UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DMYTRO GURALENKO, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

MRS BPO, LLC

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff DMYTRO GURALENKO (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), a New York resident, brings this class action complaint by and through her attorneys, Cohen & Mizrahi LLP, against Defendants MRS BPO, LLC (hereinafter "Defendant"), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- Congress enacted the FDCPA in 1977 in response to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that "abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." *Id.* Congress concluded that "existing laws . . . [we]re inadequate to protect consumers," and that "the effective collection of debts" does not require "misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices." 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).
- 2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to "insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using

abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." *Id.* § 1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws were inadequate, *id.* § 1692(b), Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. *Id.* § 1692k.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- The Court has jurisdiction over this class action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. If applicable, the Court also has pendent jurisdiction over the state law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of New York consumers seeking redress for Defendant's actions of using a misleading, deceptive, unfair and unconscionable means to collect a debt.
- 6. Defendant's actions violated § 1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("<u>FDCPA</u>") which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.
- 7. Plaintiff is seeking damages, and declaratory and injunctive relief.

PARTIES

- Plaintiff is a natural person and a resident of the State of New York, and is a "Consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(3).
- 9. Defendant is a collection agency with an office maintained in Cherry Hill, New Jersey.
- 10. Defendant is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile, and regularly engages in business, the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 11. Defendant is a "debt collector," as defined under the FDCPA under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 12. Plaintiff brings claims, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter "FRCP") Rule 23, individually and on behalf of the following consumer class (the "Class"):
 - Plaintiff brings this action individually and as a class action on behalf of all persons similarly situated in the State of New York from whom Defendant attempted to collect a consumer debt using the same unlawful form letter herein, from one year before the date of this Complaint to the present.
 - The Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 of the FRCP for maintaining a class action:

13. The Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 of the FRCP for maintaining a class action:

- Upon information and belief, the Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable because there are hundreds and/or thousands of persons who have received debt collection letters and/or notices from Defendant that violate specific provisions of the FDCPA. Plaintiff is complaining of a standard form letter and/or notice that is sent to hundreds of persons (*See Exhibit A*, except that the undersigned attorney has, in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2 partially redacted the financial account numbers in an effort to protect Plaintiff's privacy);
- There are questions of law and fact which are common to the Class and which predominate over questions affecting any individual Class member. These common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:
 - a. Whether Defendant violated various provisions of the FDCPA;
 - b. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have been injured by Defendant's conduct;
 - c. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages and are

Case 1:18-cv-05056 Document 1 Filed 09/07/18 Page 4 of 9 PageID #: 4

entitled to restitution as a result of Defendant's wrongdoing and if so, what is the proper measure and appropriate statutory formula to be applied in determining such damages and restitution; and

- d. Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to declaratory and/or injunctive relief.
- Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class, which all arise from the same operative facts and are based on the same legal theories.
- Plaintiff has no interest adverse or antagonistic to the interest of the other members of the Class.
- Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the Class and has retained experienced and competent attorneys to represent the Class.
- A Class Action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims herein asserted. Plaintiff anticipates that no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action.
- A Class Action will permit large numbers of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously and without the duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would engender. Class treatment will also permit the adjudication of relatively small claims by many Class members who could not otherwise afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein. Absent a Class Action, class members will continue to suffer losses of statutory protected rights as well as monetary damages. If Defendant's conduct is allowed to proceed without remedy, it will continue to reap and retain the proceeds of its ill-gotten gains.

• Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

ALLEGATIONS PARTICULAR TO DMYTRO GURALENKO

- 14. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered"1" through "13" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 15. Defendant collects and attempts to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the United States Postal Services, telephone, facsimile, and Internet.
- 16. Upon information and belief, within the last year Defendant commenced efforts to collect an alleged consumer "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5), when it mailed a Collection Letter to Plaintiff seeking to collect on an unpaid account allegedly owed to Chase Bank USA, N.A.
- 17. On or around September 6, 2017, Defendant sent Plaintiff a collection letter (hereinafter, the "Letter"). See Exhibit A.
- The Letter was sent or caused to be sent by persons employed by Defendant as a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).
- 19. The Letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(2).
- 20. The Letter states in pertinent part: "If we settle this debt with you for less than the full outstanding balance, Chase may offer you less favorable terms in the future for some Chase products or services, or may deny your application."
- 21. As a result of the following Counts, Defendant violated the FDCPA.

<u>First Count</u> 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(10), 1692e(2)(A) & 1692f <u>Defendant's Letter Dated September 7, 2017 Falsely Implies That Paying The Debt</u> <u>Claimed In Full Rather Than Accepting A Settlement Will Enhance The Consumer's</u> <u>Likelihood Of Receiving Future Credit Products, And Will Lead To Improved</u> <u>Creditworthiness</u>

- 22. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "21" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 23. Sections 1692e, 1692e(10), and 1692e(2)(A), of Title 15 of the U.S. Code, prohibit false, misleading or deceitful statements in collection communications.
- 24. Section 1692f prohibits debt collectors from using unconscionable or unfair means in connection with the collection of a debt.
- 25. A collection notice that may confuse or mislead the least sophisticated consumer is deceptive under the FDCPA, such as where "it can be reasonably read to have two or more different meanings, one of which is inaccurate." *Russell v. Equifax A.R.S.*, 74 F.3d 30, 35 (2d Cir. 1996); *accord Brown v. Card Serv. Ctr.*, 464 F.3d 450, 455 (3rd Cir. 2006); *Kistener v. Law Offices of Michael P. Margelefsky, LLC*, 518 F.3d 433, 441 (6th Cir. 2008); *Gonzales v. Arrow Fin. Servs., LLC*, 660 F.3d 1055 (9th Cir. 2011) (conditional language on liability such as "may" or "if" may render a true statement misleading).
- 26. According to guidance published by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (hereinafter "CFPB"), a debt collector's representation to a consumer that paying debts may improve the consumer's creditworthiness or "enhance the likelihood that a consumer will subsequently receive credit from a lender" may be deceptive. CFPB Bulletin 2013-08 Representations Regarding Effect of Debt Payments on Credit Reports and Scores (July 10, 2013). available at: http://files.consumerfinance.gov/f/201307 cfpb bulletin collections-consumer-credit.pdf.

- 27. "The CFPB has authority to issue substantive rules for debt collection under the FDCPA." *Zweigenhaft v. Receivables Performance Mgmt., LLC*, No. 14 CV 01074 (RJD)(JMA), 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 160441, at *9 n.2 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 13, 2014).
- Courts appropriately consider guidance in CFPB Bulletins and other publications to determine whether a given statement or communication violates the FDCPA. *See, e.g., Zweigenhaft*, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 160441; *Bautz v. ARS Nat'l Servs.*, 226 F. Supp. 3d 131, 148 n.7 (E.D.N.Y. 2016); *Portalatin v. Blatt*, 125 F. Supp. 3d 810, 816 (N.D. III. 2015) (*citing Jerman v. Carlisle, McNellie, Rini, Kramer & Ulrich, L.P.A.*, 559 U.S. 573, 130 S. Ct. 1605 (2010)). *Carter v. First Nat'l Collection Bureau, Inc.*, 135 F. Supp. 3d 565, 573 (S.D. Tex. 2015); *Buchanan v. Northland Grp.*, 776 F.3d 393, 398 (6th Cir. 2015); *Oberg v. Blatt, Hasenmiller, Leibsker & Moore, LLC*, No. 14 C 7369, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 172439, at *9 (N.D. III. Dec. 29, 2015).
- 29. Courts frequently adjure debt collectors to look to consumer protection agencies for compliance with the FDCPA, as the rules, guidance and advisory opinions issued by these agencies are supported by extensive scientific studies and research to determine whether certain collection practices are likely to deceive the least sophisticated consumer. *See, e.g., Bautz*, 226 F. Supp.3d at 148 n.7; *Portalatin*, 125 F. Supp. 3d at 816 (*citing Jerman*, 559 U.S. 573 (2010)) ("the whole point of authorizing the CFPB to produce advisory opinions is to encourage debt collectors to seek CFPB guidance regarding the meaning of the FDCPA."); *Hasenmiller*, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 172439, at *9 (Section 1692k(e) "provides that a debt collector that acts in reliance on a CFPB advisory opinion cannot be held liable even if the CFPB advisory opinion is later rescinded or reversed, either by the agency or by judicial decision").

- 30. Defendant's September 7, 2017 letter is misleading and deceptive viewed from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer, in that it implies that the consumer may enhance her likelihood of approval for credit products by paying the claimed debt in full rather than the reduced settlement amount.
- 31. The language at issue states: "If we settle this debt with you for less than the full outstanding balance, Chase may offer you less favorable terms in the future for some Chase products or services, or may deny your application."
- 32. This language falsely implied that if the Plaintiff does the converse -- that is, pays the claimed balance in full rather than agreeing to the lesser settlement amount -- Plaintiff could enhance her likelihood of receiving future credit products from Chase Bank or improve her overall creditworthiness.
- 33. On information and belief, Plaintiff's payment in full of the amount claimed would not have enhanced her likelihood of obtaining Chase credit products or services in the future, nor would it have improved her overall creditworthiness.
- 34. Thus, Defendant's September 7, 2017 Letter violates Section 1692e(10) of the FDCPA when viewed from the perspective of the "least sophisticated consumer," by falsely implying that payment in-full (rather than settlement) of the claimed debt would have enhanced her likelihood of receiving future credit products or enhanced her overall creditworthiness.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

- (a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative and Cohen & Mizrahi LLP, as Class Counsel;
- (b) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
- (c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;
- (d) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
- (e) Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Daniel Cohen</u> Daniel Cohen, Esq. Cohen & Mizrahi LLP 300 Cadman Plaza W, 12th floor Brooklyn, New York 11201 Phone: 929.575.4175 Fax: 929.575.4195 Email: Dan@cml.legal *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a

trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Daniel Cohen

Daniel Cohen, Esq.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York September 06, 2018



Send Payment/Correspondence to: MRS Associates 1930 OLNEY AVE. CHERRY HILL, NJ 08003 877-851-1451

Office Hours : Monday - Thursday 9am - 9pm ET Friday 9am - 5pm ET

CREDITOR: C	HASE BANK US	SA N.A.
MRS ACCT#:		
CREDITOR A	CCT#: xxxxxxxxxxx	ox1585

ACCOUNT BALANCE : \$3,575.08 September 7, 2017

Dear DMYTRO GURALENKO,

We recognize that a possible hardship or pitfall may have prevented you from satisfying your obligation. We are presenting three options to resolve your balance. We are not obligated to renew this offer.

Option 1: You pay \$1,787.54 in ONE PAYMENT to be received in this office on or before 09/28/2017.

Option 2: You make TWO PAYMENTS of \$1,161.90 each. The first payment to be received in this office on or before 09/28/2017 and the second payment on or before 10/30/2017.

Option 3: A monthly payment plan to pay the full balance of the account.

Payment may be made by calling 877-851-1451, mailing to the above address or by using our online payment website at https://mrspay.webview.com (internet connection required).

When you call please let our representative know that you have received the CHASE BANK USA N.A. Option Letter.

Sincerely, MRS Associates 877-851-1451 MRS Associates is a trade name of MRS BPO, L.L.C. LU4.**3358052**.9354229

> This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. This communication is from a debt collector.

If we settle this debt with you for less than the full outstanding balance, Chase may offer you less favorable terms in the future for some Chase products or services, or may deny your application.

NEW YORK CITY RESIDENTS:

New York City Department of Consumer Affairs, license number 1292105, 1292103. MRS Associates contact: Lee White - Mon - Fri 9 AM - 5 PM ET (800) 716-6429. AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

DMYTRO GURALENKO, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,				
	<i>Plaintiff(s)</i>			
	v.			
MRS BPO,	LLC			
	Defendant(s)			

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) MRS BPO, LLC C/O NATIONAL CORPORATE RESEARCH, LTD. **10 EAST 40TH STREET 10TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NEW YORK, 10016**

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

COHEN & MIZRAHI LLP 300 CADMAN PLAZA WEST 12TH FLOOR **BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11201**

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

> DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 1:18-cv-05056 Document 1-2 Filed 09/07/18 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 12

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	ne of individual and title, if any)							
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·							
	□ I personally served	the summons on the individua	al at (place)						
	on (<i>date</i>);								
	□ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (<i>name</i>) , a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,								
	sides mere,								
	□ I served the summons on (<i>name of individual</i>) designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (<i>name of organization</i>)								
	<u>-</u>	on (date)							
	\Box I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because		; 01	•				
	Other (<i>specify</i>):								
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00					
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this informati	on is true.						
Date:									
			Server's signature		-				
			Printed name and title		-				

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

JS 44 (Rev. 11/27/17 Case 1:18-cv-05056 Document 1-3 Filed 09/07/18 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 13

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS				
DMYTRO GURALENKO, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,				MRS BPO, LLC				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed PlaintiffKings				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant				
(E2	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ISES)			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES (ONDEMNATION CASES, USE T	,		
				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	ONDEMNATION CASES, USE I OF LAND INVOLVED.	HE LOCATION OF		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)				
COHEN & MIZRAHI LLP, 3			11201.					
(929)575-4175		,	,					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place on "Y" in (na Por Only)	III CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff		
		ne box only)		(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant)		
Plaintiff	1 U.S. Government 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)				TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In T			
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant			Citize	en of Another State	2 D 2 Incorporated and I of Business In A			
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		aly) DRTS	F	DRFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES		
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury -		5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC		
 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 	315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	1 69	0 Other	28 USC 157	3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment		
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 		
□ 151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability			□ 830 Patent	□ 450 Commerce		
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability □ 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product			835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application	 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and 		
(Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	ety –	LABOR	840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY	Corrupt Organizations		
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 	 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 		0 Fair Labor Standards Act	□ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923)	 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ 		
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	□ 380 Other Personal	1 72	0 Labor/Management	□ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	Exchange		
 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	1 74	Relations 0 Railway Labor Act	□ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))	 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 		
	 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice 	Product Liability	□ 75	1 Family and Medical Leave Act		 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information 		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		0 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Act		
 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 	440 Other Civil Rights441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee		1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	or Defendant)	 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure 		
 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 	 442 Employment 443 Housing/ 	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	;		871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision		
 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	530 General535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION		950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
250 All Ouler Real Hoperty	Employment	Other:		2 Naturalization Application	1	State Statutes		
	□ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 	er ∐ 46	5 Other Immigration Actions				
	□ 448 Education	 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - 						
		Conditions of Confinement						
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	n One Box Only)	Commement						
X 1 Original □ 2 Rea		Remanded from Appellate Court			er District Litigation			
		tute under which you a	re filing (1	(specify) Do not cite jurisdictional stat	/	Direct I lie		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN 15 USC 1692 Brief description of ca	uise.						
	Defendant violate							
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: X Yes □No		
VIII. RELATED CASH IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT		DF RECORD				
9/7/18 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		/s/ Daniel Cohe	en					
	AOUNIT.			where		NCE		
RECEIPT # AN	AOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUE			

Case 1:18-cv-05056 Document 1-3 Filed 09/07/18 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 14 CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,

I, <u>DANIEL COHEN</u>, counsel for <u>PLAINTIFF</u>, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

レ マ

the complaint seeks injunctive relief,

the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

Question of law rather than questions of fact predominates

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

NONE

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

1.)	Is the civil a County?	ction being	filed in Yes	the East	tern District r No	emoved from	n a New	York State Court locate	d in Nassau or Suffolk
2.)	If you answe a) Did the e County?			giving r	ise to the cla No	im or claims,	or a sul	bstantial part thereof, or	ccur in Nassau or Suffolk
	b) Did the e District?	vents or on	nissions Yes	giving r	ise to the cla No	im or claims,	or a sul	bstantial part thereof, or	ccur in the Eastern
	•	Fair Debt C (INGS CO		Practice	Act case, spec	cify the Count	ty in whic	ch the offending communi	cation was
If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? <u>Yes</u> <u>No</u> (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).									
					<u> </u>	BAR ADMIS	SION		
	I am currently	/ admitted in	the Eas	tern Distr	ict of New Yor	k and currentl	y a meml	ber in good standing of the	e bar of this court.
		く		Yes				No	
	Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?								
				Yes	(If yes, plea	se explain	V	No	
	I certify the	accuracy o	f all info	rmation	provided abo	ove.			
	Signature:	/s/ Da	aniel Co	hen					

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Consumer Claims MRS BPO Sent Deceptive Collection Letter</u>