IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN DETROIT DIVISON

GABRIEL GREENE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,
v. CASE NO.:
FCA US, LLC,
Defendant.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Gabriel Green ("Plaintiff"), files this Class Action Complaint against Defendant, FCA US, LLC ("Defendant"), alleging that Defendant failed to provide he and the putative class adequate notice of their right to continued health care coverage under the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 ("COBRA"). In further support thereof, Plaintiff states as follows:

BRIEF OVERVIEW

- 1. Defendant, the plan sponsor and plan administrator of the FCA US LLC Health Care Benefits Plan for Represented Employees ("Plan"), has repeatedly violated ERISA by failing to provide participants and beneficiaries in the Plan with adequate notice, as prescribed by COBRA, of their right to continue their health insurance coverage following an occurrence of a "qualifying event" as defined by the statute.
- 2. COBRA is a remedial statute that should be interpreted in favor of the employee. Indeed, the legislative history shows that Congress enacted COBRA in 1986 as a result of the reports of the growing number of Americans without any health insurance

coverage and the decreasing willingness of our Nation's hospitals to provide care to those who cannot afford to pay. The purpose behind its notice requirements is to facilitate and assist individuals in electing continuation coverage should they so choose, not discourage them from doing so as Defendant's does.

- 3. Defendant's COBRA notice violates 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606–4(b)(4). It is not written in a manner calculated to be understood by the average plan participant because it attempts to scare individuals away from electing COBRA by including an ominous warning suggesting that the submission of even "incomplete" information when electing COBRA may result in civil, or even criminal, penalties.
- 4. The election form also needlessly references a possible "\$50 penalty from the IRS for each failure to provide an accurate tax identification number for a covered individual." This information is thrown into Defendant's notice without context, much less with an explanation of why potential criminal penalties, or IRS penalties, are somehow relevant to the COBRA election process.
- 5. Threats of criminal penalties and IRS fines simply have no place in a COBRA election notice, a process which is supposed to facilitate COBRA coverage election rather than intimidating people into not electing coverage. Adding such information discourages people from electing continuation coverage and distorts the information provided in the notice while also discouraging people, including Plaintiff, from electing COBRA, and also violating 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606–4(b)(4)'s requirement that notices be written in a manner calculated to be understood by the average plan participant.
- 6. Additionally, Defendant's COBRA notice also violates 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606–4(b)(4)(v) because it includes conflicting information on when the COBRA

continuation coverage form is actually due. Not only that, Defendant's COBRA form violates 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606–4(b)(4)(vi) because it fails to sufficiently identify the Plan Administrator.

7. As a result of these violations, which threaten Class Members' ability to maintain their health coverage, Plaintiff seeks statutory penalties, injunctive relief, attorneys' fees, costs and expenses, and other appropriate relief as set forth herein and provided by law.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 8. Venue is proper in the United States Court for the Eastern District of Michigan because the events giving rise to these claims arose in this district.
- 9. Plaintiff is a Michigan resident, resides in this district and was a participant in the Plan prior to her termination, a qualifying event within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 1163(2).
- 10. Defendant is a Michigan corporation with its headquarters in Auburn Hills, Michigan, and employed more than 20 employees who were members of the Plan in each year from 2012 to 2018. Defendant is the Plan sponsor within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §1002(16)(B), and the administrator of the Plan within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 1002(16)(A). The Plan provides medical benefits to employees and their beneficiaries, and is an employee welfare benefit plan within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 1002(1) and a group health plan within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 1167(1).

SUPPORTING LAW AND FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

COBRA Notice Requirements

- 11. The COBRA amendments to ERISA included certain provisions relating to continuation of health coverage upon termination of employment or another "qualifying event" as defined by the statute.
- 12. Among other things, COBRA requires the plan sponsor of each group health plan normally employing more than 20 employees on a typical business day during the preceding year to provide "each qualified beneficiary who would lose coverage under the plan as a result of a qualifying event ... to elect, within the election period, continuation coverage under the plan." 29 U.S.C. § 1161. (Emphasis added).
- 13. Notice is of enormous importance. The COBRA notification requirement exists because employees are not presumed to know they have a federally protected right to continue healthcare coverage subsequent to a qualifying event.
- 14. COBRA further requires the administrator of such a group health plan to provide notice to any qualified beneficiary of their continuation of coverage rights under COBRA upon the occurrence of a qualifying event. 29 U.S.C. § 1166(a)(4). This notice must be "[i]n accordance with the regulations prescribed by the Secretary" of Labor. 29 U.S.C. § 1166(a).
- 15. The relevant regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Labor concerning notice of continuation of coverage rights are set forth in 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4 as follows:
 - (4) The notice required by this paragraph (b) shall be written in a manner calculated to be understood by the average plan participant and shall contain the following information:
 - (i) The name of the plan under which continuation coverage is available; and the name, address and telephone number of the party responsible under the plan for the administration

of continuation coverage benefits;

- (ii) Identification of the qualifying event;
- (iii) Identification, by status or name, of the qualified beneficiaries who are recognized by the plan as being entitled to elect continuation coverage with respect to the qualifying event, and the date on which coverage under the plan will terminate (or has terminated) unless continuation coverage is elected;
- (iv) A statement that each individual who is a qualified beneficiary with respect to the qualifying event has an independent right to elect continuation coverage, that a covered employee or a qualified beneficiary who is the spouse of the covered employee (or was the spouse of the covered employee on the day before the qualifying event occurred) may elect continuation coverage on behalf of all other qualified beneficiaries with respect to the qualifying event, and that a parent or legal guardian may elect continuation coverage on behalf of a minor child;
- (v) An explanation of the plan's procedures for electing continuation coverage, including an explanation of the time period during which the election must be made, and the date by which the election must be made;
- (vi) An explanation of the consequences of failing to elect or waiving continuation coverage, including an explanation that a qualified beneficiary's decision whether to elect continuation coverage will affect the future rights of qualified beneficiaries to portability of group health coverage, guaranteed access to individual health coverage, and special enrollment under part 7 of title I of the Act, with a reference to where a qualified beneficiary may obtain additional information about such rights; and a description of the plan's procedures for revoking a waiver of the right to continuation coverage before the date by which the election must be made;
- (vii) A description of the continuation coverage that will be made available under the plan, if elected, including the date on which such coverage will commence, either by providing a description of the coverage or by reference to the plan's summary plan description;

- (viii) An explanation of the maximum period for which continuation coverage will be available under the plan, if elected; an explanation of the continuation coverage termination date; and an explanation of any events that might cause continuation coverage to be terminated earlier than the end of the maximum period;
- (ix) A description of the circumstances (if any) under which the maximum period of continuation coverage may be extended due either to the occurrence of a second qualifying event or a determination by the Social Security Administration, under title II or XVI of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 401 et seq. or 1381 et seq.) (SSA), that the qualified beneficiary is disabled, and the length of any such extension;
- (x) In the case of a notice that offers continuation coverage with a maximum duration of less than 36 months, a description of the plan's requirements regarding the responsibility of qualified beneficiaries to provide notice of a second qualifying event and notice of a disability determination under the SSA, along with a description of the plan's procedures for providing such notices, including the times within which such notices must be provided and the consequences of failing to provide such notices. The notice shall also explain the responsibility of qualified beneficiaries to provide notice that a disabled qualified beneficiary has subsequently been determined to no longer be disabled;
- (xi) A description of the amount, if any, that each qualified beneficiary will be required to pay for continuation coverage;
- (xii) A description of the due dates for payments, the qualified beneficiaries' right to pay on a monthly basis, the grace periods for payment, the address to which payments should be sent, and the consequences of delayed payment and non-payment;
- (xiii) An explanation of the importance of keeping the administrator informed of the current addresses of all participants or beneficiaries under the plan who are or may become qualified beneficiaries; and
- (xiv) A statement that the notice does not fully describe

continuation coverage or other rights under the plan, and that more complete information regarding such rights is available in the plan's summary plan description or from the plan administrator.

- 16. To facilitate compliance with these notice obligations, the United States Department of Labor ("DOL") has issued a Model COBRA Continuation Coverage Election Notice ("Model Notice"), which is included in the Appendix to 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4. The DOL website states that the DOL "will consider use of the model election notice, appropriately completed, good faith compliance with the election notice content requirements of COBRA."
- 17. In the event that a plan administrator declines to use the Model Notice and fails to meet the notice requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 1166 and 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4, the administrator is subject to statutory penalties of up to \$110.00 per participant or beneficiary per day from the date of such failure. 29 U.S.C. § 1132(c)(1). Additionally, the Court may order such other relief as it deems proper, including but not limited to injunctive relief pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3) and payment of attorneys' fees and expenses pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g)(1). Such is the case here.
- 18. Here, Defendant failed to use the Model Notice and failed to meet the notice requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 1166 and 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4, as set forth below.

Defendant's Notice Is Inadequate and Fails to Comply with COBRA

19. Defendant did not use the Model Notice to notify plan participants of their right to continuation coverage even though the Model Notice adequately provides all required information and would have provided Defendant with a "safe harbor" if used. The Model Notice further demonstrates how the information can, and is required to, be written in a manner calculated to be understood by the average plan participant providing a near-

foolproof way for persons to sign up for continuing coverage of their existing benefits.

- 20. Rather than use the Model Notice, Defendant deliberately authored and disseminated a notice which omitted critical information required by law and needlessly included language meant to deter and otherwise "chill" election of COBRA benefits. The information Defendant omitted from its notice is information that is included in the Model Notice.
- 21. The evidence will show Defendant used its deficient Notice to discourage participants from enrolling in continuation coverage.
- 22. Defendant's Notice violates several key COBRA requirements, specifically:
 - a. The notice violates 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606–4(b)(4)(v) because it includes conflicting information on the time period during which the election must be made, and the date by which the election must be made;
 - b. The notice violates 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4(b)(4)(i) because it fails to provide the name, address and telephone number of the party responsible under the plan for administration of continuation coverage benefits; and, finally,
 - c. The notice violates 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4(b)(4) because, by including threats of criminal penalties and IRS fines which simply have no place in a COBRA election notice, and by omitting the other required information set out above, Defendant failed to provide a notice "written in a manner calculated to be understood by the average plan participant."
- 23. Defendant's COBRA Notice confused Plaintiff, and resulted in his inability to make an informed decision as to electing COBRA continuation coverage.
- 24. As a result of the deficient notice, Plaintiff did not elect COBRA continuation coverage.
 - 25. Defendant's deficient COBRA Notice caused Plaintiff an informational

8

injury when Defendant failed to provide him with information to which she was entitled to by statute, namely a compliant COBRA election notice containing all information required by 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4(b)(4) and 29 U.S.C. § 1166(a).

- 26. Through ERISA and then COBRA, Congress created a right—the right to receive the required COBRA election notice—and an injury—not receiving a proper election notice with information required by 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4(b)(4) and 29 U.S.C. § 1166(a). Defendant injured Plaintiff and the class members she represents by failing to provide the information required by COBRA.
- 27. Besides the informational injury suffered, Plaintiff also suffered a tangible injury in the form of economic loss, specifically the loss of health insurance coverage for himself, his wife, and two small children. Insurance coverage is an employer subsidized benefit of employment of monetary value, the loss of which is a tangible injury.
- 28. Furthermore, Plaintiff suffered a second tangible economic loss when as he paid out of pocket for medical expenses incurred after he lost his health insurance.
- 29. Plaintiff suffered an additional concrete harm in the form of significant wasted time (hours) trying to figure out how to self-treat at least one medical condition since he no longer had health insurance. Additional time was spent trying to figure out which providers would treat he and his family now that they lacked health insurance.
- 30. Plaintiff suffered further concrete harm in the form of stress and anxiety created by the loss of his health insurance coverage.

Facts Specific to Plaintiff Gabriel Green

31. Plaintiff Gabriel Green is a former long-term employee of Defendant. He worked at Chrysler for approximately 26 years, during which time he obtained medical

9

insurance for himself, his wife, and two small children through Defendant's group health plan.

- 32. On January 22, 2019, Plaintiff's employment was terminated.
- 33. Plaintiff was not terminated for "gross misconduct" and was therefore he and his family were eligible for continuation coverage.
- 34. Plaintiff's termination was a qualifying event, which triggered Defendant's COBRA notice obligations.
- 35. Following his termination, Defendant caused its COBRA administrator to mail Plaintiff the deficient COBRA notice
- 36. The COBRA notice was not written in a manner calculated to be understood by the average plan participant.
- 37. The COBRA notice did not provide Plaintiff with the substantive information to which she was entitled pursuant to federal law, as set out further below, giving rise to this lawsuit.
- 38. Plaintiff was not required to exhaust any administrative remedies through Defendant prior to bringing suit because no such administrative remedies exist as this is not an ERISA claim for benefits. Even if they did exist, any attempts to exhaust the administrative remedies would have been futile as this is not an ERISA benefits case. In fact, exhaustion of administrative remedies is not required because Plaintiff was not provided with proper notice of his rights in the first instance.

Violation of 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4(b)(4)

Defendant failed to provide notice written in a manner calculated "to be understood by the average plan participant"

39. Whether a defendant's COBRA notification complies with the law turns on

whether the notice is understandable by an average plan participant. This requirement has been interpreted as an objective standard rather than requiring an inquiry into the subjective perception of the individual plan participants.

- 40. 29 U.S.C. § 1166(a)(4)(A) requires plan administrators to notify the former employee of their right to receive continuation coverage with a notice that must be sufficient to permit the discharged employee to make an informed decision whether to elect coverage.
- 41. As previously stated, Defendant's notice omits any reference to the plan administrator's name, address, and telephone number, as required by 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4(b)(4)(i). It also includes contradictory dates as to the deadline for election. 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4(b)(4)(v).
- 42. Not only that, Defendant's COBRA notice includes language warning of and threatening certain criminal and IRS penalties for noncompliance with its notice procedures.
- 43. Specifically, the notice includes the following language: "any person who knowingly provides materially false, incomplete, or misleading information is considered to have committed an act to defraud or deceive the Plan Sponsors. The filing of any application for insurance or other claim for benefits based on false, misleading, or incomplete information is a fraudulent act and may result in criminal or civil penalties."
- 44. The election form also needlessly references a possible "\$50 penalty from the IRS for each failure to provide an accurate tax identification number for a covered individual."
 - 45. Defendant first buries its "COBRA Election Form" in the middle of its

voluminous 19-page "COBRA Election Notice Summary."

- 46. And, adding to the confusion, Defendant placed its misleading "certification" immediately after the election form without any reference to it in the Defendant's instructions on how to enroll using the paper election form in its "COBRA Election Notice Summary."
- 47. In fact, nowhere in Defendant's "COBRA Election Notice Summary" are there instructions on what to do with the arbitrary "certification" form, including whether it is somehow required to enroll in COBRA.
- 48. Defendant further includes needless language of monetary penalties for failure to provide tax identification numbers for those electing COBRA benefits.
- 49. The DOL Model Notice and its COBRA Continuation Coverage election Form does not contain such a "certification" regarding possible IRS penalties. Yet the Model DOL still manages to convey the required information, and does so in only seven pages compared to Defendant's nineteen pages of conflicting, inadequate, and misleading information.
- 50. The inclusion of the threats of criminal penalties and the other COBRA violations specifically identified herein caused Plaintiff to lose his health insurance.
- 51. Without the above required information, coupled with its inclusion of needless criminal and IRS penalties, Defendant's notice is not sufficient to permit the discharged employee to make an informed decision whether to elect coverage.

Violation of 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4(b)(4)(v) Conflicting dates provided for when election due

52. Under COBRA, the plan administrator must allow the discharged employee and other qualified beneficiaries sixty (60) days from the date on which coverage ends

under the plan, or 60 days from the date notice was given to decide whether or not to elect continuation of their group health plan coverage. § 1165(1).

- 53. In addition, payment of the first premium is not due until 45 days after the date when election of continuation of coverage is made. § 1162(3).
- 54. In the present case, Defendant's notice provides information concerning how long the continuation of coverage will last and the amount of the premium. However, as to the enrollment deadline, Defendant's COBRA form states on page 5 contains one deadline.
- 55. But directly next to that information is a box clearly stating as follows: "If you do not complete the enrollment process within 60 days, you will lose your right to elect COBRA coverage." These two dates cannot be reconciled.
- 56. Plaintiff cannot truly make an informed decision regarding continuation coverage without knowing the specific, and correct, date when the election form is due. Not only that, the enrollment deadline also impacts the date for payment.
- 57. Confusing or misleading notices, like that used by Defendant, about the duration of the election period and the 45 day grace period for payment of an initial premium for continuation coverage demonstrate Defendant has violated 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4(b)(4)(v).

Violation of 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4(b)(4)(i) Failure to Identify Plan Administrator

58. The COBRA notice provided to Plaintiff omitted important information identifying the party responsible under the Plan for administration of continuing coverage benefits. Instead, the third-party administrator, BenefitConnect, is identified, but that is not what the statute requires. Thus, Plaintiff was never informed *who* administers the

continuation coverage, which is the Defendant entity named here.

- 59. Defendant was required to provide "in a manner calculated to be understood by the average plan participant ... the name, address and telephone number of the party responsible under the plan for administration of continuation coverage benefits." 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606- 4(b)(4)(i). Defendant's Notice failed to comply with this fundamental requirement.
- 60. Defendant's notice only identifies a third-party administrator. A third-party administrator is different from the Plan Administrator. Identifying the Plan Administrator is critical because the plan administrator bears the burden of proving that adequate COBRA notification was given to the employee, particularly in cases, like this, involving large corporations with multiple entities located throughout the country.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

61. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 Fed.R.Civ.P. on behalf of the following persons:

All participants and beneficiaries in the Defendant's Health Plan who were the COBRA notice by Defendant, in the same form sent to Plaintiff, during the applicable statute of limitations period as a result of a qualifying event, as determined by Defendant, who did not elect COBRA.

- 62. No administrative remedies exist as a prerequisite to Plaintiff's claim on behalf of the Putative Class. As such, any efforts related to exhausting such non-existent remedies would be futile.
- 63. <u>Numerosity</u>: The Class is so numerous that joinder of all Class members is impracticable. On information and belief, hundreds or thousands of individuals satisfy the definition of the Class.

64. <u>Typicality:</u> Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class. The COBRA notice that

Defendant sent to Plaintiff was a form notice that was uniformly provided to all Class members. As such, the COBRA notice that Plaintiff received was typical of the COBRA notices that other Class Members received, and suffered from the same deficiencies.

- 65. <u>Adequacy:</u> Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class members; she has no interests antagonistic to the class, and has retained counsel experienced in complex class action litigation.
- 66. <u>Commonality:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class, including but not limited to:
 - a. Whether the Plan is a group health plan within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 1167(1);
 - b. Whether Defendant's COBRA notice complied with the requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 1166(a) and 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4;
 - c. Whether statutory penalties should be imposed against Defendant under 29 U.S.C. § 1132(c)(1) for failing to comply with COBRA notice requirements, and if so, in what amount;
 - d. The appropriateness and proper form of any injunctive relief or other equitable relief pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3); and, finally,
 - e. Whether (and the extent to which) other relief should be granted based on Defendant's failure to comply with COBRA notice requirements.
- 67. Class Members do not have an interest in pursuing separate individual actions against Defendant, as the amount of each Class Member's individual claims is

relatively small compared to the expense and burden of individual prosecution.

68. Class certification will also obviate the need for unduly duplicative litigation that might result in inconsistent judgments concerning Defendant's practices and the adequacy of its COBRA notice. Moreover, management of this action as a class action will not present any likely difficulties. In the interests of justice and judicial efficiency, it would be desirable to concentrate the litigation of all Class Members' claims in a single action.

69. Plaintiff intends to send notice to all Class Members. The names and addresses of the Class Members are available from Defendant's records, as well as from Defendant's third-party COBRA administrator.

<u>CLASS CLAIM I FOR RELIEF</u> Violation of 29 U.S.C. § 1166 and

29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4, Enforced Through 29 U.S.C. § 1132

- 70. The Plan is a group health plan within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 1167(1).
- 71. Defendant is the sponsor and administrator of the Plan, and was subject to the continuation of coverage and notice requirements of COBRA.
- 72. Plaintiff and the other members of the Class experienced a "qualifying event" as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 1163, and Defendant was aware that they had experienced such a qualifying event.
- 73. On account of such qualifying event, Defendant sent Plaintiff and the Class Members a COBRA notice.
- 74. The COBRA notice that Defendant sent to Plaintiff and other Class Members violated 29 U.S.C. § 1166(a) and 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4 for the reasons set forth above, for which Plaintiff bring this civil action under the authority found in 29 U.S.C.

§ 1132.

75. These violations were material and willful.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class, prays for relief as follows:

- a. Designating Plaintiff's counsel as counsel for the Class;
- b. Issuing proper notice to the Class at Defendant's expense;
- c. Declaring that the COBRA notice sent by Defendant to Plaintiffs and other Class Members violated 29 U.S.C. § 1166(a) and 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4;
- d. Awarding appropriate equitable relief pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3), including but not limited to an order enjoining Defendant from continuing to use its defective COBRA notice and requiring Defendant to send corrective notices;
- e. Awarding statutory penalties to the Class pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(c)(1) and 29 C.F.R. § 2575.502c-1 in the amount of \$110.00 per day for each Class Member who was sent a defective COBRA notice by Defendant;
- f. Awarding attorneys' fees, costs and expenses to Plaintiffs' counsel as provided by 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g)(1) and other applicable law; and
- g. Granting such other and further relief, in law or equity, as this Court deems appropriate.
- h. Designating Plaintiffs' counsel as counsel for the Class;
- i. Issuing proper notice to the Class at Defendant's expense;
- j. Declaring that the COBRA notice sent by Defendant to Plaintiffs and other Class Members violated 29 U.S.C. § 1166(a) and 29 C.F.R. § 2590.606-4;
- k. Awarding appropriate equitable relief pursuant to 29 U.S.C.
 § 1132(a)(3), including but not limited to an order enjoining
 Defendant from continuing to use its defective COBRA

notice and requiring Defendant to send corrective notices;

- 1. Awarding statutory penalties to the Class pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(c)(1) and 29 C.F.R. § 2575.502c-1 in the amount of \$110 per day for each Class Member who was sent a defective COBRA notice by Defendant;
- Awarding attorneys' fees, costs and expenses to Plaintiffs' m. counsel as provided by 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g)(1) and other applicable law; and
- Granting such other and further relief, in law or equity, as n. this Court deems appropriate.

Dated this 18th day of November, 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

Chad A. Justice

Florida Bar No. 121559

Michigan Bar No. P84367

JUSTICE FOR JUSTICE, LLC

1205 N. Franklin St., Suite 326

Tampa, FL 33602

Telephone (813) 254-1777

Fax (813) 254-3999

LUIS A. CABASSA, ESQ.

Florida Bar Number: 053643

Direct No.: 813-379-2565

BRANDON J. HILL, ESQ.

Florida Bar Number: 37061

Direct No.: 813-337-7992

WENZEL FENTON CABASSA, P.A.

1110 North Florida Ave., Suite 300

Tampa, Florida 33602

Main No.: 813-224-0431

Facsimile: 813-229-8712

Email: lcabassa@wfclaw.com

Email: bhill@wfclaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 10/20) Case 2:20-cv-13079-GCS-DRC FCF No. 1-1 Page D 19 Filed 11/18/20 Filed 21/18/20 Filed 21/18/2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil	docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTION	NS ON NEXT PAGE OF T						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Gabriel Greene			DEFENDAN' FCA US LLC	TS				
			TCA US LLC					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Wayne			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Wayne					
	EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			(IN U.S	S. PLAINTIFF CASES C			
			NOTE: IN LAND THE TRA	CONDEMNACT OF LANI	ATION CASES, USE T D INVOLVED.	HE LOCATION	OF	
Chath. Attorneys (Firm Nam	e, Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Know					
Justice for Justice LLC 1205 N Franklin St, Suite 326	, Tampa, FL 33602							
813-566-0550	20 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0							
II. BASIS OF JURIS	DICTION (Place an "X" in One	Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF	PRINCI	PAL PARTIES	(Place on "Y" in	One Box fo	or Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question		(For Diversity Cases On	ıly)	OWN.	and One Box for I	Defendant)	The second second
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a	Party)	Citizen of This State	PTF DI		rincipal Place	PTF 4	DEF 4
					of Business In			
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of	Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	2 Incorporated and I of Business In A		5	5
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	3 Foreign Nation		□ 6	6
IV. NATURE OF SU	IT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				ere for: Nature of S	Suit Code Des	scription	IS.
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALT		BANKRUPTCY		STATUT	
	310 Airplane 3	365 Personal Injury -	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 88		Appeal 28 USC 158 Withdrawal	375 False C 376 Qui Ta		
120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpaymen	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 3	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	690 Other		28 USC 157	3729(a 400 State R		nment
150 Recovery of Overpaymen & Enforcement of Judgme	t 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical			PERTY RIGHTS	410 Antitru	ıst	
151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			Copyrights Patent	430 Banks 450 Comm		ng
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product		7233332233	Patent - Abbreviated	460 Deport	ation	
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability			New Drug Application Trademark	470 Racket	t Organizat	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpaymen of Veteran's Benefits		RSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud	LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards		Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016	480 Consui	mer Credit SC 1681 or	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract		371 Truth in Lending	Act			485 Teleph	one Consu	
195 Contract Product Liability		380 Other Personal Property Damage	720 Labor/Management Relations		CIAL SECURITY HIA (1395ff)	Protect 490 Cable/	tion Act Sat TV	
196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	385 Property Damage Product Liability	740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical		Black Lung (923) DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	850 Securit		odities/
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice	-	Leave Act	864	SSID Title XVI	Exchair 890 Other S		Actions
210 Land Condemnation		RISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus:	790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement	865	RSI (405(g))	891 Agricu 893 Enviro		
220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment		463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate	Income Security Act		ERAL TAX SUITS	895 Freedo		
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/	Sentence			Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	Act 896 Arbitra	ition	
245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property		530 General 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION	871	IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	899 Admin	istrative Pr	
	Employment	Other: 540 Mandamus & Other	462 Naturalization Application	tion	20 000 7007	Agency	Decision	
	Other 5	550 Civil Rights	465 Other Immigration Actions			950 Constit		of
		555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -						
		Conditions of Confinement						
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X"	' in One Box Only)	Commement						
	The state of the s	anded from ellate Court	Reopened Ano	sferred from	Litigation		Multidist	n -
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute	under which you are fi	(speciling (Do not cite jurisdictional	statutas un las	Transfer		Direct Fi	ile
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	ION Consolidated Offinibus Bi	idget Reconciliation A	ct of 1985 ("COBRA"), 29 1	U.S.C. § 116	51			
	Brief description of cause: Plaintiff brings claims of (COBRA violation by D	Defendant					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A UNDER RULE 23, F.I		DEMAND S		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:		complair	nt:
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S)				22.11.11.10.	103		
IF ANY	(See instructions):	OGE		DOG	CKET NUMBER			
DATE November 18, 2020	Alexander and a second a second and a second a second and	SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	NEY OF RECORD					
		(A.t.	7					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		9/0.						
RECEIPT #	AMOUNT	APPI VING IEP	HIDGE		MAC HII	DCE		

Case 2:20-cv-13079-GCS-DRG ECF No. 1-1, PageID.20 Filed 11/18/20 Page 2 of 2 PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11

Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?	Yes
If yes, give the following information:	■ No
Court:	
Case No.:	
Judge:	
Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.)	Yes ■ No
If yes, give the following information:	
Court:	
Case No.:	
Judge:	
Notes :	
Notes:	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Michigan

GABRIEL GREENE, individually and on behalf of all others similiarly situated,	Plaintiff,))))	Civil Action No.
v.)	
)	Hon.
FCA US LLC)	
)	
	Defendant.)	

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: FCA US LLC c/o THE CORPORATION COMPANY (CA) 229 BROOKWOOD DR STE 14

SOUTH LYON MI, 48178

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Chad A. Justice Justice for Justice LLC 1205 N Franklin St, Suite 326 Tampa, FL 33602

If you fail to respond, judgment by default may be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

DAVID J. WEAVER, CLERK OF COURT



By:	
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk
	Date of Issuance:

Summons and Complaint Return of Service

Case No. Hon.

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for (no	ame of individual and title, if any)			
was received by me on (date				
☐ I personally serv	ved the summons on the individual a	at (place)		
		on (date)	; or	
☐ I left the summo	ns at the individual's residence or u	sual place of abode with (n	name)	
	, a person o	of suitable age and discretion	on who resides there,	,
on (date)	, and mailed a copy to	the individual's last known	n address; or	
☐ I served the sum	mons on (name of individual)			, who is
designated by law	to accept service of process on beha			-
		on (date)	; or	
☐ I returned the su	mmons unexecuted because			; or
☐ Other (specify):				
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a	total of \$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
I declare under penal	ty of perjury that this information is	true.		
Date:				
		Server's signat	ure	
		Printed name and	d title	
		Server's addre	ess	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Class Action Alleges Fiat Chrysler Sent Deficient, Misleading COBRA Election Forms</u>