

JUSTIN D. HEIDEMAN (USB #8897)  
**HEIDEMAN & ASSOCIATES**  
2696 North University Avenue, Suite 180  
Provo, Utah 84604  
Telephone: (801) 476-7742  
Facsimile: (801) 374-1724  
Email: jheideman@heidlaw.com  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

---

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
IN AND FOR DISTRICT COUNTY, CENTRAL DIVISION**

---

<p>JOSEPH HILLMAN on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated;</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>RESONSE MARKETING GROUP, LLC;</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendant.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND</b></p> <p>Civil No.:</p> <p>Judge:</p>
---	--

---

Joseph Hillman (“Plaintiff”) on behalf of himself and a class of those similarly situated, by way of Complaint against Response Marketing Group, LLC (hereinafter referred to as “Defendant”) by and through his counsel alleges as follows:

**NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. This is a civil action for collection of unpaid wages and benefits for sixty (60) calendar days pursuant to the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act of 1988 29 U.S.C. §§ 2101-2109 et seq. (the “WARN Act”). Plaintiff was an employee of Defendant until he was terminated as part of, or as a result of a mass layoff and/or plant closing ordered by Defendant. As such, Defendant is liable under the WARN Act for the failure to provide

Plaintiff and the other similarly situated former employees at least 60 days' advance written notice of termination, as required by the WARN Act.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

2. This Court has jurisdiction over this proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 29 U.S.C § 2104 (a)(5).
3. The violation of the WARN Act alleged herein occurred in this District and more particularly in Lindon, UT. Venue in this Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C §2104 (a)(5).

**THE PARTIES**

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant, was, at all relevant times to this action, a Utah corporation which maintained a facility in Lindon, UT (the "Facility").
5. At all relevant times to this action, Plaintiff, Joseph Hillman was an employee who was employed by Defendant and worked at or reported to the Facility until his termination without cause on or about November 5, 2019 and thereafter.
6. On or about November 5, 2019 and thereafter, Defendant ordered the termination of Plaintiff's employment together with the termination of approximately 600 other employees who worked at or reported to the Facility as part of a mass layoff and/or plant closing as defined by the WARN Act, for which they were entitled to receive 60 days advance written notice under the WARN Act.
7. At or about the time Plaintiff was terminated, Defendant ordered the termination of approximately 600 other similarly situated employees who worked at or reported to Facility (the "Other Similarly Situated Employees").

**CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS – 29 U.S.C. § 2104(a)(5)**

8. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 2104 (a)(5), Plaintiff maintains this action on behalf of himself and on behalf of each of the Other Similarly Situated Employees.
9. Each of the Other Similarly Situated Former Employees is similarly situated to Plaintiff in respect to his or her rights under the WARN Act.
10. Defendant was required by the WARN Act to give Plaintiff and the Other Similarly Situated Employees at least 60 days advance written notice prior to their terminations.
11. Prior to their terminations, neither Plaintiff nor the Other Similarly Situated Employees received written notice that complied with the requirements of the WARN Act.
12. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and the Other Similarly Situated Employees their respective wages, salary, commissions, bonuses, accrued holiday pay and accrued vacation for sixty (60) days following their respective terminations and failed to make 401(k) contributions and provide them with health insurance coverage and other employee benefits.

**CLASS ALLEGATIONS RULES 23(a) AND (b)**

13. Plaintiff brings this action on his own behalf and, pursuant to Rules 23(a) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of the other employees who worked at the Facility and were terminated as part of a mass layoff and/or plant closing ordered by Defendant at the Facility on or about November 5, 2019 and thereafter (“the “Class”).
14. The persons in the Class identified above (“Class Members”) are so numerous that joinder of all Class Members is impracticable.

15. There are questions of law and fact common to the Class Members that predominate over any questions affecting only individual members.
16. The claims of the representative parties are typical of the claims of the Class.
17. The representative parties will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class.
18. Plaintiff has retained counsel competent and experienced in complex class action employment litigation.
19. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy—particularly in the context of WARN Act litigation, where an individual Plaintiff and Class Members may lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit in federal court against a corporate defendant.
20. There are questions of law and fact common to the Class Members that predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class, including but not limited to:
  - (a) Whether the Class Members were employees of Defendant who worked at or reported to the Facility;
  - (b) Whether Defendant terminated the employment of the Class Members as part of a mass layoff and/or plant closing without cause on their part and without giving them 60 days advance written notice;
  - (c) Whether Defendant may rely on the WARN Act’s “unforeseeable business circumstances” or “faltering company” defense.
  - (d) Whether Defendant’s failure to provide 60 days’ notice should render it liable to the Class Members for 60 days’ pay and benefits.

**CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

21. At all relevant times, Defendant employed 100 or more employees, exclusive of part-time employees, or employed 100 or more employees who in the aggregate worked at least 4,000 hours per week exclusive of hours of overtime within the United States as defined by the WARN Act and employed more than 50 employees at the Facility.
22. At all relevant times, Defendant was an “employer,” as that term is defined in 29 U.S.C. § 2101(a)(1) of WARN and 20 C.F.R. § 639.3(a).
23. On or about November 5, 2019 and thereafter, Defendant ordered a “mass layoff” and/or “plant closing” at the Facility as that term is defined by 29 U.S.C. § 2101(a)(3).
24. Plaintiff and the Class Members who were terminated by Defendant as a result of Defendant ordering a mass layoff and/or plant closing at the Facility on or about November 5, 2019 and thereafter were “affected employees” as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 2101(a)(5) of the WARN Act.
25. The mass layoff and/or plant closing at the Facility resulted in “employment losses,” as that term is defined by the WARN Act for at least fifty (50) of Defendant’s employees as well as 33% of Defendant’s workforce at the Facility, excluding “part-time employees,” as that term is defined by the WARN Act.
26. Plaintiff and each of the Class Members are “aggrieved employees” of Defendant as that term is defined in 29 U.S.C. § 2104 (a)(7).
27. Pursuant to Section 2102 of WARN and 20 C.F.R. § 639.1 - § 639.10 et seq., Defendant was required to provide at least 60 days prior written notice of the terminations.

28. Defendant failed to provide at least sixty (60) days prior notice to the Class Members of their terminations.
29. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and each of the Class Members their respective wages, salary, commissions, bonuses, accrued holiday pay and accrued vacation for 60 working days following their respective terminations.
30. Additionally, Defendant failed to make the pension and 401(k) contributions, provide other employee benefits under ERISA, and pay their medical expenses for 60 calendar days from and after the dates of their respective terminations.
31. As a result of Defendant's failure to pay the wages, benefits and other monies as asserted above, the Aggrieved Employees were damaged in an amount equal to the sum of the Class Members unpaid wages, accrued holiday pay, accrued vacation pay, accrued sick leave pay and benefits which would have been paid for a period of sixty (60) calendar days after the date of the members' terminations.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff and Class Members demand judgment against Defendant as follows:

- a. An amount equal to the sum of: unpaid wages, salary, commissions, bonuses, accrued holiday pay, accrued vacation pay pension and 401(k) contributions and other ERISA benefits that would have been covered and paid under the then applicable employee benefit plans had that coverage continued for that period, for sixty (60) working days following the member employee's termination, all determined in accordance with the WARN Act;

- b. Certification that, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 (a) and (b) and the WARN Act, 29 U.S.C §2104(a)(5), Plaintiff and the Class Members constitute a single class;
- c. Interest as allowed by law on the amounts owed under the preceding paragraphs;
- d. Appointment of the undersigned attorneys as Class Counsel;
- e. Appointment of Plaintiff as the Class Representative and payment of reasonable compensation for his services as such;
- f. The reasonable attorneys' fees and the costs and disbursements the Plaintiff incurs in prosecuting this action, as authorized by the WARN Act, 29 U.S.C. §2104(a)(6);
- g. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

**JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiffs are entitled to try its case to a jury of its peers, and Plaintiffs hereby demands the same.

DATED this 30th day of December 2019.

HEIDEMAN & ASSOCIATES

/s/Justin D. Heideman

JUSTIN D. HEIDEMAN

*Attorney for Plaintiff*

Anticipated *Pro Hoc* counsel:

LANKENAU & MILLER, LLP

Stuart J. Miller (SJM 4276)

132 Nassau Street, Suite 1100

New York, NY 10038

P: (212) 581-5005

F: (212) 581-2122

THE GARDNER FIRM, PC

Mary E. Olsen (OLSEM4818)

M. Vance McCrary (MCCRM4402)

210 S. Washington Ave.

Mobile, AL 36602

P: (251) 433-8100

F: (251) 433-8181

# ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [WARN Act Class Action Claims Response Marketing Failed to Provide Proper Notice Before Mass Layoff](#)

---