# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Yochanan Hochauser, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated;

Plaintiff,

| Civil Action No: _ |  |
|--------------------|--|
|--------------------|--|

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT** 

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 

-v.-

United Collection Bureau, Inc. John Does 1-25

Defendant.

Plaintiff Yochanan Hochauser (hereinafter, "Plaintiff" or "Hochauser"), a New York resident, brings this Class Action Complaint by and through his attorneys, RC Law Group, PLLC, against Defendant United Collection Bureau, Inc. (hereinafter "Defendant" or "UCB"), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

# **INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

1. Congress enacted the FDCPA in 1977 in response to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. §1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that "abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." *Id.* Congress concluded that "existing laws...[we]re

### Case 7:18-cv-04454 Document 1 Filed 05/18/18 Page 2 of 12

inadequate to protect consumers," and that "the effective collection of debts" does not require "misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices." 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).

2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to "insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." ld. § 1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws ·were inadequate~ id § 1692(b), Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. Id. § 1692k.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action pursuant to <u>28 U.S.C. § 1331</u>, <u>15 U.S.C. § 1692</u> et. seq. and <u>28 U.S.C. § 2201</u>. If applicable, the Court also has pendent jurisdiction over the State law claims in this action pursuant to <u>28 U.S.C. § 1367(a)</u>.

4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to <u>28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2)</u>.

#### **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

5. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of New York consumers under §1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA"), and

6. Plaintiff is seeking damages and declaratory and injunctive relief.

#### **PARTIES**

 Plaintiff is a resident of the State of New York, County of Rockland, residing at 111 Park Lane, Monsey, NY 10952.

# Case 7:18-cv-04454 Document 1 Filed 05/18/18 Page 3 of 12

8. United Collection Bureau, Inc. is a "debt collector" as the phrase is defined in <u>15</u> <u>U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6)</u> and used in the FDCPA with an address at 5620 Southwyck Boulevard, Suite 206, Toledo, OH 43614.

9. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.

10. Defendant is a "debt collector", as defined under the FDCPA under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

11. John Does 1-25, are fictitious names of individuals and businesses alleged for the purpose of substituting names of Defendants whose identities will be disclosed in discovery and should be made parties to this action.

# **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

Plaintiffs bring this claim on behalf of the following case, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ.P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3).

- 13. The Class consists of:
  - a. all individuals with addresses in the State of New York;
  - b. to whom United Collection Bureau, Inc. sent an initial collection letter attempting to collect a consumer debt;
  - c. regarding collection of a Chase Bank U.S.A., N.A. Debt;
  - d. that it implies that a consumer may enhance its likelihood of approval for future credit products by paying the claimed debt in full, rather than the reduced settlement amount;
  - e. which letter was sent on or after a date one (1) year prior to the filing of this action and on or before a date twenty-one (21) days after the filing of this action.

# Case 7:18-cv-04454 Document 1 Filed 05/18/18 Page 4 of 12

14. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Defendants and those companies and entities on whose behalf they attempt to collect and/or have purchased debts.

15. Excluded from the Plaintiff Classes are the Defendants and all officer, members, partners, managers, directors and employees of the Defendants and their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action, and all members of their immediate families.

16. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff Classes, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms **attached as Exhibit A**, violates 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692g.

17. The Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories. The Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff Classes defined in this complaint. The Plaintiffs have retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiffs nor their attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.

18. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:

a. <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that the Plaintiff Classes defined above are so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.

- b. <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff Classes and those questions predominance over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms **attached as Exhibit A**, violates 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692g.
- c. <u>Typicality:</u> The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. The Plaintiffs and all members of the Plaintiff Classes have claims arising out of the Defendants' common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
- d. <u>Adequacy:</u> The Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiffs have no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. The Plaintiffs are committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiffs have also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiffs nor their counsel have any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.
- e. <u>Superiority:</u> A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender.

# Case 7:18-cv-04454 Document 1 Filed 05/18/18 Page 6 of 12

19. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff Classes predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

20. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiffs may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify a class(es) only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

21. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

22. Some time prior to November 25, 2017, an obligation was allegedly incurred to Chase Bank U.S.A., N.A. ("Chase").

23. The Chase obligation arose out of a transaction in which money, property, insurance or services, which are the subject of the transaction, are primarily for personal, family or household purposes.

24. The alleged Chase Bank U.S.A., N.A. obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

25. Chase is a "creditor" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(4).

26. Chase or a subsequent owner of the Chase debt contracted the Defendant to collect the alleged debt.

# Case 7:18-cv-04454 Document 1 Filed 05/18/18 Page 7 of 12

27. Defendant collects and attempts to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the United States Postal Service, telephone and internet.

# Violation I – November 25, 2017 Collection Letter

28. On or about November 25, 2017, Defendant sent the Plaintiff a collection letter (the "Letter") regarding the alleged debt owed to Chase Bank U.S.A., N.A., with an outstanding balance due in the amount of \$8,968.53. See Exhibit A.

29. Defendant's November 25, 2017 Collection Letter contained language that implies that the consumer may enhance its likelihood of future approval for credit products by paying the alleged debt in full, rather than accept a reduced settlement amount.

30. Specifically, the third paragraph of Defendant's letter contained an offer to settle the \$8,968.53 balance for a reduced amount of \$4,035.84.

31. However, the third continues : "If we settle this debt with you for less that the full outstanding balance, Chase may offer you less favorable terms in the future for some Chase products or services or may deny your application."

32. This language implies that if the Plaintiff settles the stated balance for a reduced amount, as opposed to paying the stated balance in full, Plaintiff may reduce his likelihood of receiving future credit products from Chase Bank or reduce his overall creditworthiness.

33. This language is false and deceptive, since approval in the future has to do with a persons credit, and by implying that paying in full will help your chance of being approved is false statement.

# Case 7:18-cv-04454 Document 1 Filed 05/18/18 Page 8 of 12

34. This language is deceptive and overshadowing as it does not truly afford the consumer of its proper rights and ability to dispute the debt, as it falsely implies that payment in-full (rather than settlement) of the claimed debt would have enhanced his likelihood of receiving future credit products or enhanced his overall creditworthiness.

35. This statement is deceptive and contradictory, thus preventing the Plaintiff from making payment as she cannot be sure if it is better or worse for him to settle the debt.

36. As a result of Defendant's deceptive, misleading and unfair debt collection practices, Plaintiff has been damaged.

# <u>COUNT I</u> VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT<u>15</u> U.S.C. §1692e *et seq.*

37. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

38. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

39. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692e, a debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.

- 40. Defendant violated said section by:
  - a. Making a false and misleading representation in violation of §1692e(10).
  - b. Specifically, by falsely implying that payment in-full (rather than settlement) of the claimed debt would have enhanced his likelihood of receiving future credit products or enhanced his overall creditworthiness.

### Case 7:18-cv-04454 Document 1 Filed 05/18/18 Page 9 of 12

41. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692e et seq. of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

# <u>COUNT II</u> VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692g et seq.

42. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

43. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692g.

44. Pursuant to 15 USC §1692g, a debt collector:

45. When a debt collector solicits payment from a consumer, it must, within five days of an initial communication, provide the consumer with a written validation notice which must include the following information:

(1) the amount of the debt;

(2) the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed;

(3) a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector;

(4) a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of the judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and

(5) a statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a).

46. The FDCPA further provides that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty day period . . . that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed . . . the debt collector shall cease collection . . . until the debt collector obtains verification of the debt . . . and a copy of such verification is mailed to the consumer by the debt collector. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(b).

47. Although a collection letter may track the statutory language, "the collector nonetheless violates the Act if it conveys that information in a confusing or contradictory fashion so as to cloud the required message with uncertainty." <u>Russell</u>, 74 F.3d at 35 ("It is not enough for a debt collection agency simply to include the proper debt validation notice in a mailing to a consumer-- Congress intended that such notice be clearly conveyed."). Put differently, a notice containing "language that 'overshadows or contradicts' other language informing a consumer of her rights ... violates the Act." <u>Russell</u>, 74 F.3d at 34.

48. Although this letter contained the required language it also contained language that implies that the consumer may enhance its likelihood of future approval for credit products by paying the alleged debt in full, rather than accept a reduced settlement amount.

49. The Defendant violated §1692g as the letter contains language that overshadows the Plaintiff's validation rights and notice of ability to dispute the debt.

# Case 7:18-cv-04454 Document 1 Filed 05/18/18 Page 11 of 12

50. This language is deceptive and overshadowing as it overshadows the Plaintiff's validation rights and notice of ability to dispute the debt, as it falsely implies that payment infull (rather than settlement) of the claimed debt would have enhanced his likelihood of receiving future credit products or enhanced his overall creditworthiness.

51. This statement regarding future credit may coerce the Plaintiff to not invoke his validation rights.

52. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692g et seq. of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

# **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

# PRAYER FOR RELIEF

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff Yochanan Hochauser, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated demands judgment from Defendant United Collection Bureau, Inc., as follows:

1. Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying

Plaintiff as Class representative, and Daniel Kohn, Esq. as Class Counsel;

2. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;

3. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;

4. Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and

expenses;

5. Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and

6. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: Hackensack, New Jersey May 18, 2018

/s/ Daniel Kohn

By: Daniel Kohn RC Law Group, PLLC 285 Passaic Street Hackensack, NJ 07601 Phone: (201) 282-6500 Fax: (201) 282-6501 Attorneys For Plaintiff Case 7:18-cv-04454 Document 1-1 Filed 05/18/18 Page 1 of 3

# **EXHIBIT A**

UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, ING54 5620 SOUTHWYCK BLVD SUITE 206 TOLEDO OH 43614 www.ucbinc.com

Document 1-1 Pre-05/48/187 Page 2 of 3

878.

Toll Free: 1-877-837-0723

#### YOCHANAN HOCHHAUSER

#### Creditor:

Last Four Digits of Creditor Account Number: United Collection Bureau, Inc. Reference Number: Account Balance: Chase Bank USA, N.A. 5065 88968.53

#### Dear YOCHANAN HOCHHAUSER:

The above referenced debt has been placed with this company for collection. Please remit payment in full to the address below. Or, to make an easy one-time payment online, please go to: <u>www.ucbinc.com</u>, click on 'Make a Payment,' and follow the prompts.

The information below is an itemized accounting of the debt as required by state statute:

| Total amount due as of charge-off                                      | \$8968.53 |
|--|-----------|
| Total amount of interest accrued since charge-off:                     | \$0.00    |
| Total amount of non-interest charges or fees accrued since charge-off: | \$0.00    |
| Total amount of payments made since charge-off:                        | \$0.00    |

If you are interested in a reduction of the balance, we are authorized to offer you a settlement for \$4035.84. We are not obligated to renew this offer. If we settle this debt with you for less than the full outstanding balance, Chase may offer you less favorable terms in the future for some Chase products or services, or may deny your application.

When calling our office at 1-877-837-0723, please refer to reference number

This is an attempt to collect a debt by United Collection Bureau, Inc., a debt collector, and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days from receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice, this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, it different from the current creditor.

In accordance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., debt collectors are prohibited from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection efforts, including but not limited to: (i) the use or threat of violence; (ii) the use of obscene or profane language; and (iii) repeated phone calls made with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass.

If a creditor or debt collector receives a money judgment against you in court, state and federal laws may prevent the following types of income from being taken to pay the debt: 1. Supplemental security income, (SSI); 2. Social security; 3. Public assistance (welfare); 4. Spousal support, maintenance (alimony) or child support; 5. Unemployment benefits; 6. Disability benefits; 7. Workers' compensation benefits; 8. Public or private pensions; 9. Veterans' benefits; 10. Federal student loans, federal student grants, and federal work study funds; and 11. Ninety percent of your wages or salary earned in the last sixty days.

We are required under state law to notify consumers of the following rights This list days not contain a complete list of the rights consumers have under state and federal law.

When you provide a check as payment, you authorize us either to use information from your check to make a one-time electronic fund transfer from your account or to process the payment as a check transaction.

**CALIFORNIA**: The state Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act require that, except under unusual circumstances, collectors may not contact you before 8 a.m. or after 9 p.m. They may not harass you by using threats of violence or arrest or by using obscene language. Collectors may not use false or misleading statements or call you at work if they know or have reason to know that you may not receive personal calls at work. For the most part, collectors may not tell another person, other than your attorney or spouse, about your debt. Collectors may contact another person to confirm your location or enforce a judgment. For more information about debt collection activities, you may contact the Federal Trade Commission at 1-877-FTC-HELP or <u>www.ftc.gov</u>. Nonprofit credit counseling services may be available in the area.

**COLORADO:** FOR INFORMATION ABOUT THE COLORADO FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, SEE <u>WWW.COAG.GOV/CAR</u>. A consumer has the right to request in writing that a debt collector or collection agency cease further communication with the consumer. A written request to cease communication will not prohibit the debt collector or collection agency from taking any other action authorized by law to collect the debt. Payments can be made in person at: Colorado Manager, Inc., Building B, 80 Garden Center, Suite 3, Broomfield, Colorado 80020, 303-920-4763.

IDAHO: Toll Free 1-866-209-0622 during normal business hours.

**KANSAS**: An investigative consumer report, which includes information as to your character, general reputation, personal characteristics and mode of living, may have been requested. You have the right to request additional information, which includes the nature and scope of the investigation.

MAINE: Hours of operation (ET): Monday through Thursday, 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.; Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

MASSACHUSETTS: \*\*NOTICE OF IMPORTANT RIGHTS\*\* You have the right to make a written or oral request that telephone calls regarding your debt not be made to you at your place of employment. Any such oral request will be valid for only ten days unless you provide written confirmation of the request postmarked or delivered within seven days of such request. You may terminate this request by writing to the debt collector. Hours of operation (ET): Monday through Thursday, 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.; Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

MINNESOTA: This collection agency is licensed by the Minnesota Department of Commerce.

NEW YORK CITY: New York City Department of Consumer Affairs License Number 1004887.

NORTH CAROLINA: North Carolina Permit Numbers: 101866, 3843, 4022 and 4367.

TENNESSEE: This collection agency is licensed by the Collection Service Board of the Department of Commerce and Insurance.

WISCONSIN: This collection agency is licensed by the Division of Banking in the Wisconsin Department of Financial Institutions, <u>www.wdfi.org</u>.

If you have a concern about the way we are collecting this debt, please call our toll-free Consumer Service Hotline at 1-866-209-0622, email us at <u>UCBCompliance@ucbinc.com</u>, or mail us at United Collection Bureau, Inc., Compliance Department, 5620 Southwyck Blvd, Ste 206, Toledo, OH 43614. Please include your account number on all communication.

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>United Collection Bureau Facing Lawsuit Challenging Implication of Future Creditworthiness</u>