C	Case 2:17-cv-0342	23-GEKP Do	cument 1 Filed 08/0	01/17 Page 1 of 9	
JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)			OVER SHEET	1° 1-6	7-3423
The JS 44 civil cover sheet and provided by local rules and purpose of initiating the civil d	the new ation contained he is our approved by the ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCT)	erein neither replace nor Judicial Conference of YONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	r supplement the filing and service the United States in September 19 THIS FORM.)	of pleadings or other papers as 974, is required for the use of th	required by law, except as e Clerk of Court for the
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS GWENDOLYN HALL, situated	on behalf of herself and	d others similarly	DEFENDANTS ACCOLADE, INC		3423
<b>(b)</b> County of Residence of	of First Listed Plainti∯ <u>M</u> XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	ontgomery (ES)		e of First Listed Defendant <u>I</u> (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O DNDEMNATION CASES, USE TO FOR LAND INVOLVED	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 2) Twining Road, Suite 211	Address, and Telephone Number) Dresher, PA 19025; (215) 884-24	Winebrake & Santillo, LL 191.	Attorneys (If Known)	: Unknown	
II. BASIS OF JURISDA	CTION (Place an "X" in One	2 Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif f and One Box for Defendant)
E 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	K3 Federal Question U.S. Government No.	ot a Party)		TF DEF  1 '1 Incorporated or Print of Business In T	PTF DEF cipal Place ' 4 ' 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship	of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 ' 2 Incorporated and Pri of Business In A	
	<u> </u>		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 ' 3 Foreign Nation	' 6 ' 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions.  OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assault, Libel & Slander  330 Federal Employers' Liability  340 Marine  345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle  355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice  CIVIL RIGHTS  440 Other Civil Rights  441 Voting  442 Employment  443 Housing/ Accommodations  445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment  446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other  448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETTION: Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	7 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other  7/0 Fair Labor Standards Act 7/20 Labor/Management Relations 7/40 Railway Labor Act 7/51 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1	' 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ' 423 Withdrawal	□ 375 False Claims Act ' 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
XI ∳riginal ☐ 2 Re	moved from 1 3 R ate Court 2 9  Cite the U.S. Civil State 2 9  Brief description of cause	te under which you are 2.C. 59 20 se:  S A CLASS ACTION	Reopened Anothe (specify filling (Do not cite jurisdictional state	utes unless diversity): CABOR STANDAY	Litigation - Direct File  RDS ACT  if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASI	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	AUG - 1 2017
DATE 7/3/1/7		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY OF RECORD	WINEBRAKE	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECEIPT# AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	GE

## Case 2:17-cv-03423-GEKP Document 1 Filed 08/01/17 Page 2 of 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to	he used by counsel to indicate the cotogory of the case for the purpose of			
assignment to appropriate calendar.				
Address of Plaintiff 2522 Regatta Circle, Norr				
Address of Defendant: 660 West Germantown Pik	ie, Suite 250, Plymouth Meeting, PA 194			
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Plynoth Meeting, T	Additional Space)			
oes this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?			
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a	» Yes□ No□ UNKNOWN			
oes this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No.			
ELATED CASE, IF ANY:	( )			
ase Number: Judge	Date Terminated:			
ivil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:				
Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y				
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior	Yes□ No⊠- suit pending or within one year previously terminated			
action in this court?	san pending of within one year previously terminated			
	Yes□ No.			
Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier				
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ Ng■			
Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil righ	ats case filed by the same individual?			
	Yes□ No			
	<del></del>			
VIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	D. Diversity Installation Course			
Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:     1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts			
.   Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts				
. □ FELA	2.   Airplane Personal Injury  Account Defendation			
.   Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation			
. 🗆 Antitrust	4.   Marine Personal Injury			
.   Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury			
.   Labor-Management Relations	6.   Other Personal Injury (Please specify)			
. □ Civil Rights	7.   Products Liability			
. □ Habeas Corpus	8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos			
Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases			
Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)			
All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT				
ARBITRATION CERT				
(Check Appropriate C)  RETER WINEBRAKE, counsel of record do hereby certi				
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and				
150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;				
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	0-161			
ATE: 7/31/17 (/H1 L)400	80496			
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#			
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.			
certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in this court			
certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending of scept as noted above.	AUG - 1 2017			
71-11-7 () (L) () (Q)	80491			

Attorney-at-Law

Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (5/2012)



# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

GWENDOLYN v.	HALL	:	CIVIL ACTION				
V. ACLOUDE,	INC.	:	NO.	3423			
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.							
SELECT ONE OF THE	FOLLOWING	CASE MANAGE	EMENT TRACKS:				
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cas	es brought unde	r 28 U.S.C. § 2241	through § 2255.	( )			
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.							
(c) Arbitration - Cases re	equired to be des	ignated for arbitrat	ion under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	( )			
(d) Asbestos – Cases invo exposure to asbestos.	olving claims for	r personal injury or	property damage from	( )			
(e) Special Management commonly referred to the court. (See revers management cases.)	as complex and	that need special of	or intense management by	<b>9</b>			
(x) Standard Managemen	t - Cases that do	not fall into any o	ne of the other tracks.				
7/3/17		NEBRAKE	PHINTIFF				
Date 2491		y-at-law	Attorney for	11.			
215-884-2491	215-88	4-2492	pwinebrake@wineb	akelaw, çom			
Telephone	FAX N	umber	E-Mail Address				
(Civ. 660) 10/02							

Winebrake & Santillo, LLC
Peter Winebrake, Esq.
R. Andrew Santillo, Esq.
Mark J. Gottesfeld, Esq.
715 Twining Road, Suite 211
Dresher, PA 19025
(215) 884-2491

Hardwick Benfer, LLC Tiffanie C. Benfer, Esq. Jill L. Walsh, Esq. 179 North Broad Street Doylestown, PA 18901

(215) 230-1912

17 3423

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

GWENDOLYN HALL, on behalf of herself	:	CIVIL ACTION
and others similarly situated,	:	
Plaintiff,	:	NO

: JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

ACCOLADE, INC., Defendant.

v.

#### COMPLAINT - CLASS/COLLECTIVE ACTION

Plaintiff Gwendolyn Hall ("Plaintiff") brings this lawsuit against Defendant Accolade, Inc. ("Defendant"), asserting claims under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq., and the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act ("PMWA"), 43 P.S. §§ 333.101, et seq. Plaintiff asserts her FLSA claim as a collective action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and asserts her PMWA claim as a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. Subject matter jurisdiction over the FLSA claim exists pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §

216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

\_

- 2. Subject matter jurisdiction over the PMWA claim exists pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
  - 3. Venue in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

#### **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiff resides in Norristown, Pennsylvania (Montgomery County).
- 5. Plaintiff is an employee covered by the FLSA and the PMWA.
- Defendant is a corporation headquartered in Plymouth Meeting, Pennsylvania
   (Montgomery County).
- 7. Defendant is an employer covered by the FLSA because, *inter alia*, it employs individuals engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce and/or handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced in commerce. Defendant also is an employer covered by the PMWA because it is a corporation and directly employed Plaintiff and others within Pennsylvania.

#### **FACTS**

- Defendant provides health insurance administration services to insurance companies and self-insured corporate clients.
- 9. Defendant employs hundreds of Health Assistants who either work from home or from Defendant's call centers in Pennsylvania, Arizona, and Washington. These Health Assistants generally handle customer service telephone calls from employees and other beneficiaries of the health insurance plans offered by Defendant's customers.
- 10. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as a Health Assistant from April 2, 2012 through February 7, 2017.

- 11. Within the past three years, Plaintiff worked both from her home and from Defendant's Plymouth Meeting, PA call center.
  - 12. Plaintiff and other Health Assistants often work over 40 hours per week.
- paying Health Assistants overtime premium compensation for hours worked over 40 per week. Defendant maintained this policy even though, during the pre-November 2016 time period, Health Assistants frequently worked over 40 hours per week. For example, Plaintiff estimates that, prior to November 28, 2016, she worked between 40 and 50 hours during a typical 5-day workweek.
- paying Health Assistants overtime premium compensation for hours worked over 40 per week. Unfortunately, under this new policy, Defendant fails to give Health Assistants payroll credit for all of their overtime work. For example, Health Assistants received five minutes credit each day to account for time required to log in to the systems, but the log in process took on average 5-15 minutes daily. This ongoing payroll practice results in Health Assistants receiving no compensation for some of their overtime work. For example, Plaintiff estimates that, during the week ending February 3, 2017, she worked approximately 44.25 hours. However, Defendant paid Plaintiff for only 43.72 hours.
- 15. In engaging in the conduct described above, Defendant acted willfully and with reckless disregard of clearly applicable FLSA provisions.

#### COLLECTIVE AND CLASS ALLEGATIONS

16. Plaintiff brings her FLSA claim pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) on behalf of all individuals, who, during any time within the past three years, have been employed by Defendant

in the United States as Health Assistants.

- 17. Plaintiff's FLSA claim should proceed as a collective action because Plaintiff and other putative collective members, having worked pursuant to the common timekeeping and compensation policies described herein, are "similarly situated" as that term is defined in 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and the associated decisional law.
- 18. Plaintiff brings her PMWA claim as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 on behalf of all individuals, who, during any time within the past three years, have been employed by Defendant in Pennsylvania as Health Assistants.
- 19. Class action treatment of Plaintiff's PMWA claim is appropriate because, as alleged below, all of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23's class action requisites are satisfied.
- 20. The class, upon information and belief, includes hundreds of individuals, all of whom are readily ascertainable based on Defendant's payroll records and are so numerous that joinder of all class members is impracticable.
- 21. Plaintiff is a class member, her claims are typical of the claims of other class members, and she has no interests that are antagonistic to or in conflict with the interests of other class members.
- 22. Plaintiff and her lawyers will fairly and adequately represent the class members and their interests.
- 23. Questions of law and fact are common to all class members, because, *inter alia*, this action concerns Defendant's common timekeeping and compensation practices, as described herein. The legality of these policies will be determined through the application of common legal principles to common facts.
  - 24. Class certification is appropriate under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3)

because common questions of law and fact predominate over questions affecting only individual class members and because a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation.

## **COUNT I**(Alleging Violations of the FLSA)

- 25. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.
- 26. The FLSA requires that employees receive overtime compensation calculated at 150% of their regular pay rate for hours worked over 40 per week.
- 27. Defendant violated the FLSA by failing to pay Plaintiff and other Health Assistants for (i) any of their overtime work prior to approximately November 28, 2016 and (ii) some (but not all) of their overtime work after approximately November 28, 2016.
- 28. In violating the FLSA, Defendant has acted willfully and with reckless disregard of clearly applicable FLSA provisions and, as such, has willfully violated the FLSA.

## **COUNT II**(Alleging Violations of the PMWA)

- 29. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.
- 30. The PMWA requires that employees receive overtime premium compensation calculated at 150% of their regular pay rate for all hours worked over 40 per week.
- 31. Defendant violated the PMWA by failing to pay Plaintiff and other Health Assistants for (i) any of their overtime work prior to approximately November 28, 2016 and (ii) some (but not all) of their overtime work after approximately November 28, 2016.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff demands a jury trial.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and other members of the class/collective, seeks the following relief:

- A. Orders permitting this action to proceed as a collective and class action;
- B. Unpaid wages (including overtime wages) and prejudgment interest;
- C. Liquidated damages;
- D. Litigation costs, expenses, and attorney's fees; and
- E. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Date: July 31, 2017

Respectfully,

Peter Winebrake R. Andrew Santillo Mark J. Gottesfeld Winebrake & Santillo, LLC 715 Twining Road, Suite 211 Dresher, PA 19025 (215) 884-2491

Tiffanie C. Benfer, Esq. Jill L. Walsh, Esq. Hardwick Benfer, LLC 179 North Broad Street Doylestown, PA 18901 (215) 230-1912

Attorneys for Plaintiff

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Former Health Assistant Sues Accolade Over Allegedly Unpaid Overtime Wages