## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

NAFEESA 1	HILL, on	behalf of herself and	:	CIVIL ACTION

similarly situated employees, Plaintiff, NO.

v.

SRM ENTERPRISES, LLC,

Defendant.

# **COMPLAINT - CLASS/COLLECTIVE ACTION**

## INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiff Nafeesa Hill ("Plaintiff") worked as an exotic dancer at Vanity Grand Cabaret, a Southeast Philadelphia club owned an operated by Defendant SRM Enterprises, LLC ("Defendant"). In this "hybrid" class/collective lawsuit, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant has violated the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq., the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act ("PMWA"), 43 P.S. §§333.101, et seq., and the Pennsylvania Wage Payment and Collection Law ("PWPCL"), 43 P.S. §§ 260.1, et seq.
- 2. Defendant will try to escape liability by asserting that Plaintiff and other dancers are not "employees" and, therefore, are not covered by the above statutes. As this Court has observed, however, the assertion that exotic dancers are not employees has been rejected "nearly without exception" in courthouses throughout the Nation. Verma v. 3001 Castor, Inc., 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 88459, \*17 n. 5 (E.D. Pa. June 30, 2014) (Brody, J.) (citing cases and quoting Hart v. Rick's Cabaret Int'l, Inc., 967 F. Supp. 2d 901, 912-13 (S.D.N.Y. 20013)); see, e.g., McFeeley v. Jackson Street Entertainment, LLC, 825 F.3d 235 (4th Cir. 2016) (affirming judgment against adult entertainment club). Plaintiff respectfully submits that in this case – as in other cases – the Court should find her and her coworkers to be covered by federal and state

wage laws.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 3. Jurisdiction over the FLSA claim is proper under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 4. Jurisdiction over the PMWA and PWPCL claims is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
  - 5. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

#### **PARTIES**

- 6. Plaintiff currently resides in Philadelphia, PA.
- 7. Plaintiff is an employee covered by the FLSA, PMWA, and PWPCL.
- 8. Defendant is a corporate entity headquartered in Philadelphia, PA.
- 9. Defendant employs individuals engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce and/or handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced in commerce by any person.
  - 10. Defendant is an employer covered by the FLSA, PMWA, and PWPCL.

#### **FACTS**

- 11. Defendant currently owns and operates the Vanity Grand Cabaret ("the Club"), located at 6130 Passyunk Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19148.
  - 12. Defendant has owned and operated the Club for the past three years.
- 13. On its website, the Club purports to be "Philadelphia's most exclusive multimillion dollar gentlemen's club."
- 14. During the past three years, at least 100 women have worked at the Club, performing activities such as, for example, on-stage exotic dancing and "personal couch dances"

with Club customers. These individuals will be referred to as "dancers."

- 15. The work performed by the dancers is integral to Defendant's business. Indeed, the Defendant's advertisements emphasize the Club's "beautiful" dancers and the entertainment they provide to Club customers.
- 16. Aspiring dancers can apply for a job by visiting the Club's website page headed "**EMPLOYMENT**" (emphasis in original) and completing a simple job application.
  - 17. The dancer position requires no specialized skill, training, or education.
- 18. The dancer position requires no significant investment of money or equipment by the dancers beyond the purchase and maintenance of performance outfits.
  - 19. Plaintiff worked at the Club as a dancer until approximately early-April 2017.
  - 20. Defendant does not pay any wages to Plaintiff or other dancers.
- 21. Defendant has never attempted to utilize the FLSA/PMWA's "tip credit" provisions, which would require Defendant to directly pay dancers wages of at least \$2.13/hour under the FLSA and at least \$2.83 under the PMWA. *See* 29 U.S.C. § 203(m); 43 P.S. § 333.103(d). Moreover, because Defendant never actually utilized the FLSA/PMWA's "tip credit" provisions, it made no attempt to notify Plaintiff or other dancers that they were covered by such provisions.
- 22. Plaintiff and other dancers receive all of their earnings directly from the Club's customers. These customer payments do not constitute wages under the FLSA or PMWA. See, e.g., Verma v. 3001 Castor, Inc., 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 164026, \*15-17, 26-28 (E.D. Pa. June 30, 2014). Moreover, these customer payments are not included in the Club's gross receipts.
- 23. At the Club, Defendant unilaterally determines and controls the work and earning opportunities of Plaintiff and other dancers. For example, Defendant has fixed the charge for a

"personal couch dance" at \$20 and has fixed the charge for a "15-Minute Champaign Court" dance at \$150.

- 24. Defendant requires Plaintiff and other dancers to follow strict work rules. For example, Defendant determines the order in which dancers must appear on stage. Once on stage, dancers cannot select their own dance music and must have their tops off by the second song.
- 25. Defendant subjects Plaintiff and other dancers to pay deductions. For example, dancers: (i) must pay Defendant \$100 if they miss their "stage rotation;" (ii) must pay "Minimum Rent" of between \$61 and \$151 per shift; (iii) must pay \$5 for every "Private Couch Dance;" (iv) must pay \$50 for every "15-Minute Champagne Court" dance; (v) must pay \$75 for every "30-Minute Champagne Court" dance; and (vi) must pay \$100 for every "VIP Court" dance.
- 26. Defendant, upon information and belief, has neither sought nor obtained the approval of the Pennsylvania Department of Labor and Industry for any of the pay deductions charged to Plaintiff and other dancers.

#### **COLLECTIVE AND CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

- 27. Plaintiff brings her FLSA claim pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) on behalf of herself and all individuals who, during any time within the past three years, worked at the Club as dancers.
- 28. Plaintiff's FLSA claim should proceed as a collective action because she and other dancers, having worked pursuant to the common compensation and deduction practices summarized herein, are "similarly situated" as that term is defined in 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and the associated decisional law.
- 29. Plaintiff brings her PMWA and PWPCL claims pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 on behalf of all individuals who, during any time within the past three years,

worked at the Club as dancers.

- 30. The class, upon information and belief, includes at least 100 individuals, all of whom are readily ascertainable based on Defendant's standard business records, and, as such, is so numerous that joinder of all class members is impracticable.
- 31. Plaintiff is a class member, her claims are typical of the claims of other class members, and she has no interests that are antagonistic to or in conflict with the interests of other class members.
- 32. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the class members and their interests, and she has retained competent and experienced counsel who will effectively represent the class members' interests.
- 33. Questions of law and fact are common to all class members, since, <u>inter alia</u>, this action concerns the legality of Defendant's standardized compensation and deduction practices summarized herein.
- 34. Class certification is appropriate under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) because common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only Plaintiff and because a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation.

# **COUNT I**(Alleging Violations of the FLSA)

- 35. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.
- 36. The FLSA entitles employees to a minimum hourly wage of \$7.25.
- 37. As statutory employees, Plaintiff and other dancers were entitled to this minimum hourly wage.
  - 38. By failing to pay any wages to Plaintiff and other dancers, Defendant has acted

willfully and with reckless disregard of the FLSA.

# COUNT II (Alleging Violations of the PMWA)

- 39. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.
- 40. The PMWA entitles employees to a minimum hourly wage of \$7.25.
- 41. As statutory employees, Plaintiff and other dancers were entitled to this minimum hourly wage.
- 42. By failing to pay any wages to Plaintiff and other dancers, Defendant violated the PMWA.

# **COUNT III**(Alleging Violations of the PWPCL)

- 43. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.
- 44. The PWPCL prohibits pay deductions except for those explicitly permitted by law or regulation, none of which apply to this lawsuit. *See* 43 P.S. § 260.3; 34 Pa. Code § 9.1.
- 45. Defendant violated the PWPCL by imposing impermissible pay deductions on Plaintiff and other dancers.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and other members of the class/collective, seeks the following relief:

- A. Unpaid minimum wages of \$7.25 for every worked;
- B. Reimbursement of all improper pay deductions;
- C. Prejudgment interest;
- D. Liquidated damages to the fullest extent permitted under the FLSA and PWPCL;
- E. Litigation costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees; and

F. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Date: April 25, 2017

Peter Winebrake R. Andrew Santillo Mark J. Gottesfeld WINEBRAKE & SANTILLO, LLC 715 Twining Road, Suite 211 Dresher, PA 19025

Phone: (215) 884-2491

Attorneys for Plaintiff

# **CONSENT TO BECOME PARTY PLAINTIFF**

I hereby consent, pursuant to Section 16(b) of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), to become a party plaintiff in the accompanying FLSA action. I understand that I will be bound by the judgment of the Court on all issues in this case.

Signatur

Name (Please Print Clearly

#### ase 2:17-cv-01927-MAK Document 1-1 Filed 04/27/17 Pape CIVIL COVER SHEET and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as rule of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the ing the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) **DEFENDANTS** SRM ENTERPRISES, LLC I. (a) PLAINTIFFS NAFEESA HILL, on behalf of herself and similarly situated employees Philadelphia County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Philadelphia (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF/CASES ONLY) (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Peter Winebrake, Winebrake & Santillo, LLC, 715 Twining Road, Suite Attorneys (If Known) 211, Dresher, PA 19025: (215) 884-2491. III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) Federal Ouestion DEF 1 U.S. Government ☐ 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 7 $\Box$ 4 (U. . Government Not a Party) Citizen of This State $\Box$ 1 Plaintiff of Business In This State 2 Incorporated and Principal Place **3** 5 **3** 5 2 U.S. Government $\Box$ 4 versity Citizen of Another State **3** 2 of Business In Another State Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) 3 Foreign Nation **□** 6 **1** 6 Citizen or Subject of a **3** 3 Foreign Country Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) FORES TURE PENALTY BANKRUPTCY ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure ☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 375 False Claims Act PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 365 Personal Injury of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 376 Qui Tam (31 USC) 310 Airplane 120 Marine 315 Airplane Product ☐ 690 Other 28 USC 157 3729(a)) □ 130 Miller Act Product Liability Liability 400 State Reapportionment 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 367 Health Care/ ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & PROPERTY RIGHTS 410 Antitrust Pharmaceutical 1 4 1 150 Recovery of Overpayment Personal Injury ☐ 820 Copyrights 430 Banks and Banking & Enforcement of Judgmen Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers' ☐ 830 Patent ō 450 Commerce 151 Medicare Act Product Liability 152 Recovery of Defaulted ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal 840 Trademark 460 Deportation Liability ☐ 340 Marine Injury Product ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Student Loans Corrupt Organizations ☐ 345 Marine Product SOCIAL SECURITY Liability LABOR (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment PERSONAL PROPERTY Liability ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) 480 Consumer Credit air Labor Standards ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle 370 Other Fraud 862 Black Lung (923) of Veteran's Benefits Act ☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle σ Labor/Management 160 Stockholders' Suits Product Liability 380 Other Personal Relations ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI Exchange ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Property Damage 740 Railway Labor Act □ 865 RSI (405(g)) ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions 751 Family and Medical ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 385 Property Damage ■ 196 Franchise Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury -☐ 893 Environmental Matters Product Liability Leave Act Medical Malpractice ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation 895 Freedom of Information CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS FEDERAL TAX SUITS REAL PROPERTY 791 Employee Retirement Act 210 Land Condemnation 440 Other Civil Rights Habeas Corpus: Income Security Act 3 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff 896 Arbitration ☐ 220 Foreclosure ■ 899 Administrative Procedure ☐ 441 Voting 463 Alien Detainee or Defendant) ■ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 442 Employment 510 Motions to Vacate 3 871 IRS—Third Party Act/Review or Appeal of ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 443 Housing/ 26 USC 7609 Sentence Agency Decision 245 Tort Product Liability Accommodations ☐ 530 General ☐ 950 Constitutionality of ☐ 290 All Other Real Property 535 Death Penalty State Statutes ■ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities IMMIGRATION Employment Other: 462 Naturalization Application ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities ☐ 540 Mandamus & Other 465 Other Immigration Other ☐ 550 Civil Rights Actions ☐ 448 Education 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -Conditions of Confinement ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) ☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐ 5 Transferred from Original 2 Removed from Remanded from Multidistrict ■ 8 Multidistrict ceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened Another District Litigation -Litigation -Direct File Cite the U.S. (ivil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. sec. 201, et seq. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: Failure to pay minimum wage under federal and state law; improper pay deductions under state/law. VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION **DEMAND \$** CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. **COMPLAINT:** JURY DEMAND: No VIII. RELATED CASE(S)

IF ANY

DATE

15 OF OFFICE USE ONLY

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

DOCKET NUMBER

APR 27 2017

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

# Case 2:17-cv-01927-MAK Document 1-1 Filed 04/27/17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

HE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of Address of Plaintiff: 7145 Kesstone Street Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Yes□  $N_0\square$ JAKNOWN Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Date Terminated: Case Number: Judge Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes□ 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year p terminated action in this court? 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? CIVIL: (Place / in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. D FELA □ Airplane Personal Injury 3. 

Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. □ Assault, Defamation 4. 

Antitrust 4. □ Marine Personal Injury 5. Patent 5. 

Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 6. D Labor-Management Relations 7. Products Liability 7. □ Civil Rights 8. 

Habeas Corpus 8. Products Liability - Asbestos 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases 9. 

All other Diversity Cases 10. 
Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11. X All other Federal Question Cases
(Please specify) FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) counsel of record do hereby certify: Left pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of 150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought Attorney I.D.# Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court

except as noted above.

Attorney-at-Law

Attorney I.D.#



# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT R THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

<u>C</u> F	<u>ISE MANAGEN</u>	MENT TRACK D	ESIGNATION FUR	<u>V1</u>			
NAFEESA	HILL	: :	CIV	CIVIL ACTION			
SRM ENTER	v. Yrisës,	LLC	NO.	150	1927		
In accordance with the plaintiff shall complete filing the complaint and side of this form.) In designation, that defends the plaintiff and all out to which that defendant	e a Case Manage d serve a copy on the event that a dant shall, with her parties, a Cas	ement Track Design all defendants. (So a defendant does re its first appearance se Management Tra	nation Form in all cives § 1:03 of the plan se stot agree with the plan se, submit to the clerk out Designation Form	il cases at the period of the court on the countries of court and see the court and	time of reverse ng said erve on		
SELECT ONE OF T	HE FOLLOWI	NG CASE MANA	GEMENT TRACKS	:			
(a) Habeas Corpus – C	Cases brought un	der 28 U.S.C. § 22	41 through § 2255.		( )		
(b) Social Security – Cand Human Service		review of a decision tiff Social Security		Health	( )		
(c) Arbitration - Case	s required to be o	designated for arbit	ration under Local C	ivil Rule 53.2.	( )		
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.							
(e) Special Manageme commonly referred the court. (See rev management cases	d to as complex a verse side of this	and that need specia	ks (a) through (d) that al or intense managen explanation of specia	nent by	()		
(f) Standard Managen	nent – Cases that	do not fall into an EK Wい人EBF	y one of the other trace	cks.	(14)		
4/25/17	CHZ	alla	PLAINT	TIFF			
Date	Attor	ney-at-law	Attorney	for			
215-884-24	92 215-8	184-2492	pwinetak	eewineb	Makelew.co		

**FAX Number** 

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Telephone

E-Mail Address

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Fmr. Exotic Dancer Hits SRM Enterprises with Wage and Hour Lawsuit