PELTON GRAHAM LLC

Brent E. Pelton (BP 1055) Taylor B. Graham (TG 9607) 111 Broadway, Suite 1503 New York, NY 10006 Telephone: (212) 385-9700

Telephone: (212) 385-970 www.PeltonGraham.com

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

LOURDES GOMEZ, Individually and on behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff.

-against-

LVEB, LLC d/b/a PIERRE'S OF BRIDGEHAMPTON and PIERRE WEBER, Jointly and Severally,

Defendants.

 $\frac{\text{COLLECTIVE ACTION}}{\text{COMPLAINT}}$

Jury Trial Demanded

Plaintiff Lourdes Gomez ("Gomez" or "Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, as collective representative, upon personal knowledge as to herself and upon information and belief as to other matters, alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiff worked as a pastry chef for Defendants' restaurant and store in Bridgehampton, New York. For her work, despite the fact that she routinely worked in excess of forty (40) hours each week, Defendants paid Plaintiff on an hourly basis at the same rate for all hours, including hours over forty (40) each week.

- 2. Plaintiff brings this action to recover unpaid overtime wages owed to her and all similarly situated employees of Defendants pursuant to both the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.* and the New York Labor Law ("NYLL"), §§ 650 *et seq.*
- 3. Plaintiff also brings this action to recover damages for Defendants' failure to provide proper wage statements and wage notices pursuant to NYLL §§ 190 *et seq.* and supporting regulations.
- 4. Plaintiff brings her FLSA claim on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated employees of Defendants and her NYLL claims on behalf of herself and any individual who elects to opt in to this action ("Opt-In Plaintiffs").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337, and 1343, and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. In addition, the Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims under the FLSA pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 6. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial portion of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district and Defendants maintain business locations in this district.
- 7. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

THE PARTIES

Plaintiff:

8. <u>Plaintiff Lourdes Gomez</u> was, at all relevant times, an adult individual residing in Suffolk County, New York.

- 9. Throughout the relevant time period, Plaintiff worked for Defendants in their restaurant located at 2468 Main Street, Bridgehampton, New York 11932.
- 10. Plaintiff consents in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and her consent form is attached hereto and incorporated by reference.

Defendants:

- 11. Defendant LVEB, LLC d/b/a Pierre's of Bridgehampton ("Pierre's" or the "Corporate Defendant") is an active New York Corporation with its principal place of business at 2468 Main Street, Bridgehampton, New York 11932.
- 12. Defendant Pierre Weber ("Weber" or the "Individual Defendant" and, collectively with the Corporate Defendant, the "Defendants") is the sole owner, operator and manager of the Corporate Defendant.
- 13. The Individual Defendant sets the Corporate Defendant's payroll policies, including the unlawful practices complained of herein.
- 14. Throughout the relevant time period, the Individual Defendant was in charge of hiring and firing employees, setting schedules and wage rates, determining the Corporate Defendant's policies with respect to payroll, and otherwise running the business of Pierre's.
- Defendant and acted intentionally and maliciously in their direction and control of Plaintiff and the Corporate Defendant's other similarly situated employees, and are an "employer" pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203 (d) and regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. § 791.2, as well as the NYLL § 2 and the regulations thereunder, and is jointly and severally liable with the Corporate Defendant.
 - 16. At all relevant times, Defendants have been and continue to be employers engaged

in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a).

- 17. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, the Defendants had gross revenues in excess of \$500,000.00.
- 18. At all relevant times, Defendants employed and/or continues to employ Plaintiff and each Collective Action Member within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
- 19. At all relevant times, Defendants employed and/or continues to employ Plaintiff and each Opt-In Plaintiff within the meaning of the NYLL, §§ 2 and 651.

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

20. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 207 & 216(b), Plaintiff brings her First Cause of Action as a collective action under the FLSA on behalf and the following collective:

All persons employed by Defendants at any time since June 7, 2015 and through the entry of judgment in this case (the "Collective Action Period") who worked for Defendants as kitchen employees (the "Collective Action Members").

- 21. A collective action is appropriate in this circumstance because Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members are similarly situated, in that they were all subjected to Defendants' illegal policies including, but not limited to, failing to pay Plaintiff and similarly situated employees overtime premiums for all hours worked over forty (40) per workweek. As a result of this policy, Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members did not receive the legally required overtime premium payments for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) per week.
- 22. Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members had substantially similar job duties, work schedules, and were paid by Defendants pursuant to the same or substantially similar payment structure.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Defendants' Restaurant

- 23. At all relevant times, Defendants have been in the restaurant and food service business.
- 24. According to Defendants' website, Pierre's restaurant "is open for breakfast, lunch or brunch and dinner, 7 days a week, 365 days a year" (http://www.pierresbridgehampton.com) and Pierre's Market is open from 7:00 am to 7:00 pm, Sunday through Thursday, and 7:00 am to 9:00 pm, Fridays and Saturdays. (http://www.pierresbridgehampton.com/market_schedule).
- 25. Pierre's restaurant was established in 2002 and has been open every day since. (http://www.pierresbridgehampton.com/about_us).
- 26. Defendant Weber is a constant presence at Pierre's where he oversees the staff, including all kitchen staff, and ensures that the restaurant is run in accordance with his procedures and policies.

Plaintiff's Work for Defendants

- 27. <u>Plaintiff Gomez</u> was employed with Defendants from in or around 2005 to in or around September 3, 2017 (the "Gomez Employment Period").
- 28. During the relevant time period, Gomez worked for Defendants as a pastry chef and was responsible for preparing all baked goods and pastries.
- 29. Throughout the Gomez Employment Period, Gomez typically worked six (6) or seven (7) days per week, with a varied schedule depending on the season.
- 30. During the "slow" season, which typically encompasses October through April, with the exception of the holiday season, Gomez typically worked from approximately 5:00 am to approximately 12:00 pm or 1:00 pm, for a total of approximately forty-two (42) to fifty-six (56)

hours per week.

- 31. During the "busy" season, which typically encompasses May through September, Plaintiff worked the same days but longer hours such that she would typically work from 5:00 am to in or around 3:00 pm or 3:30 pm, for a total of approximately sixty (60) to approximately seventy-three and a half (73.5) hours per week.
- 32. During the "holiday" season, which typically encompasses the months of November and December, Plaintiff's hours increased due to an increase in production of holiday orders and specialty bakery items that are only manufactured during the holiday season. Specifically, during the holiday season, Plaintiff worked six (6) days per week from 5:00 am to approximately 4:00 pm or 5:00 pm, for a total of approximately sixty-six (66) to seventy-two (72) hours per week.
- 33. During the last approximately two (2) years of her employment period, with the exception of the months of November and December during which she worked seven (7) days per week, Plaintiff worked only five (5) days per week, for a total of approximately forty (40) to forty-five (45) hours.
- 34. For her work, from in or around 2012 through in or around 2013, Plaintiff Gomez was paid sixteen dollars (\$16.00) per hour. In or around 2013 through in or around 2016, Plaintiff Gomez was paid eighteen dollars (\$18.00) per hour. In or around 2016 until in or around July 2017, Plaintiff Gomez was paid nineteen dollars (\$19.00) per hour. In or around July 2017, Plaintiff Gomez's rate was increased to twenty (\$20.00) dollars per hour, which is where it remained until the end of her employment with Defendants.
- 35. Throughout the entire Gomez Employment Period, Plaintiff Gomez received all of her wages in cash inside a sheet of paper, without any breakdown as to her hours or wage rate(s),

from the hands of Defendant Weber.

- 36. Plaintiff complained to Defendant Weber about not receiving the proper overtime compensation and Defendant Weber responded that she does not receive overtime because she is paid cash and does not pay taxes.
- 37. Throughout the Gomez Employment Period, regardless of the number of hours she worked, Defendants failed to pay her overtime premiums of one-and-one-half (1.5) times her regular hourly rate for hours worked in excess of forty (40) per week.
- 38. Throughout the Gomez Employment Period, despite the fact that Gomez regularly worked shifts in excess of ten (10) hours, Defendants failed to pay Gomez spread-of-hours pay equal to an additional hour at the applicable minimum wage for such days.
- 39. During the Gomez Employment Period, Defendants tracked the hours worked by Gomez and their other employees on the cash register through the point of sale (POS) system. Plaintiff Gomez was assigned an employee identification number and required to clock in and out daily; however, the timekeeping system was set up in a manner in which the clock always rounded the time by fifteen (15) minutes in Defendants' favor.
- 40. Defendants have simultaneously employed other individuals like Plaintiff during the Collective Action Period and continuing until today, to perform work in various capacities in the kitchen in Defendants' restaurant.
- 41. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Gomez and other similarly situated employees with wage notices at the time of hire or on February 1 of each year.
- 42. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Gomez and other similarly situated employees with proper wage statements.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT – UNPAID OVERTIME (Brought on Behalf of Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members)

- 43. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the Collective Action Members, repeats and realleges each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs hereof with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 44. By failing to pay Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members overtime at a rate not less than one and one-half (1.5) times their regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of forty (40) hours per week, Defendants have violated and continue to violate the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.*, including 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a)(1) and 215(a)(2).
- 45. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 46. Defendants' failure to pay overtime caused Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members to suffer loss of wages and interest thereon. Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members are entitled to recover from Defendants their unpaid overtime compensation, damages for unreasonably delayed payment of wages, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION NEW YORK LABOR LAW – UNPAID OVERTIME (Brought on Behalf of Plaintiff and the Opt-In Plaintiffs)

- 47. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the Opt-In Plaintiffs, repeats and realleges each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs hereof with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 48. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiff's and the Opt-In Plaintiffs' rights by failing to pay overtime compensation at a rate of not less than one and one-half (1.5) times their regular

rate of pay for hours worked in excess of forty (40) per week, in violation of the NYLL and regulations promulgated thereunder.

49. Defendants' failure to pay overtime caused Plaintiff and the Opt-In Plaintiffs to suffer loss of wages and interest thereon. Plaintiff and the Opt-In Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants their unpaid overtime compensation, damages for unreasonably delayed payment of wages, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action pursuant to NYLL §§ 663(1) *et al.*

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION NEW YORK LABOR LAW – UNPAID SPREAD-OF-HOURS (Brought on Behalf of Plaintiffs and the Opt-In Plaintiffs)

- 50. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the Opt-In Plaintiffs, repeat and reallege each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs hereof with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 51. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiffs' and the Opt-In Plaintiffs' rights by failing to pay compensation in an amount equal to one hour's pay at the relevant minimum wage in all instances where the Opt-In Plaintiffs worked either a split shift or more than ten (10) hours per day, in violation of the NYLL §§ 650, *et seq.*, and the regulations promulgated thereunder including N.Y. Comp. Code R. & Regs. tit. 12, §§ 137-1.7 (2010), 146-1.6 (2012).
- 52. Defendants' failure to pay spread-of-hours compensation caused Plaintiffs and the Opt-In Plaintiffs to suffer loss of wages and interest thereon. Plaintiffs and the Opt-In Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants their unpaid spread-of-hours compensation, damages for unreasonably delayed payment of wages, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs and disbursements of the action pursuant to NYLL §§ 663(1) *et seq*.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION NEW YORK LABOR LAW – WAGE NOTICE VIOLATIONS (Brought on Behalf of Plaintiff and the Opt-In Plaintiffs)

- 53. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the Opt-In Plaintiffs, repeats and realleges each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs hereof with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 54. Defendants have willfully failed to supply Plaintiff and the Opt-In Plaintiffs notice as required by Article 6, § 195, on the date of hire and February 1 of each year, in English or in the language identified by Plaintiff and the Opt-In Plaintiffs as their primary language, containing Plaintiff's and Opt-In Plaintiffs' rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; hourly rate or rates of pay and overtime rate or rates of pay if applicable; the regular pay day designated by the employer in accordance with NYLL, Article 6, § 191; the name of the employer; or any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; the telephone number of the employer; plus such other information as the commissioner deems material and necessary.
- 55. Due to Defendants' violations of the NYLL, Plaintiff and the Opt-In Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants fifty dollars (\$50) per employee for each workweek that the violations occurred or continue to occur, or a total of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) per employee, as provided for by NYLL, Article 6, §§ 190 *et seq.*, liquidated damages as provided for by the NYLL, reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, and injunctive and declaratory relief.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION NEW YORK LABOR LAW – WAGE STATEMENT VIOLATIONS

(Brought on Behalf of Plaintiff and the Opt-In Plaintiffs)

- 64. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the Opt-In Plaintiffs, repeats and realleges each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs hereof with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 65. Defendants have willfully failed to supply Plaintiff and the Opt-In Plaintiffs a proper wage statement as required by Article 6, § 195(3).
- 66. Due to Defendants' violations of the NYLL, Plaintiff and the Opt-In Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants two hundred fifty dollars (\$250) per employee for each workweek that the violations occurred or continue to occur, or a total of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) per employee, as provided for by NYLL §§ 190 *et seq.*, liquidated damages as provided for by the NYLL, reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, and injunctive and declaratory relief.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated Collective Action

Members, respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- a. Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of the Collective Action Members and ordering the prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of an FLSA Opt-In Class, apprising them of the pendency of this action, permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual Consents to Sue pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and appointing Plaintiff and her counsel to represent the Collective Action Members;
- b. An order tolling the statute of limitations;

- A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA and the NYLL;
- d. An injunction against Defendants and its officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives and any and all persons acting in concert with Defendants, as provided by law, from engaging in each of the unlawful practices, policies and patterns set forth herein;
- e. An award of compensatory damages as a result of the Defendants' willful failure to pay overtime compensation pursuant to the FLSA and the NYLL and supporting regulations;
- f. An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of the Defendants' willful failure to pay overtime compensation pursuant to the FLSA and the NYLL and supporting regulations;
- g. An award of compensatory damages as a result of the Defendants' willful failure to pay spread-of-hours premiums compensation pursuant to the NYLL and supporting regulations;
- An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of the Defendants' willful failure to pay spread-of-hours premiums compensation pursuant to the NYLL and supporting regulations;
- i. Fifty dollars (\$50) per Plaintiff and each of the Opt-In Plaintiffs for each workweek that the violations of NYLL, Article 6 § 195 occurred or continue to occur, or a total of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) per Plaintiff and each of the Opt-In Plaintiffs as provided for by NYLL, Article 6 § 198(1)-b;
- j. Two hundred and fifty dollars (\$250) per Plaintiff and each of the Opt-In Plaintiffs

for each workweek that the violations of NYLL § 195 occurred or continue to occur, or a total of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) per Plaintiff and each of the Opt-In Plaintiffs as provided for by NYLL § 198(1)-d;

- k. An award of prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees; and
- m. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

Dated: New York, New York June 7, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

PELTON GRAHAM LLC

Brent E. Pelton (BP 1055)
pelton@peltongraham.com
Taylor Graham (TG 9607)
graham@peltongraham.com
111 Broadway, Suite 1503
New York, New York 10006

Telephone: (212) 385-9700 Facsimile: (212) 385-0800

Attorneys for Plaintiffs, the putative FLSA Collective

CONSENTIMIENTO PARA SER UN DEMANDANTE

Por mi firma abajo yo autorizo la presentación y tramitación de una acción legal bajo la Ley Federal de Normas Razonables de Trabajo y/o las Normas Laborales del Estado de Nueva York arriba mencionadas, en mi nombre y representación en contra de Pierre's Restaurant y sus respectivos propietarios, gerentes, oficiales, directores, sucesores, predecesores, subsidiarias y afiliados (el "Empleador"). Yo autorizo ser nombrado como demandante representativo en esta acción legal para tomar decisiones en nombre de otros demandantes a quienes pueda concernir el resultado de este proceso, el método y la manera en cómo debe llevarse a cabo este litigio, y la decisión de llegar a un acuerdo dentro de la causa y todo lo que concierna a los honorarios profesionales y costas del proceso y cualesquiera otras decisiones relacionadas con este litigio. Yo entiendo que estaré representado por Pelton Graham LLC sin tener que pagar por adelantado costas u honorarios de abogados. Yo entiendo que si los demandantes tienen éxito, los costos asumidos por los abogados en mi nombre serán deducidos de la porción de mi acuerdo en una conciliación o como resultado de una sentencia en juicio. Yo entiendo que mis Abogados podrán solicitar a la Corte que les sean retribuidos los honorarios y costas procesales por parte de los demandados en nombre mío. Yo entiendo que los valores de retención de los Abogados podrán ser ya sea el monto recibido por parte de los demandados o el monto aproximado de 1/3 (33.33%) del total del acuerdo de conciliación o del valor obtenido a través de la sentencia (incluyendo honorarios), cual sea la suma más alta.

Hirma.

Nombre Escrito

JS 44 (Rev. 01/29/2018)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS LOURDES GOMEZ, Individually and on behalf of All Others Similar Situated,										
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Suffolk (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 2 PELTON GRAHAM LLC 111 Broadway, Suite 150 (212) 385-9700	N			Attorneys (If Known)						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	AL PARTIES				
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff		1		TF DEF	Incorporated or Pri		PTF 4	DEF		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 🗇 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		5	5	
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation		5 6	□ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) PRTS	FC	DRFEITURE/PENALTY		here for: Nature o	A DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF T	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 46 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	X 71 RTY X 71 72 74 75 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	LABOR 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 10 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 11 Family and Medical Leave Act 10 Other Labor Litigation 12 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 1 Immigration Application 20 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions	422 App 423 With 28 U PROPE 820 Cop; 830 Pate; 835 Pate; New 840 Trad 861 HIA 862 Blac 863 DIW 864 SSII 865 RSI 870 Taxe or E 871 IRS 26 U	RTY RIGHTS yrights nt - Abbreviated Drug Application lemark SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	480 Consum 490 Cable/Si 480 Securitic Exchang 850 Securitic 891 Agricult 893 Environ 895 Freedom 896 Arbitrati 899 Adminis Act/Rev	aims Act (31 USC) (apportion) (b) (c) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d	ment ng ceed and tions odities/ actions atters mation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Cite the U.S. Civil Star 29 U.S.C. Sec. 20 Brief description of car FLSA failure to p.	Appellate Court atute under which you a 01 et seq Fair La ause: ay overtime premiu	re filing (I bor Star ıms	pened Anothe (specify Do not cite jurisdictional sta ndards Act	er District) tutes unless d		-	Multidis Litigatio Direct F	on - ile	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND S		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:		complai No		
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKI	ET NUMBER				
DATE 06/07/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORREY	DERECORD						
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE			

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

, Brent E. P	elton	, counsel for Plaintiff		, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil actio
s ineligib	ole for compulsory arbitration for the	following reason(s):		
L	monetary damages sought	are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive	of interest and o	costs,
<u> </u>	the complaint seeks injuncti	ve relief,		
L	the matter is otherwise ineli	gible for the following reason		
	DISCLOSURE	STATEMENT - FEDERA	L RULES C	IVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
		corporation and any publicly held co	orporation that or	wns 10% or more or its stocks:
None.				
	RELATED CA	ASE STATEMENT (Section	n VIII on th	e Front of this Form)
o another substantial deemed "re 'Presumpti	civil case for purposes of this guideline when saving of judicial resources is likely to resul elated" to another civil case merely because	n, because of the similarity of facts and leg t from assigning both cases to the same ju the civil case: (A) involves identical legal i	gal issues or becau udge and magistrat ssues, or (B) invol	nt of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related use the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a te judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be ves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that hall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still
		NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINE	SS RULE 5	0.1(d)(2)
1.)	Is the civil action being filed in County? Yes	the Eastern District removed No	from a New	York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk
2.)	If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions County? Yes	giving rise to the claim or cla	ims, or a sub	ostantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffoli
	b) Did the events or omissions District? Yes	giving rise to the claim or cla	iims, or a sub	ostantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern
	c) If this is a Fair Debt Collection received:	n Practice Act case, specify the C	County in whic	th the offending communication was
Suffolk (County, or, in <u>an</u> interpleader a <u>cti</u> oi	es the defendant (or a majority o n, does the claimant (or a majorit No	f the defendan y of the claima	ts, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or ants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or
	(Note: A corporation shall be cons	sidered a resident of the County	in which it has	the most significant contacts).
		BAR AD	MISSION	
	I am currently admitted in the Eas	tern District of New York and cur	rently a memb	per in good standing of the bar of this court.
		Yes		No
	Are you currently the subject of	of any disciplinary action (s) in	this or any o	
		Yes (If yes, please expla	iin 🛂	No
	I certify the accuracy of all info	rmation provided above.		
	7 8	K		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

LOURDES GOMEZ, Individually and on behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiff v. LVEB, LLC d/b/a PIERRE'S OF BRIDGEHAMPTON and PIERRE WEBER, Jointly and Severally, Defendant)) Civil Action No. 18-cv-3356))
SUMMONS IN A	A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) LVEB, LLC 2468 Main Street, Bridgeha	ampton, New York 11932
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
	n must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, (212) 385-0800
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be a You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	CLERK OF COURT
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 18-cv-3356

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (na	me of individual and title, if	any)								
was re	ceived by me on (date)		•								
	☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at (place)										
				on (date)	; or						
	☐ I left the summons	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)									
		, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,									
	on (date)	, and mailed	a copy to the indiv	idual's last known address; or							
	☐ I served the summ		, who is								
	designated by law to	accept service of proce		ne of organization)							
				on (date)	; or						
	☐ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted becar	use			; or					
	☐ Other (<i>specify</i>):										
	My fees are \$	for travel and	d\$	for services, for a total of \$		0.00					
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.										
Date:		_		Server's signature							
		_		Printed name and title							
		_		Server's address							

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

LOURDES GOMEZ, Individually and on behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiff v. LVEB, LLC d/b/a PIERRE'S OF BRIDGEHAMPTON and PIERRE WEBER, Jointly and Severally, Defendant))) Civil Action No. 18-cv-3356))
SUMMONS I	N A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) Pierre Weber 2468 Main Street, Bridge	ehampton, New York 11932
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	you (not counting the day you received it) or 60 days if you
are the United States or a United States agency, or an off P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an a	03 x: (212) 385-0800
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court	be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	CLERK OF COURT
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 18-cv-3356

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (na	me of individual and title, if	any)								
was re	ceived by me on (date)		•								
	☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at (place)										
				on (date)	; or						
	☐ I left the summons	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)									
		, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,									
	on (date)	, and mailed	a copy to the indiv	idual's last known address; or							
	☐ I served the summ		, who is								
	designated by law to	accept service of proce		ne of organization)							
				on (date)	; or						
	☐ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted becar	use			; or					
	☐ Other (<i>specify</i>):										
	My fees are \$	for travel and	d\$	for services, for a total of \$		0.00					
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.										
Date:		_		Server's signature							
		_		Printed name and title							
		_		Server's address							

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Pierre's of Bridgehampton Facing Ex-Pastry Chef's Wage and Hour Lawsuit</u>