UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN MILWAUKEE DIVISION

KEANNA GOCHET, Individually and on Behalf) Case No.: 18-cv-560
of All Others Similarly Situated,) CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
Plaintiff,)
VS.	ý)
FRANKLIN COLLECTION SERVICE, INC.,) Jury Trial Demanded))
Defendant.	

INTRODUCTION

1. This class action seeks redress for collection practices that violate the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq*. (the "FDCPA") and the Wisconsin Consumer Act, Ch. 421-427, Wis. Stats.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. The court has jurisdiction to grant the relief sought by the Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337, and 1367. Venue in this District is proper in that Defendant directed its collection efforts into the District.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Keanna Gochet is an individual who resides in the Eastern District of Wisconsin (Milwaukee County).
- 4. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined in the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3), in that Defendant sought to collect from Plaintiff a debt allegedly incurred for personal, family or household purposes, namely a home telecommunications services bill.
- 5. Plaintiff is a "customer" as defined in the Wisconsin Consumer Act, Wis. Stat. § 421.301(17), in that she engaged in a consumer transaction.

- 6. Defendant Franklin Collection Service, Inc. ("FCS") is a foreign corporation with its primary offices located at 2978 W. Jackson St., Tupelo, MS 38801.
- 7. FCS is engaged in the business of a collection agency, using the mails and telephone to collect consumer debts originally owed to others.
- 8. FCS is engaged in the business of collecting debts owed to others and incurred for personal, family or household purposes.
- 9. FCS is a debt collector as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a and Wis. Stat. § 427.103(3).

FACTS

- 10. On or about November 22, 2017, FCS mailed a debt collection letter to Plaintiff regarding an alleged debt owed to "AT&T." A copy of the letter is attached to this complaint as Exhibit A.
- 11. Upon information and belief, <u>Exhibit A</u> is a form letter, generated by computer, and with the information specific to Plaintiff inserted by hand.
- 12. Upon information and belief, <u>Exhibit A</u> is a form debt collection letter used by FCS to attempt to collect the alleged debt.
- 13. The alleged debt referenced in <u>Exhibit A</u> was incurred for personal telecommunications services. Thus, the alleged debt is an unsecured debt that was incurred for personal, family or household purposes.
 - 14. The debt referenced in Exhibit A was not secured.

Illegible Balance

- 15. <u>Exhibit A</u> states the balance of the alleged debt in a deceptive and misleading manner.
 - 16. Exhibit A contains the following:

YOUR DELINQUENT ACCOUNT WITH AMOUNT DUE

TO BE SURE OF PROPER CREDIT AND TO STOP FURTHER PROCEDURES, RETURN THIS NOTICE WITH PAYMENT IN FULL TO FRANKLIN COLLECTION SERVICE, INC.

Exhibit A.

17. On the face of Exhibit A, it is impossible to determine the "Amount Due" --- because the amount was handwritten, the amount stated could be \$261.19, \$262.19, \$263.19, \$266.19, \$267.19, or some other amount.

18. FCS exacerbates the confusion by directing the consumer to "return this notice with payment in full." Assuming the debtor actually tendered a \$261.19 payment but FCS was actually attempting to collect some greater amount, the debtor would have no record of the amount stated in Exhibit A unless she happened to make a copy of Exhibit A.

19. Upon information and belief, FCS intentionally states the amount owed in a confusing and misleading manner to induce debtors to call them so that they may use high-pressure tactics to induce payment. *DeGeorge v. Fin. Recovery Servs.*, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 140966, at *25 (E.D. Penn. Sept. 27, 2012) (plaintiff stated claim that defendant engaged in unfair debt collection practices by sending letters that "required plaintiff to contact defendant to take advantage of a discount, thereby exposing plaintiff to additional pressures of in-person communication."); *see also, Miller v. McCalla, Raymer, Padrick, Cobb, Nichols & Clark, L.L.C.*, 214 F.3d 872, 875 (7th Cir. 2000) ("trying to get through to an 800 number is often a vexing and protracted undertaking, and anyway, unless the number is recorded, to authorize debt collectors to comply orally would be an invitation to just the sort of fraudulent and coercive tactics in debt collection that the Act aimed (rightly or wrongly) to put an end to.").

False litigation threat

20. Exhibit A falsely threatens litigation against Plaintiff.

21. Exhibit A contains the following:

WE HAVE MADE SEVERAL ATTEMPTS FOR YOU TO RESOLVE THIS MATTER VOLUNTARILY,

Exhibit A.

22. Exhibit A also contains the following:

WE SELDOM RESORT TO LITIGATION IF THE ACCOUNT IS RESOLVED IN A TIMELY MANNER. AT THIS TIME, THERE HAS BEEN NO CIVIL ACTION FILED OR JUDGMENT OBTAINED.

Exhibit A.

- 23. Alongside the statement that FCS has "made several attempts for you to resolve this matter voluntarily," the unsophisticated consumer would interpret FCS' statements that: "We seldom resort to litigation if the account is resolved in a timely manner. At this time, there has been no civil action filed or judgment obtained," as a threat of imminent legal action.
- 24. <u>Exhibit A</u> represents that, after "several attempts for you to resolve this matter voluntarily," litigation may be imminent because the account has not been "resolved in a timely manner."
- 25. Debt collection letters that falsely "communicate that a lawsuit is not merely a possibility, but that a decision to pursue legal action is either imminent or has already been made," violate the FDCPA. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5); *Jenkins v. Union Corp.*, 999 F. Supp. 1120, 1136 (N.D. Ill. 1998); *Pipiles v. Credit Bureau of Lockport, Inc.*, 886 F.2d 22, 24-26 (2d Cir. 1989).
- 26. A debt collector falsely threatens an alleged debtor when the debt collector threatens an action but does not intend to take that action for at least sixty days. *E.g., Talbott v. GC Servs. Ltd. P'ship.*, 53 F. Supp. 2d 846, 852 n.2 (W.D. Va. May 26, 1999) ("violations have generally been where the letter issued falsely threatened legal action or threatened to make

immediate credit reports where none was to be made for at least 60 days."); *Creighton v. Emporia Credit Serv.*, 981 F. Supp. 411, 415 (E.D. Va. Oct. 9, 1997).

- 27. Even indirect or oblique threats of legal action give rise to liability, provided they indicate that "legal action is underway or contemplated in the near future." *Jenkins*, 999 F. Supp. At 1136; *Bloodworth v. United Credit Serv.*, No. 15-cv-0502, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 49129 *4-6 (E.D. Wis. Apr. 12, 2016) ("This five-day deadline combined with the statement that delay would not be tolerated could give the unsophisticated consumer a sense that further action was imminent.").
 - 28. FCS is an out-of-state debt collector and is not a law firm.
- 29. FCS has no ability to sue Plaintiff to collect an alleged debt owed to AT&T. AT&T would be the real party in interest in any lawsuit.
 - 30. Moreover, FCS has no insight into or control over AT&T's litigation strategies.
- 31. Upon information and belief, FCS had no knowledge whether AT&T intended to sue Plaintiff or any class member to collect the alleged debts.
- 32. A search of CCAP reveals that, as of March 28, 2018, AT&T had not sued Plaintiff to collect the alleged debt identified in Exhibit A.
 - 33. The alleged debt referenced in Exhibit A is in an amount of about \$262.19.
- 34. Upon information and belief, at the time Exhibit A was mailed, AT&T had no intention to sue Plaintiff to collect a \$262.19 debt.
- 35. Even if the unsophisticated consumer did not perceive the statement that "We seldom resort to litigation" (emphasis added) as a threat of imminent legal action, it is a material representation that statement that FCS does, in fact, sue consumers in Wisconsin courts.
 - 36. Upon information and belief, FCS does not sue consumers in Wisconsin courts.

- 37. A search of CCAP reveals that, as of February 26, 2018, there were no lawsuits filed in the Wisconsin courts by any entity named "FCS," "Franklin Collection Service," or "Franklin Collection Service, Inc."
- 38. Even if the consumer would not believe that a lawsuit was imminent, FCS' false representation that "we seldom resort to litigation" is a material misrepresentation that would factor into the unsophisticated consumer's prioritization of her debts.
 - 39. Exhibit A also contains the following:

Employment Verified
Assets Located

Exhibit A.

- 40. <u>Exhibit A</u> represents to the unsophisticated consumer that FCS is regularly engaged in investigative work, including verifying alleged debtors' employment and assets.
- 41. <u>Exhibit A</u> threatens the unsophisticated consumer with the prospect that FCS will conduct investigate the alleged debtor's employment history, sources of income, and assets.
- 42. Upon information and belief, FCS does not regularly conduct investigative work to determine debtors' employment and/or income status.
- 43. Upon information and belief, neither AT&T nor FCS intended for FCS to conduct investigative work to determine Plaintiff's employment and/or income status.
- 44. Upon information and belief, FCS does not regularly conduct investigative work to determine the extent and location of debtors' assets.
- 45. Upon information and belief, neither AT&T nor FCS intended for FCS to conduct investigative work to determine the extent and location of Plaintiff's assets.
- 46. <u>Exhibit A</u> falsely represents or implies that nonpayment of the debt FCS is attempting to collect will result in the seizure, garnishment, attachment, or sale of the consumer's

property or wages, even though FCS does intend to take such action and has no knowledge or belief as to whether AT&T intends to take such action.

- 47. <u>Exhibit A</u> falsely represents or implies that nonpayment of the debt FCS is attempting to collect will result in nonjudicial action to effect dispossession of Plaintiff's personal property to satisfy an unsecured debt.
- 48. The false representations that FCS regularly verifies employment and locates assets are made with the purpose and effect of inducing anxiety in the unsophisticated consumer, and increasing the priority of the debts that FCS is collecting under false pretenses. *See, eg. Beasley v. Collectors Training Inst., Inc.*, No. 98 C 8113, 1999 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 13275 *6-7 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 17, 1999) ("In sum, defendants' brief search was contrary to the intrusive pursuit avowed in the letter and belies an intent to comply with the letter's warnings.")
 - 49. Plaintiff was confused by Exhibit A.
 - 50. The unsophisticated consumer would be confused by Exhibit A.
 - 51. Plaintiff had to spend time and money investigating Exhibit A.
- 52. Plaintiff had to take time to obtain and meet with counsel, including traveling to counsel's office by car and its related expenses, including but not limited to the cost of gasoline and mileage, to advise Plaintiff on the consequences of Exhibit A.

The FDCPA

53. The FDCPA creates substantive rights for consumers; violations cause injury to consumers, and such injuries are concrete and particularized. *Pogorzelski v. Patenaude & Felix APC*, No. 16-C-1330, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 89678 *9 (E.D. Wis. June 12, 2017) ("A plaintiff who receives misinformation from a debt collector has suffered the type of injury the FDCPA was intended to protect against."); *Spuhler v. State Collection Servs.*, No. 16-CV-1149, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 177631 (E.D. Wis. Oct. 26, 2017) ("As in Pogorzelski, the Spuhlers'

allegations that the debt collection letters sent by State Collection contained false representations of the character, amount, or legal status of a debt in violation of their rights under the FDCPA sufficiently pleads a concrete injury-in-fact for purposes of standing."); Lorang v. Ditech Fin. LLC, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 169286, at *6 (W.D. Wis. Oct. 13, 2017) ("the weight of authority in this circuit is that a misrepresentation about a debt is a sufficient injury for standing because a primary purpose of the FDCPA is to protect consumers from receiving false and misleading information."); Qualls v. T-H Prof'l & Med. Collections, Ltd., 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 113037, at *8 (C.D. Ill. July 20, 2017) ("Courts in this Circuit, both before and after Spokeo, have rejected similar challenges to standing in FDCPA cases.") (citing "Hayes v. Convergent Healthcare Recoveries, Inc., 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 139743 (C.D. Ill. 2016)); Long v. Fenton & McGarvey Law Firm P.S.C., 223 F. Supp. 3d 773, 777 (S.D. Ind. Dec. 9, 2016) ("While courts have found that violations of other statutes . . . do not create concrete injuries in fact, violations of the FDCPA are distinguishable from these other statutes and have been repeatedly found to establish concrete injuries."); Bock v. Pressler & Pressler, LLP, No. 11-7593, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 81058 *21 (D.N.J. May 25, 2017) ("through [s]ection 1692e of the FDCPA, Congress established 'an enforceable right to truthful information concerning' debt collection practices, a decision that 'was undoubtedly influenced by congressional awareness that the intentional provision of misinformation' related to such practices, 'contribute[s] to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy,"); Quinn v. Specialized Loan Servicing, LLC, No. 16 C 2021, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 107299 *8-13 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 11, 2016) (rejecting challenge to Plaintiff's standing based upon alleged FDCPA statutory violation); Lane v. Bayview Loan Servicing, LLC, No. 15 C 10446, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 89258 *9-10 (N.D. Ill. July 11, 2016) ("When a federal statute is violated, and especially when Congress has created a cause of action for its violation, by definition Congress has created a legally protected interest that it deems important enough for a lawsuit."); *Church v. Accretive Health, Inc.*, No. 15-15708, 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 12414 *7-11 (11th Cir. July 6, 2016) (same); *see also Mogg v. Jacobs*, No. 15-CV-1142-JPG-DGW, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 33229, 2016 WL 1029396, at *5 (S.D. Ill. Mar. 15, 2016) ("Congress does have the power to enact statutes creating legal rights, the invasion of which creates standing, even though no injury would exist without the statute," (quoting *Sterk v. Redbox Automated Retail, LLC*, 770 F.3d 618, 623 (7th Cir. 2014)). For this reason, and to encourage consumers to bring FDCPA actions, Congress authorized an award of statutory damages for violations. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a).

- 54. Moreover, Congress has explicitly described the FDCPA as regulating "abusive practices" in debt collection. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(a) 1692(e). Any person who receives a debt collection letter containing a violation of the FDCPA is a victim of abusive practices. *See* 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(e) ("It is the purpose of this subchapter to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses").
- 55. The FDCPA prohibits "any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 56. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(a) specifically prohibits "the false representation of the character, amount, or legal status of any debt."
- 57. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(4) specifically prohibits "the representation or implication that nonpayment of any debt will result in . . . the seizure, garnishment, attachment, or sale of any

property or wages of any person unless such action is lawful and the debt collector or creditor intends to take such action."

- 58. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5) specifically prohibits "The threat to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken."
- 59. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(6)(B) specifically prohibits "the false representation that a sale, referral, or other transfer of any interest in a debt shall cause the consumer to become subject to any practice prohibited by this subchapter."
- 60. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) specifically prohibits the "use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt."
- 61. 15 U.S.C. § 1692f generally prohibits the use of any "unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt."
- 62. 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(1) specifically prohibits "the collection of any amount (including any interest, fee, charge, or expense incidental to the principal obligation) unless such amount is expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law."
- 63. 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(6) specifically prohibits "taking or threatening to take any nonjudicial action to effect dispossession or disablement of property if---
 - (A) There is no present right to possession of the property claimed as collateral through an enforceable security interest;
 - (B) There is no present intention to take possession of the property; or
 - (C) The property is exempt by law from such dispossession or disablement.

The WCA

- 64. The Wisconsin Consumer Act ("WCA") was enacted to protect consumers against unfair, deceptive, and unconscionable business practices and to encourage development of fair and economically sound practices in consumer transactions. Wis. Stat. § 421.102(2).
- 65. The Wisconsin Supreme Court has favorably cited authority finding that the WCA "goes further to protect consumer interests than any other such legislation in the country," and is "probably the most sweeping consumer credit legislation yet enacted in any state." *Kett* v. *Community Credit Plan, Inc.*, 228 Wis. 2d 1, 18 n.15, 596 N.W.2d 786 (1999) (citations omitted).
- 66. To further these goals, the Act's protections must be "liberally construed and applied." Wis. Stat. § 421.102(1); see also § 425.301.
- 67. "The basic purpose of the remedies set forth in Chapter 425, Stats., is to induce compliance with the WCA and thereby promote its underlying objectives." *First Wisconsin Nat'l Bank v. Nicolaou*, 113 Wis. 2d 524, 533, 335 N.W.2d 390 (1983). Thus, private actions under the WCA are designed to both benefit consumers whose rights have been violated and also competitors of the violators, whose competitive advantage should not be diminished because of their compliance with the law.
- 68. To carry out this intent, the WCA provides Wisconsin consumers with an array of protections and legal remedies. The Act contains significant and sweeping restrictions on the activities of those attempting to collect debts. *See* Wis. Stats. § 427.104.
- 69. The Act limits the amounts and types of additional fees that may be charged to consumers in conjunction with transactions. Wis. Stats. § 422.202(1). The Act also provides injured consumers with causes of action for class-wide statutory and actual damages and injunctive remedies against defendants on behalf of all customers who suffer similar injuries. *See*

- Wis. Stats. §§ 426.110(1); § 426.110(4)(e). Finally, "a customer may not waive or agree to forego rights or benefits under [the Act]." Wis. Stat. § 421.106(1).
- 70. Consumers' WCA claims under Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1) are analyzed using the same methods as claims under the FDCPA. Indeed, the WCA itself requires that the court analyze the WCA "in accordance with the policies underlying a federal consumer credit protection act," including the FDCPA. Wis. Stat. § 421.102(1).
- 71. Further, the Wisconsin Supreme Court has held that WCA claims relating to debt collection are to be analyzed under the "unsophisticated consumer" standard. *Brunton v. Nuvell Credit Corp.*, 785 N.W.2d 302, 314-15. In *Brunton*, the Wisconsin Supreme Court explicitly adopted and followed the "unsophisticated consumer" standard, citing and discussing *Gammon v. GC Servs. Ltd. P'ship*, 27 F.3d 1254, 1257 (7th Cir. 1994). *Id.*
- 72. Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1)(g) states that a debt collector may not: "Communicate with the customer or a person related to the customer with such frequency of at such unusual hours or in such a manner as can reasonably be expected to threaten or harass the customer."
- 73. Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1)(h) states that a debt collector may not: "Engage in other conduct . . . in such a manner as can reasonably be expected to threaten or harass the customer."
- 74. Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1)(k) specifically prohibits a debt collector from using "a communication which simulates legal or judicial process or which gives the appearance of being authorized, issued or approved by a government, governmental agency or attorney—at—law when it is not.
- 75. Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1)(L) specifically prohibits a debt collector from "Threaten[ing] action against the customer unless like action is taken in regular course or is intended with respect to the particular debt."

COUNT I -- FDCPA

- 76. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
 - 77. The balance stated in Exhibit A is illegible.
 - 78. Exhibit A states the balance in a deceptive and confusing manner.
 - 79. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(A), and 1692f(1).

COUNT II – FDCPA

- 80. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 81. <u>Exhibit A</u> states that FCS has "made several attempts for you to resolve this matter voluntarily."
- 82. <u>Exhibit A</u> further states that, while FCS has not filed a suit "at this time," it resorts to litigation when accounts are not "resolved in a timely manner."
 - 83. <u>Exhibit A</u> threatens litigation against the recipient.
- 84. FCS, in fact, had no authority or ability to sue Plaintiff to collect a debt allegedly owed to AT&T.
- 85. FCS does not, in fact, "seldom resort to litigation" in the Wisconsin courts because FCS is not authorized to practice law in the State of Wisconsin.
 - 86. AT&T has not yet sued Plaintiff and has no intention to sue Plaintiff for this debt.
 - 87. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(5) and 1692e(10).

COUNT III – FDCPA

88. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

- 89. <u>Exhibit A</u> falsely threatens the consumer with an intrusive investigation into her employment and income status.
- 90. <u>Exhibit A</u> falsely threatens the consumer with nonjudicial dispossession of her personal property to satisfy an unsecured debt.
- 91. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(4), 1692e(5), 1692e(6), 1692e(10), 1692f, and 1692f(6).

COUNT IV – WCA

- 92. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
 - 93. Exhibit A falsely represents that FCS would sue Plaintiff.
- 94. In fact, FCS had no authority to sue Plaintiff, and AT&T had not determined whether it would sue Plaintiff or any class member when Exhibit A was mailed to the class.
- 95. <u>Exhibit A</u> falsely threatens the consumer with an intrusive investigation into her employment and income status.
- 96. <u>Exhibit A</u> falsely threatens the consumer with nonjudicial dispossession of her personal property to satisfy an unsecured debt.
- 97. FCS violated Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1)(g), 427.104(1)(h), 427.104(1)(j), and 427.104(1)(L).

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

98. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of a Class, consisting of (a) all natural persons in the State of Wisconsin (b) who were sent a collection letter in the form represented by Exhibit A to the complaint in this action, (c) seeking to collect a debt for personal, family or

household purposes, (d) between April 10, 2017 and April 10, 2018, inclusive, (e) that was not returned by the postal service.

- 99. The Class is so numerous that joinder is impracticable. Upon information and belief, there are more than 50 members of the Class.
- 100. There are questions of law and fact common to the members of the class, which common questions predominate over any questions that affect only individual class members. The predominant common question is whether the Defendant complied with the FDCPA and the WCA.
- 101. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class members. All are based on the same factual and legal theories.
- 102. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the Class members. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in consumer credit and debt collection abuse cases.
- 103. A class action is superior to other alternative methods of adjudicating this dispute. Individual cases are not economically feasible.

JURY DEMAND

104. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that the Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and the Class and against Defendant for:

- (a) actual damages;
- (b) statutory damages;
- (c) attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and costs of suit; and
- (d) such other or further relief as the Court deems proper.

Dated: April 10, 2018

ADEMI & O'REILLY, LLP

By: /s/ Mark A. Eldridge

John D. Blythin (SBN 1046105)
Mark A. Eldridge (SBN 1089944)
Jesse Fruchter (SBN 1097673)
Ben J. Slatky (SBN 1106892)
3620 East Layton Avenue
Cudahy, WI 53110
(414) 482-8000
(414) 482-8001 (fax)
jblythin@ademilaw.com
meldridge@ademilaw.com
jfruchter@ademilaw.com
bslatky@ademilaw.com

EXHIBIT A

The Collection Firm

of

FRANKLIN COLLECTION SERVICE, INC. P. O. Box 3910 Tupelo, MS 38803

WE HAVE MADE SEVERAL ATTEMPTS FOR YOU TO RESOLVE THIS MATTER VOLUNTARILY, YET IT GOES UNSATISFIED AS OF THE DATE ON THIS NOTICE. WE SELDOM RESORT TO LITIGATION IF THE ACCOUNT IS RESOLVED IN A TIMELY MANNER. AT THIS TIME, THERE HAS BEEN NO CIVIL ACTION FILED OR JUDGMENT OBTAINED. TO PAY IN FULL IMMEDIATELY YOU MAY CALL OUR PAY ANYTIME LINE, 866-319-0760, VISIT OUR WEBSITE, WWW.FRANKLINSERVICE.COM, OR CALL OUR TOLL FREE NUMBER 1-888-215-8961. THIS COMMUNICATION IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR. THIS IS AN ATTEMPT TO COLLECT A DEBT, AND ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

Employment Verified

Assets Located

Toll free: 1-888-215-8961

Leanna Hohet

Hyou call, I will try to holp

Unit Manager 13 class color WITH AMOUNT DUE

ATTT 262,19

TO BE SURE OF PROPER CREDIT AND TO STOP FURTHER PROCEDURES, RETURN THIS NOTICE WITH PAYMENT IN FULL TO FRANKLIN COLLECTION SERVICE, INC.

GB3/15

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

Place an X in the appropriate Bo	ox: Green	Bay Division	V	Milwaukee Division	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
KEANNA GOO	CHET		FRANKLIN (COLLECTION SER	RVICE INC
(b) County of Residence of (EXC	First Listed Plaintiff CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	Milwaukee	County of Residence of	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	ONLY)
				O CONDEMNATION CASES, US INVOLVED.	SE THE LOCATION OF THE
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, A	ddress, and Telephone Numbe	er)	Attorneys (If Known)		
Ademi & O'Reilly, LLP, 362 (414) 482-8000-Telephone (20 E. Layton Ave., Cudahy, WI (414) 482-8001-Facsimile	53110			
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	CTION (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	Not a Party)		PTF DEF 1	PTF DEF
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and I of Business In A	· — —
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Greign Nation	6 6 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Or		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment □ £ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans □ (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise ■ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application 463 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee 465 Other Immigration Actions	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
☑ 1 Original ☐ 2 Rem	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 15 U.S.C. 1692 et seq Brief description of ca	Appellate Court tute under which you are fi	Reopened another (specific price in the control of		Judgment
VII. REQUESTED IN		Collection Practices Act and IS A CLASS ACTION	Wisconsin Consumer Act DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P.			JURY DEMAND	
VIII. RELATED CASE(IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR			
April 10, 2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		/s/ Mark A. E	ldridge		

- Case 2:18-cv-00560 Filed 04/10/18 Page 1 of 2 Document 1-2

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- **II. Jurisdiction**. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example:

U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553

Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Wisconsin

)
KEANNA G	ОСНЕТ,))
	(s)	_ '
v.		Civil Action No. 18-cv-560
)
FRANKLIN COLLECTI	ON SERVICE, INC.,)
	$\overline{nt(s)}$	_ ′)
	CLIMANAON	CANA CINA ACTION
	SUMMON	S IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	FRANKLIN COLLECTI c/o CT CORPORATION 8040 Excelsior Drive, Su Madison, Wisconsin 537	SYSTEM ite 200
A lawsuit has been file	ed against you.	
the United States or a United 12(a)(2) or (3) – you must se	States agency, or an off rve on the plaintiff an a	on you (not counting the day you receive it) – or 60 days if you are ficer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. nswer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the on must be served on the plaintiff or the plaintiff's attorney, whose
If you fail to respond, You also must file your answe		ll be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
		STEPHEN C. DRIES, CLERK OF COURT
Date:		
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 18-cv-560

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(l))

ceived by me on (date)	·		
☐ I personally served t	the summons and the attached con	applaint on the individual at (place):	
		on (date)	; or
☐ I left the summons a	and the attached complaint at the i	ndividual's residence or usual place of a	abode with
	, a <u>r</u>	erson of suitable age and discretion wh	o resides t
on (date)	, and mailed a copy	to the individual's last known address;	or
☐ I served the summon	ns and the attached complaint on (name of individual)	
who is designated by lav	w to accept service of process on 1	pehalf of (name of organization)	
\mathcal{C}	w to accept service of process on t		
	w to decept service of process on t	on (date)	; or
		on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the summ	ons unexecuted because	On (date)	; or
☐ I returned the summ		On (date)	; or
☐ I returned the summ ☐ Other (specify):	ons unexecuted because	On (date)	; or
☐ I returned the summ ☐ Other (specify): My fees are \$	ons unexecuted because	on (date) for services, for a total of \$; or
☐ I returned the summ ☐ Other (specify): My fees are \$	for travel and \$	on (date) for services, for a total of \$; or
☐ I returned the summ ☐ Other (specify): My fees are \$	for travel and \$	on (date) for services, for a total of \$; or
☐ I returned the summ ☐ Other (specify): My fees are \$ I declare under penalty of	for travel and \$	on (date) for services, for a total of \$ crue.	; or
☐ I returned the summ ☐ Other (specify): My fees are \$ I declare under penalty of	for travel and \$	on (date) for services, for a total of \$ rue. Server's signature	; or

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Class Action Filed Against Franklin Collection Service Over Handwritten Collection Letter</u>