# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----

ZALMI HOFSTATTER

on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated consumers

Plaintiff,

-against-

VALENTINE & KEBARTAS, LLC

Defendant.

-----

#### **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

#### Introduction

1. Plaintiff Zalmi Hofstatter seeks redress for the illegal practices of Valentine & Kebartas, LLC, concerning the collection of debts, in violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et *seq.* ("FDCPA").

#### **Parties**

- 2. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of New York who resides within this District.
- 3. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by Section 1692(a)(3) of the FDCPA, in that the alleged debt that Defendant sought to collect from Plaintiff is a consumer debt.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant's principal place of business is located in Lawrence, Massachusetts.
- 5. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.
- 6. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

- 7. This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as the acts and transactions that give rise to this action occurred, in substantial part, in this district.

### Allegations Particular to Zalmi Hofstatter

- 9. Upon information and belief, on a date better known by Defendant, Defendant began to attempt to collect an alleged consumer debt from the Plaintiff.
- 10. On or about January 7, 2017, Defendant sent the Plaintiff a collection letter seeking to collect a balance allegedly incurred for personal purposes.
- 11. The said letter was the Defendant's initial communication with the Plaintiff.
- 12. The Defendant validated the fact that the January 7, 2017 collection letter was the first letter that Defendant had sent to Plaintiff, in a phone conversation that Plaintiff had with Felicia, a representative of Valentine & Kebartas, subsequent to Plaintiff receiving the said collection letter.
- 13. Said letter misrepresented Plaintiff's right to dispute the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(10), 1692g(a)(3), 1692g(a)(4), and 1692g(a)(5).
- 14. Section 1692g of the FDCPA provides:
  - a) Within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the following information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing --
    - (3) a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector;

- (4) a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and
- (5) a statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.
- 15. Said letter was void of any of the above mentioned validation rights.
- 16. The least sophisticated consumer would assume from the said letter, that he has no option to dispute his debt or obtain verification of the debt.
- 17. Said letter is false, deceptive and misleading.
- 18. Defendant's January 7, 2017 letter violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(10), 1692g(a)(3), 1692g(a)(4), and 1692g(a)(5) for failing to comply with the validation notice requirements, and in particular, for misrepresenting Plaintiff's right to dispute the debt, misrepresenting Plaintiff's right to obtain verification of the debt, and for false and deceptive actions.
- 19. Plaintiff suffered injury in fact by being subjected to unfair and abusive practices of the Defendant.
- 20. Plaintiff suffered actual harm by being the target of the Defendant's misleading debt collection communications.
- 21. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right not to be the target of misleading debt collection communications.
- 22. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right to a truthful and fair debt collection process.
- 23. Defendant used materially false, deceptive, misleading representations and means in its attempted collection of Plaintiff's alleged debt.

- 24. Defendant's communications were designed to cause the debtor to suffer a harmful disadvantage in charting a course of action in response to Defendant's collection efforts.
- 25. The FDCPA ensures that consumers are fully and truthfully apprised of the facts and of their rights, the act enables them to understand, make informed decisions about, and participate fully and meaningfully in the debt collection process. The purpose of the FDCPA is to provide information that helps consumers to choose intelligently. The Defendant's false representations misled the Plaintiff in a manner that deprived him of his right to enjoy these benefits, these materially misleading statements trigger liability under section 1692e of the Act.
- 26. These deceptive communications additionally violated the FDCPA since they frustrate the consumer's ability to intelligently choose his or her response.
- 27. As an actual and proximate result of the acts and omissions of Valentine & Kebartas, LLC, Plaintiff has suffered including but not limited to, fear, stress, mental anguish, emotional stress and acute embarrassment for which he should be compensated in an amount to be established by a jury at trial.

#### AS AND FOR A CAUSE OF ACTION

Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act brought by Plaintiff on behalf of himself and the members of a class, as against the Defendant.

- 28. Plaintiff re-states, re-alleges, and incorporates herein by reference, paragraphs one (1) through twenty seven (27) as if set forth fully in this cause of action.
- 29. This cause of action is brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the members of a class.
- 30. The class consists of all persons whom Defendant's records reflect resided in the State of New York and who were sent a collection letter in substantially the same form letter as the letter sent to the Plaintiff on or about January 7, 2017; and (a) the collection letter

was sent to a consumer seeking payment of a personal debt purportedly owed to Touro College; and (b) the collection letter was returned by the postal service as undelivered; (c) and the Plaintiff asserts that the letter contained violations of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(10), 1692g(a)(3), 1692g(a)(4), and 1692g(a)(5) for failing to comply with the validation notice requirements, and in particular, for misrepresenting Plaintiff's right to dispute the debt, misrepresenting Plaintiff's right to obtain verification of the debt, and for false and deceptive actions.

- 31. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, a class action is appropriate and preferable in this case because:
  - A. Based on the fact that a form collection letter is at the heart of this litigation, the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.
  - B. There are questions of law and fact common to the class and these questions predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members. The principal question presented by this claim is whether the Defendant violated the FDCPA.
  - C. The only individual issue is the identification of the consumers who received such collection letters (*i.e.* the class members), a matter capable of ministerial determination from the records of Defendant.
  - D. The claims of the Plaintiff are typical of those of the class members. All are based on the same facts and legal theories.
  - E. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the class members' interests. The Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in bringing class

actions and collection-abuse claims. The Plaintiff's interests are consistent with those of the members of the class.

- 32. A class action is superior for the fair and efficient adjudication of the class members' claims. Congress specifically envisions class actions as a principal means of enforcing the FDCPA. 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k). The members of the class are generally unsophisticated individuals, whose rights will not be vindicated in the absence of a class action. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the classes would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications resulting in the establishment of inconsistent or varying standards for the parties and would not be in the interest of judicial economy.
- 33. If the facts are discovered to be appropriate, the Plaintiff will seek to certify a class pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 34. Collection attempts, such as those made by the Defendant are to be evaluated by the objective standard of the hypothetical "least sophisticated consumer."

#### Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

- 35. The Defendant's actions as set forth above in the within complaint violates the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 36. Because the Defendant violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the Plaintiff and the members of the class are entitled to damages in accordance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully requests preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, and that this Court enter judgment in his favor and against the Defendant and award damages as follows:

A. Statutory damages provided under the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k);

- B. Attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs incurred in bringing this action; and
- C. Any other relief that this Court deems appropriate and just under the circumstances.

Dated: Woodmere, New York October 20, 2017

/s/ Adam J. Fishbein
Adam J. Fishbein, P.C. (AF-9508)
Attorney At Law
Attorney for the Plaintiff
735 Central Avenue

Woodmere, New York 11598
Telephone: (516) 668-6945
Email: fishbeinadamj@gmail.com

Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Adam J. Fishbein Adam J. Fishbein (AF-9508)



January 7, 2017 -

HOFSTATTER, ZALMI 5817 11 AVE BROOKLYN NY, 11219

RE: TOURO COLLEGE & UNIVERSITY SYS

VKI Account #: 13674829 Reference #: T00110413 Current Balance: \$5,300.00

To Whom It May Concern:

Valentine and Kehartas as a legally authorized representative of TOURO COLLEGE & UNIVERSITY SYS is offering to release the above aforementioned account,

We are prepared to provide a full release of this liability for the sum of Fivo Thousand Three Hundred Dollars and Zero Cants (\$5,300,00).

Terms of offer: Balance in the amount of \$5,300.00 due 01/30/2017.

Upon successful negotiations of funds, this will represent payment in full and our client will update your credit report accordingly.

Please contact Felicia Antoine at 800-731-7766 ext 333 should you have any questions.

Respectfully,

Stephania Peguero Collections Manager

\* NEW YORK CITY RESIDENTS:

New York City Department of Consumer Affairs, license number 1136551

This communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt; any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern Distric	et of New York	
ZALMI HOFSTATTER  Plaintiff(s) v.  VALENTINE & KEBARTAS, LLC  Defendant(s)	) ) ) ) (Civil Action No. ) ) ) ) )	
SUMMONS IN A	A CIVIL ACTION	
To: (Defendant's name and address) VALENTINE & KEBARTAS 15 UNION ST. LAWRENCE, MASSACHUS		
A lawsuit has been filed against you.  Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:  Adam J. Fishbein, P.C. 735 Central Avenue Woodmere NY 11598		
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be early You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.	
	CLERK OF COURT	
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk	

Civil Action No.

## PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was ra	This summons for (no ceived by me on (date)	ame of individual and title, if a	ny)		
was re	cerved by the on (aate)		·		
	☐ I personally serve	ed the summons on the inc	lividual at (place)		
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I left the summon	s at the individual's reside	ence or usual place of abode with (name)		
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides the				
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or				
	☐ I served the sumn	nons on (name of individual)		, who is	
	designated by law to	accept service of process	s on behalf of (name of organization)		
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I returned the sum	nmons unexecuted becaus	e	; or	
	☐ Other ( <i>specify</i> ):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and S	\$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00	
	I declare under penal	Ity of perjury that this info	ormation is true.		
Date:		_			
			Server's signature		
		_	Printed name and title		
		_	Server's address		

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

JS 44 (Rev. 1/2013)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do			of the United States in September F THIS FORM.)	1974, is required for the use of	t the Clerk of Court for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
ZALMI HOFSTATTER			VALENTINE & KE	VALENTINE & KEBARTAS, LLC		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff KINGS (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			NOTE: IN LAND C	e of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONDEMNATION CASES, USE TOF LAND INVOLVED.	*	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Adam J. Fishbein 735 Central Avenue	fishbeinadamj@gm		Attorneys (If Known)			
Woodmere, NY 11598	516 668 6945	Ţ				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF I	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
■ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government in	Not a Party)	P	TF DEF  1 1	PTF DEF rincipal Place	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh.)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	1 2		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	□ 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		orts	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ∞ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise   REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice  CIVIL RIGHTS □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability	LABOR T 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations  ■ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	moved from	Appellate Court	(specify	er District Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	15 USC 1692 FAI	IR DEBT COLLECT ause:	re filing (Do not cite jurisdictional sta FION PRACTICES ACT	tutes unless diversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	r if demanded in complaint:  : X Yes □ No	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 10/20/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATT	FORNEY OF RECORD SHBEIN			
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE	

# 

## CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, ADAM	J. FISHBEIN	, counsel for PLAINTIFF , do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is
ineligil	ole for co	ompulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):  do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is
		monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
		the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
	X	the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason CLASS ACTION
		DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
		Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:
		NONE
		RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)
provides because same jud case: (A	that "A cithe cases a lge and ma ) involves	s that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) ivil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the agistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power mine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the
		NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)
1.)	Is the ci County:	vil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk
2.)		nswered "no" above: he events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk
	b) Did t District	he events of omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern
Suffolk	County, olk Count	o question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau y? NO ote: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).
	(	BAR ADMISSION
I am cu	rrently ad	Imitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.  Yes  No
Are you	ı currentl	y the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?  Yes (If yes, please explain) No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature: /S/ ADAM J. FISHBEIN

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Valentine & Kebartas Sued Over Allegedly Incomplete Debt Collection Letter</u>