| | Case 4:17-cv-07292-DMR Document | 1 Filed 12/22/17 Page 1 of 20 |
|---|---|---|
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | | S DISTRICT COURT LICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | MICHAEL HAKIMI, on behalf of himself, all | Case No. |
| 13 | others similarly situated, | CLASS ACTION |
| 14 | Plaintiff, | COMPLAINT |
| 15 | vs. | 1. Strict Products Liability; |
| 16 | APPLE INC., a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, | Negligence – Products Liability; Violation of Consumer Legal Remedies Act; |
| 17 18 | Defendants. | Unfair Competition; Breach of Written Warranty Pursuant to the |
| 18 | | Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act; 6. Breach of Express Warranty; |
| 20 | | Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability; Breach of the Implied Warranty of Fitness |
| 21 | | For a Particular Purpose; 9. Violation of California's False Advertising |
| 22 | | Laws; 10. Fraud; |
| 23 | | 11. Unjust Enrichment |
| 24 | | JURY TRIAL DEMANDED |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | | |
| | CLASS ACTIO | ON COMPLAINT |

•

.

!

[

| 1 | COMES NOW, Plaintiff MICHAEL HAKIMI ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and all | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | others similarly situated, complains and alleges against Defendant APPLE INC., a California | | | | |
| 3 | corporation ("Apple"); and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive (collectively referred to as | | | | |
| 4 | "Defendants"), as follows: | | | | |
| 5 | INTRODUCTION | | | | |
| 6 | 1. Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of all similarly situated persons | | | | |
| 7 | who purchased an iPhone 6, 6S, SE and 7 models ("Covered iPhones"). | | | | |
| 8 | 2. Apple has now conceded that it pushed out software updates to consumers' Covered | | | | |
| 9 | iPhones that limit the speed and performance of these devices thereby causing significant slow | | | | |
| 10 | performance, dropped calls, and excessive battery drain (the "Defect"). But this was never | | | | |
| 11 | disclosed to its consumers. | | | | |
| 12 | 3. As a result of Apple's conduct, millions of consumers may believe that the Covered | | | | |
| 13 | iPhones have become obsolete and deceived into upgrading to the newest iPhone models - the | | | | |
| 14 | iPhone 8 and/or iPhone X. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 15 | PARTIES | | | | |
| 15 16 | PARTIES 4. Plaintiff MICHAEL HAKIMI is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, an | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 16 | 4. Plaintiff MICHAEL HAKIMI is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, an | | | | |
| 16 17 | 4. Plaintiff MICHAEL HAKIMI is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, an individual residing in the State of California. | | | | |
| 16 17 18 | 4. Plaintiff MICHAEL HAKIMI is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, an individual residing in the State of California. 5. Defendant APPLE INC. is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, a corporation | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 | 4. Plaintiff MICHAEL HAKIMI is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, an individual residing in the State of California. 5. Defendant APPLE INC. is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, a corporation organized under the laws of California and doing business in this state. | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 | 4. Plaintiff MICHAEL HAKIMI is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, an individual residing in the State of California. 5. Defendant APPLE INC. is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, a corporation organized under the laws of California and doing business in this state. 6. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of the defendants sued herein as | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 | 4. Plaintiff MICHAEL HAKIMI is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, an individual residing in the State of California. 5. Defendant APPLE INC. is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, a corporation organized under the laws of California and doing business in this state. 6. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of the defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, and therefore sue these defendants by such fictitious names. | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | 4. Plaintiff MICHAEL HAKIMI is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, an individual residing in the State of California. 5. Defendant APPLE INC. is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, a corporation organized under the laws of California and doing business in this state. 6. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of the defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, and therefore sue these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of the DOE defendants | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | 4. Plaintiff MICHAEL HAKIMI is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, an individual residing in the State of California. 5. Defendant APPLE INC. is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, a corporation organized under the laws of California and doing business in this state. 6. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of the defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, and therefore sue these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of the DOE defendants when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges that each of the | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | 4. Plaintiff MICHAEL HAKIMI is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, an individual residing in the State of California. 5. Defendant APPLE INC. is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, a corporation organized under the laws of California and doing business in this state. 6. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of the defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, and therefore sue these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of the DOE defendants when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges that each of the fictitiously named defendants are responsible in some manner for the occurrences, acts and | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 4. Plaintiff MICHAEL HAKIMI is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, an individual residing in the State of California. 5. Defendant APPLE INC. is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, a corporation organized under the laws of California and doing business in this state. 6. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of the defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, and therefore sue these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of the DOE defendants when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges that each of the fictitiously named defendants are responsible in some manner for the occurrences, acts and omissions alleged herein and that Plaintiff's alleged damages were proximately caused by these | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 | 4. Plaintiff MICHAEL HAKIMI is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, an individual residing in the State of California. 5. Defendant APPLE INC. is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, a corporation organized under the laws of California and doing business in this state. 6. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of the defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, and therefore sue these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of the DOE defendants when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges that each of the fictitiously named defendants are responsible in some manner for the occurrences, acts and omissions alleged herein and that Plaintiff's alleged damages were proximately caused by these defendants, and each of them. | | | | |

Ì

partners, directors, associates, joint venturers, principals or co-participants of some or all of the
 other defendants, and in doing the things alleged herein, were acting within the course and scope of
 such relationship and with the full knowledge, consent and ratification by such other defendants.

4

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8. This Court has jurisdiction over this class action under the Class Action Fairness
Act, 28 U.S.C. section 1332(d). The aggregated claims of the individual Class Members exceed the
sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and this is a class action in which
Plaintiff and members of the class, on the one hand, and Apple, on the other, are citizens of
different states.

10 9. This Court has jurisdiction over Apple because Apple maintains its principal 11 headquarters in California, is registered to conduct business in California, and has sufficient 12 minimum contacts in California. Apple intentionally avails itself of the California consumer market 13 through the promotion, sale, marketing, and distribution of its products to California residents. As a result, jurisdiction in this court is proper and necessary. Moreover, Apple's wrongful conduct, as 14 described herein, emanates from California and foreseeably affects consumers in California and 15 16 nationwide. Most, if not all, of the events complained of below occurred in or emanated from 17 Apple's corporate headquarters located in Cupertino, California. Plaintiff's counsel's Declaration, 18 as required under California Code of Civil Procedure section 1780(d), is attached as Exhibit 1.

19 10. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. section 1391(a)-(c) because, inter
20 alia, substantial parts of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in the District
21 and/or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated in the District.

22

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

11. This action has been brought and may be maintained as a class action pursuant to
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23 because there is a well-defined community of interest among
the persons who comprise the readily ascertainable classes defined below and because Plaintiff is
unaware of any difficulties likely to be encountered in managing this case as a class action.

27 12. <u>Relevant Time Period</u>: The relevant time period is defined as the time period
28 beginning four years prior to the filing of this action until judgment is entered.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

<u>National iPhone Class</u>: All persons and entities in the United States (including its Territories and the District of Columbia) who currently or formerly owned an iPhone 6, 6S, SE and 7 during the **Relevant Time Period**.

<u>California iPhone Sub-Class</u>: All National iPhone Class members who currently or formerly resided in California.

13. Excluded from the class are: (1) Apple, its subsidiaries, and its legal representatives, officers, directors, assigns and successors; and (2) all state and/or federal court judges who may preside over this case, their staff, and their immediate family members.

14. <u>Reservation of Rights</u>: Pursuant to Rule of Court 3.765(b), Plaintiff reserves the right to amend or modify the class definitions with greater specificity, by further division into sub-classes and/or by limitation to particular issues.

15. <u>Numerosity</u>: The class members are so numerous that the individual joinder of each individual class member is impractical. While Plaintiff does not currently know the exact number of class members, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges that the actual number exceeds the minimum required for numerosity under California law.

16. <u>Commonality and Predominance</u>: Common questions of law and fact exist as to all class members and predominate over any questions which affect only individual class members. These common questions include, but are not limited to:

- A. Whether Defendants are liable under strict products liability for damages to
 Plaintiff and the Class Members;
- B. Whether Defendants are liable for negligence for products liability and damages to Plaintiff and Class Members;

 C. Whether Defendants reasonably should have notified consumers before it implemented any kind of software update that limited the speed and/or performance of consumers' iPhones;

D. Whether Defendants had a duty to disclose the nature of any defect to
 Plaintiff and the Class Members;

 E. Whether Defendants failed to disclose or concealed material information concerning any defects;

| | Case 4:17-cv-07292-DMR Document 1 Filed 12/22/17 Page 5 of 20 | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | F. Whether Defendants' conduct and business practices violate the Consumer | | | | |
| 2 | Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA") Civil Code section 1750 <i>et seq.</i> ; | | | | |
| -3 | G. Whether Defendants' conduct and business practices violate the Unfair | | | | |
| 4 | Competition Law ("UCL") Business and Professions Code section 17200 et | | | | |
| 5 | seq.; | | | | |
| 6 | H. Whether Defendants breached any express or implied warranties; | | | | |
| 7 | I. Whether Defendants violated California false advertising laws; | | | | |
| 8 | J. Whether Defendants engaged in fraud; | | | | |
| 9 | K. Whether Defendants are liable for unjust enrichment to Plaintiff and the Class | | | | |
| 10 | Members; | | | | |
| 11 | L. Whether Plaintiff and the Class Members are entitled to relief, and the | | | | |
| 12 | amount and nature of such relief, including relief in the form of an injunction | | | | |
| 13 | and/or restitution. | | | | |
| 14 | 17. <u>Typicality</u>: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the other class members' claims. | | | | |
| 15 | Plaintiff and all members of the Class have been damaged by the same wrongful conduct by | | | | |
| 16 | Defendants. Like the other Class Members, Plaintiff purchased a defective iPhone. | | | | |
| 17 | 18. <u>Adequacy of Class Representative</u> : Plaintiff is an adequate class representative in | | | | |
| 18 | that he has no interests that are adverse to, or otherwise conflict with, the interests of absent class | | | | |
| 19 | members and is dedicated to vigorously prosecuting this action on their behalf. Plaintiff will fairly | | | | |
| 20 | and adequately represent and protect the interests of the other class members. | | | | |
| 21 | 19. <u>Adequacy of Class Counsel</u> : Plaintiff's counsel are adequate class counsel in that | | | | |
| 22 | they have no known conflicts of interest with Plaintiff or absent class members, are experienced in | | | | |
| 23 | complex class action litigation, and are dedicated to vigorously prosecuting this action on behalf of | | | | |
| 24 | Plaintiff and absent class members. | | | | |
| 25 | 20. Superiority: A class action is vastly superior to other available means for fair and | | | | |
| 26 | efficient adjudication of the class members' claims and would be beneficial to the parties and the | | | | |
| 27 | Court. Class action treatment will allow a number of similarly situated persons to simultaneously | | | | |
| 28 | and efficiently prosecute their common claims in a single forum without the unnecessary | | | | |
| | 4 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT | | | | |
| | | | | | |

ļ

| 1 | duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would entail. In addition, the | | | | |
|----------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | monetary amounts due to many individual class members are likely to be relatively small and would | | | | |
| 3 | thus make I difficult, if not impossible, for individual class members to both seek and obtain relief. | | | | |
| 4 | Moreover, a class action will serve an important public interest by permitting class members to | | | | |
| 5 | effectively pursue the recovery of monies owed to them. Further, a class action will prevent the | | | | |
| 6 | potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments inherent in individual litigation. | | | | |
| 7 | GENERAL ALLEGATIONS | | | | |
| 8 | 21. Plaintiff owns an iPhone and encountered many of the issues encountered by other | | | | |
| 9 | iPhone owners such as significantly slow performance, dropped calls and excessive battery drain | | | | |
| 10 | when using his device. | | | | |
| 11 | 22. Apple designs, manufactures, distributes, and sells the iPhones. On information and | | | | |
| 12 | belief, Apple has sold, directly or indirectly through other retailers, millions of iPhones in | | | | |
| 13 | California, the United States and throughout the world. | | | | |
| 14 | 23. The Covered iPhones are defective, including but not limited to the Defect in the | | | | |
| 15 | design and manufacture of the device causing it to shut down unexpectedly. | | | | |
| 16 | 24. Apple responded with an official statement as follows: | | | | |
| 17 | Our goal is to deliver the best experience for customers, which includes overall performance and prolonging the life of their devices. Lithium-ion batteries become less capable of supplying peak current | | | | |
| 18 | demands when in cold conditions, have a low battery charge or as they age over time, which can result in the device unexpectedly shutting down to protect its electronic components. | | | | |
| 19 | Last year we released a feature for iPhone 6, iPhone 6s and iPhone SE to smooth out the instantaneous | | | | |
| 20 21 | peaks only when needed to prevent the device from unexpectedly shutting down during these conditions. We've now extended that feature to iPhone 7 with iOS 11.2, and plan to add support for other products in the future. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 22 | FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION | | | | |
| 23 | STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY | | | | |
| 24 | 25. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint as if | | | | |
| 25 | fully alleged herein. | | | | |
| 26 | 26. At all times mentioned herein, Defendants designed, manufactured, assembled, | | | | |
| 27 | analyzed, recommended, merchandised, advertised, promoted, distributed, supplied, and sold to | | | | |
| 28 | distributors and retailers for sale, smartphones known as "iPhones" and/or its component parts. | | | | |
| | | | | | |

27. Defendants manufactured, designed, promoted and/or sold the Covered iPhones and
 their component parts to the public, knowing that the Covered iPhones would be purchased or used
 without inspection for defects by the general public, including Plaintiff and the Class Members.

28. The Covered iPhones were defective and did not function according to its intended
use by reason of defects in its manufacture, design, testing, components and constituents, so that it
would not properly serve its purpose, but would instead slow down significantly, drop calls, and
cause excessive battery drain because of the failure of Defendants to properly design and
manufacture the Covered iPhones.

9 29. Defendants designed and manufactured the Covered iPhones defectively, causing it
10 to fail to perform as an ordinary consumer would expect when used in an intended or reasonably
11 foreseeable manner.

30. Defendants knew or should have known of the defects that would arise in the
reasonably foreseeable use of the Covered iPhones, whose defective design, manufacturing, and
lack of sufficient warnings caused them to have an unreasonably propensity to suffer from
component failure, thereby causing significantly slow performance, dropped calls, and excessive
battery drain.

17 31. Defendants failed to adequately warn of the defects known or knowable at the time
18 of the defective Covered iPhones design, manufacture, and distribution.

32. Defendants failed to provide adequate warnings, instructions, guidelines or
admonitions to members of the consuming public, including Plaintiff and the Class Members, of the
design and manufacturing defects, which Defendants knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care
should have known, to have existed in the Covered iPhones, and its component parts.

33. Plaintiff and the Class Members were not aware of the aforementioned defects at any
time regarding the Covered iPhones prior to purchasing and/or upgrading to the newer iPhone 8
and/or iPhone X.

34. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned defects in the Covered
iPhones, Plaintiff and the Class Members sustained injures and damages in an amount according to
proof at trial.

| 1 | |
|----|----|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | l |
| 5 | |
| 6 | 1 |
| 7 | ł |
| 8 | ľ |
| 9 | li |
| 10 | |
| 11 | i |
| 12 | i |
| 13 | a |
| 14 | |
| 15 | d |
| 16 | p |
| 17 | |
| 18 | tl |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENCE – PRODUCTS LIABILITY

3 35. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint as if
4 fully alleged herein.

36. At all times mentioned, Defendants designed, manufactured, assembled, analyzed,
recommended, merchandised, advertised, promoted, distributed, supplied, and sold to distributors
and retailers for sale, smartphones known as "iPhones" and/or its component parts.

8 37. Defendants manufactured, designed, promoted and/or sold the Covered iPhones and
9 its component parts to the public, including to Plaintiff and the Class Members.

38. Defendants owed Plaintiff and the Class Members a duty to exercise reasonable care
in the design, testing, manufacture, assembly, sale, distribution and servicing of the Covered
iPhones, including a duty to assure that the Covered iPhones were free of defects and/or to repair
any defects that are discovered.

39. Defendants knew or should have known that the Covered iPhones were defectively
designed and manufactured and was therefore prone to problems under normal operating conditions,
potentially causing consumers to spend money for repairs and ultimately for replacing their devices.

40. Defendants failed to exercise ordinary care and breached its duty by, among other hings:

9a.Failure to use due care in the manufacture, distribution, design, sale, testing,0and servicing of the Covered iPhones and its component parts in order to1avoid the aforementioned risks to individuals;

b. Failure to provide adequate warning of component failure, thereby causing significantly slow performance, dropped calls, and excessive battery drain;
c. Failure to incorporate within the Covered iPhones and its design reasonable

- safeguards and protections against component failure, thereby causing significantly slow performance, dropped calls, and excessive battery drain;
- Failure to make time correction to the design of the Covered iPhones to
 correct the component failure, thereby causing significantly slow

7 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

| | Case 4:17-cv-07292-DMR Document 1 Filed 12/22/17 Page 9 of 20 | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| 1 | performance, dropped calls, and excessive battery drain; | | | | |
| 2 | e. Failure to adequately identify and mitigate the hazards associated with | | | | |
| 3 | component failure, thereby causing significantly slow performance, dropped | | | | |
| 4 | calls, and excessive battery drain; | | | | |
| 5 | f. Such other acts of negligence as discovery shall reveal. | | | | |
| 6 | 41. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence, carelessness, and | | | | |
| 7 | other tortious, unlawful and wrongful acts and omissions of Defendants, and its respective agents, | | | | |
| 8 | servants, employees and authorized representatives as mentioned above, Plaintiff has suffered | | | | |
| 9 | damages in an amount to be proven at trial. | | | | |
| 10 | THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION | | | | |
| 11 | VIOLATION OF THE CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES ACT | | | | |
| 12 | (Cal. Civ. Code § 1750 <i>et seq.</i>) | | | | |
| 13 | 42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint as if | | | | |
| 14 | fully alleged herein. | | | | |
| 15 | 43. Apple is a "person" within the meaning of Civil Code sections 1761(d) and 1770, | | | | |
| 16 | and it provides "goods" within the meaning of Civil Code section 1761(a) and 1770. | | | | |
| 17 | 44. Plaintiff and the Class Members are "consumers" who purchased an iPhone for | | | | |
| 18 | business purposes and personal, family, or household purposes within the meaning of California | | | | |
| 19 | Civil Code section 1761(d) and 1770. Plaintiff and the Class Member's purchase of an iPhone | | | | |
| 20 | constitutes a "transaction" within the meaning of Civil Code section 1761(e) and 1770. | | | | |
| 21 | 45. By failing to disclose and concealing the defects in the iPhones from Plaintiff and the | | | | |
| 22 | Class Members, Apple violated California Civil Code section 1770(a), as it represented that the | | | | |
| 23 | iPhones had characteristics and benefits they do not have and represented that the iPhones were of a | | | | |
| 24 | particular standard, quality, or grade when they were of another. See, Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(5) | | | | |
| 25 | and (7). | | | | |
| 26 | 46. Apple has engaged in business practices that violate the CLRA including, without | | | | |
| 27 | limitation, failing to disclose or concealing that the iPhones were manufactured with certain defects. | | | | |
| 28 | Apple's unfair and deceptive acts or practices occurred repeatedly in Apple's trade or business and | | | | |
| | 8 | | | | |
| | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT | | | | |

ł

ļ

1 were capable of deceiving a substantial portion of the purchasing public.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

47. Apple knew that the iPhones contained certain defects, yet it instead released
software updates that would slow down the speed and performance of iPhones without notifying its
consumers or obtaining their consent.

48. Apple has duty to Plaintiff and the Class Members to disclose the nature of any
defects along with the scope of software update it released to compensate for those defects because:

- a. Apple was in a superior position to know the true state of facts about any defects and the software updates it created to compensate for those defects;b. Plaintiff and the Class Members could not reasonably have been expected to
 - learn or discover the true nature of any defects until they experienced the defects without the software updates masking those defects;
 - c. Apple knew that Plaintiff and the Class Members could not reasonably have been expected to learn about or discover the change made by the software updates.

49. By failing to disclose the nature of the software updates and by limiting the speed
and performance of the Covered iPhones, Apple has knowingly and intentionally concealed
materials facts and breached its duty not to do so.

50. The facts concealed or not disclosed by Apple to Plaintiff and the Class Members are
material because a reasonable consumer would have considered them to be important in deciding
whether or not to replace their Covered iPhones with a newer model. Had Plaintiff and the Class
Members known that the speed and performance of the Covered iPhones were intentionally affected
by the software updates released by Apple, they would not have purchased a newer iPhone model
nor would they have upgraded to the iPhone 8 or X.

24 51. Plaintiff and the Class Members are reasonable consumers who do not expect the
25 speed and performance of their Covered iPhones to slow down with normal use. That is the
26 reasonable and objective consumer expectation for smartphones.

52. As a result of Apple's acts and practices alleged herein, Plaintiff and the Class
Members suffered actual damages in that their Covered iPhones are now slower and do not perform

| 1 | as well as it otherwise would have absent the software updates imposed by Apple limiting the speed | | | | | |
|----|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | and performance of the Covered iPhones. | | | | | |
| 3 | 53. Plaintiff and the Class Members are entitled to equitable relief. | | | | | |
| 4 | 54. Plaintiff has provided Apple with notice of its alleged violations of the CLRA | | | | | |
| 5 | pursuant to Ca | lifornia Civil Code section 1782(a). If, within 30 days of the date of the notification | | | | |
| 6 | letter, Apple fa | ils to provide appropriate relief for its violation of the CLRA, Plaintiff will amend | | | | |
| 7 | this Complain | to seek monetary, compensatory, and punitive damages, in addition to the injunctive | | | | |
| 8 | and equitable 1 | elief that Plaintiff seeks now. | | | | |
| 9 | | FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION | | | | |
| 10 | | UNFAIR COMPETITION | | | | |
| 11 | | (Bus. and Prof. Code § 17200 et seq.) | | | | |
| 12 | 55. | Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint as if | | | | |
| 13 | fully alleged herein. | | | | | |
| 14 | 56. Apple knew the Covered iPhones' were defective and that it would ultimately cause | | | | | |
| 15 | the Covered iPhones to slow down significantly, drop calls, cause excessive battery drain and shut | | | | | |
| 16 | down unexpectedly. | | | | | |
| 17 | 57. | In failing to disclose the Defect, Apple knowingly and intentionally concealed | | | | |
| 18 | material facts a | and breached its duty not to do so. | | | | |
| 19 | 58. | Apple was under a duty to Plaintiff and the Class Members to disclose the defective | | | | |
| 20 | nature of the Covered iPhones because: | | | | | |
| 21 | | d. Apple was in a superior position to know the true state of facts about the | | | | |
| 22 | | Defect; | | | | |
| 23 | | e. Apple made partial disclosures about the quality of the Covered iPhones | | | | |
| 24 | | without revealing the defective nature of the Covered iPhones and the fact | | | | |
| 25 | | that the device would become defective with normal use; | | | | |
| 26 | | f. Apple actively concealed the defective nature of the Covered iPhones from | | | | |
| 27 | | Plaintiff and the Class Members; | | | | |
| 28 | | g. Apple knew that Plaintiff and the Class Members could not reasonably have | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT | | | | |

-

-

been expected to learn about or discover the Defect.

2 59. The facts concealed or not disclosed by Apple to Plaintiff and the Class Members are 3 material because a reasonable person would have considered them to be important in deciding 4 whether or not to purchase or upgrade to the newer iPhone 8 or X. Had Plaintiff and the Class 5 Members known that the Covered iPhones suffered from the Defect described in the Complaint, they would not have purchased the Covered iPhones; and if they had already purchased a Covered 6 7 iPhones they would not have purchased or upgraded to the newer iPhone 8 or X. 8 60. Apple continued to conceal the defective nature of the Covered iPhones even after Class Members began to report problems. Indeed, Apple continues to cover up and conceal the true 9 10 nature of the problem and deny valid warranty claims. 11 61. By this conduct, Apple has engaged in unfair competition and unlawful, unfair, and 12 fraudulent business practices. 13 62. Apple's unfair or deceptive acts or practices occurred repeatedly in Apple's trade or 14 business and were capable of deceiving a substantial portion of the purchasing public. 15 63. As a direct and proximate result of Apple's unfair and deceptive practices, Plaintiff 16 and the Class Members have suffered and will continue to suffer actual damages. 17 64. Apple has been unjustly enriched and should be required to make restitution to Plaintiff and the Class Members pursuant to Bus. and Prof. Code sections 17203 and 17204. 18 19 FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION 20 **BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY UNDER THE** 21 MAGNUSON-MOSS WARRANTY ACT 22 (15 U.S.C. § 2301 et seq.) 23 65. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint as if fully alleged herein. 24 25 66. Plaintiff and the Class Members are "consumers" within the meaning of the 26 Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. section 2301(3). 27 67. Apple is a "supplier" and "warrantor" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. sections 28 2301(4)-(5).

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

68. The Covered iPhones are "consumer products" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. 1 2 section 2301(1). Apple's Warranty is a "written warranty" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. section 3 69. 4 2301(6). 5 70. Apple breached the Warranty by: 6 h. Extending a one-year limited warranty with the purchase of Covered iPhones. 7 thereby warranting to repair or replace Covered iPhones defective in material 8 or workmanship at no cost to the owner; 9 i. Selling the Covered iPhones with defective design and/or manufacture such 10 that the devices would shut down unexpectedly with normal use, requiring 11 repair or replacement within the warranty period; 12 j. Refusing to honor the express warranty by refusing to proper to properly 13 repair or replace the Covered iPhones with properly functioning devices, 14 instead pushing software updates which failed to repair the defect; and 15 k. Refusing to honor the express warranty by repairing or replacing the Covered 16 iPhones with non-defective parts. 17 71. Apple's breach of the express warranty deprived Plaintiff and the Class Members of 18 the benefits of their bargains. 19 72. The amount in controversy of Plaintiff's individual claim meets or exceeds the sum or value of \$50,000. In addition, the amount in controversy meets or exceeds the sum or value of 20 \$50,000 (exclusive of interests and costs) computed on the basis of all claims to be determined in 21 22 this suit. 23 73. Apple has been afforded a reasonable opportunity to cure its breach of written 24 warranty, including when Plaintiff and the Class Members notified Apple of the defect and

25 requested a proper repair.

26 74. As a direct and proximate result of Apple's breach of written warranty, Plaintiff and 27 the Class Members sustained damages and other losses in an amount to be determined at trial. 28 Apple's conduct damaged Plaintiff and the Class Members, who are entitled to recover damages,

consequential damages, specific performance, diminution in value, costs, attorneys' fees, rescission, 1 2 and/or other relief as appropriate. 3 **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION** 4 **BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY** 5 75. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint as if 6 fully alleged herein. 7 76. Apple warranted that each Covered iPhones was free of defects when it sold the 8 devices to Plaintiff and the Class Members as described in this Complaint. Under the terms of Apple's Warranty, each Covered iPhones came with an express Warranty that warrants that the 9 10 device will be free from defects in materials and workmanship under normal use during the 11 warranty period. 12 77. This Warranty because part of the basis of the bargain. Accordingly, Apple's 13 Warranty is an express warranty. 14 78. Apple breached the express warranty by: 15 1. Extending a one-year limited warranty with the purchase of a Covered 16 iPhones, thereby warranting to repair or replace devices defective in material 17 or workmanship at no cost to the owner; 18 m. Selling Covered iPhones with defective design and/or manufacture such that 19 the devices would develop battery issues with normal use, requrinig repair or 20 replacement within the warranty period; 21 Refusing to honor the express warranty by repairing or replacing the Covered n. 22 iPhones free of charge, instead pushing out software updates that masked the 23 problem and which failed to repair the defect; and 24 0. Refusing to honor the express warranty by repairing or replacing the Covered 25 iPhones with non-defective parts. 26 79. Plaintiff provided Apple with timely notice of its breach of warranty. Apple was also on notice of the Defect from the complaints and service requests it received from Class 27 Members, internet message boards and support forums maintained by Apple, and from published 28 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1 product reviews.

80. As a direct and proximate result of Apple's breach of warranty, Plaintiff and each of
the Class Members have suffered damages and continue to suffer damages, including economic
damages at the point of sale, *i.e.*, the difference between the value of the Covered iPhones as
promised and the value of the devices as delivered. Additionally, Plaintiff and the Class Members
either have incurred or will incur economic damages at the point of repair in the form of the cost of
repair and/or the cost of purchasing a non-defective device to replace the Covered iPhones.

8 81. Plaintiff and the Class Members are entitled to legal and equitable relief against
9 Apple, including damages, consequential damages, specific performance, rescission, attorneys' fees,
10 costs of suit, and other relief as appropriate.

11

12

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION BREACH OF THE IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY

13 82. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint as if
14 fully alleged herein.

15 83. Apple impliedly warranted to members of the general public, including Plaintiff and
16 the Class Members, that the Covered iPhones was of merchantable quality and safe for the use for
17 which it was intended by Defendants, namely, for the purpose of use as a mobile cellphone device
18 used to make calls, listening to music, accessing the internet, taking photos and videos, and for
19 other related activities.

84. The Covered iPhones were not merchantable and fit for its ordinary purpose, because
the battery used to power it had a propensity to degrade over time thereby causing the device to shut
down unexpectedly. The Covered iPhones were not of merchantable quality as warranted by
Defendant, in that it was defectively designed and manufactured, thereby causing it to shut down
and stop working.

85. In order resolve the Defects, Defendants pushed a software update that slowed down
the speed and performance of the Covered iPhones thereby concealing the deteriorated and
degraded batteries. From all outward appearances, consumers were led to believe that their
Covered iPhones were still operating albeit slower and with significantly less performance which

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

undoubtedly led millions of consumers to believe that their devices were obsolete and therefore they
 should replace them with a newer iPhone model.

86. Plaintiff reasonably relied on Defendants' representations that the Covered iPhones
were free of defects.

5 87. As a direct and proximate result of the breach of the implied warranty of
6 merchantability, Plaintiff and the Class Members suffered damages herein and are therefore entitled
7 to damages in an amount according to proof at trial.

8

9

10

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE

11 88. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint as if
12 fully alleged herein.

13 89. Apple impliedly warranted to members of the general public, including Plaintiff and
14 the Class Members, that the Covered iPhones was fit for the particular purpose for which it was
15 intended by the Defendants, namely, for the purpose of use as a mobile cellphone device used to
16 make calls, listening to music, accessing the internet, taking photos and videos, and for other related
17 activities.

90. The Covered iPhones were not fit for the particular purpose for which it was
intended because the battery used to power it had a propensity to degrade over time thereby causing
the device to shut down unexpectedly. The Covered iPhones were not fit for the particular purpose
for which it was intended, in that it was defectively designed and manufactured, thereby causing it
to shut down and stop working.

91. In order resolve the Defects, Defendants pushed a software update that slowed down
the speed and performance of the Covered iPhones thereby concealing the deteriorated and
degraded batteries. From all outward appearances, consumers were led to believe that their
Covered iPhones were still operating albeit slower and with significantly less performance which
undoubtedly led millions of consumers to believe that their devices were obsolete and therefore they
should replace them with a newer iPhone model.

92. Plaintiff reasonably relied on Defendants' representations that the Covered iPhones
 were free of defects.

3 93. As a direct and proximate result of the breach of the implied warranty of fitness for a
4 particular purpose, Plaintiff and the Class Members suffered damages herein and are therefore
5 entitled to damages in an amount according to proof at trial.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

6

7

8

VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA'S FALSE ADVERTISING LAWS

(Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500 et seq.)

9 94. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint as if
10 fully alleged herein.

11 95. California Business and Professions Code section 17500 provides that it is unlawful 12 for a corporation "to induce the public to enter into any obligation relating thereto, to make or 13 disseminate or cause to be made or disseminated...from this state before the public in any state, in 14 any newspaper or other publication, or any advertising device, or by public outcry or proclamation, 15 or in any other manner or means whatever, including over the Internet, any statement...which is 16 untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be 17 known, to be untrue or misleading..."

96. Defendants' representations, including statements made in Defendants' television,
radio, and print advertising, websites, brochures, and all other written an oral materials disseminated
by Defendants to promote its products constitute advertising for purposes of this action.

97. Such advertising contained statements which were false, misleading, or which
omitted material information which Defendants were under a duty to disclose and which were
known or should have been known to Defendants to be false, misleading, or deceptive.

98. The misleading advertising described herein presents a continuing threat to Plaintiff
and members of the public in that Defendants persist and continue to engage in these practices, and
will not cease doing so unless and until forced to do so by this Court.

99. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' misconduct and omissions, Plaintiff
sustained the damages in an amount according to proof at trial.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

FRAUD

3 100. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint as if
4 fully alleged herein.

5 101. Defendants made material misrepresentations that were false and that were either
6 known to be false when made or were asserted without knowledge of their truth. Defendants has in
7 the possession adverse incident reports, warranty work orders and other documentation about the
8 defects in the Covered iPhones yet made the following misrepresentations:

| 9 | a. | Misrepresentations regarding the existence, occurrence and frequency of |
|----|----|--|
| 10 | | occurrences, severity and extent of the defects causing significantly slow |
| 11 | | performance, dropped calls, and excessive battery drain; |
| 12 | b. | Misrepresentations as to the root cause of the defects causing significantly |
| 13 | | slow performance, dropped calls, and excessive battery drain; |
| 14 | с. | Misrepresentations as to the nature, seriousness, severity of adverse incident |
| 15 | | reports regarding significantly slow performance, dropped calls, and |
| 16 | | excessive battery drain; |

17 102. Defendants intended that these misrepresentations be relied upon by the general
18 consuming public, including Plaintiff and the Class Members. Plaintiff and the Class Members did
19 rely upon the misrepresentations that ultimately caused Plaintiff to purchase and/or upgrade to a
20 newer iPhone 8 and/or iPhone X.

103. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendants and each of
them in doing the things herein alleged acted willfully, maliciously, oppressively and despicably
with the full knowledge of the adverse effect of their actions on Plaintiff and the Class Members,
and with willful and deliberate disregard of the consequences to Plaintiff and the Class Members.
By reason thereof, Plaintiff and the Class Members are entitled to recover punitive and exemplary
damages from Defendants in an amount according to proof at trial.

27 || ///

1

2

28 || //

| 1 | ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | UNJUST ENRICHMENT | | | | | |
| 3 | 104. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint as if | | | | | |
| 4 | fully alleged herein. | | | | | |
| 5 | 105. Plaintiff and the Class Members conferred benefits on Defendants by purchasing the | | | | | |
| 6 | Covered iPhones. | | | | | |
| 7 | 106. Defendants have been unjustly enriched in retaining the revenues derived from | | | | | |
| 8 | Plaintiff and the Class Members' purchases of the defective devices that were improperly | | | | | |
| 9 | manufactured, supplied, and/or distributed into the stream of commerce. Retention of those monies | | | | | |
| 10 | under these circumstances is unjust and inequitable because Defendants misrepresented that the | | | | | |
| 11 | Covered iPhones were of a quality fit for the purpose for which they were intended. These | | | | | |
| 12 | misrepresentations caused injuries to Plaintiff and the Class Members because they would not have | | | | | |
| 13 | purchased the Covered iPhones if the true facts had been known. | | | | | |
| 14 | 107. Because Defendants' retention of the benefits conferred on them by Plaintiff and the | | | | | |
| 15 | Class Members is unjust and inequitable, Defendants must pay restitution to Plaintiffs and the Class | | | | | |
| 16 | members for their unjust enrichment, as ordered by the Court. | | | | | |
| 17 | /// | | | | | |
| 18 | /// | | | | | |
| 19 | /// | | | | | |
| 20 | /// | | | | | |
| 21 | /// | | | | | |
| 22 | /// | | | | | |
| 23 | /// | | | | | |
| 24 | /// | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | |
| 27 | /// | | | | | |
| 28 | /// | | | | | |
| | 18 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

÷

| | Case 4:17-cv- | 07292-DMR Document 1 Filed 12/22/17 Page 20 of 20 | | | | | | |
|----|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | PRAYER FOR RELIEF | | | | | | |
| 2 | WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows: | | | | | | | |
| 3 | (1) | An order certifying that this action may be maintained as a class action; | | | | | | |
| 4 | (2) | An order that Plaintiff be appointed Class Representative; | | | | | | |
| 5 | (3) | An order that Plaintiff's counsel be appointed Class Counsel; | | | | | | |
| 6 | (4) | For general and special damages, according to proof; | | | | | | |
| 7 | (5) | For restitution and other equitable relief; | | | | | | |
| 8 | (6) | For pre- and post-judgment interest, according to proof; | | | | | | |
| 9 | (7) | For costs of suit, including reasonable attorneys' fees, as permitted by law; | | | | | | |
| 10 | | and | | | | | | |
| 11 | (8) | For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | DATED: December | 22, 2017 SETAREH LAW GROUP | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | /o/ Shown Satarah | | | | | | |
| 16 | <u>/s/ Shaun Setareh</u> SHAUN SETAREH | | | | | | | |
| 17 | | Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL HAKIMI | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | | |
| | | 19 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT | | | | | | |
| | | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT | | | | | | |

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of California

)

)))

)

)

)

)

MICHAEL HAKIMI, on behalf of himself, all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff(s) V.

Civil Action No.

APPLE INC., a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) APPLE INC. c/o CT CORPORATION SYSTEM

818 W Seventh Street, Suite 930 Los Angeles, Califronia 90017

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Shaun Setareh (SBN 204514)

SETAREH LAW GROUP 9454 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 907 Beverly Hills, California 90212 Telephone (310) 888-7771 Facsmile (310) 888-0109

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

| | This summons for (na | me of individual and title, if any) | | | | |
|--------|--|---------------------------------------|--|-------------|--------|--|
| was re | ceived by me on (date) | ·· | | | | |
| | □ I personally served | the summons on the individual at | (place) | | | |
| | | | On (date) | ; or | | |
| | □ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name) | | | | | |
| | | , a person | of suitable age and discretion who re- | sides there | | |
| | on (date) | , and mailed a copy to th | e individual's last known address; or | | | |
| | □ I served the summe | ons on (name of individual) | | , | who is | |
| | designated by law to | accept service of process on behalt | | | | |
| | | | on (date) | ; or | | |
| | □ I returned the sum | nons unexecuted because | | | ; or | |
| | Other (specify): | | | | | |
| | My fees are \$ | for travel and \$ | for services, for a total of \$ | 0.00 |) | |
| | I declare under penalty | y of perjury that this information is | s true. | | | |
| Date: | | | | | | |
| | | | Server's signature | | | |
| | | | Printed name and title | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Case 4:17-cv-07292-DMR Document 1-2 Filed 12/22/17 Page 1 of 2

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS | | ···· ··· | DEFENDANTS | 5 | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| MICHAEL HAKIMI, on b | ehalf of himself, all oth | ners similarly situated, | APPLE INC. | | | |
| (b) County of Residence (E | of First Listed Plaintiff XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C | Los Angeles (4SES) | County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. | | | |
| (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Shaun Setareh (SBN 20 SETAREH LAW GROUP | 4514) | | Attorneys (If Known) | | | |
| 9454 Wilshire Boulevard II. BASIS OF JURISDI | | | L CITIZENSHIP OF P | RINCIPAL PARTIES | (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif | |
| | | | (For Diversity Cases Only) | | and One Box for Defendant) | |
| U.S. Government Plaintiff | 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government | Not a Party) | | TF DEF I D I Incorporated or Pr of Business In T | | |
| 2 U.S. Government Defendant | 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens) | hip of Parties in Item 111) | Citizen of Another State | 2 D 2 Incorporated and H of Business In A | | |
| | | | Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | 3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation | 0606 | |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT | | | | | of Suit Code Descriptions. | |
| CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property | PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & | Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - | FORFEITURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application Actions | BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 80 Copyrights 80 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609 | OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 375 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 450 Commerce 450 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statuory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes | |
| | noved from 🛛 3 | | Reinstated or 🛛 5 Transfe | rred from 🗇 6 Multidistri | ct 🛛 8 Multidistrict | |
| Proceeding Stat | te Court | Appellate Court | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | r District Litigation | | |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO | 15USC section | 2301 | ling (Do not cite jurisdictional stat | utes unless diversity): | | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: | UNDER RULE 2 | IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P. | DEMAND \$ | CHECK YES only i JURY DEMAND: | f demanded in complaint: X Yes INO | |
| VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY | C(S) (See instructions): | JUDGE | | DOCKET NUMBER | | |
| DATE | | SIGNATURE OF ATTOR | NEY OF RECORD | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 12/22/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY | | /s/ Shaun Setareh | 1 | | | |
| RECEIPT # AM | IOUNT | APPLYING IFP | JUDGE | MAG. JUDO | JE | |

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a)** Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: <u>Nature of Suit Code Descriptions</u>.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.