UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.:

ANDRES GOMEZ, on his own and on behalf of all other individuals similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

VS.

YOU FIT, LLC d/b/a YOUFIT,

Defendant

Defendant	•		

<u>COMPLAINT – CLASS ACTION</u>

Plaintiff, ANDRES GOMEZ, on his own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through his undersigned counsel, hereby files this Class Action Complaint against Defendant, YOU FIT, LLC d/b/a YOUFIT, and states as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiff ANDRES GOMEZ (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is a legally blind person who requires screen-reading software to read website content using a computer. Plaintiff uses the terms "blind" or "visually-impaired" to refer to all people with visual impairments who meet the legal definition of blindness in that they have a visual acuity with correction of less than or equal to 20 x 200. Some blind people who meet this definition have limited vision and others have no vision.
- 2. Plaintiff brings this civil rights class action against YOU FIT, LLC, (hereinafter "YOUFIT" or "Defendant") for its failure to design, construct, maintain, and operate its website,

https://www.youfit.com/ (hereinafter referred to as "Youfit.com"), to be fully accessible to and independently usable by Plaintiff and other blind or visually-impaired people. Youfit.com has several access barriers that prevent blind people from independently navigating and completing a purchase using assistive computer technology.

- 3. YOUFIT excludes the blind from equal participation in the internet marketplace that plays a significant role for in the global economy and modern lifestyle. Youfit's denial of full and equal access to its website, and therefore denial of its products and services offered thereby, and in conjunction with its physical locations, is a violation blind of persons' rights under the Americans with Disabilities Act (hereinafter "ADA").
- 4. Because Defendant's website, Youfit.com, is not equally accessible to blind and visually-impaired consumers in violation of the ADA, this complaint seeks a permanent injunction to cause a change in Defendant's corporate policies, practices, and procedures so that Defendant's website will become and remain accessible to Plaintiff and the proposed Class of customers who are blind and visually impaired. This complaint also seeks compensatory damages to compensate Class members for having been subjected to unlawful discrimination.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Honorable Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and § 343 for Plaintiff's claims arising out of federal law, 42 U.S.C. § 12182 *et seq.*, based on Defendant's violations of Title III of the ADA. See also 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202, the 2010 ADA Standards, and 28 C.F.R. § 36.201.

¹ When Youfit.com is typed into a web browser, the user is automatically redirected to https://www.youfit.com/, Defendant's website.

6. Venue is proper in this District, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(2) and S.D. Fla. L.R. 3.1 because Defendant engages in business in this District and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred here.

PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff, ANDRES GOMEZ, is *sui juris* and at all times mentioned herein is a resident of Miami-Dade County in the state of Florida and a legally blind individual. As a result of his legal blindness, Plaintiff is substantially limited in performing major life activities, including but not limited to accurately visualizing his surroundings and traversing obstacles and walking without assistance. Plaintiff is therefore a member of a protected class of individuals under the ADA, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12102(1)-(2), and the regulations implementing the ADA set forth at 28 CFR §§ 36.101 *et seq*.
- 8. Defendant owns and operates gym locations (hereinafter "Youfit Health Clubs"), which are places of public accommodation. Youfit Health Clubs are located in Florida State. These gyms provide to the public important goods and services. Defendant also provides the public the Youfit.com website that provides consumers with access to an array of goods and services including membership purchasing option, gym locators, inventory description and purchasing, event details, social media posts and media articles, personalized coaching session registration, and many other benefits related to these goods and services.
- 9. In order to efficiently and effectively navigate websites, Plaintiff uses a screen reader program to access the internet and read internet content using a computer. However, despite multiple attempts to navigate Youfit.com, Plaintiff has been denied the full use and enjoyment of the facilities, goods, and services offered by Youfit as a result of accessibility

barriers on the website, Youfit.com.

- 10. Other similarly disabled persons as Plaintiff are qualified individuals with disabilities under the ADA. Other similarly disabled persons share Plaintiff's discrimination based on the fact that they are visually disabled and require the use of various screen reader programs in order to efficiently and effectively navigate Defendant's website and obtain information and access to Defendant's products, services, locations, and various other information, which should be accessible on the Youfit.com website.
- 11. The access barriers on Defendant's Youfit.com website have deterred Plaintiff from visiting Youfit's brick-and-mortar gym locations.
- 12. It is Plaintiff's belief the violations detailed herein will not be corrected without court intervention, and thus, Plaintiff and the proposed Class of customers, who are blind and visually impaired will continue to suffer actual harm, and the violations threaten real and imminent injury in the near future.
- 13. Defendant, YOU FIT, LLC is a limited liability corporation organized under the laws of Florida, with a process of service address at 6475 1ST AVE SOUTH ST PETERSBURG, FL 33707.
- 14. Defendant's gyms are public accommodations within the definition of Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12181(7). Youfit.com is a service, privilege, or advantage of Defendant's gyms. Defendant has gyms throughout the state of Florida. Plaintiff was interested in the gym location near him in the Coconut Grove area.
- 15. Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District. Defendant has been and are committing the acts or omissions alleged herein in the Southern District of Florida that

caused injury, and violated rights prescribed by the ADA, to Plaintiff and to the proposed Class of customers who are blind and visually impaired. A substantial part of the acts and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in the Southern District of Florida. Specifically, on several separate occasions, Plaintiff attempted to navigate Defendant's website, Youfit.com, using a screen reader program to access Youfit's information, and the goods and services offered by Youfit.com, in conjunction with its physical locations.

THE AMERICAN WITH DISABILITIES ACT AND THE INTERNET

- 16. The Internet has become a significant source of information, a portal, and a tool for conducting business, as well as a means for doing everyday activities such as shopping, learning, banking, etc. for sighted, blind and visually-impaired persons alike.
- 17. In today's tech-savvy world, blind and visually-impaired people have the ability to access websites and mobile applications using keyboards in conjunction with screen access software that vocalizes the visual information found on a computer screen or displays the content on a refreshable Braille display. This technology is known as screen-reading software. Screen-reading software is currently the only method a blind or visually-impaired person may independently access the internet. Unless websites and mobile applications are designed to be read by screen-reading software, blind and visually-impaired persons are unable to fully access websites and mobile applications, and the information, products, and services contained thereon.
- 18. Blind and visually-impaired users of Windows operating system-enabled computers have several screen reading software programs available to them. Some of these programs are available for purchase and other programs are available without the user having to purchase the program separately. For screen-reading software to function, the information on a

website or on a mobile application must be capable of being rendered into text. If the website or mobile application's content is not capable of being rendered into text, the blind or visually-impaired user is unable to access the same content available to sighted users.

- 19. The international website standards organization known throughout the world as W3C, published version 2.0 of the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines ("WCAG 2.0" hereinafter). WCAG 2.0 are well-established guidelines for making websites accessible to blind and visually-impaired people. These guidelines are universally followed by most large business entities that ensure their websites and mobile applications available to the public are accessible to blind and visually impaired persons.
- 20. Non-compliant websites pose common access barriers to blind and visually-impaired persons. Common barriers encountered by blind and visually impaired persons include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. A text equivalent for every non-text element is not provided;
 - b. Title frames with text are not provided for identification and navigation;
 - c. Equivalent text is not provided when using scripts;
 - d. Forms with the same information and functionality as for sighted persons are not provided;
 - e. Information about the meaning and structure of content is not conveyed by more than the visual presentation of content;
 - f. Text cannot be resized without assistive technology up to 200 percent without loss of content or functionality;
 - g. If the content enforces a time limit, the user is not able to extend, adjust or

disable it;

- h. Web pages do not have titles that describe the topic or purpose;
- The purpose of each link cannot be determined from the link text alone or from the link text and its programmatically determined link context;
- One or more keyboard operable user interface lacks a mode of operation where the keyboard focus indicator is discernible;
- k. The default human language of each web page cannot be programmatically determined;
- 1. When a component receives focus, it may initiate a change in context;
- m. Changing the setting of a user interface component may automatically cause a change of context where the user has not been advised before using the component;
- n. Labels or instructions are not provided when content requires user input;
- o. In content which is implemented by using markup languages, elements do not have complete start and end tags, elements are not nested according to their specifications, elements may contain duplicate attributes and/or any IDs are not unique; and,
- p. The name and role of all User Interface elements cannot be programmatically determined; items that can be set by the user cannot be programmatically set; and/or notification of changes to these items is not available to user agents, including assistive technology.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 21. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, seeks certification of the following nationwide class pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(2): "all legally blind individuals in the United States who have attempted to access Youfit.com and as a result have been denied access to the enjoyment of goods and services offered by Youfit, during the relevant statutory period."
- 22. Plaintiff seeks certification of the following Florida subclass pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a), 23(b)(2) and, alternatively, 23(b)(3): "all legally blind individuals in Florida State who have attempted to access Youfit.com and as a result have been denied access to the enjoyment of goods and services offered by Youfit, during the relevant statutory period."
- 23. There are hundreds of thousands of visually impaired persons in Florida State. There are millions of people in the United States who are visually impaired. Thus, the persons in the class are so numerous that joinder of all such persons is impractical and the disposition of their claims in a class action is a benefit to the parties and to the Court.
- 24. This case arises out of Defendant's policy and practice of maintaining an inaccessible website denying blind persons' access to the goods and services of Youfit.com and Youfit Health Clubs. Due to Defendant's policy and practice of failing to remove access barriers, blind persons have been and are being denied full and equal access to independently browse, select and shop on Youfit.com and by extension the goods and services offered through Defendant's website to Youfit Health Clubs.
- 25. There are common questions of law and fact common to the class, including without limitation, the following:

- a. Whether Youfit.com is a "public accommodation" under the ADA; and,
- b. Whether Defendant through its website Youfit.com denies the full and equal enjoyment of its goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to people with visual disabilities in violation of the ADA.
- 26. The claims of the named Plaintiff are typical of those of the class. The class, similar to Plaintiff, are severely visually impaired or otherwise blind, and claim that Youfit has violated the ADA by failing to update or remove access barriers on their website, Youfit.com, so it can be independently accessible to the class of people who are legally blind.
- 27. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the members of the Class because Plaintiff has retained and is represented by counsel competent and experienced in complex class action litigation, and because Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to the members of the class. Class certification of the claims is appropriate because Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, making appropriate both declaratory and injunctive relief with respect to Plaintiff and the Class as a whole.
- 28. Alternatively, class certification is appropriate under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3) because questions of law and fact common to Class members clearly predominate over questions affecting only individual class members, and because a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation.
- 29. Judicial economy will be served by maintenance of this lawsuit as a class action in that it is likely to avoid the burden that would be otherwise placed upon the judicial system by the filing of numerous similar suits by people with visual disabilities throughout the United States.

30. References to Plaintiff shall be deemed to include the named Plaintiff and each member of the class, unless otherwise indicated.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 31. Defendant offers the commercial website, Youfit.com, to the public. The website offers a feature which should allow all consumers locate gyms, purchase memberships, purchase inventory, learn about events and social media and activities, register for personalized coaching sessions. inventory description and purchasing, event details, social media posts and media articles, learn about the Youfit brand, and perform a variety of other functions. Youfit.com offers access to a variety of goods and services which are offered and available to the public, including inventory descriptions, gym locator tools, online pricing, and other services.
- 32. Based on information and belief, it is Defendant's policy and practice to deny Plaintiff, along with other blind or visually-impaired proposed Class members, access to Defendant's website, Youfit.com, and to therefore specifically deny the goods and services that are offered and integrated with Defendant's gyms. Due to Defendant's failure and refusal to remove access barriers to Youfit.com, Plaintiff and proposed Class members have been and are still being denied equal access to Youfit Health Clubs and the numerous goods, services, and benefits offered to the public through Youfit.com.

Defendant's Barriers on Youfit.com Deny Plaintiff Access

33. Plaintiff, as a blind person, cannot use a computer without the assistance of screen-reading software. However, Plaintiff is a proficient user of screen-reader technology to access the internet. Plaintiff has visited Youfit.com several times using an internet screen-reader

to try to access information and services Youfit offers to the public with its Youfit.com website. But due to the widespread accessibility barriers on Youfit.com, Plaintiff has been denied the full enjoyment of the facilities, goods, and services of Youfit.com, as well as to the facilities, goods, and services of Defendant's gyms.

- 34. While attempting to navigate Youfit.com, Plaintiff encountered multiple accessibility barriers for blind or visually-impaired people that include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. <u>Empty Links That Contain No Text</u> causing the function or purpose of the link to
 not be presented to the user. This can introduce confusion for keyboard and
 screen-reader users;
 - b. <u>Linked Images Missing Alt-text</u>, which causes problems if an image within a link contains no text and that image does not provide alt-text. A screen reader then has no content to present the user as to the function of the link.
 - c. <u>Lack of Alternative Text</u> ("alt-text"), or a text equivalent. Alt-text is invisible code embedded beneath a graphical image on a website. Web accessibility requires that alt-text be coded with each picture so that screen-reading software can speak the alt-text where a sighted user sees pictures. Alt-text does not change the visual presentation, but instead a text box shows when the mouse moves over the picture. The lack of alt-text on these graphics prevents screen readers from accurately vocalizing a description of the graphics. As a result, visually-impaired Youfit customers are unable to determine what is on the website and are being denied access to the numerous goods, services, and benefits offered to the public

- through Youfit.com;
- d. <u>Missing Form Label</u>, which causes problems with the function or purpose of that form control may not be present to screen reader users; form labels also provide visible descriptions and larger clickable targets for form controls.
- e. <u>Spacer Image Missing Alternative Text.</u> spacer images are used to maintain the layout, they do not convey content and should be given a null and or empty alternative text so they are ignored and not presented to screen reader users.
- f. <u>Document Language Missing</u>, this function is important because identifying the language allows the screen reader programs to read the webpage content in an appropriate language; it also facilitates automatic translation of the website's content.
- g. <u>Empty Headings That Contain No Content</u> causing the function or purpose of the heading to not be presented to the user. This can introduce confusion for keyboard and screen-reader users;
- h. <u>Empty Button That Contains No Content</u> causing the function or purpose of the button to not be presented to the user. This can introduce confusion for keyboard and screen-reader users.
- 35. Most recently, in 2017, Plaintiff again attempted to access Youfit's information on Youfit.com. Plaintiff again encountered barriers to access on Youfit.com when it came to accessing the various information and services offered on the website.

Defendant Must Remove Barriers To Its Website

36. Due to the inaccessibility of Youfit.com, blind and visually-impaired customers

such as Plaintiff and the proposed Class members, who need screen-readers to access the internet, cannot, browse, shop, or otherwise access the various information and services offered on Youfit's website. As a result, Plaintiff is deterred from visiting the physical locations of Youfit Health Clubs. If Youfit.com were equally accessible to all, Plaintiff could locate gyms, purchase memberships, purchase inventory, learn about events and social media and activities, register for personalized coaching sessions, learn about the Youfit brand and access information related to the goods and services offered on its website and at Youfit's physical locations.

- 37. Through his many attempts to use Defendant's website, Plaintiff has actual knowledge of the access barriers that make these services inaccessible and independently unusable by blind and visually-impaired people.
- 38. Because simple compliance with the WCAG 2.0 Guidelines would provide Plaintiff and other visually-impaired consumers with equal access to Youfit.com, Plaintiff alleges that Youfit has engaged in acts of intentional discrimination, including but not limited to the following policies or practices:
 - a. Construction and maintenance of a website that are inaccessible to visually-impaired individuals, including Plaintiff and the proposed Class;
 - Failure to construct and maintain a website that is sufficiently intuitive so as
 to be equally accessible to visually-impaired individuals, including Plaintiff;
 and the proposed Class, and,
 - c. Failure to take actions to correct these access barriers in the face of substantial harm and discrimination to blind and visually-impaired consumers, such as Plaintiff, and the proposed Class.

- 39. Youfit therefore uses standards, criteria or methods of administration that have the effect of discriminating or perpetuating the discrimination of others, as alleged herein.
- 40. The ADA expressly contemplates the type of injunctive relief that Plaintiff seeks in this action. In relevant part, the ADA requires:

"In the case of violations of . . . this title, injunctive relief shall include an order to alter facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities.... Where appropriate, injunctive relief shall also include requiring the . . . modification of a policy. . ."

(42 U.S.C. § 12188(a)(2).)

- 41. Because Defendant's website has never been equally accessible, and because Defendant lacks a corporate policy that is reasonably calculated to cause its website to become and remain accessible, Plaintiff invokes the provisions of 42 U.S.C. § 12188(a)(2), and seeks a permanent injunction requiring Defendant to retain a qualified consultant acceptable to Plaintiff ("Agreed Upon Consultant") to assist Defendant's compliance with WCAG 2.0 guidelines for its website. Plaintiff seeks that this permanent injunction requires Defendant to cooperate with the Agreed Upon Consultant to:
 - a. Train Defendant's employees and agents who develop the Youfit.com website on accessibility compliance under the WCAG 2.0 guidelines;
 - Regularly check the accessibility of Defendant's website under the WCAG 2.0 guidelines;
 - c. Regularly test user accessibility by blind or vision-impaired persons to ensure that Defendant's website complies under the WCAG 2.0 guidelines; and
 - d. Develop an accessibility policy that is clearly disclosed on its websites with contact information for users to report accessibility-related problems.

- 42. If Youfit.com were accessible, Plaintiff and similarly situated blind and visually-impaired people could independently view inventory items, gym packages and otherwise research related products available via Defendant's website.
- 43. Although Defendant may currently have centralized policies regarding the maintenance and operation of its website, Defendant lacks a plan and policy reasonably calculated to make its websites fully and equally accessible to, and independently usable by, blind and other visually-impaired consumers.
- 44. Without injunctive relief, Plaintiff and other visually-impaired consumers will continue to be unable to independently use the Defendant's websites in violation of their rights.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATIONS OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990,

[YOUFIT.COM]

42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seg.

- 45. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs alleged above and each and every other paragraph in this Complaint necessary or helpful to state this cause of action as though fully set forth herein.
 - 46. Section 302(a) of Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq., provides:
 - "No individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation by any person who owns, leases (or leases to), or operates a place of public accommodation."

(42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).)

47. Youfit Health Clubs are public accommodations within the definition of Title III

of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12181(7). Youfit.com is a service, privilege, or advantage of Youfit Health Clubs. Youfit.com is a service that is integrated with these locations.

- 48. Under Section 302(b)(1) of Title III of the ADA, it is unlawful discrimination to deny individuals with disabilities the opportunity to participate in or benefit from the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of an entity. (42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(1)(A)(i).)
- 49. Under Section 302(b)(1) of Title III of the ADA, it is unlawful discrimination to deny individuals with disabilities an opportunity to participate in or benefit from the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodation, which is equal to the opportunities afforded to other individuals. (42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(1)(A)(ii).)
- 50. Under Section 302(b)(2) of Title III of the ADA, unlawful discrimination also includes, among other things:
 - "[A] failure to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations; and a failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden."

42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii)-(iii).

51. The acts alleged herein constitute violations of Title III of the ADA, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. Plaintiff, who is a member of a protected class of persons under the ADA, has a physical disability that substantially limits the major life activity of sight

within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. §§ 12102(1)(A)-(2)(A). Furthermore, Plaintiff has been denied full and equal access to Youfit.com, has not been provided services which are provided to other patrons who are not disabled, and has been provided services that are inferior to the services provided to non-disabled persons. Defendant has failed to take any prompt and equitable steps to remedy its discriminatory conduct. These violations are ongoing.

- 52. Plaintiff intends to return to Defendant's website provided Defendant modifies the website to provide equal access to Plaintiff and similarly disabled persons. But Plaintiff is precluded from doing so by Defendant's failure and refusal to provide disabled persons with full and equal access to its website.
- 53. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188 and the remedies, procedures, and rights set forth and incorporated therein, Plaintiff, requests relief as set forth below.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests for judgment against Defendant as follows:

- A. A Declaratory Judgment that at the commencement of this action Defendant was in violation of the specific requirements of Title III of the ADA 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.*, and the relevant implementing regulations of the ADA, for Defendant's failure to take action that was reasonably calculated to ensure that its website is fully accessible to, and independently usable by, blind and visually-impaired individuals;
- B. A preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining Defendant from violating the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.*, with respect to its website Youfit.com;
- C. A preliminary and permanent injunction requiring Defendant to evaluate and

neutralize their policies, practices and procedures toward persons with

disabilities, for such reasonable time so as to allow the Defendant to

undertake and complete corrective procedures to the website;

D. An order certifying this case as a class action under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) &

(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3), appointing Plaintiff as Class Representative, and his

attorneys as Class Counsel;

E. For attorneys' fees and expenses pursuant to all applicable laws including,

without limitation, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188(a)(1);

F. For compensatory damages including, but not limited to, mental anguish,

loss of dignity, and any other intangible injuries suffered by the Plaintiff as a

result of Defendant's discrimination;

G. For pre-judgment interest to the extent permitted by law;

H. For costs of suit; and

I. For such other and further relief as this Honorable Court deems just and

proper.

Dated this 1st day of June, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

The Advocacy Group

Attorney for Plaintiff
333 Las Olas Way, Suite CU3-311
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Telephone: (954) 282-1858

Service Email: service@advocacypa.com

By <u>/s/ Jessica L. Kerr</u>

Jessica L. Kerr, Esq.

Fla. Bar No. 92810

UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT for the
Southern D	istrict of Florida
ANDRES GOMEZ, on his own and on behalf of all other individuals similarly situated, Plaintiff(s)))))
v. YOU FIT, LLC d/b/a YOUFIT, Defendant(s)	Civil Action No.
	N A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) YOU FIT, LLC c/o Stross, Christy B 6475 1st Ave South St. Petersburgh, FL 3370	7
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an off P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an a	
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	CLERK OF COURT
Date:	

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 1:17-cv-22061-KMW Document 1-2 Entered on FLSD Docket 06/01/2017 Page 1 of 1

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the sixil declare the service of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the sixil declare the service of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the sixil declared the service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court.

the civil docket sheet. (SEE IN:	STRUCTIONS ON THE REVER	SE OF THE FORM.)	NOTICE: Attorneys MU	ST Indicate All Re-filed C	ases Delow.		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS ANDRES GOMEZ, on his similarly situated,	s own and on behalf of	all other individua		DEFENDANTS YOU FIT, LLC d/b/a YOUFIT,			
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff MI	AMI-DADE	County of Residence	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)			
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Add	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	1:8)	NOTE: IN EAN	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT LAND INVOLVED.			
The Advocacy Group, c/o		3 Las Olas Way.	LAND				
buite CU3-311, Fort Laude			Attorneys (If Known	1			
(d) Check County Where Actio	n Arose: 🖊 MIAMI- DADE	□ MONROE □ BROV	VARD O PALM BEACH O M	IARTIN 7 ST. LUCIE 7 INDI	AN RIVER OF OKFECHOBEE HIGHLANDS		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF (For Diversity Cases Only		(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		PTF DEF Citizen of This State				
7 2 U.S. Government Defendant	7 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State	2 7 2 Incorporated and of Business In	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			Citizen or Subject of a Poreign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	T 6 7 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	C (Place an "X" in One Box Onl		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		Л 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment		
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice		7 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking		
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	☐ 365 Personal Injury	of Property 21 USC 88	·	71 450 Commerce		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona	☐ 630 Liquor Laws al ☐ 640 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS 3 820 Copyrights	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and		
& Enforcement of Judgment 7 151 Medicare Act	7 330 Federal Employers'	Injury Product	☐ 650 Airline Regs.	□ 830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations		
□ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Liability	□ 660 Occupational	7 840 Trademark	1 480 Consumer Credit		
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud	TY Safety/Health		☐ 490 Cable Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service		
(Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	370 Office Fladd 371 Truth in Lending		SOCIAL SECURITY	3 850 Securities/Commodities		
of Veteran's Benefits	7 350 Motor Vehicle	□ 380 Other Personal	710 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 BIA (1395ff)	Exchange		
□ 160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage	Act	3 862 Black Lung (923) s 3 863 DIWC DIWW (405(g))	□ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410		
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	385 Property Damage Product Liability	 720 Labor/Mgmt, Relation 730 Labor/Mgmt, Reporting 		7 890 Other Statutory Actions		
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	Troduct Endownly	& Disclosure Act	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	□ 891 Agricultural Acts		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		FEDERAL TAX SUITS	892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vaca Sentence	te 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Sect		☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act		
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 443 Housing	Habeas Corpus:	Aet Aet	3 871 1RS Third Party	3 895 Freedom of Information Act		
7 246 Torts to Land	Accommodations 7 530 General 535 Death Penalty 445 Amer. w/Disabilities 7 540 Mandamus & O		IMMIGRATION	26 USC 7609	☐ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination		
245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property			462.31 40 10 10		Under Equal Access to Justice		
290 All Other Real Property	Employment		Application 463 Habaar Cornus-Alian				
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	□ 550 Civil Rights	Detainee 465 Other Immigration		950 Constitutionality of State		
	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	3 555 Prison Condition	Actions		Statutes		
⊿ 1 Original □ 2 R		Re-filed-	4 Reinstated or 5 and	ansferred from an other district becify)			
		a) Re-filed Case	YES 🗷 NO b) Ro	elated Cases 🗆 YES 🕻 NO)		
VI. RELATED/RE-FI CASE(S).	(See instructions second page):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER			
	Cite the U.S. Civil Star diversity):	tute under which you a	re filing and Write a Brief State	ement of Cause (Do not cite jur	risdictional statutes unless		
	142.12182 4	ans with Disabilitie	es Act				
VII. CAUSE OF ACT	Americans with D	isability Act-Injur	ective Relief				
			ted (for both sides to try entire		Lite to an all the constitution		
VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	N		DEMAND \$	JURY DEMAN	ly if demanded in complaint: D:		
ABOVE INFORMATION IS THE BEST OF MY KNOW		^	TTORNEY OF RECORD	DATE	6-1-17		
		fern		OFFICE USE ONLY	0 -1-11		
		/	AMOUNT	RECEIPT #	IFP		

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lawsuit: You Fit Health Clubs' Website Fails ADA Compliance</u>