Case 2:17-cv-02726-JS-AYS Document 1 Filed 05/05/17 Page 1 of 11 Page #: 1
IN CLERK'S OFFICE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y.

★ MAY 05 2017 ★

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

LONG ISLAND OFFICE

JAMIE GRAMBO; an individual; on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs.

vs.

RELIANT CAPITAL SOLUTIONS, LLC,

Defendant.

CASE NO.:

CV 17 2726

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

SEYBERT, J.

<u>I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT</u> SHIELDS, M.J.

1. Plaintiff, JAMIE GRAMBO ("Plaintiff" or "GRAMBO"), on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, and demanding a trial by jury, brings this action for the illegal practices of the Defendant RELIANT CAPITAL SOLUTIONS, LLC who, *inter alia*, used false, deceptive, misleading, unconscionable, and other illegal practices, in connection with their attempts to collect an alleged debt from the Plaintiff and others.

:x

- 2. The Plaintiff alleges that the Defendant's collection practices violate the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA").
- 3. The FDCPA regulates the behavior of collection agencies attempting to collect a debt on behalf of another. The United States Congress has found abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors, and has determined that abusive debt collection practices contribute to a number of personal bankruptcies, marital instability, loss of jobs, and invasions of individual privacy. Congress enacted the FDCPA to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to ensure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not

competitively disadvantaged, and to promote uniform State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses. 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a) - (e).

- 4. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute, which provides for actual or statutory damages upon the showing of one violation. The Second Circuit has held that whether a debt collector's conduct violates the FDCPA should be judged from the standpoint of the "least sophisticated consumer." *Clomon v. Jackson*, 988 F.2d 1314 (2d Cir. 1993).
- 5. To prohibit deceptive practices, the FDCPA, at 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, outlaws the use of false, deceptive, and misleading collection practices and names a non-exhaustive list of certain *per se* violations of false and deceptive collection conduct. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e(1)-(16). Among these *per se* violations prohibited by that section are: false representations concerning the character, amount, or legal status of any debt, 15 U.S.C. §1692e(2)(A); the threat to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken the failure by debt collectors, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5); and the use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10).
- 6. To prohibit unconscionable and unfair practices, the FDCPA at 15 U.S.C. § 1692f, outlaws the use of unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt and names a non-exhaustive list of certain *per se* violations of unconscionable and unfair collection conduct. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692f(1)-(8). Among these *per se* violations prohibited by that section are: the collection of any amount (including any interest, fee, charge, or expense incidental to the principal obligation) unless such amount is expressly permitted by law, 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(1).
- 7. To eliminate abusive debt collection practices, the FDCPA, at 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a) sets forth requirements for written notice to a consumer including the amount of the

debt, 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1).

8. The Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, seeks statutory damages, attorney fees, costs, and all other relief, equitable or legal in nature, as deemed appropriate by this Court, pursuant to the FCDPA and all other common law or statutory regimes. The Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, requests that she and the class members be awarded statutory, common law, punitive, and/or actual damages payable by the Defendant.

II. PARTIES

- 9. GRAMBO is a natural person.
- 10. At all times relevant to this complaint, GRAMBO resides in Merrick, located, Nassau County, New York.
- 11. At all times relevant to this complaint, Reliant Capital Solutions, LLC is a Ohio limited liability company. Reliant Capital Solutions, LLC maintains its principal business address at 750 Cross Pointe Road, Suite G, Gahanna, Ohio 43230.

III. JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 12. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337.
 - 13. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202.
- 14. Venue is appropriate in this federal district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred within this federal judicial district, and because the Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in the State of New York at the time this action is commenced.

IV. FACTS CONCERNING PLAINTIFF

- 15. Sometime prior to May 15, 2016, Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation to Nassau Community College.
- 16. The Nassau Community College Obligation arose out of a transaction in which the money, property, insurance, or services which are the subject of the transaction are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
 - 17. Defendant contends that the Nassau Community College Obligation is in default.
- 18. The alleged Nassau Community College Obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5).
- 19. Plaintiff is, at all times relevant to this lawsuit, a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 20. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that sometime prior to May 15, 2016, the creditor of the Nassau Community College Obligation either directly or through intermediate transactions engaged Reliant Capital Solutions, LLC for collection.
- 21. Reliant Capital Solutions, LLC collects, and attempts to collect, debts incurred, or alleged to have been incurred, for personal, family, or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the U.S. Mail, telephone, and Internet.
- 22. Reliant Capital Solutions, LLC is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 23. On or about May 17, 2016, Reliant Capital Solutions, LLC mailed an initial collection letter, and which Plaintiff received in the ordinary course of mail. A true and correct copy of the 5/17/2016 Letter is attached hereto as *Exhibit A*, except that the undersigned counsel has, in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2, partially redacted the financial account numbers in an effort to protect Plaintiff's privacy.
 - 24. The 5/17/2016 Letter was sent, or caused to be sent, by persons employed by

Defendant as a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

- 25. The 5/17/2016 Letter was sent to Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
 - 26. The 5/17/2016 Letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).
- 27. On information and belief, the 5/17/2016 Letter is a computer-generated form letter that is prepared by the Defendant and sent to consumers, such as Plaintiff, from whom they were attempting to collect a debt.
 - 28. The 5/17/2016 Letter consists of two pages.
- 29. On Page One of the 6/25/2012 Letter, in the top right-hand corner, it states, *inter alia*, "Amount Due \$455.40."
- 30. Page Two of the 5/17/2016 Letter includes, *inter alia*, the following additional statements:

ACCOUNT INFORMATION

Account #	Principal Principal	<u>Interest</u>	Collection Costs	Other Charges	Balance
12816835	\$379.50	\$0.00	\$75.90	\$0.00	\$455.40

- 31. On information and belief, The Defendant is not legally or contractually permitted to add any "Collection Costs" to the amount of the alleged debt it seeks to collect from consumers.
- 32. Defendant's statement on Page One of the 5/17/2016 Letter are materially false, deceptive, and misleading in that, *inter alia*, they state that upon the consumer's dispute of the validity of the debt, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt.
- 33. Defendant's statements on Page One of the 5/17/2016 Letter are materially false, deceptive, and misleading in that, *inter alia*, it falsely identifies the amount due Defendant.
 - 34. The 5/17/2016 Letter collection caused Plaintiff uncertainty and forced her to

dispute the validity of the debt, in writing.

35. Defendant failed to respond to Plaintiff's dispute letter.

V. POLICIES AND PRACTICES COMPLAINED OF

- 36. It is Defendant's' policy and practice to send initial written collection communications, in the form attached as *Exhibit A*, that violate the FDCPA by, *inter alia*:
 - (a) Using false, deceptive, or misleading representations regarding the character, amount, or legal status of alleged debt;
 - (b) Failing to accurately state the amount of the alleged debt; and
 - (c) Seeking to collect amounts (including any interest, fee, charge, or expense incidental to the principal obligation) that are not expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law.
- 37. On information and belief, Defendant sent a written communication, in the form attached as *Exhibit A*, to at least 50 natural persons in the State of New York.

VI. CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 38. This action is brought as a class action. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 39. With respect to the Plaintiff Class, this claim is brought on behalf of a class of (a) all natural persons in the State of New York (b) to whom Defendant sent a written communication in the form attached as *Exhibit A* (c) that was not returned as undeliverable (d) in connection with Defendant's attempt to collect a debt described as a Nassau Community College account (e) which written communications violate the FDCPA (f) during a period beginning one year prior to the filing of this initial action and ending 21 days after the filing of

this Complaint.

- 40. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Defendant.
- 41. Excluded from the Plaintiff Class are the Defendant and all officers, members, partners, managers, directors, and employees of the Defendant and their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action and all members of their immediate families.
- 42. There are questions of law and fact common to the Class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether the Defendant's written communications, in the form attached as *Exhibit A*, violate 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(A), 1692e(10), 1692f, 1692f(1) and 1692g(a)(1)
- 43. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories.
- 44. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff Class defined in this complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor her attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.
- 45. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
 - (a) <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Plaintiff Class defined above are so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
 - (b) <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to

all members of the Plaintiff Class and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether the Defendant's written communications, in the form attached as *Exhibit A*, violate 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(A), 1692e(10), 1692f, 1692f(1), and 1692g(a)(1).

- (c) <u>Typicality:</u> The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members.

 Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff Class have claims arising out of the

 Defendants' common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
- (d) Adequacy: The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor her counsel has any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.
- (e) <u>Superiority:</u> A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender.
- 46. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(1)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is appropriate because adjudications with respect to individual members create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications which could establish incompatible standards of conduct

for Defendant, which collect debts throughout the United States of America.

- 47. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that a determination that Defendant's letter, which is attached hereto as *Exhibit A*, violates 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(A), 1692e(10), 1692f, 1692f(1) or 1692g(a)(1), is tantamount to declaratory relief and any monetary relief under the FDCPA would be merely incidental to that determination.
- 48. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff Class predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 49. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to modify the class definition and/or certify a class only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

<u>VII. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION</u> VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 50. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 51. Defendant violated the FDCPA. Defendant's violations with respect to their written communications, in the form attached as *Exhibit A*, include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - (a) Using false, deceptive, and misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of any debt in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e;
 - (b) Making false, deceptive, and misleading representations regarding the character,

- amount, or legal status of any debt in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A);
- (c) Using false representations and/or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10);
- (d) Using an unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692f;
- (e) Seeking to collect amounts (including any interest, fee, charge, or expense incidental to the principal obligation) that are not expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(1); and
- (f) Failing to properly disclose the amount due in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1).

IX. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

52. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment in her favor and in favor of the Plaintiff Class as follows:

A. For the FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION:

- (i) An order certifying that the First Cause of Action may be maintained as a class pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and appointing GRAMBO and the undersigned counsel to represent the Plaintiff Class as previously set forth and defined above.
- (ii) An award of the maximum statutory damages for GRAMBO and the Plaintiff

 Class pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(B);
- (iii) For declaratory relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202 adjudging Defendant's written collection communications, in the form attached hereto as *Exhibit A*,

violates the FDCPA;

- (iv) Attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3); and
- (v) For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

X. JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands that this case be tried before a Jury.

DATED: Uniondale, New York May 4, 2017

Abraham Kleinman (AK-6300).

KLEINMAN LLC 626 RXR Plaza

Uniondale, NY 11556-0626 Telephone: (516) 522-2621 Facsimile: (888) 522-1692

E-Mail: akleinman@kleinmanllc.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Jamie Grambo, and all others similarly situated

EXHIBIT A

Case 2:17-cv-02726-JS-AYS Document 1-1 Filed 05/05/17 Page 2 of 3 PageID #: 13

PO Box 30469 Columbus, OH 43230

į

Phone (866) 738-3179 + Fax (614) 452-6099

File #	Amount Due	Amount Enclosed
129,05935	\$455.40	

DO NOT SEND CASH. MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO: Reliant Capital Solutions, LLC PLEASE INCLUDE YOUR FILE NUMBER ON YOUR PAYMENT. RETURN THIS PORTION WITH YOUR PAYMENT. SEND PAYMENT TO:

դլմ**կնաիկվիլիինիի**վիկանակիրոնհիրինիրիորինինինինի

Reliant Capital Solutions, LLC PO BOX 30469 **COLUMBUS OH 43230-0469**

1 AT 'A-01-QHG-AM-01496-6 ոլիիններիրդիլիկնությիլներիներիներիներիներիրդությու JAMIE GRAMBO CERTAIN OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR

05/17/2016

Dear JAMIE GRAMBO.

This notice is to inform you that this office represents NASSAU COMMUNITY COLLEGE regarding the past due balance listed below:

Please include the coupon above with your payment. You may also pay online at www.payreliantnow.com. Please include your file number when paying: 12816835

This communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

Update your communication preferences by visiting http://www.reliantcapitalsolutions.com/consent/.

Telephone calls with Reliant Capital Solutions, LLC are monitored and recorded for quality and training purposes.

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days from receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request of this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this

notice, this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

Debt collectors, in accordance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., are prohibited from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection efforts, including but not limited to:

- 1. the use or threat of violence;
- 2. the use of obscene or profane language; and
- 3. repeated phone calls made with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass.

If a creditor or debt collector receives a money judgment against you in court, state and federal laws may prevent the following types of income from being taken to pay the debt:

- 1. Supplemental security income, (SSI);
- 2. Social security;
- 3. Public assistance (welfare);
- 4. Spousal support, maintenance (alimony) or child support;
- 5. Unemployment benefits;
- 6. Disability benefits;
- 7. Workers' compensation benefits;
- 8. Public or private pensions;
- 9. Veterans' benefits;

10. Federal student loans, federal student grants, and federal work study funds; and

ACCOUNT INFORMATION

Re: NASSAU COMMUNITY COLLEGE

Name: Jamie Grambo File # 42206865

Total Balance As Of 05/17/2016 \$455.40

OFFICE HOURS

Monday - Thursday

8:00am - 7:00pm Eastern Time Friday

8:00am - 5:00pm Eastern Time

PAYMENT OPTIONS

Check by Phone

(866) 738-3179

Credit Cards







Case 2:17-cv-02726-JS-AYS Document 1-1 Filed 05/05/17 Page 3 of 3 PageID #: 14 11. Ninety percent of your wages or salary earned in the last sixty days.

ACCOUNT INFORMATION

Account # Principal Interest Collection Costs Other Charges \$379.50 \$0.00 \$75.90 \$0.00	<u>Balance</u> \$455.40
--	----------------------------

ş

Case 2:17-cv-02726-JS-AYS Document 1-2 Filed 05/05/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 15

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	cket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF T	HIS FORM.)	n September 13	974, 18 requi	ied torrito ng	ze in the Ster CAP	Kar GoDA	N.Y.
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEF	DEFENDANTS ★ MAY 05 2017 ★					*
JAMIE GRAMBO; an individual; on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated			RELIAN	RELIANT CAPITAL SOLUTIONS, LLC					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff NASSAU (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County NOTE:	LONG ISLAND OFFICE County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,)	Address, and Telephone Number	r)	Attorn	cys (If Known)					
KLEINMAN LLC 626 RXR PLAZA UNIONDALE, NEW YOR	K 11556-0626 (516)	522-2621		JV	1	1	272	6	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)			RINCIPA	L PARTI	ES (Place an "X" is	•	
☐ 1 U.S. Government			(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF PTF DEF Citizen of This State					DEF	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	(Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another	r State 🗆 🗇	2 (7 2		and Principal Place	□ 5	□ 5
IN NATURE OF CUIT	7		Citizen or Subject Foreign Country		3 🗇 3	Foreign Natio	on	П 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		RTS	FORFEITURI	E/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	ОТНЕ	STATUT	ES
 □ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property 	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 530 General 530 General 530 General 531 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detaince - Conditions of Confinement	□ 690 Other	OR Standards Description Standards Description Standards Description Descripti	□ 423 Without 28 U: PROPER □ 820 Copy: □ 830 Paten □ 840 Trade: □ 861 H1A (□ 862 Black □ 863 DIW(□ 865 RSI (□ 865 RSI (SC 157 TTY RIGHTS trights tmark SECURITY 1395ff) Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(Title XVI 405(g)) LTAX SUIT: (U.S. Plaintiff fendant) -Third Party SC 7609	376 Qui T 3729(400 State 410 Antitr 430 Banks 450 Comm 460 Depor 470 Racke 600 850 Securi Excht 891 Agrice 895 Freedo 895 Freedo 895 Arbitr 895 Arbitr 896 Arbitr 897 Admin Act / Ra Agene 950 Consti	am (31 USC a)) Reapportion ust and Bankin heree tation teer Influence to Organizat mer Credit /Sat TV ties/Comme lange Statutory An altural Acts from of Inform ation histrative Pre- eview or Ap y Decision tutionality of statutes	mment ang acced and tions odities/ actions atters mation recedure
	noved from 3	Remanded from	Reinstated or Reopened	☐ 5 Transfer Another (specify)	rred from District	☐ 6 Multi Litiga Trans	ation -	Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN Brief description of ca				utes unless div			Direct F	ne
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2.	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$			HECK YES O	only if demanded in ND: X Yes	n complair	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE	<u> </u>	SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	NEY OF RECORD	`~~					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		-	<u>/</u>						

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

RECEIPT # 22646 AMOUNT 400.00

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, ABRAH	AM KLEINN	, counsel for JAMIE GRAMBO, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is
ineligil	ole for c	, counsel for JAMIE GRAMBO, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):
		monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
		the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
	X	the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason Matter Filed as a Putative Class Action.
		DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
Not ap	plicab	Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks: le.
		RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)
provides because same jud case: (A)	that "A of the cases lge and m) involves	es that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the nagistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil is identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties. Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power rmine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the
		NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)
1.)	Is the c	civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk
2.)		answered "no" above: the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk '? YES.
	b) Did Distric	the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern to the Eastern
Suffolk	County, olk Coun	o question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau ty?
		BAR ADMISSION
I am cui	rrently a	dmitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. No
Are you	current	ly the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain) No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Reliant Capital Solutions Sued Over Collection Practices