

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

DARLIN GRAY, individually and on behalf of  
all others similarly situated,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

TWITTER, INC.,

*Defendant.*

No. 2:20-cv-1389

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, alleges the following based upon personal knowledge as to Plaintiff and Plaintiff’s own acts, and upon information and belief as to all other allegations, based on investigation of counsel. This investigation included, *inter alia*, a review of public statements and disclosure materials prepared by Defendant; review of Federal Trade Commission filings; FOIA requests to FTC; media reports; interviews; social media; and other information concerning Defendant. The investigation of the facts pertaining to this case is continuing. Plaintiff believes that substantial evidentiary support will exist for the allegations set forth herein after a reasonable opportunity for discovery.

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. Privacy is a 21st century civil rights issue that affects everyone who interacts on digital platforms.

2. Behemoth providers of ubiquitous digital platforms make promises of privacy when they solicit users. Too often, those promises are not kept.

1 3. Many powerful actors who violate individuals' civil rights, including privacy rights,  
2 thereafter contest whether any damages resulted from their wrongful conduct.

3 4. Those powerful companies disregard their promises to users about privacy because  
4 of strong financial incentives. Using and trading in private user data can be extraordinarily lucrative  
5 for those companies which do so.

6 5. Yet those same companies routinely contend that, despite the degree to which they  
7 profit from refusing to honor the privacy choices exercised by users, there is no comparable,  
8 measurable financial harm to those whose rights they violate.

9 6. Privacy violators also often contend that the harm to an individual is *de minimus*,  
10 thereby making it prohibitively expensive for an individual to protect her privacy rights.

11 7. As with other civil rights violations, legislation has begun to address this issue.

12 8. As with other civil rights violations, legislatures have established statutory  
13 violations, and set a specific amount of statutory damages, together with an award of attorneys'  
14 fees and the costs of suit.

15 9. Washington state has done exactly this, in order to ensure judicial recourse to  
16 protect the civil right in privacy of users' phone numbers and other records against improper  
17 procurement and use.

18 10. This suit seeks statutory damages together with attorneys' fees, other costs of  
19 litigation, and prejudgment interest, resulting from the acts of Defendant Twitter, Inc.  
20 ("Twitter") which engaged in the unauthorized procurement of telephone records of Plaintiff and  
21 the Class in violation of RCW 9.26A.140.

22 11. Plaintiff is entitled to bring this private action to enforce RCW 9.26A.140 by virtue  
23 of that statute and by virtue of RCW 9A.82.010 and 9A.82.100.

24 **II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

25 12. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C.  
26 § 1332(d)(2) because the amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs  
27

1 and all members of the putative class of plaintiffs are citizens of a State different from Defendant  
2 Twitter, Inc. (“Twitter”).

3 13. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Twitter because it has purposefully  
4 directed its activities at this State and this judicial district, including by opening and maintaining  
5 and office and employees in this State and judicial district.

6 14. Twitter has also consummated a transaction with at least one resident of the State  
7 and judicial district, including Plaintiff.

8 15. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Twitter because it has performed acts by  
9 which it purposefully avails itself of the privilege of conducting activities in this State and judicial  
10 district, thereby invoking the benefits and protections of the laws of this State.

11 16. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Twitter because the claim arises out of or  
12 relates to Twitter’s forum-related activities.

13 17. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Twitter because the exercise of  
14 jurisdiction over Twitter as to the allegations of this Complaint comport with fair play and  
15 substantial justice.

16 18. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) and (3) because a  
17 substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this State and judicial  
18 district and because Twitter is subject to personal jurisdiction in this State and judicial district.

19 **III. PARTIES**

20 19. Plaintiff Darlin Gray (“Gray”) is a Twitter user with the username @darlingray.

21 20. Plaintiff Gray is now, and at all times relevant to this Complaint has been, a  
22 Washington resident.

23 21. Twitter is a Delaware Corporation with a principal place of business in San  
24 Francisco, California.

25 22. Twitter is a for-profit company.

26 23. Twitter acts at all times for financial gain.

1 **IV. FACTS**

2 **A. Twitter Is A Telecommunications Company.**

3 24. Under Washington law, “[t]elecommunications’ is the transmission of  
4 information by wire, radio, optical cable, electromagnetic, or other similar means. As used in this  
5 definition, ‘information’ means knowledge or intelligence represented by any form of writing,  
6 signs, signals, pictures, sounds, or any other symbols.” RCW 80.04.010(27); *see also* RCW  
7 9.26A.100 (“‘Telecommunications’ shall have the same meaning as that contained in RCW  
8 80.04.010 and includes telecommunications service that originates, terminates, or both originates  
9 and terminates in this state”).

10 25. Under Washington law, “[t]elecommunications company’ includes every  
11 corporation, company, association, joint stock association, partnership and person, their lessees,  
12 trustees or receivers appointed by any court whatsoever, and every city or town owning, operating  
13 or managing any facilities used to provide telecommunications for hire, sale, or resale to the general  
14 public within this state.” RCW 80.04.010(28); *see also* (“‘Telecommunications company’ shall  
15 have the same meaning as that contained in RCW 80.04.010”).

16 26. Twitter transmits knowledge or intelligence represented by any form of writing,  
17 signs, signals, pictures, sounds, or any other symbols by wire, radio, optical cable, electromagnetic,  
18 or other similar means.

19 27. Twitter provide telecommunications for hire, sale, or resale to the general public  
20 within this state, at least by virtue of its provision, for money, of advertising to Twitter users.<sup>1</sup>

21 28. Twitter is a telecommunications company.

22 29. Twitter provides telecommunications service that either originates, or terminates,  
23 or both originates and terminates in the state of Washington.

24 30. Telephone numbers are “telephone records” as defined in RCW 9.26A.140,  
25 inasmuch as they comprise “information retained by a telecommunications company that relates  
26

---

27 <sup>1</sup> *See* <https://business.twitter.com/en/help/ads-policies/campaign-considerations/about-eligibility-for-twitter-ads.html> (last accessed September 20, 2020).

1 to the telephone number dialed by the customer or the incoming number or call directed to a  
2 customer, or other data related to such calls typically contained on a customer telephone bill such  
3 as the time the call started and ended, the duration of the call, the time of day the call was made,  
4 and any charges applied.” RCW 9.26A.140.

5 31. Telephone numbers are telephone records which pertain to the person whose  
6 telephone number it is.

7 32. Each telephone number is a telephone record which pertains to at least the user  
8 associated with that telephone number.

9 33. A telephone record may pertain to a person other than the person who pays for the  
10 telephone service associated with that number.

11 34. For example, in so-called “friends and family” type plans, one person might be  
12 contractually responsible for paying the invoicing for multiple telephone numbers, where all but  
13 one of the telephone numbers pertains to other persons.

14 35. In that type of arrangement, a telephone number constitutes a record that pertains  
15 to a user who is not the financially responsible subscriber.

16 **B. Twitter’s Unlawful Procurement Of Telephone Records.**

17 36. Twitter procured a telephone record which pertains to Gray.

18 37. Specifically, Twitter procured Gray’s telephone number from Gray.

19 38. Twitter did so with fraudulent, deceptive, or false means.

20 39. Those means included assurances to Gray that Gray could designate the uses to  
21 which the telephone number was put, thereby maintaining control over the use of the telephone  
22 number by Twitter, through functions made available on Twitter’s user-facing systems.

23 40. In making these representations, Twitter falsely assured Gray that it would honor  
24 the privacy choices exercised by her, a user.

25 41. Gray provided her telephone number, a telephone record which pertains to her, to  
26 Twitter.

1 42. She did so in reliance on Twitter's fraudulent, deceptive, or false means, namely,  
2 assurances that she could designate the uses to which the telephone number was put and thereby  
3 maintain control over the use of the telephone number by Twitter, through functions made  
4 available on Twitter's user-facing systems.

5 43. Twitter procured telephone records which pertain to other Washington persons.

6 44. Specifically, Twitter procured telephone numbers from other Washington persons.

7 45. Twitter did so with fraudulent, deceptive, or false means.

8 46. Those means included assurances to other Washington persons that they could  
9 designate the uses to which the telephone number was put, thereby maintaining control over the  
10 use of the telephone number by Twitter, through functions made available on Twitter's user-facing  
11 systems.

12 47. In making these representations, Twitter falsely assured Washington users that it  
13 would honor the privacy choices exercised by users.

14 48. Other Washington persons provided telephone numbers, telephone records which  
15 pertains to each of them, to Twitter.

16 49. They did so in reliance on Twitter's fraudulent, deceptive, or false means, namely,  
17 assurances that each person could designate the uses to which the telephone number was put and  
18 thereby maintain control over the use of the telephone number by Twitter, through functions made  
19 available on Twitter's user-facing systems.

20 50. Twitter did not honor the privacy choices exercised by Gray or other Washington  
21 users.

22 51. Twitter provided to Gray and each Washington user various screens purportedly  
23 for account management that purported to give Gray and other Washington users the ability to  
24 control the use to which Twitter put phone numbers it had procured.

25 52. Unbeknownst to Gray or any Washington user, Twitter did not honor the privacy  
26 choices exercised by users, as evidenced to Twitter through the account settings selections made  
27 on those screens.

1 53. No user knew or could have known of Twitter’s fraudulent, deceptive, and false  
2 representations regarding its false assurances that it honored the privacy choices exercised by users  
3 prior to October 8, 2019.

4 54. Twitter concealed its wrongdoing until October 8, 2019.

5 55. On October 8, 2019 Twitter publicly acknowledged that “when [users] provided an  
6 email address or phone number for safety or security purposes (for example, two-factor  
7 authentication) this data may have inadvertently been used for advertising purposes, specifically  
8 in our Tailored Audiences and Partner Audiences advertising system.”<sup>2</sup>

9 56. Whatever Twitter means by “inadvertent,” it used fraudulent, deceptive, or false  
10 means to obtain the telephone record of Gray.

11 57. Whatever Twitter means by “inadvertent,” it used fraudulent, deceptive, or false  
12 means to obtain the telephone records of other Washington users.

13 58. Twitter used fraudulent, deceptive, or false means to obtain telephone records,  
14 namely telephone numbers, from Gray and countless other Washington users.

15 59. Those means included promising users that Twitter would honor the privacy  
16 choices exercised by users regarding the use of telephone numbers through user-facing Twitter  
17 systems.

18 60. However, despite its false promises, Twitter did not create, implement, or execute  
19 systems that honored users’ designations as to use of those numbers.

20 61. In doing so, Twitter’s fraudulent, deceptive, or false means in obtaining the  
21 telephone numbers increased its revenue, by increasing the amount advertisers paid it for ads.

22 62. Twitter committed its acts of fraudulent, deceptive, or false means of obtaining  
23 telephone records for financial gain.

24 63. A reasonable opportunity for discovery will also reveal whether Twitter disclosed  
25 telephone numbers to advertisers, despite its recent assurances to the contrary.

26 \_\_\_\_\_  
27 <sup>2</sup> See <https://help.twitter.com/en/information-and-ads#10-08-2019> (last accessed  
September 20, 2020).

1 64. If so, it also “[i]ntentionally [sold] the telephone record of any resident of this state  
2 without the authorization of the customer to whom the record pertains.” RCW 9A.26.140.

3 65. If so, it committed that act of sale for financial gain.

4 **C. Civil Remedy.**

5 66. Due to the foregoing conduct, Twitter is “subject to legal action for injunctive relief  
6 and either actual damages, including mental pain and suffering, or liquidated damages of five  
7 thousand dollars per violation, whichever is greater.” RCW 9A.26.140.

8 67. Each telephone number Twitter obtained from a Washington person constitutes a  
9 separate violation of RCW 9A.261.40, subject to \$5,000 liquidated damages.

10 68. Each separate occasion on which Twitter disclosed the telephone number of a  
11 Washington person to advertisers, thereby increasing the fees paid by those advertisers, constitutes  
12 a separate violation subject to \$5,000 liquidated damages.

13 69. Gray has sustained injury to her person, business, or property by Twitter’s acts that  
14 are part of a pattern of acts included in RCW 9A.82.010(4)(nn).

15 70. Gray may therefore file an action for the recovery of damages and the costs of the  
16 suit, including reasonable investigative and attorney’s fees.

17 **V. CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

18 71. Gray brings this action as a class action pursuant to Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(2) and or  
19 (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of the following Class of persons:

20 *All Washington persons who provided a telephone number to Twitter prior to October 8, 2019.*

21 72. Excluded from the Class is any person, firm, trust, corporation, or other entity  
22 related to or affiliated with Defendant.

23 73. Gray reserves the right to amend the Class definition if further investigation and/or  
24 discovery indicate that the Class definition should be narrowed, expanded, or otherwise modified.

25 74. Upon information and belief, numerous Washington persons provided telephone  
26 numbers to Twitter.



1 75. Upon information and belief, the number of individuals and entities who comprise  
2 the Class are so numerous that joinder of all such persons is impracticable and the disposition of  
3 their claims in a class action, rather than in individual actions, will benefit both the parties and the  
4 courts.

5 76. Upon information and belief, class members may be identified from records  
6 maintained by Defendants, and may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail or electronic  
7 mail using the form of notice similar to that customarily used in class actions, including by using  
8 Twitter's telecommunications service.

9 77. Gray's claims are typical of the claims of the other members of the Class. All  
10 members of the Class have been and/or continue to be similarly affected by Defendant's wrongful  
11 conduct as complained of herein. Gray is unaware of any interests that conflict with or are  
12 antagonistic to the interests of the Class.

13 78. Gray will fairly and adequately protect the Class members' interests and has  
14 retained counsel competent and experienced in class actions and complex litigation. Gray and  
15 Gray's counsel will adequately and vigorously litigate this class action, and Gray is aware of her  
16 duties and responsibilities to the Class.

17 79. Defendant has acted with respect to the Class in a manner generally applicable to  
18 each Class member. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all Class members and  
19 predominate over any questions affecting individual Class members. The questions of law and fact  
20 common to the Class include, *inter alia*:

- 21 a. Whether Defendant obtained telephone records which pertain to residents of  
22 this state through fraudulent, deceptive, or false means;
- 23 b. Whether Defendant intentionally sold the telephone records of residents of this  
24 state; and
- 25 c. The remedies available to Gray and the Class.

26 80. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient  
27 adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all Class members is impracticable. Furthermore,

1 as the injury and/or damages suffered by individual Class members may be relatively small, the  
2 expense and burden of individual litigation makes it impossible as a practical matter for Class  
3 members to individually redress the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in managing  
4 this action as a class action.

5 81. Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class with  
6 respect to the matters complained of herein, thereby making appropriate the relief sought herein  
7 with respect to the Class as a whole.

#### 8 **VI. CAUSE OF ACTION**

9 82. Gray hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the preceding  
10 paragraphs of this Complaint.

11 83. This sole Count is brought pursuant to RCW 9.26A.140 and RCW 9A.82.100, on  
12 behalf of the Class, against Defendant.

13 84. Defendant obtained telephone records of residents of this state through fraudulent,  
14 deceptive, or false means and/ or intentionally sold the telephone records of residents of this state  
15 without the authorization of the customer to whom the record pertains.

16 85. Pursuant to RCW 9.26A.140, Gray and each member of the Class is entitled to  
17 \$5,000 in liquidated damages for each such violation.

#### 18 **VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

19 WHEREFORE, Gray and the Class pray for relief and judgment as follows:

20 A. Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a class action under Civil Rule  
21 23, and certifying Gray as the Class representative and Gray's counsel as Counsel for the Class;

22 B. Declaring that Defendant engaged in the unauthorized procurement and sale of  
23 telephone records under RCW 9.26A.140;

24 C. Awarding Gray and the members of the Class the remedy of statutory damages of  
25 \$5,000 for each violation, together with pre- and post-judgment interest;

26 D. Awarding costs of investigation and litigation, including expert witness costs, and  
27 reasonable attorneys' fees, against Defendant;

- 1 E. Enjoining Defendant from further violations of RCW 9.26A.140; and
- 2 F. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

3 **VIII. JURY DEMAND**

4 Gray and the Class hereby demand a trial by jury.

5 ///

6 ///

7 ///

8 September 21, 2020.

9 ARD LAW GROUP PLLC

10 By: 

11 Joel B. Ard, WSBA # 40104

12 ARD LAW GROUP PLLC

13 P.O. Box 11633

14 Bainbridge Island, WA 98110

15 Phone: (206) 701-9243

16 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF AND THE  
17 PUTATIVE CLASS

# ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Class Action Alleges Twitter 'Fraudulently' Acquired Washington Users' Phone Numbers](#)

---