BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC

100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500 Garden City, New York 11530 Tel: (516) 203-7600 Fax: (516) 706-5055 Email: ConsumerRights@BarshaySanders.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Our File No.: 112600

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Michael Guarasci, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Docket No:

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MRS BPO, LLC,

Defendant.

Michael Guarasci, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated (hereinafter referred to as "*Plaintiff*"), by and through the undersigned counsel, complains, states and alleges against MRS BPO, LLC (hereinafter referred to as "*Defendant*"), as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action seeks to recover for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* ("FDCPA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has federal subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d).

3. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this Judicial District.

4. At all relevant times, Defendant conducted business within the State of New York.

BARSHAY | SANDERS PLLC 100 GARDEN CTY PLAZA, SUITE 500 GARDEN CTY, NEW YORK 11530

1

Case 2:17-cv-03679 Document 1 Filed 06/19/17 Page 2 of 6 PageID #: 2

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff Michael Guarasci is an individual who is a citizen of the State of New York residing in Nassau County, New York.

6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

7. On information and belief, Defendant MRS BPO, LLC, is a New Jersey Limited Liability Company with a principal place of business in Camden County, New Jersey.

8. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.

9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

ALLEGATIONS

10. Defendant alleges Plaintiff owes a debt ("the Debt").

11. The Debt was primarily for personal, family or household purposes and is therefore a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

12. Sometime after the incurrence of the Debt, Plaintiff fell behind on payments owed.

13. Thereafter, at an exact time known only to Defendant, the Debt was assigned or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection.

14. In its efforts to collect the debt, Defendant contacted Plaintiff by letter ("the Letter") dated June 17, 2016. ("<u>Exhibit 1.</u>")

15. The Letter was the initial communication Plaintiff received from Defendant.

16. The Letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

17. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e prohibits a debt collector from using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.

18. While § 1692e specifically prohibits certain practices, the list is non-exhaustive, and does not preclude a claim of falsity or deception based on any non-enumerated practice.

19. The question of whether a collection letter is deceptive is determined from the perspective of the "least sophisticated consumer."

20. A collection letter is deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e if it can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is inaccurate.

2

21. A collection letter is also deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e if it is reasonably susceptible to an inaccurate reading by the least sophisticated consumer.

22. For purposes of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, the failure to clearly and accurately identify the creditor to whom the debt is owed is unfair and deceptive to the least sophisticated consumer.

23. The identity of creditor to whom the debt is owed is a material piece of information to a consumer.

24. Knowing the identity of creditor to whom the debt.

25. A debt collector has the obligation not just to convey the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed, but also to convey such clearly.

26. A debt collector has the obligation not just to convey the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed, but also to state such explicitly.

27. Even if a debt collector conveys the required information, the debt collector nonetheless violates the FDCPA if it conveys that information in a confusing or contradictory fashion so as to cloud the required message with uncertainty.

28. When determining whether the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed has been conveyed clearly, an objective standard, measured by how the "least sophisticated consumer" would interpret the notice, is applied.

29. The Letter fails to identify by name and label any entity as "creditor," "original creditor," "current creditor," "account owner," or "creditor to whom the debt is owed."

30. The Letter states, "RE: Verizon."

31. The Letter fails to indicate whether the "RE:" refers to the account owner.

32. The Letter fails to indicate whether the "RE:" refers to Plaintiff's creditor.

33. The Letter fails to indicate whether the "RE:" refers to Plaintiff's current creditor.

34. The Letter fails to indicate whether the "RE:" refers to Plaintiff's original creditor.

35. The Letter fails to indicate whether the "RE:" refers to the creditor to whom the debt is owed.

36. The Letter fails to indicate who referred the account to Defendant.

37. The Letter fails to indicate who Defendant represents.

38. The Letter fails to indicate who is Defendant's client.

39. The Letter fails to indicate the name of any entity to which Plaintiff should make

Case 2:17-cv-03679 Document 1 Filed 06/19/17 Page 4 of 6 PageID #: 4

her check payable to.

40. Defendant failed to explicitly state the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed.

41. Defendant failed to clearly state the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed.

42. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be confused as to the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed.

43. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be uncertain as to the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed.

44. Because the Letter can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is inaccurate, as described, it is deceptive within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

45. Because the Letter is reasonably susceptible to an inaccurate reading by the least sophisticated consumer, as described, it is deceptive within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

46. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be deceived by the Letter.

47. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be deceived in a material way by the Letter.

48. Defendant violated § 1692e by using a false, deceptive and misleading representation in its attempt to collect a debt.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

49. Plaintiff brings this action individually and as a class action on behalf of all persons similarly situated in the State of New York from whom Defendant attempted to collect a consumer debt using a letter that states "RE: Verizon," from one year before the date of this Complaint to the present.

50. This action seeks a finding that Defendant's conduct violates the FDCPA, and asks that the Court award damages as authorized by 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.

51. Defendant regularly engages in debt collection.

52. The Class consists of more than 35 persons from whom Defendant attempted to collect delinquent consumer debts using a letter that states "RE: Verizon."

53. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class. Common questions of law

or fact raised by this class action complaint affect all members of the Class and predominate over any individual issues. Common relief is therefore sought on behalf of all members of the Class. This class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

54. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to the individual members of the Class, and a risk that any adjudications with respect to individual members of the Class would, as a practical matter, either be dispositive of the interests of other members of the Class not party to the adjudication, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests. Defendant has acted in a manner applicable to the Class as a whole such that declaratory relief is warranted.

55. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect and represent the interests of the Class. The management of the class action proposed is not extraordinarily difficult, and the factual and legal issues raised by this class action complaint will not require extended contact with the members of the Class, because Defendant's conduct was perpetrated on all members of the Class and will be established by common proof. Moreover, Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in actions brought under consumer protection laws.

JURY DEMAND

56. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

a. Certify this action as a class action; and

b. Appoint Plaintiff as Class Representative of the Class, and Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel; and

c. Find that Defendant's actions violate the FDCPA; and

d. Grant damages against Defendant pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and

e. Grant Plaintiff's attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. $\$ 1692k; and

- f. Grant Plaintiff's costs; together with
- g. Such other relief that the Court determines is just and proper.

DATED: June 14, 2017

BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC

By: <u>/s/ Craig B. Sanders</u> Craig B. Sanders, Esq. 100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500 Garden City, New York 11530 Tel: (516) 203-7600 Fax: (516) 706-5055 csanders@barshaysanders.com *Attorneys for Plaintiff* Our File No.: 112600 Case 2:17-cv-03679 Document 1-1 Filed 06/19/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 7



Send Payment/Correspon MRS Associates 1930 OLNEY AVE. CHERRY HILL, NJ 08003 888-296-6036 Office Hours : Monday - Thursday 9am - 10pm ET Friday 9am - 5pm ET RE: Verizon Client Acct#: 1503xxxx MRS Account#: 0000003481 AMOUNT DUE : \$111.37

June 17, 2016

Dear MICHAEL GUARASCI,

We recognize that a possible hardship or pitfall may have prevented you from satisfying your obligation. We are presenting three options that will enable you to avoid further collection activity. We are not obligated to renew this offer.

Option 1: You pay only \$89.10 in ONE PAYMENT that must be received in this office on or before 07/05/2016.

Option 2: You make TWO PAYMENTS of \$50.12 each. The first payment must be received in this office on or before 07/05/2016 and the second payment on or before 07/29/2016.

Option 3: A monthly payment plan on the full balance of the account.

Payment may be made by calling 888-296-6036, mailing to the above address or by using our online payment website at https://mrspay.webview.com (internet connection required). If you have any questions or wish to discuss other arrangements, you may contact us.

Sincerely,

MRS Associates

888-296-6036 MRS Associates is a trade name of MRS BPO, L.L.C.

NEW YORK CITY RESIDENTS:

New York City Department of Consumer Affairs, license number 1292105, 1292103. MRS Associates contact: Denise Eichenberg - Mon - Fri 9 AM - 5 PM ET (888) 334-5677.

This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. This communication is from a debt collector. Case 2:17-cv-03679 Document 1-1 Filed 06/19/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 8



S-SFMRSA11 L-VZSTL002 P5SCCQ00201330 - 228841662 I01331 *Return Address :* MRS BPO, L.L.C. 1930 OLNEY AVE. CHERRY HILL, NJ 08003 PRESORT FIRST-CLASS U.S. POSTAGE & FEES PAID HOV SERVICES

JS 44 (Rev. 07/16) Case 2:17-cv-03679 Depyment of 2 Page 1 of 2 Page 1 df 2 Page 1 #: 9

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

| purpose of findualing the ervir at | Seket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC | chiefte on hear thee c | n misi oku.) | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|----------|--|
| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS | DEFEN | DEFENDANTS | | | | | | | | |
| MICHAEL GUA | MRS | MRS BPO, LLC | | | | | | | | |
| (b) County of Residence of (E. | NASSAU ASES) | NOTE: IN | County of Residence of First Listed Defendant <u>CAMDEN</u> (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. | | | | | | | |
| (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A | Address, and Telephone Numbe | er) | Attorneys (If Known) | | | | | | | |
| BARSHAY SAND | DERS, PLLC | | 5 | | | | | | | |
| | laza, Ste 500, Garden Ci | ity, NY 11530 | | | | | | | | |
| (516) 203-7600 II. BASIS OF JURISDI | CTION (Place an "X" in (| One Box Only) | I. CITIZENSH | | RINCIPA | L PARTIES (| | | | |
| O 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff | • 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government) | Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) | | (For Diversity Cases Only) PT Citizen of This State O | | Incorporated or Pri | | | | |
| O 2 U.S. Government Defendant | | | Citizen of Another State O | | 2 O 2 | of Business In The Incorporated and Pho of Business In A | rincipal Place | 0 5 | 5 0 5 | |
| Derendant | (inaicate Citizensni | p of Parties in tiem 111) | Citizen or Subject of Foreign Country | a O | 3 0 3 | Foreign Nation | notner State | O 6 | 5 O 6 | |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT | (Place an "X" in One Box On | ly) | I ofergit Country | | | | | | | |
| CONTRACT | | ORTS | FORFEITURE/ | | BAN | KRUPTCY | OTHER | R STATU | TES | |
| O 110 Insurance O 120 Marine O 130 Miller Act O 140 Negotiable Instrument O 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment | PERSONAL INJURY O 310 Airplane O 315 Airplane Product Liability O 320 Assault, Libel & Slander | PERSONAL INJURY O 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability O 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury | O 625 Drug Related Property 21 U O 690 Other | | O 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 O 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS O 820 Copyrights | | O 375 False Claims Act O 400 State Reapportionment O 410 Antitrust O 430 Banks and Banking O 450 Commerce O 460 Deportation | | | |
| O 151 Medicare Act O 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans | O 330 Federal Employers' Liability O 340 Marine O 345 Marine Product | Product Liability O 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product | LABO | O 83 O 84 | | Social Security Social Security | | O 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ● 480 Consumer Credit | | |
| (Excludes Veterans) O 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits O 160 Stockholders' Suits O 190 Other Contract O 195 Contract Product Liability O 196 Franchise | O 345 Marine Product Liability O 350 Motor Vehicle O 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability O 360 Other Personal Injury O 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice | Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY O 370 Other Fraud O 371 Truth in Lending O 380 Other Personal Property Damage O 385 Property Damage Product Liability | C 710 Fair Labor Standards Act O 720 Labor/Management Relations O 740 Railway Labor Act O 751 Family and Medical Leave Act O 790 Other Labor Litigation | | O 861 HIA (1395ff) O 862 Black Lung (923) O 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) O 864 SSID Title XVI O 865 RSI (405(g)) | | O 490 Cable/Sat TV O 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange O 890 Other Statutory Actions O 891 Agricultural Acts O 893 Environmental Matters O 895 Freedom of Information Act O 896 Arbitration | | | |
| REAL PROPERTY | CIVIL RIGHTS | PRISONER PETITIONS | O 791 Employee Ret | irement | | AL TAX SUITS | O 899 Admin | | rocedure | |
| O 210 Land Condemnation O 220 Foreclosure O 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment O 240 Torts to Land O 245 Tort Product Liability | O 440 Other Civil Rights O 441 Voting O 442 Employment O 443 Housing/ Accommodations | Habeas Corpus: O 463 Alien Detainee O 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence O 530 General | Income Secur | | O 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) | | Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision O 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes | | | |
| O 290 All Other Real Property | O 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment O 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other O 448 Education | O 535 Death Penalty Other: O 540 Mandamus & Other O 550 Civil Rights O 555 Prison Condition O 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement | IMMIGR/ O 462 Naturalization O 465 Other Imm Actions | Application | | | | | | |
| V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in • 1 Original O 2 Remu Proceeding Con | oved from State O 3 Rem | 11 | Reinstated or C Reopened | 5 Transferred Another D (specify) | | O 6 Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer | Ι | Multidistric Litigation - Direct File | _ | |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO | | atute under which you are f | - | | | iversity): 15 USC § | \$1692 | | | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: • CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. | | | DEMAND \$ | Collection Practices Act Violation MAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: • Yes ○ No | | | | | | |
| VIII RELATED CASE(S) | | (See Instructions) JUDGE | | DOCKET NUMBER | | | | | | |
| DATE | | SIGNATURE OF ATTOR | RNEY OF RECORD | | | | | | | |
| June 14. 2017 | | /s Crai | g B. Sanders | | | | | | | |
| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM | IOUNT | APPLYING IFP | | JUDGE | | MAG. JUI | DGE | | | |
| 110 | | | | | | | | | | |

Case 2:17-cv-03679 Document 1-2 Filed 06/19/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 10 CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, <u>Craig B. Sanders</u>, counsel for <u>Plaintiff</u>, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

- □ monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
- \Box the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
- \Box the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

1. Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County: <u>NO</u>

If you answered "no" above:
 a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? <u>YES</u>

b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? YES

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

BAR ADMISSION

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain) No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

| Signature: | /s Craig B. Sanders | |
|------------|---------------------|--|
| | | |

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| Michael Guarasci, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated |)) | |
|---|-----|------------------|
| Plaintiff(s) |) | Civil Astist No. |
| v. |) | Civil Action No. |
| MRS BPO, LLC | | |
| Defendant(s) |) | |

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) MRS BPO, LLC 1930 Olney Avenue Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08003

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) – or 60 days if you are the United States, or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) – you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

BARSHAY SANDERS PLLC 100 GARDEN CITY PLAZA, SUITE 500 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>MRS BPO Headed for Another Debt Collection Fight</u>