### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

MICHAEL C. HAMILTON, on behalf of himself and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. :

v.

HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.,

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_/

# <u>CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND</u> <u>DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL</u>

Plaintiff, MICHAEL C. HAMILTON, by and through his attorneys, and on behalf of himself, the Putative Class set forth below, and in the public interest, brings the following Class Action Complaint as of right against Defendant, HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC., including, subsidiaries, divisions, related entities, and affiliates ("Defendant"), under the Fair Credit Reporting Act of 1970, as amended ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. §1681 <u>et seq.</u>

# PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Defendant is a home improvement store with locations all over the U.S. The company offers building materials, home improvement, lighting, and flooring design products.

2. Defendant routinely obtains and uses information in consumer reports to conduct background checks on prospective employees and existing employees.

3. The FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681b, makes it presumptively unlawful to obtain and use a "consumer report" for an employment purpose. Such use becomes lawful if and only if the "user" – in this case "Defendant"– has complied with the statute's strict disclosure and authorization requirements. 15 U.S.C. §1681b(b)(2).

### Case 8:17-cv-02468-JSM-TBM Document 1 Filed 10/20/17 Page 2 of 19 PageID 2

4. Defendant willfully violated these requirements in multiple ways, in systematic violation of Plaintiff's rights and the rights of other putative class members.

5. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. \$16\$1b(b)(2)(A)(i) by procuring consumer reports on Plaintiff and other putative class members for employment purposes, without first making proper disclosures in the format required by the statute. Under this subsection of the FCRA, Defendant is required to disclose to its applicants and employees – *in a document that consists solely of the disclosure* – that it may obtain a consumer report on them for employment purposes, prior to obtaining a copy of their consumer report. *Id.* Defendant willfully violated this requirement by failing to provide Plaintiff with a copy of a document that consists solely of the disclosure that it may obtain a consumer report on him for employment purposes, prior to obtaining a copy of his consumer report.

6. Defendant also violated 15 U.S.C. §1681b(b)(2)(A)(ii) by obtaining consumer reports on Plaintiff and other putative class members without proper authorization, due to the fact that its disclosure forms fail to comply with the requirements of the FCRA.

7. Based on the foregoing violations, Plaintiff asserts FCRA claims against Defendant on behalf of himself and the class consisting of Defendant's employees and prospective employees.

In Counts One and Two, Plaintiff asserts a FCRA claim under 15 U.S.C.
 §§1681b(b)(2)(A)(i)-(ii) on behalf of a "Background Check Class" consisting of:

All Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. employees and job applicants in the United States who were the subject of a consumer report that was procured by Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. within two years of the filing of this complaint through the date of final judgment in this action as required by 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(2)(A).

9. On behalf of himself and the Putative Class, Plaintiff seeks statutory damages,

costs and attorneys' fees, equitable relief, and other appropriate relief under the FCRA.

#### THE PARTIES

10. Individual and representative Plaintiff, Michael C. Hamilton ("Plaintiff") lives in Florida, applied for employment with Defendant in this district and is a member of the Putative Classes defined below.

11. Defendant is a corporation and a user of consumer reports as contemplated by the FCRA, at 15 U.S.C. §1681b.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

12. This Court has federal question jurisdiction under the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681p, and 28 U.S.C. §1331, and venue is proper because Defendant does business, maintains offices, and performs services in this District.

### ALLEGATIONS REGARDING DEFENDANTS' BUSINESS PRACTICES Background Checks

13. Defendant conducts background checks on many of its job applicants as part of a standard screening process. In addition, Defendant also conducts background checks on existing employees from time-to-time during the course of their employment.

14. Defendant does not perform these background checks in-house. Rather, Defendant relies on an outside consumer reporting firm to obtain this information and report it to the Defendant. These reports constitute "consumer reports" for purposes of the FCRA.

#### FCRA Violations Relating to Background Check Class

15. Defendant procured a consumer report information on Plaintiff in violation of the FCRA.

16. Under the FCRA, it is unlawful to procure a consumer report or cause a consumer report to be procured for employment purposes, unless:

- (i) a clear and conspicuous disclosure has been made in writing to the consumer at any time before the report is procured or caused to be procured, *in a document that consists solely of the disclosure*, that a consumer report may be obtained for employment purposes; and
- (ii) the consumer has authorized in writing (which authorization may be made on the document referred to in clause (i)) the procurement of the report.

15 U.S.C. §§1681b(b)(2)(A)(i)-(ii) (emphasis added).

17. Defendant failed to satisfy these disclosure and authorization requirements.

18. The FCRA also contains several other notice provisions, such as 15 U.S.C. \$1681b(b)(3)(a) (pre-adverse action); \$1681b(4)(B)(notice of national security investigation); \$1681c(h) (notification of address discrepancy); \$1681g (full file disclosure to consumers); \$1681k(a)(1) (disclosure regarding use of public record information); \$1681h (form and conditions of disclosure; and \$1681m(a) (notice of adverse action).

19. The purpose of FCRA notice provisions, including 1681b(b)(2)(A)(i), is to put consumers on notice that a consumer report may be prepared. This gives consumers the opportunity to exercise substantive rights conferred by the FCRA or other statutes, allowing consumers the opportunity to ensure accuracy, confidentiality and fairness.

20. Without clear notice that a consumer report is going to be procured, applicants and employees are deprived of the opportunity to make informed decisions or otherwise assert protected rights.

21. Using a FCRA disclosure that is not "stand alone" violates the plain language of the statute, and flies in the face of unambiguous case law and regulatory guidance from the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC"); *Graham v. Pyramid Healthcare Solutions, Inc.*, 2017 WL 2799928 (M.D.Fla. June 28, 2017)(denying Defendant's motion for summary judgment and certifying class of applicants who executed unlawful disclosure documents); *Jones v Halstead* 

*Mgmt. Co.*, LLC, 81 F. Supp. 3d 324, 333 (S.D.N.Y 2015)(disclosure not "stand alone" when it contains extraneous information such as state specific disclosures); *Moore v. Rite Aid Hdqtrs. Corp.*, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS, at \*35 (E.D. Pa. May 29, 2015)("The text of the statute and available agency guidance demonstrate that the inclusion of information on the form apart from the disclosure and related authorization violates §1681b(b)(2)(a).")

22. Along similar lines, many states have data privacy laws that restrict the disclosure of the information in their possession. *See, e.g.* Russom, Mirian B., Robert H. Sloan and Richard Warner, *Legal Concepts Meet Technology: A 50 State Survey of Privacy Laws*, ACSAC, (December 2011) (available at https://www.acsac.org/2011/workshops/gtip/p-Russom.pdf).

23. Defendant knowingly and recklessly disregarded case law and regulatory guidance and willfully violated 15 U.S.C. §§1681b(b)(2)(A) by procuring consumer report information on consumers without complying with the disclosure and authorization requirements of the statute. Defendant's violations were willful because Defendant knew it was required to use a stand-alone disclosure form prior to obtaining and using a consumer report on the Putative Class members.

- 24. Defendant's conduct is also willful because:
  - a. Defendant is a large and sophisticated employer with access to legal advice through its own attorneys and there is no evidence it determined its own conduct was lawful;
  - b. Defendant knew or had reason to know that its conduct was inconsistent with published FCRA guidance interpreting the FCRA, case law and the plain language of the statute;
  - c. Defendant voluntarily ran a risk of violating the law substantially greater than the risk associated with a reading that was merely careless;
  - d. Defendant has previously been involved in high stakes class action

litigation involving similar FCRA violations and thousands of putative plaintiffs.

25. Defendant acted in a deliberate or reckless disregard of its obligations and the rights of Plaintiff and other Background Check class members. Defendant knew or should have known about its legal obligations under the FCRA. These obligations are well established in the plain language of the FCRA, in promulgations of the FTC and in established case law. Defendant had access to materials and resources advising them of their duties under the FCRA. Any reasonable employer of Defendants size and sophistication knows or should know about FCRA compliance requirements.

#### ALLEGATIONS SPECIFIC TO PLAINTIFF

26. Plaintiff applied for employment with Defendant on or around June 21, 2016.

27. Defendant procured a consumer report on Plaintiff. The consumer report contained private, confidential information about Plaintiff.

28. It was unlawful for Defendant to procure a consumer report on Plaintiff without making the disclosures required by the FCRA. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1681b(b)(2)(A)(i) by procuring consumer reports on Plaintiff and other putative class members for employment purposes, without first making proper disclosures in the format required by the statute.

29. Defendant's disclosure form was not "stand alone." Plaintiff was distracted by the presence of additional information in the purported FCRA Disclosure. Specifically, Defendant unlawfully inserted extraneous provisions into forms purporting to grant Defendant authority to obtain and use consumer report information for employment purposes. The FCRA forbids this practice, since it mandates that all forms granting the authority to access and use consumer report information for employment purposes be "stand-alone forms" that do not include any additional agreements.

### Case 8:17-cv-02468-JSM-TBM Document 1 Filed 10/20/17 Page 7 of 19 PageID 7

30. Plaintiff was confused about the nature and scope of Defendant's investigation into his background.

31. Plaintiff was confused about his rights due to the presence of the additional language contained in Defendant's forms.

32. Plaintiff values his privacy rights. If Plaintiff was aware Defendant had presented him with an unlawful disclosure form, Plaintiff would not have authorized Defendant to procure a consumer report and dig deep into his personal, private and confidential information.

33. Defendant failed to satisfy the FCRA requirements pertaining to the FCRA Disclosure form when it procured Plaintiff's consumer report without the making the proper disclosures.

34. Defendant failed to follow these long-established FCRA requirements.

# **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

35. Plaintiff asserts claims under Counts 1 and 2 of this Complaint on behalf of a "Background Check Class" defined as follows:

# All Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. employees and job applicants in the United States who were the subject of a consumer report that was procured by Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. within two years of the filing of this complaint through the date of final judgment in this action as required by the FCRA.

36. <u>Numerosity</u>: The members of the Putative Classes are so numerous that joinder of all Class members is impracticable. Defendant regularly obtains and uses information in consumer reports to conduct background checks on prospective employees and existing employees, and frequently relies on such information, in whole or in part, in the hiring process. Plaintiff is informed and believes that during the relevant time period, thousands of Defendant's employees and prospective employees satisfy the definition of the Putative Classes. 37. <u>Typicality</u>: Plaintiff's claims are typical of those of the members of the Putative Classes. Defendant typically uses consumer reports to conduct background checks on employees and prospective employees. The FCRA violations suffered by Plaintiff are typical of those suffered by other Putative Class members, and Defendant treated Plaintiff consistent with other Putative Class members in accordance with its standard policies and practices.

38. <u>Adequacy</u>: Plaintiff is a member of and will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Putative Classes, and has retained counsel experienced in complex class action litigation.

39. <u>Commonality</u>: Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Putative Classes, and predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Putative Classes. These common questions include, but are not limited to:

- a. Whether Defendant uses consumer report information to conduct background checks on employees and prospective employees;
- b. Whether Defendant's background check practices and/or procedures comply with the FCRA;
- c. Whether Defendant violated the FCRA by procuring consumer report information without making proper disclosures in the format required by the statute;
- d. Whether Defendant violated the FCRA by procuring consumer report information based on invalid authorizations;
- e. Whether Defendant's violation of the FCRA was willful;
- f. The proper measure of statutory damages; and

40. This case is maintainable as a class action because prosecution of actions by or against individual members of the Putative Classes would result in inconsistent or varying

adjudications and create the risk of incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendant. Further, adjudication of each individual Class member's claim as separate action would potentially be dispositive of the interest of other individuals not a party to such action, thereby impeding their ability to protect their interests.

41. This case is also maintainable as a class action because Defendant acted or refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the Putative Classes, so that final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief is appropriate with respect to the Classes as a whole.

42. Class certification is also appropriate because questions of law and fact common to the Putative Classes predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Putative Classes, and also because a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation. Defendant's conduct, which is described in this Complaint, stems from common and uniform policies and practices, resulting in common violations of the FCRA. Members of the Putative Classes do not have an interest in pursuing separate actions against the Defendant, as the amount of each Class member's individual claim for damages is small in comparison to the expense and burden of individual prosecution. Class certification will also obviate the need for unduly duplicative litigation that might result in inconsistent judgments concerning Defendant's practices. Moreover, management of this action as a class action will not present any foreseeable difficulties. In the interests of justice and judicial efficiency, it would be desirable to concentrate the litigation of all Putative Class members' claims in a single action, brought in a single forum.

43. Plaintiff intends to send notice to all members of the Putative Classes to the extent required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The names and addresses of the Putative

Class members are readily available from Defendant's records.

# FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Failure to Make Proper Disclosure in Violation of FCRA 15 U.S.C. §1681b(b)(2)(A)(i)

44. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in the preceding paragraphs 1-43.

45. In violation of the FCRA, the FCRA Disclosure form Defendant required the Background Check Class to complete as a condition of its employment with Defendant does not satisfy the disclosure requirements of 15 U.S.C. §1681b(b)(2)(A)(i) because Defendant failed to provide a stand-alone document as to the consumer report information being obtained and utilized.

# Plaintiffs' First Concrete Injury under §1681b(b)(2)(A)(i): Informational Injury

46. Plaintiff suffered a concrete informational injury because Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff with information to which he was entitled to by statute, namely a stand-alone FCRA disclosure form. Through the FCRA, Congress created a new right – the right to receive the required disclosure as set out in the FCRA – and a new injury – not receiving a stand-alone disclosure. *Nicklaw v. Citimortgage*, Inc. 2016 WL 5845682, \*3 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. Oct. 6, 2016)("Plaintiff must suffer some harm or risk of harm from the statutory violation to invoke the jurisdiction of a federal court.");<sup>1</sup> *Church v. Accretive Heath, Inc.*, 0216 U.S. App. Lexis 12414, \*1 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. July 6, 2016), \*3, n. 2 (rejecting Defendant's argument that a plaintiff "cannot satisfy the demands of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In *Nicklaw*, the plaintiff sued Citimortgage under a New York state statute requiring the filing of a discharge of a mortgage within a specific timeframe. By alleging only that Defendant failed to perform a routine ministerial task in a timely fashion pursuant to New York state law, the Court found Plaintiff failed to allege facts establishing "that he suffered or could suffer any harm that could constitute a concrete injury" for purposes of Art. III standing. *Id.*, \*4. For example, the plaintiff did not even allege "he or anyone else was aware the certificate of discharge had not even been recorded during the relevant time period." *Id.*, \*3. The instant case is distinguishable from *Nicklaw*. The instant case alleges violation of a federal right created by Congress, not a state law. Moreover, Plaintiff alleges the FCRA violations caused informational injury and invaded Plaintiff's privacy. Therefore, Plaintiff has alleged the "concreteness" required for Art. III standing.

Article III standing by alleging a bare procedural violation," the Court stated "This statement is inapplicable to the allegations at hand, because Church has not alleged a procedural violation. Rather, Congress provided Church with a substantive right to receive certain disclosures and Church has alleged that Accretive Health violated that substantive right."); *Moody v. Ascenda USA Inc.*, Case No.: 16-cv-60364 (S.D. Fla. October 5, 2016) (Dimitrouleas, W.)(denying Defendant's motion to dismiss plaintiffs' claims pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681b(b)(2) noting "Plaintiffs sufficiently alleged a concrete and particularized injury and thus have standing to sue pursuant to *Spokeo*"); *Thomas v. FTS USA*, 2016 WL 3653878, at \*8 (E.D. Va. Jun. 30, 2016)(holding that "it was Congress' judgment, as clearly expressed in §§ 1681b(b)(2) ... to afford consumers rights to information and privacy," and thus that "the rights created by §§1681b(b)(2) are substantive rights.")

47. Pursuant to §1681b(b)(2), Plaintiff was entitled to receive certain information at a specific time, namely a disclosure that a consumer report may be procured for employment purposes in a document consisting solely of the disclosure. Such a disclosure was required to be provided to Plaintiff *before* the consumer report was to be procured. By depriving Plaintiff of this information, in the form and at the time he was entitled to receive it, Defendant injured Plaintiff and the putative class members he seeks to represent. *Public Citizen v. U.S. Department of Justice*, 491 U.S. 440, 449 (1989); *Federal Election Commission v. Atkins*, 524 U.S. 11 (1998).

48. Defendant violated the FCRA by procuring consumer reports on Plaintiff and other Background Check Class members without first making proper disclosures in the format required by 15 U.S.C. §1681b(b)(2)(A)(i). Namely, these disclosures had to be made: (1) before Defendant actually procured consumer reports, and (2) in a stand-alone document, clearly informing Plaintiff and other Background Check Class members that Defendant might procure a consumer report on each of them for purposes of employment. The required disclosures were not made, causing Plaintiff an informational injury. *Moody v. Ascenda USA Inc.*, Case No.: 16-cv-60364 (S.D. Fla. October 5, 2016); *Thomas v. FTS USA*, 2016 WL 3653878, at \*8 (E.D. Va. Jun. 30, 2016).

49. Defendant's Failure to provide Plaintiff and the Putative Class with a lawful disclosure created a risk of harm that Plaintiff and members of the Putative Class would be confused and distracted by the extraneous language.

#### Plaintiff's Second Concrete Injury under §1681b(b)(2)(A)(i): Invasion of Privacy

50. Defendant invaded Plaintiff's right to privacy. Under the FCRA, "a person may not procure a consumer report, or cause a consumer report to be procured, for employment purposes with respect to any consumer, unless" it complies with the statutory requirements (*i.e.*, disclosure and authorization) set forth in the following subsections: 15 U.S.C. §1681b(b)(2). As one court put it, "[t]he FCRA makes it unlawful to 'procure' a report without first providing the proper disclosure and receiving the consumer's written authorization." *Harris v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc.* F. Supp. 3d 868, 869 (N.D.Cal.2015).

51. The FCRA created a statutory cause of action akin to invasions of privacy and intrusions upon seclusion, harms recognized as providing the basis for lawsuits under English and American law. Defendant invaded Plaintiff's privacy and intruded upon Plaintiff's seclusion by procuring a consumer report on him and viewing his private and personal information without lawful authorization. *Perry v. Cable News Network, Inc.*, 854 F.3d, 336 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2017)(Violation of statutory right that has a close relationship to a harm traditionally recognized in English or American law is a concrete harm for purposes of Art. III standing).

52. The forgoing violations were willful. At the time Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. \$1681b(b)(2)(A)(i) Defendant knew they were required to provide a stand-alone form (separate from the employment application) prior to obtaining and then utilizing a consumer report on Plaintiff and the Putative Class. A plethora of authority, including both case law and FTC opinions, existed at the time of Defendant's violations on this very issue. Defendant's willful conduct is also reflected by, among other things, the following facts:

- a. Defendant is a large corporation with access to legal advice through its own general counsel's office and outside employment counsel, and there is not contemporaneous evidence that it determined that its conduct was lawful;
- b. Defendant knew or had reason to know that their conduct was inconsistent with published FTC guidance interpreting the FCRA and the plain language of the statute;
- c. Defendant voluntarily ran a risk of violating the law substantially greater than the risk associated with a reading that was merely careless; and.
- d. Defendant has previously been involved in high stakes litigation involving similar FCRA violations and thousands of putative plaintiffs.

53. Plaintiff and the Background Check Class are entitled to statutory damages of not less than one hundred dollars (100) and not more than one thousand dollars (1,000) for each and every one of these violations under 15 U.S.C. 1681n(a)(1)(A), in addition to punitive damages under 15 U.S.C. 1681n(a)(2).

54. Plaintiff and the Background Check Class are further entitled to recover their costs and attorneys' fees, in accordance with 15 U.S.C. §1681n(a)(3).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Putative Class, prays for

relief as follows:

a. Determining that this action may proceed as a class action;

- b. Designating Plaintiff as class representative and designating Plaintiff's counsel as counsel for the Putative Class;
- c. Issuing proper notice to the Putative Class at Defendant's expense;
- d. Declaring that Defendants committed multiple, separate violations of the FCRA;
- e. Declaring that Defendants acted willfully in deliberate or reckless disregard of Plaintiff's rights and its obligations under the FCRA;
- f. Awarding statutory damages as provided by the FCRA, including punitive damages, to members of the Putative Class;
- g. Awarding reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as provided by the FCRA;

# SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF Failure to Obtain Proper Authorization in Violation of FCRA 15 U.S.C. §1681b(b)(2)(A)(ii)

55. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in the preceding paragraphs 1-43.

56. Defendant violated the FCRA by procuring consumer reports relating to Plaintiff and other Background Check Class members without proper authorization.

57. The authorization requirement under 15 U.S.C. §1681b(b)(2)(A)(ii) follows the disclosure requirement of §1681b(b)(2)(A)(i) and presupposes that the authorization is based upon a valid disclosure. "After all, one cannot meaningfully authorize her employer to take an action if she does not grasp what that action entails." *Burghy v. Dayton Racquet Club, Inc.,* 695 F. Supp. 2d 689, 699 (S.D. Ohio 2010); *see also United States v. DeFries,* 129 F. 3d 1293, 1307 (D.C. Cir. 1997)("[A]uthorization secured 'without disclosure of …material information' is a nullity.")

# Plaintiffs' First Concrete Injury under §1681b(b)(2)(A)(ii): Informational Injury

58. Plaintiff suffered a concrete informational injury because Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff with information to which he was entitled to by statute, namely a stand-alone FCRA disclosure form. Thus, through the FCRA, Congress has created a new right—the right to receive the required disclosure as set out in the FCRA—and a new injury—not receiving a stand-alone disclosure. *Church v. Accretive Health, Inc.*, 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 12414, \*1 (11th Cir. July 6, 2016); *Moody v. Ascenda USA Inc.*, Case No.: 16-cv-60364 (S.D. Fla. October 5, 2015). *Thomas v. FTS USA*, 2016 WL 3653878, at \*8 (E.D. Va. Jun. 30, 2016).

59. Pursuant to §1681b(b)(2), Plaintiff was entitled to receive certain information at a specific time, namely a disclosure that a consumer report may be procured for employment purposes in a document consisting solely of the disclosure. Such a disclosure was required to be provided to Plaintiff before the consumer report was to be procured. By depriving Plaintiff of this information, Defendant injured Plaintiff and the putative class members he seeks to represent. *Public Citizen v. U.S. Department of Justice*, 491 U.S. 440, 449 (1989); *Federal Election Commission v. Akins*, 524 U.S. 11 (1998) Then 15 U.S.C. §1681b(b)(2)(A)(ii).

60. Defendant violated the FCRA by procuring consumer reports on Plaintiff and other Background Check Class members without first making proper disclosures in the format required by 15 U.S.C. §1681b(b)(2)(A)(i). Namely, these disclosures had to be made: (1) before Defendant actually procured consumer reports, and (2) in a stand-alone document, clearly informing Plaintiff and other Background Check Class members that Defendant might procure a consumer report on each of them for purposes of employment.

61. Plaintiff suffered an informational injury. Under the FCRA, "a person may not procure a consumer report, or cause a consumer report to be procured, for employment purposes with respect to any consumer, unless" it complies with the statutory requirements (i.e., disclosure

and authorization) set forth in the following subsections: 15 U.S.C. §1681b(b)(2). As one court put it, "[t]he FCRA makes it unlawful to 'procure' a report without first providing the proper disclosure and receiving the consumer's written authorization." *Harris v. Home Depot U.S.A. Inc.*, 114 F. Supp. 3d 868, 869 (N.D. Cal. 2015).

62. Defendant's Failure to provide Plaintiff and the Putative Class with a lawful disclosure created a risk of harm that Plaintiff and members of the Putative Class would be confused and distracted by the extraneous language.

#### Plaintiffs' Second Concrete Injury under §1681b(b)(2)(A)(ii): Invasion of Privacy

63. Additionally, Defendant invaded Plaintiff's right to privacy and intruded upon his seclusion. Under the FCRA, "a person may not procure a consumer report, or cause a consumer report to be procured, for employment purposes with respect to any consumer, unless" it complies with the statutory requirements (i.e., disclosure and authorization) set forth in the following subsections: 15 U.S.C. §1681b(b)(2). As one court put it, "[t]he FCRA makes it unlawful to 'procure' a report without first providing the proper disclosure and receiving the consumer's written authorization." *Harris v. Home Depot U.S.A.*, Inc., 114 F. Supp. 3d 868, 869 (N.D. Cal. 2015). Plaintiff's consumer report contained a wealth of private information which Defendant had no right to access absent a specific Congressional license to do so. Defendant invaded Plaintiff's privacy and intruded upon Plaintiff's seclusion by procuring a consumer report on him and viewing his private and personal information without lawful authorization. *Perry v. Cable News Network, Inc.*, No-16-13031, (11<sup>th</sup> Cir., April 27, 2017)(Violation of statutory right that has a close relationship to a harm traditionally recognized in English or American law is a concrete harm for purposes of Art. III standing).

64. The foregoing violations were willful. At this time Defendant violated 15 U.S.C.

§1681b(b)(2)(A)(ii). Defendant knew that in order for it to have authorization to obtain consumer reports on Plaintiff and the Putative Class members it was required to provide a stand-alone form (separate from the employment application) prior to obtaining and then utilizing a consumer report on Plaintiff and the Putative Class. Plaintiff's disclosure containing the illegal FCRA Disclosure form was executed on or around June 21, 2016. A plethora of authority, including both case law, and FTC opinions, existed at the time of Defendant's violations on this very issue that held waivers cannot be included in the FCRA forms at issue. Defendant's willful conduct is also reflected by, among other things, the following facts:

- a. Defendant is a large corporation with access to legal advice through its own general counsel's office and outside employment counsel, and there is not contemporaneous evidence that it determined that its conduct was lawful;
- b. Defendant knew or had reason to know that its conduct was inconsistent with published FTC guidance interpreting the FCRA and the plain language of the statute;
- c. Defendant voluntarily ran a risk of violating the law substantially greater than the risk associated with a reading that was merely careless; and
- d. Defendant has previously been involved in high stakes litigation involving similar FCRA violations and thousands of putative plaintiffs.

65. Plaintiff and the Background Check Class are entitled to statutory damages of not less than one hundred dollars (\$100) and not more than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) for each and every one of these violations under 15 U.S.C. §1681n(a)(1)(A), in addition to punitive damages under 15 U.S.C. §1681n(a)(2).

66. Plaintiff and the Background Check Class are further entitled to recover their costs and attorneys' fees, in accordance with 15 U.S.C. §1681n(a)(3).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Putative Class, prays for

relief as follows:

- a. Determining that this action may proceed as a class action;
- b. Designating Plaintiff as class representative and designating Plaintiff's counsel as counsel for the Putative Class;
- c. Issuing proper notice to the Putative Class at Defendant's expense;
- d. Declaring that Defendants committed multiple, separate violations of the FCRA;
- e. Declaring that Defendants acted willfully in deliberate or reckless disregard of Plaintiff's rights and its obligations under the FCRA;
- f. Awarding statutory damages as provided by the FCRA, including punitive damages, to members of the Putative Class;
- g. Awarding reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as provided by the FCRA;

# **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff and the Putative Class demand a trial by jury.

Dated October 20, 2017

# MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A.

#### /s/ Marc R. Edelman

Marc R. Edelman, Esq. Fla. Bar No. 0096342 201 North Franklin Street, Suite 700 Tampa, FL 33602 Telephone: 813-223-5505 Fax: 813-257-0572 MEdelman@forthepeople.com

C. Ryan Morgan, Esq. Fla. Bar No.0015527 P.O. Box 4979 Orlando, FL 33802 Telephone 407.420.1414 Fax: 407.245.3401 RMorgan@forthepeople.com

Andrew Frisch, Esq. Fla. Bar No. 27777 600 North Pine Island Road, Suite 400 Plantation, Florida 33324 Telephone: (954) WORKERS Facsimile: (954) 327-3013 <u>AFrisch@forthepeople.com</u> *Attorneys for Plaintiff*  JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS<br>MICHAEL C. HAMILTON, on behalf of himself and<br>on behalf of all others similarly situated,                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                      | DEFENDANTS<br>HOME DEPOT U.S. A. INC.                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |  |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                      | NOTE: IN LAN                                                                                                                                                           | County of Residence of First Listed Defendant<br>(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)<br>NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF<br>THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |  |
| (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)<br>Marc R. Edelman, Esq. 201 N. Franklin Street #700<br>MORGAN & MORGAN Tampa, FL 33602<br>(813) 223-5505                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Attorneys <i>(If Kn</i>                                                                                                                                                | own)                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |  |
| II. BASIS OF JURISDI                                                                                                                                                                                                          | CTION (Place an "X" in O                                                                                                                                                                          | ne Box Only)                                                                                                                                                                                         | III. CITIZENSHIP O                                                                                                                                                     | F PRINCIPAL PARTIES                                                                                                                                                     | (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff                                                                                                                                                                  |  |
| 1 U.S. Government<br>Plaintiff                                                                                                                                                                                                | 3 Federal Question<br>(U.S. Government Not a Party)                                                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                      | (For Diversity Cases O<br>Citizen of This State                                                                                                                        | Drly) PTF DEF ★ 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In 1                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |  |
| 2 U.S. Government<br>Defendant                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 5 of Business In Another State                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |  |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Citizen or Subject of a<br>Foreign Country                                                                                                                             | 3   3   Foreign Nation                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |  |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT                                                                                                                                                                                                            | $\Gamma$ (Place an "X" in One Box On                                                                                                                                                              | ly)                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |  |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                        | TY BANKRUPTCY                                                                                                                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |  |
| <ul> <li>□ 110 Insurance</li> <li>□ 120 Marine</li> <li>□ 130 Miller Act</li> <li>□ 140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>□ 150 Recovery of Overpayment<br/>&amp; Enforcement of Judgment</li> <li>□ 151 Medicare Act</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>PERSONAL INJURY</li> <li>310 Airplane</li> <li>315 Airplane Product<br/>Liability</li> <li>320 Assault, Libel &amp;<br/>Slander</li> <li>330 Federal Employers'</li> </ul>               | <ul> <li>PERSONAL INJURY</li> <li>365 Personal Injury -<br/>Product Liability</li> <li>367 Health Care/<br/>Pharmaceutical<br/>Personal Injury<br/>Product Liability</li> </ul>                      | Y de 52 Drug Related Seizur<br>of Property 21 USC<br>690 Other                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                                         | <ul> <li>375 False Claims Act</li> <li>376 Qui Tam (31 USC<br/>3729(a))</li> <li>400 State Reapportionment</li> <li>410 Antitrust</li> <li>430 Banks and Banking</li> <li>450 Commerce</li> </ul>       |  |
| <ul> <li>151 Medicale Act</li> <li>152 Recovery of Defaulted<br/>Student Loans<br/>(Excludes Veterans)</li> <li>153 Recovery of Overpayment<br/>of Veteran's Benefits</li> </ul>                                              | □ 340 Federal Employers<br>Liability<br>□ 340 Marine<br>□ 345 Marine Product<br>Liability<br>□ 350 Motor Vehicle                                                                                  | <ul> <li>368 Asbestos Personal<br/>Injury Product<br/>Liability</li> <li>PERSONAL PROPER</li> <li>370 Other Fraud</li> </ul>                                                                         | LABOR                                                                                                                                                                  | 840 Trademark     SOCIAL SECURITY                                                                                                                                       | <ul> <li>460 Deportation</li> <li>470 Racketeer Influenced and</li> </ul>                                                                                                                               |  |
| <ul> <li>160 vertial is Deletits</li> <li>160 Stockholders' Suits</li> <li>190 Other Contract</li> <li>195 Contract Product Liability</li> <li>196 Franchise</li> </ul>                                                       | <ul> <li>□ 355 Motor Vehicle</li> <li>□ 355 Motor Vehicle</li> <li>Product Liability</li> <li>□ 360 Other Personal<br/>Injury</li> <li>□ 362 Personal Injury -<br/>Medical Malpractice</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>370 Outer Plant</li> <li>371 Truth in Lending</li> <li>380 Other Personal<br/>Property Damage</li> <li>385 Property Damage<br/>Product Liability</li> </ul>                                 | <ul> <li>720 Labor/Management<br/>Relations</li> <li>740 Railway Labor Act</li> <li>751 Family and Medical<br/>Leave Act</li> <li>790 Other Labor Litigatic</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))</li> <li>864 SSID Title XVI</li> <li>865 RSI (405(g))</li> </ul>                                                                        | <ul> <li>350 Securities/Commodities/<br/>Exchange</li> <li>890 Other Statutory Actions</li> <li>891 Agricultural Acts</li> <li>893 Environmental Matters</li> <li>895 Freedom of Information</li> </ul> |  |
| REAL PROPERTY         210 Land Condemnation         220 Foreclosure         230 Rent Lease & Ejectment         240 Torts to Land         245 Tort Product Liability                                                           | <ul> <li>CIVIL RIGHTS</li> <li>440 Other Civil Rights</li> <li>441 Voting</li> <li>442 Employment</li> <li>443 Housing/<br/>Accommodations</li> </ul>                                             | <ul> <li>PRISONER PETITION</li> <li>Habeas Corpus:</li> <li>463 Alien Detainee</li> <li>510 Motions to Vacate<br/>Sentence</li> <li>530 General</li> </ul>                                           | 3 791 Employee Retiremen<br>Income Security Act                                                                                                                        | t S70 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff<br>or Defendant)<br>871 IRS—Third Party<br>26 USC 7609                                                                                      | Act<br>896 Arbitration<br>899 Administrative Procedure<br>Act/Review or Appeal of<br>Agency Decision<br>950 Constitutionality of                                                                        |  |
| 290 All Other Real Property                                                                                                                                                                                                   | <ul> <li>445 Amer. w/Disabilities -<br/>Employment</li> <li>446 Amer. w/Disabilities -<br/>Other</li> <li>448 Education</li> </ul>                                                                | <ul> <li>535 Death Penalty<br/>Other:</li> <li>540 Mandamus &amp; Othe<br/>550 Civil Rights</li> <li>555 Prison Condition</li> <li>560 Civil Detaince -<br/>Conditions of<br/>Confinement</li> </ul> | IMMIGRATION<br>462 Naturalization Applie<br>465 Other Immigration<br>Actions                                                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                         | State Statutes                                                                                                                                                                                          |  |
| V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in                                                                                                                                                                                                    | n One Box Only)                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |  |
| 🗙 1 Original 🛛 🗆 2 Rei                                                                                                                                                                                                        | moved from 🗖 3                                                                                                                                                                                    | Remanded from CAppellate Court                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                        | ansferred from D 6 Multidistr<br>nother District Litigation<br>becify)                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |  |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTION                                                                                                                                                                                                           | ON<br>FAIR CREDIT RE<br>Brief description of ca<br>Fair Credit Repor                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                                      | s filing <i>(Do not cite jurisdictions</i><br>1970, as amended 15                                                                                                      | al statutes unless diversity):<br>U.S.C 1681                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |  |
| VII. REQUESTED IN<br>COMPLAINT:                                                                                                                                                                                               | CHECK IF THIS<br>UNDER RULE 2                                                                                                                                                                     | IS A <b>CLASS ACTION</b><br>3, F.R.Cv.P.                                                                                                                                                             | DEMAND \$                                                                                                                                                              | CHECK YES only<br>JURY DEMAND:                                                                                                                                          | if demanded in complaint:<br>Yes □ No                                                                                                                                                                   |  |
| VIII. RELATED CASH<br>IF ANY                                                                                                                                                                                                  | E(S)<br>(See instructions):                                                                                                                                                                       | JUDGE                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                        | DOCKET NUMBER                                                                                                                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |  |
| DATE 10/20/1 +                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                   | SIGNATORE OF ATT                                                                                                                                                                                     | ORNEY OF RECORD                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |  |
| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY<br>RECEIPT # AN                                                                                                                                                                                           | IOUNT                                                                                                                                                                                             | APPLYING IFP                                                                                                                                                                                         | JUDG                                                                                                                                                                   | JE MAG. JUI                                                                                                                                                             | DGE                                                                                                                                                                                                     |  |
| /u                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                        | MAG. J01                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |  |

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Home Depot Failed to Provide Standalone Disclosure Document, Job Applicant Claims</u>