

1 Gerald Barrett, SBN: 005855
Taylor Secemski, SBN: 035678
2 **WARD, KEENAN & BARRETT, P.C.**
3838 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1720
3 Phoenix, Arizona 85012
Tel: (602) 279-1717
4 Fax: (602) 279-8908
E-mail: gbarrett@wardkeenanbarrett.com
5 E-mail: tsecemski@wardkeenanbarrett.com

6 **BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.**
Joseph I. Marchese*
7 888 Seventh Avenue
New York, NY 10019
8 Telephone: (646) 837-7150
Facsimile: (212) 989-9163
9 E-mail: jmarchese@bursor.com

10 **BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.**
Sarah N. Westcot*
11 2665 S. Bayshore Dr., Suite 220
12 Miami, FL 33133-5402
Telephone: (305) 330-5512
13 Facsimile: (305) 676-9006
E-Mail: swestcot@bursor.com

14 * *Pro Hac Vice* Admission Forthcoming

15 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

16
17
18 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
19 **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

20 Seth Hannibal-Fisher, on behalf of himself
21 and all others similarly situated,

22 Plaintiff,

23 v.

24 Grand Canyon University, a Domestic
25 Nonprofit Corporation,

26 Defendant.
27
28

Civil Action No. _____

**ORIGINAL CLASS ACTION
COMPLAINT**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

1 Plaintiff Seth Hannibal-Fisher (“Plaintiff”) brings this action on behalf of himself
2 and all others similarly situated against Defendant Grand Canyon University (“GCU” or
3 “Defendant”). Plaintiff makes the following allegations pursuant to the investigation of his
4 counsel and based upon information and belief, except as to the allegations specifically
5 pertaining to himself, which are based on personal knowledge.

6 **NATURE OF THE ACTION AND FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS**

7 1. This is a class action lawsuit on behalf of all people who paid tuition, fees and
8 the cost of room and board for the Spring 2020 academic term (the “Spring Term”) at
9 Grand Canyon University, and who, because of GCU’s response to the Novel Coronavirus
10 Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) pandemic, lost the benefit of the education and room and
11 board for which they paid, as well as the services for which their fees were paid, without
12 having their tuition, fees and costs refunded to them in sufficient amount, or at all.

13 2. GCU is a large private university located in Phoenix, Arizona with an
14 enrollment of approximately 90,500 students. GCU offers both online degree programs and
15 on-campus degree programs.

16 3. On or about March 12, 2020, GCU announced that because of the COVID-19
17 pandemic, all but a few in-person classes would be moved to an online-only format for its
18 on-campus students, effective March 23 through the end of the Spring 2020 semester.
19 Thus, in-person classes that have continued have been offered solely in an online format,
20 with no in-person instruction and sometimes with little or no real-time instruction by
21 faculty.

22 4. GCU suspended all athletic events, all Spring Fine Arts performances, and
23 other co-curricular activities. GCU students were encouraged to return to their homes to
24 complete their coursework online. Although it was announced that the GCU campus would
25 remain open, students had no meaningful choice but to comply with the mandate to return
26 home to complete coursework online. That mandate was reinforced several times, with
27 students told to “leave campus as soon as possible” or if they had already, to stay home and
28 not return. It was further announced that all large-group gatherings on campus were

1 canceled, and campus facilities and lounges where groups typically gather were also closed.

2 5. GCU students therefore left campus to avoid exposure to COVID-19 by
3 sheltering at home. Students stayed off campus to comply with GCU directives, as well as
4 local, state and federal public health orders.

5 6. GCU has not offered adequate refunds to students for the unused portion of
6 their room and board or school fees, and it has not offered any tuition reimbursement to
7 students who enrolled in and paid for on-campus, in-person courses during the Spring 2020
8 semester.

9 7. As a result of transitioning its in-person courses to an online-only format, and
10 the limited availability of Defendant's on-campus facilities, Defendant has not delivered the
11 educational services, facilities, access, experience and/or opportunities that Mr. Hannibal-
12 Fisher and the putative class contracted and paid for. The online learning options being
13 offered to GCU students are subpar in practically every aspect compared to the on-campus
14 in-person classes, including due to the lack of facilities, equipment, materials, and access to
15 faculty. Students have been deprived of the opportunity for collaborative learning and in-
16 person dialogue, feedback, and critique. The remote learning options are in no way the
17 equivalent of the in-person education that Plaintiff and the putative class members
18 contracted and paid for.

19 8. Furthermore, there is no basis for GCU not to provide adequate refunds for
20 room and board costs and student fees, when the university's campus facilities were mostly
21 closed and students were told to leave campus and resume their courses at home via an
22 online format.

23 9. Plaintiff and the putative class are therefore entitled to a refund of on-campus
24 tuition, student fees and the cost of room and board that Defendant has not provided and
25 not adequately refunded. Even if GCU claims it did not have a choice in cancelling in-
26 person classes and substantially closing campus facilities, it nevertheless has improperly
27 retained funds for services it is not providing or that have diminished in value.

28 10. Through this lawsuit Plaintiff seeks, for himself and Class members,

1 Defendant's disgorgement of the pro-rated portion of on-campus tuition, students fees, and
2 the costs of room and board, proportionate to the amount of time that remained in the
3 Spring semester when classes moved online and campus services ceased being provided
4 (except on a limited basis) and for the entirety of the Spring Term, during which in-person
5 classes have been held online and campus services have been unavailable. Plaintiff seeks a
6 return of these amounts on behalf of himself and the Class as defined below.

7 **PARTIES**

8 11. Plaintiff Seth Hannibal-Fisher is a citizen of Arizona who resides in Lake
9 Havasu City, Arizona. Mr. Hannibal-Fisher is an undergraduate student at GCU, enrolled
10 in on-campus degree program. He paid approximately \$8,250 in on-campus tuition, plus
11 \$1,400 in fees, and \$3,500 in room and board costs to Defendant for the Spring semester.
12 Plaintiff left campus on March 13, 2020 and has not returned in accordance with GCU's
13 policies and mandates relating to COVID-19. He had to purchase WiFi equipment at his
14 own cost to continue his GCU classes online at home. Plaintiff has not been provided a
15 refund of any tuition monies or related costs to continuing his education online, nor has he
16 received a refund of any student fees from Defendant. Also, Plaintiff has not been provided
17 with an adequate refund for his room and board costs.

18 12. Defendant Grand Canyon University is a Domestic Nonprofit Corporation
19 doing business as a private university with its main campus located in Phoenix, Arizona.
20 GCU resides in Maricopa County, Arizona, with its principal place of business at 3300 W.
21 Camelback Road, Phoenix, Arizona.

22 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

23 13. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
24 1332(d)(2)(A), as modified by the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, because at least one
25 member of the Class, as defined below, is a citizen of a different state than Defendant, there
26 are more than 100 members of the Class, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds
27 \$5,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs.

28 14. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it resides in this

1 District.

2 15. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because GCU
3 resides in this District, and many of the acts and transactions giving rise to this action
4 occurred in this District.

5 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

6 **Plaintiff and Class Members Paid Tuition, Fees, and Room and Board for the Spring**
7 **Term**

8 16. Plaintiff and Class members are individuals who paid the cost of on-campus
9 tuition, fees, and/or room and board costs for the Spring 2020 semester at GCU.

10 17. For the Spring 2020 semester, GCU students moved on campus during
11 January 4-5, 2020. The Spring semester classes began on or about January 6, 2020, and
12 “Face-to-Face Instruction” classes ended on April 22, 2020. Move out dates were from
13 April 23-25, 2020.

14 18. Plaintiff and Class members paid the cost of on-campus tuition, various fees,
15 and room and board for the Spring 2020 semester.

16 19. Approximate on-campus tuition at GCU for the Spring 2020 semester costs
17 \$687.50 per credit. But tuition costs are substantially cheaper for GCU’s online learning
18 degree programs. For example, online tuition costs \$440 per credit for GCU’s Education
19 and Science Programs, or \$395 per credit for its Theology Programs, or \$449 per credit for
20 its IT Programs, or \$470 per credit for other online degree programs.

21 20. Plaintiff and members of the putative Class also paid the following fees
22 charged by Defendant for the Spring 2020 semester:

- 23 (a) Canyon Connect Fee: \$105 per class;
24 (b) Student Activity Fee: \$300 per semester;
25 (c) Student Parking Fee: Up to \$150;
26 (d) Housing Application Fee: \$250;
27 (e) Graduation Fee: \$150;
28 (f) Global Studies Portfolio Fee: \$90; and

1 (g) Computer Science, Computer Programming, Engineering and Information
2 Technology Program Premiums: \$900 per semester.

3 21. Approximate housing costs at GCU for the Spring 2020 semester are as
4 follows:

5 (a) Residence Hall Triple Occupancy: \$1,875 and

6 (b) Residence Hall Double Occupancy: \$2,500.

7 22. Meal plans for the 2019-2020 academic year are offered in Dining Dollars
8 only. By way of example, the following meals plans were available to students at the
9 following prices per semester:

10 (a) Canyon 750: apartment residents or commuter students only at \$750;

11 (b) Canyon 1000: minimum requirement for new, incoming apartment residents
12 at \$1,000;

13 (c) Canyon 1350: minimum requirement for residence hall students at \$1,350;
14 and

15 (d) Canyon 2100: \$2,000

16 23. The tuition, fees, and costs of room and board described in the paragraphs
17 above are provided by way of example; total damage amounts – which may include other
18 fees or monies that are not listed herein but that were not refunded – will be proven at trial.

19 **In Response To COVID-19, GCU Directed Students To Leave Campuses and Cancelled**
20 **In-Person Classes**

21 24. In March 2020, GCU issued various mandates to its students requiring them
22 to begin classes remotely, and in most cases leave campus, including their university
23 housing, for the remainder of the Spring semester.

24 25. On March 12, 2020, GCU announced that because of the COVID-19
25 pandemic, all but a few in-person classes would be moved to an online-only format for its
26 on-campus students, effective March 23 through the end of the Spring 2020 semester.

27 Thus, in-person classes that have continued have been offered solely in an online format,
28 with no in-person instruction and sometimes with little or no real-time instruction by

1 faculty.

2 26. GCU encouraged all students to return to their homes to complete their
3 coursework online. In addition, it suspended athletic events, fine arts performances, and
4 other extra-curricular activities.

5 27. On March 16, 2020, GCU announced that commencement ceremonies
6 scheduled for April 30 and May 1 would be postponed until October.

7 28. On March 17, 2020, GCU issued further mandates and protocol regarding
8 social distancing for students who remain on campus. Specifically, GCU canceled all large-
9 group gatherings on campus and closed facilities such as fitness centers, the E-sports
10 facility, commuter lounge, veterans center and other high-risk areas.

11 29. On March 18, 2020, GCU updated its COVID-19 protocols, again
12 “remind[ing] students that they are “highly encouraged to return to their homes to finish out
13 the semester in an online learning environment if it is not imperative that they remain on
14 campus” and announcing closures of additional campus facilities. On March 20, 2020,
15 GCU again urged students not to return to campus following Spring Break.

16 30. Again, on March 21, 2020, GCU told its students to go home stating, “We are
17 asking all students – other than international students who can not travel to their home
18 countries and students who have special circumstances – to leave campus as soon as
19 possible.” GCU explained that it would not be safe to remain on campus and that if any
20 stay-at-home order issued, students would be restricted to just their rooms, the campus
21 grocery/convenience store and the Health and Wellness Clinic. Further, it warned that
22 students remaining on campus should expect a significant cutback of food services
23 beginning Monday March 23, 2020.

24 31. The effect of GCU’s COVID-19-related protocol and messaging is that all
25 students have effectively been evicted from campus, unless they truly had no other place to
26 go. For students who would remain on campus, services would be extremely limited.
27 Despite this, GCU students have not been granted appropriate refunds of their room and
28 board costs and fees, even though they are no longer able to use the services for which they

1 paid.

2 32. On March 23, 2020, GCU announced limited credits would be offered to
3 students who moved out of their on-campus housing by March 25, 2020. The credit
4 amounts range from \$260 to \$450 depending on dorm location and occupancy. After all
5 outstanding balances on a student's account have been paid, GCU will mail checks to
6 students. Students are only eligible for the credit if they moved out of their on-campus
7 housing before March 26, 2020 and if they clarify their personal departure plan through the
8 housing portal by end of the day on March 25, 2020.

9 33. The housing credits offered to GCU students are insufficient because they do
10 not return to them the full pro-rated, unused portion of their room and board payment for
11 the semester.

12 34. GCU also announced that in lieu of providing any refund of meal costs, that
13 for students who are leaving campus but returning in the Fall, any Dining Dollars will roll
14 over to next year. Graduating students will be refunded the balance of their Dining Dollars
15 at the end of the semester.

16 35. The rollover of Dining Dollars is insufficient because it does not refund the
17 amounts paid now. For students receiving financial aid, the rollover would require them to
18 carry debt that they should not have to carry and might adversely affect their aid eligibility
19 for the next academic year. Further, many students may not need the rolled over Dining
20 Dollars, for example, because they will be living off-campus in the Fall and would not have
21 been required to or chosen to purchase a meal plan for Fall.

22 36. GCU has not offered or provided students refunds of the miscellaneous fees
23 they paid that were unused or for which they had not received a benefit.

24 37. As a result of transitioning its in-person courses to an online-only format, and
25 the limited availability of Defendant's on-campus facilities, Defendant has not delivered the
26 educational services, facilities, access, experience and/or opportunities that Plaintiff and the
27 putative class contracted and paid for. The online learning options being offered to GCU
28 students are subpar in practically every aspect compared to the on-campus in-person

1 classes, including due to the lack of facilities, equipment, materials, and access to faculty.
2 Students have been deprived of the opportunity for collaborative learning and in-person
3 dialogue, feedback, and critique. The remote learning options are in no way the equivalent
4 of the in-person education that Plaintiff and the putative class members contracted and paid
5 for.

6 38. Plaintiff and the putative class are therefore entitled to a refund of all tuition
7 and fees for services, facilities, equipment, access and/or opportunities that Defendant has
8 not provided. Even if GCU claims it did not have a choice in cancelling in-person classes,
9 it nevertheless has improperly retained funds for services it is not providing.

10 39. GCU students paid a higher price for in-person education than they would
11 have paid for an online education. This is illustrated clearly by the vast price difference in
12 GCU's in-person, on-campus programs versus GCU's own online learning programs.

13 40. Through this lawsuit Plaintiff seeks, for himself and Class members,
14 Defendant's disgorgement of the pro-rated portion of on-campus tuition, student fees and
15 the cost of room and board that Defendant has not provided and not adequately refunded,
16 despite in-person classes being moved online and campus facilities and services being
17 severely limited, or not offered at all. Plaintiff seeks return of these amounts on behalf of
18 himself and the Class as defined below.

19 **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

20 41. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class defined as all people who paid GCU on-
21 campus tuition, room and board costs, and/or fees for in-person educational services and
22 facilities that GCU failed to provide during the Spring 2020 semester, and whose tuition,
23 costs, and/or fees have not been refunded (the "Class"). Specifically excluded from the
24 Class are Defendant, Defendant's officers, directors, agents, trustees, parents, children,
25 corporations, trusts, representatives, employees, principals, servants, partners, joint
26 ventures, or entities controlled by Defendant, and their heirs, successors, assigns, or other
27 persons or entities related to or affiliated with Defendant and/or Defendant's officers and/or
28 directors, the judge assigned to this action, and any member of the judge's immediate

1 family.

2 42. Plaintiff also seeks to represent a subclass consisting of Class members who
3 reside in Arizona (the “Subclass”).

4 43. Subject to additional information obtained through further investigation and
5 discovery, the foregoing definition of the Class and Subclass may be expanded or narrowed
6 by amendment or amended complaint.

7 44. **Numerosity.** The members of the Class and Subclass are geographically
8 dispersed throughout the United States and are so numerous that individual joinder is
9 impracticable. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff reasonably estimates that there are
10 tens of thousands of members in the Class and Subclass. Although the precise number of
11 Class members is unknown to Plaintiff, the true number of Class members is known by
12 Defendant and may be determined through discovery. Class members may be notified of
13 the pendency of this action by mail and/or publication through the distribution records of
14 Defendant and third-party retailers and vendors.

15 45. **Existence and predominance of common questions of law and fact.**
16 Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and Subclass and
17 predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class members. These common
18 legal and factual questions include, but are not limited to, the following:

- 19 (a) whether Defendant accepted money from Class and Subclass members in
20 exchange for the promise to provide services and facilities;
- 21 (b) whether Defendant has provided the services and facilities for which Class
22 and Subclass members contracted;
- 23 (c) whether Class and Subclass members are entitled to a refund for that portion
24 of the tuition, room and board, and fees that was contracted for services and
25 facilities that Defendant did not provide;
- 26 (d) whether Defendant has unlawfully converted money from Plaintiff, the Class
27 and Subclass; and
- 28 (e) whether Defendant is liable for unjust enrichment.

1 46. **Typicality.** Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the other members
2 of the Class in that, among other things, all Class and Subclass members were similarly
3 situated and were comparably injured through Defendant's wrongful conduct as set forth
4 herein. Further, there are no defenses available to Defendants that are unique to Plaintiff.

5 47. **Adequacy of Representation.** Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the
6 interests of the Class and Subclass. Plaintiff has retained counsel that is highly experienced
7 in complex consumer class action litigation, and Plaintiff intends to vigorously prosecute
8 this action on behalf of the Class and Subclass. Furthermore, Plaintiff has no interests that
9 are antagonistic to those of the Class or Subclass.

10 48. **Superiority.** A class action is superior to all other available means for the
11 fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The damages or other financial
12 detriment suffered by individual Class and Subclass members are relatively small compared
13 to the burden and expense of individual litigation of their claims against Defendant. It
14 would, thus, be virtually impossible for the Class or Subclass on an individual basis, to
15 obtain effective redress for the wrongs committed against them. Furthermore, even if Class
16 or Subclass members could afford such individualized litigation, the court system could
17 not. Individualized litigation would create the danger of inconsistent or contradictory
18 judgments arising from the same set of facts. Individualized litigation would also increase
19 the delay and expense to all parties and the court system from the issues raised by this
20 action. By contrast, the class action device provides the benefits of adjudication of these
21 issues in a single proceeding, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a
22 single court, and presents no unusual management difficulties under the circumstances.

23 49. In the alternative, the Class and Subclass may also be certified because:

24 (a) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class and Subclass members
25 would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual Class
26 members that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendant;

27 (b) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class and Subclass members
28 would create a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would, as a practical matter,

1 be dispositive of the interests of other Class members not parties to the adjudications, or
2 substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests; and/or

3 (c) Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the
4 Class as a whole, thereby making appropriate final declaratory and/or injunctive relief with
5 respect to the members of the Class as a whole.

6

7

8

COUNT I
Breach of Contract
(On Behalf of the Class and Subclass)

9

10

50. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this complaint.

11

12

51. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class and Subclass against Defendant.

13

14

52. Through the admission agreement and payment of on-campus tuition, fees, and room and board costs, Plaintiff and each member of the Class and Subclass entered into a binding contract with Defendant.

15

16

17

53. As part of the contract, and in exchange for the aforementioned consideration, Defendant promised to provide certain services and facilities, all as set forth above.

18

19

20

Plaintiff, Class, and Subclass members fulfilled their end of the bargain when they paid monies due for the Spring 2020 semester. Tuition, fees, and room and board costs for the Spring semester were intended to cover in-person educational services and on-campus facilities from January through April 2020. In exchange for the monies paid, Class and Subclass members were entitled to in-person educational services as well as regular access and use of on-campus facilities through the end of the Spring semester.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

54. Defendant has failed to provide the contracted for services and facilities, and has otherwise not performed under the contract as set forth above. Defendant has retained monies paid by Plaintiff and the Class for their Spring 2020 semester tuition, fees, and room and board costs, without providing them the benefit of their bargain.

28

55. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass have suffered damage as a

1 direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach, including but not limited to being
2 deprived of the education, experience, services, and facilities to which they were promised
3 and for which they have already paid.

4 56. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach, Plaintiff, the Class,
5 and Subclass are entitled to damages, to be decided by the trier of fact in this action, to
6 include but not be limited to reimbursement of certain tuition, fees, and costs that were
7 collected by Defendant for services and facilities that Defendant has failed to deliver.
8 Defendant should return the pro-rated portion of any Spring semester on-campus tuition,
9 fees, and room and board costs for education services and campus facilities not provided
10 since GCU ceased in-person classes, canceled on-campus events, and closed campus
11 facilities.

12 57. Defendant's performance under the contract is not excused due to COVID-
13 19. Indeed, Defendant should have refunded the pro-rated portion of any education
14 services and facilities not provided. Even if performance was excused or impossible,
15 Defendant would nevertheless be required to return the funds received for services and
16 facilities it did not provide.

17 **COUNT II**
18 **Unjust Enrichment**
19 **(On Behalf of the Class and Subclass)**

20 58. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all
21 preceding paragraphs of this complaint.

22 59. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the
23 Class and Subclass against Defendant.

24 60. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass conferred a benefit on
25 Defendant in the form of monies paid for Spring semester on-campus tuition, fees, and
26 room and board costs in exchange for certain promises, services and facilities. Tuition,
27 fees, and room and board costs for the Spring semester were intended to cover in-person
28 educational services and on-campus facilities from January through April 2020. In

1 exchange for the monies paid, Class and Subclass members were entitled to in-person
2 educational services as well as regular access and use of on-campus facilities through the
3 end of the Spring semester.

4 61. Defendant voluntarily accepted and retained this benefit by accepting
5 payment.

6 62. Defendant has retained this benefit, even though Defendant has failed to
7 provide the education, experience, facilities and services for which the tuition, fees, and
8 costs were collected, making Defendant's retention unjust under the circumstances.
9 Accordingly, Defendant should return the pro-rated portion of any Spring 2020 semester
10 tuition, fees, and costs for education services and on-campus facilities not provided by
11 GCU.

12 63. It would be unjust and inequitable for Defendant to retain the benefit, and
13 Defendant should be required to disgorge this unjust enrichment.

14 **COUNT III**
15 **Conversion**

16 **(On Behalf of the Class and Subclass)**

17 64. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all
18 preceding paragraphs of this complaint.

19 65. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the
20 Class and Subclass against Defendant.

21 66. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass have an ownership right to
22 the in-person educational services and on-campus facilities they were supposed to be
23 provided in exchange for their Spring semester on-campus tuition, fees, and room and
24 board payments to Defendant.

25 67. Defendant intentionally interfered with the rights of Plaintiff, the Class, and
26 Subclass when it moved classes to an online format, discontinued in-person educational
27 services for which tuition and fees were intended to pay, and closed or limited campus
28 facilities.

(g) For an order awarding Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass their reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses and costs of suit.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury of any and all issues in this action so triable of right.

Dated: May 22, 2020

WARD, KEENAN & BARRETT, P.C.

By: /s/Gerald Barrett

Gerald Barrett
Taylor Secemski
3838 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1720
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
Telephone: (602) 279-1717
Facsimile: (602) 279-8908
E-mail: gbarrett@wardkeenanbarrett.com
E-mail: tsecemski@wardkeenanbarrett.com

BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.
Joseph I. Marchese*
888 Seventh Avenue
New York, NY 10019
Telephone: (646) 837-7150
Facsimile: (212) 989-9163
E-mail: jmarchese@bursor.com

BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.
Sarah N. Westcot*
2665 S. Bayshore Dr., Suite 220
Miami, FL 33133-5402
Telephone: (305) 330-5512
Facsimile: (305) 676-9006
E-Mail: swestcot@bursor.com

* *Pro Hac Vice* Admission Forthcoming

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Civil Cover Sheet

This automated JS-44 conforms generally to the manual JS-44 approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974. The data is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. The information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form is authorized for use only in the District of Arizona.

The completed cover sheet must be printed directly to PDF and filed as an attachment to the Complaint or Notice of Removal.

Plaintiff
(s): **Seth Hannibal-Fisher**

County of Residence: Mohave

County Where Claim For Relief Arose: Maricopa

Plaintiff's Atty(s):

**Gerald Barrett
Ward, Keenan & Barrett, P.C.
3838 N. Central Ave., Suite 1720
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
602-279-1717**

Defendant
(s): **Grand Canyon University**

County of Residence: Maricopa

Defendant's Atty(s):

II. Basis of Jurisdiction: **4. Diversity (complete item III)**

III. Citizenship of Principal
Parties (Diversity Cases Only)

Plaintiff: - **1 Citizen of This State**
Defendant: - **1 Citizen of This State**

IV. Origin : **1. Original Proceeding**

V. Nature of Suit: **190 Other Contract**

VI. Cause of Action: **Injunctive relief to disgorge fees wrongfully withheld pursuant to
Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1332(d)**

VII. Requested in Complaint

Class Action: **Yes**
Dollar Demand:
Jury Demand: **Yes**

VIII. This case **IS RELATED** to Case Number **2:20-cv-00795-SMB** assigned to Judge **Susan M. Brnovich.**

Signature: s/Gerald Barrett

Date: 5/22/2020

If any of this information is incorrect, please go back to the Civil Cover Sheet Input form using the *Back* button in your browser and change it. Once correct, save this form as a PDF and include it as an attachment to your case opening documents.

Revised: 01/2014

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Grand Canyon University Student Seeks Refund for Spring Semester Shortened by COVID-19 Crisis](#)
