "B." The DOL website states that the DOL "will consider use of the model election notice, appropriately completed, good faith compliance with the election notice content requirements of COBRA."

14. In the event that a plan administrator declines to use the Model Notice and fails to meet the notice requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 1166 and 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4, the administrator is subject to statutory penalties of up to \$110.00 per participant or beneficiary per day from the date of such failure. 29 U.S.C. § 1132(c)(1). Additionally, the Court may order such other relief as it deems proper, including but not limited to injunctive relief pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3) and payment of attorneys' fees and expenses pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g)(1). Such is the case here.

15. Here, Defendant failed to use the Model Notice and failed to meet the notice requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 1166 and 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4, as set forth below.

Defendant's Notice Is Inadequate and Fails to Comply with COBRA

16. Defendant did not use the Model Notice to notify plan participants of their right to continuation coverage.

17. Rather than use the Model Notice, Defendant deliberately authored and disseminated a notice which omitted critical information required by law. The information Defendant omitted from its notice is information that is included in the Model Notice.

18. The evidence will show Defendant used its [deficient] Notice (See Exhibit A –

Notice) to discourage participants from enrolling in continuation coverage.

- 19. Defendant's Notice violates several key COBRA requirements, specifically:
 - a. The Notice violates 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4(b)(4)(viii) because it fails to provide an explanation of the continuation coverage termination date;
 - b. The Notice violates 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4(b)(4)(i) because it fails to provide the name, address and telephone number of the party responsible under the plan for administration of continuation coverage benefits; and, finally,
 - c. The Notice violates 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4(b)(4) because Defendant has failed to provide a notice written in a manner calculated to be understood by the average plan participant.

20. The evidence will show Defendant's standard practice during the time period at issue in this lawsuit was to send the COBRA election notice attached as Exhibit A first, then send additional COBRA election information only to those that elected COBRA coverage (instead of to all individuals eligible for COBRA). This second document contained the information omitted from the initial notice.

21. Defendant's COBRA Notice confused Plaintiffs and resulted in their inability to make an informed decision as to electing COBRA continuation coverage.

22. As a result of the deficient notice, Plaintiffs did not elect COBRA continuation coverage.

23. Defendant's deficient COBRA Notice caused Plaintiffs an informational injury when Defendant failed to provide Mr. Holmes with information to which he was entitled to by statute, namely a compliant COBRA election notice containing all information required by 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4(b)(4) and 29 U.S.C. § 1166(a). Through ERISA and then COBRA, Congress created a right—the right to receive the required COBRA election notice—and an injury—not receiving a proper election notice with information required by 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4(b)(4) and 29 U.S.C. § 1166(a). Defendant injured Plaintiffs and the class members he represents by failing to provide the information required by COBRA.

24. Besides the informational injury suffered, Plaintiffs also suffered a tangible injury in the form of economic loss, specifically the loss of health insurance coverage for himself and his dependents. Insurance coverage is an employer subsidized benefit of employment of monetary value, the loss of which is a tangible injury.

25. Plaintiffs also suffered a tangible economic loss, as he paid out of pocket for medical expenses incurred by himself and his dependents up until the time Plaintiffs were able to obtain health insurance through a subsequent employer.

Plaintiffs Ulysses Holmes and LaPhadra Holmes

26. Plaintiff, Ulysses Holmes, was employed by Defendant for nearly a year, during which time he obtained medical insurance for himself and dependents, including his wife, Plaintiff LaPhadra Holmes, through Defendant's group health plan.

27. On or around March, 2019 Plaintiff Ulysses Holmes' employment was terminated. Plaintiff was not terminated for "gross misconduct" and was therefore eligible for continuation coverage.

28. Plaintiff's termination was a qualifying event (termination of employment), which triggered Defendant's COBRA obligations.

29. On or around March 27, 2019, Defendant mailed Plaintiffs the [deficient] COBRA notice (See Exhibit A – Notice).

30. The COBRA notice was not written in a manner calculated to be understood by the average plan participant.

31. The COBRA notice did not provide Plaintiffs with the substantive information to which he was entitled pursuant to federal law.

32. The COBRA notice violated 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4(b)(4)(viii) because it failed to provide an explanation of the continuation coverage termination date, omitting it entirely. Thus, it was nearly impossible for Plaintiffs or any other plan participant to calculate the coverage termination date.

33. The COBRA notice violated29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4(b)(4)(xii) because it failed to include information about how participants can lose COBRA coverage before the [omitted] termination date. For example, a participant can lose coverage if they become eligible under another group health plan, become Medicare eligible or do not make timely payments.

34. Plaintiffs were not required to exhaust any administrative remedies through Defendant prior to bringing suit because no such administrative remedies exist. Even if they did, any attempts to exhaust the administrative remedies would have been futile.

Violation of 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4(b)(4)(viii) Failure to provide an explanation of the continuation coverage termination date

35. The governing statute requires Defendant to provide a COBRA election notice that discloses "an explanation of the maximum period for which continuation coverage will be available under the plan" and "an explanation of the continuation coverage termination date." 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4(b)(4)(viii).

36. This information not only informs Plaintiffs of the length of coverage, if elected, but also the specific date on which such coverage will terminate. This information is very important for deciding whether to elect coverage.

37. Continuation coverage is not designed to be permanent. Traditionally, continuation coverage is used as a temporary solution until a qualifying participant obtains new coverage under a different group health plan. Thus, election notices must be sufficient to permit the discharged employee to make an informed decision whether to elect coverage.

38. Plaintiffs cannot truly make an informed decision regarding continuation coverage without knowing the specific date when coverage will end and when they will be uninsured.

39. Here Plaintiffs were only provided with the length of continuation coverage, but was never notified *when* the coverage, if elected, would terminate.

40. Even if Plaintiffs had tried to use a calendar to determine the termination date, using an 18-month window, he would not be able to determine whether this monthly coverage would terminate at the beginning of the 18th month, the end of the 18th month or 18 months to the day of eligibility.

41. The statute requires these disclosures specifically to avoid this type of confusion surrounding a matter as important as electing health insurance. Furthermore, a fiduciary breaches its duties by materially misleading plan participants, regardless of whether the fiduciary's statements or omissions were made negligently or intentionally. Without the required disclosures, Defendant's notice does not permit Plaintiffs to make an informed decision and is therefore deficient.

Violation of 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4(b)(4)(i) Failure to Identify Plan Administrator

42. The COBRA notice provided to Plaintiffs omitted important information identifying the party responsible under the Plan for administration of continuing coverage benefits. The generic phrase "Plan Administrator" is included no less than ten (10) separate times throughout the notice, but not once is the Plan Administrator actually identified. Instead, the third-party administrator, WageWorks, is identified and WCA is merely referred to as the "employer." Thus, Plaintiffs were never informed *who* administers the continuation coverage.

43. Defendant was required to provide "in a manner calculated to be understood by the average plan participant ... the name, address and telephone number of the party responsible under the plan for administration of continuation coverage benefits." 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4(b)(4)(i). Defendant's Notice failed to comply with this fundamental requirement.

44. Defendant's notice only identifies a third-party administrator, WageWorks. A third-party administrator is different from the Plan Administrator. Identifying the Plan Administrator is critical because the plan administrator bears the burden of proving that adequate COBRA notification was given to the employee.

Violation of 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4(b)(4) Failure to Provide COBRA Notice Written in a Manner Calculated "To Be Understood By the Average Plan Participant"

45. The COBRA notice Plaintiffs received from Defendant failed to fully explain the procedures for electing coverage; omitted information regarding the coverage end date; omitted information pertaining to the consequences of delinquent or missed payments; and failed to identify the Plan Administrator. Combined, Defendant's omissions are a violation of 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606- 4(b)(4). This particular section mandates employers provide notice of continuation coverage written in a manner calculated "to be understood by the average plan participant." By omitting critical information, Defendant's notice is not written in a manner calculated "to be understood by the average plan participant." 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4(b)(4)(v).

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

46. Plaintiffs bring this action as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 Fed.R.Civ.P. on behalf of the following persons:

All participants and beneficiaries in the Defendant's Health Plan who were sent a COBRA notice by Defendant during the applicable statute of limitations period as a result of a qualifying event, as determined by Defendant, who did not elect COBRA.

47. No administrative remedies exist as a prerequisite to Plaintiffs' claim on behalf of the Putative Class. As such, any efforts related to exhausting such non-existent remedies would be futile.

48. <u>Numerosity</u>: The Class is so numerous that joinder of all Class members is impracticable. On information and belief, hundreds or thousands of individuals satisfy the definition of the Class.

49. <u>Typicality:</u> Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the Class. The COBRA notice that Defendant sent to Plaintiffs were a form notice that was uniformly provided to all Class members. As such, the COBRA notice that Plaintiffs received was typical of the COBRA notices that other Class Members received, and suffered from the same deficiencies.

50. <u>Adequacy:</u> Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class members; he has no interests antagonistic to the class, and has retained counsel experienced in complex class action litigation.

51. <u>Commonality:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of

the

Class and predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class, including but not limited to:

- a. Whether the Plan is a group health plan within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 1167(1);
- b. Whether Defendant's COBRA notice complied with the requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 1166(a) and 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4;
- c. Whether statutory penalties should be imposed against Defendant under 29 U.S.C. § 1132(c)(1) for failing to comply with COBRA notice requirements, and if so, in what amount;
- d. The appropriateness and proper form of any injunctive relief or other equitable relief pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3); and
- e. Whether (and the extent to which) other relief should be granted based on Defendant's failure to comply with COBRA notice requirements.
- 52. Class Members do not have an interest in pursuing separate individual actions

against Defendant, as the amount of each Class Member's individual claims is relatively small compared to the expense and burden of individual prosecution. Class certification will also

obviate the need for unduly duplicative litigation that might result in inconsistent judgments concerning Defendant's practices and the adequacy of its COBRA notice. Moreover, management of this action as a class action will not present any likely difficulties. In the interests of justice and judicial efficiency, it would be desirable to concentrate the litigation of all Class Members' claims in a single action.

53. Plaintiffs intend to send notice to all Class Members. The names and addresses of the Class Members are available from Defendant's records, as well as from Defendant's third-party administrator, WageWorks.

<u>CLASS CLAIM I FOR RELIEF</u> Violation of 29 U.S.C. § 1166(a) and 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4

54. The Plan is a group health plan within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 1167(1).

55. Defendant is the sponsor and administrator of the Plan, and was subject to the continuation of coverage and notice requirements of COBRA.

56. Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class experienced a "qualifying event" as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 1163, and Defendant was aware that they had experienced such a qualifying event.

57. On account of such qualifying event, Defendant sent Plaintiffs and the Class Members a COBRA notice in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A.

58. The COBRA notice that Defendant sent to Plaintiffs and other Class Members violated 29 U.S.C. § 1166(a) and 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4 for the reasons set forth in Paragraphs 8-61 above (among other reasons).

59. These violations were material and willful.

60. Defendant knew that its notice was inconsistent with the Secretary of Labor's Model Notice and failed to comply with 29 U.S.C. § 1166(a) and 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4, but chose to use a non-compliant notice in deliberate or reckless disregard of the rights of Plaintiffs and other Class Members.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of the Class, prays for relief as follows:

- a. Designating Plaintiffs' counsel as counsel for the Class;
- b. Issuing proper notice to the Class at Defendant's expense;
- c. Declaring that the COBRA notice sent by Defendant to Plaintiffs and other Class Members violated 29 U.S.C. § 1166(a) and 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4;
- d. Awarding appropriate equitable relief pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3), including but not limited to an order enjoining Defendant from continuing to use its defective COBRA notice and requiring Defendant to send corrective notices;
- e. Awarding statutory penalties to the Class pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(c)(1) and 29 C.F.R. § 2575.502c-1 in the amount of \$110.00 per day for each Class Member who was sent a defective COBRA notice by Defendant;
- f. Awarding attorneys' fees, costs and expenses to Plaintiffs' counsel as provided by 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g)(1) and other applicable law; and
- g. Granting such other and further relief, in law or equity, as this Court deems appropriate.
- h. Designating Plaintiffs' counsel as counsel for the Class;
- i. Issuing proper notice to the Class at Defendant's expense;

- j. Declaring that the COBRA notice sent by Defendant to Plaintiffs and other Class Members violated 29 U.S.C. § 1166(a) and 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4;
- k. Awarding appropriate equitable relief pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3), including but not limited to an order enjoining Defendant from continuing to use its defective COBRA notice and requiring Defendant to send corrective notices;
- 1. Awarding statutory penalties to the Class pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(c)(1) and 29 C.F.R. § 2575.502c-1 in the amount of \$110 per day for each Class Member who was sent a defective COBRA notice by Defendant;
- m. Awarding attorneys' fees, costs and expenses to Plaintiffs' counsel as provided by 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g)(1) and other applicable law; and
- n. Granting such other and further relief, in law or equity, as this Court deems appropriate.

Dated this 1st day of April, 2020.

mbr. Hill

BRANDON J. HILL, ESQ. Florida Bar Number: 37061 Direct No.: 813-337-7992 LUIS A. CABASSA, ESO. Florida Bar Number: 053643 Direct No.: 813-379-2565 AMANDA E. HEYSTEK Florida Nar Number: 0285020 Direct No.: 813-379-2560 WENZEL FENTON CABASSA, P.A. 1110 North Florida Ave., Suite 300 Tampa, Florida 33602 Main No.: 813-224-0431 Facsimile: 813-229-8712 Email: lcabassa@wfclaw.com Email: bhill@wfclaw.com Email: aheystek@wfclaw.com Email: gnichols@wfclaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 09/19) Case 8:20-cv-00766-SCB-JSS Decument 1 SFiled 04/01/20 Page 1 of 2 PageID 17

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS ULYSSES HOLMES, and LAPHADRA HOLMES,				DEFENDANTS WCA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC.,					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Brandon J. Hill, Luis A. C Fenton Cabassa, P.A., 17 33602, 813-224-0431				Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES		5	00
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State	FF DEF <1 □ 1	Incorporated <i>or</i> Pri of Business In T		or Defendar PTF D 4	<i>nt)</i> DEF □ 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			of Business In Another State					
				en or Subject of a reign Country		C .		□ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	FC	DRFEITURE/PENALTY		here for: <u>Nature o</u> KRUPTCY		scriptions	
 CONTRACT Ito Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR PERSONAL INJUR BASE PERSONAL INJUR BASE STATES PARTICLE PERSONAL LABILITY PARSONAL CLABILITY PARSONAL PROPER BASE Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER BASE Asbestos Personal BASE Property Damage BASE PROPERTITION Habeas Corpus: BASE Asbestos Personal BASE PROPERSITY PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: BASE PASE PASE PASE PASE PASE PASE PASE P	Y □ 62 0 69 0 71 □ 72 □ 74 □ 75 NS □ 79 ∞ □ 46	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other EABOR O Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	 422 Appe 423 With 28 U PROPEF 820 Copy 830 Paten 835 Paten New 840 Trade 862 Black 863 DIWG 864 SSID 865 RSI (FEDERA 870 Taxes or Dx 871 IRS- 26 U 	al 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157 RTY RIGHTS rights tt - Abbreviated Drug Application mark SECURITY (1395ff) c/DIWW (405(g)) 'Title XVI 405(g)) ML TAX SUITS s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	 375 False Cla 376 Qui Tam 3729(a)) 400 State Rea 410 Antitrust 430 Banks an 450 Commer 460 Deportat 470 Racketee Corrupt 0 480 Consume (15 USC) 485 Telephor Protectic 490 Cable/Sa 850 Securitie Exchang 891 Agricultt 895 Freedom Act 896 Arbitratis 899 Administ 	aims Act (31 USC) apportionm i d Banking ce ion rr Influence Organizatic Cr Credit C 1681 or 1 ne Consum on Act tt TV sy/Commod ge tutory Acti ural Acts nental Mat o f Informa on trative Proo ew or App Decision tionality of	nent g ed and ons (692) ter dities/ tions tters ation cedure eeal of
	moved from \Box 3 te Court	Appellate Court	Reop	(specify)	r District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	-	Multidist Litigation Direct Fil	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIC	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Sta Consolidated Om Brief description of ca COBRA Violation		re filing (I nciliatio	Do not cite jurisdictional stat n Act of 1985 ("COB	tutes unless div BRA")	versity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			N D	EMAND \$		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:		complain □No	ıt:
VIII. RELATED CASH IF ANY	E(S) <i>(See instructions):</i>	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER					
DATE 04/01/2020 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /s/ Brandon J. Hill								
				JUDGE MAG. JUDGE					

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: <u>Nature of Suit Code Descriptions</u>.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the Middle District of Florida							
ULYSSES HOLMES, and LAPHADRA HOLMES, Plaintiff(s) v. WCA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC.,)))))) Civil Action No.)))						
Defendant(s))						
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION							

To: (Defendant's name and address) WCA c/o C

WCA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. c/o Corporation Service Company 1201 Hays Street Tallahassee, FL 32301-2525

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Brandon J. Hill Luis A. Cabassa Amanda E. Heystack Wenzel Fenton Cabassa, P.A. 1110 N. Tampa Street, Suite 300 Tampa, FL 33602

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	ne of individual and title, if a	ny)							
was re	ceived by me on (date)									
	□ I personally served	the summons on the ind	lividual at (place)							
		on (date)								
	□ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (<i>name</i>)									
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,									
	on (<i>date</i>), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or									
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)									
		· ·	on (date)	; or						
	\Box I returned the summ	I returned the summons unexecuted because								
	□ Other (<i>specify</i>):									
	My fees are \$	for travel and S	for services, for a total of \$	0.00 ·						
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.									
Date:										
Duter		-	Server's signature							
		-	Printed name and title							

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Class Action Claims WCA Waste Systems Sent Deficient COBRA Notices to Health Plan Participants</u>