UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

GLOW MEDISPA, LLC, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

No.

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION

SENTINEL INSURANCE COMPANY, LIMITED,

v.

JURY DEMAND

Defendant.

I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff Glow Medispa, LLC ("Glow Medispa"), individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated members of the defined national class and Washington State sub-classes (the "Class Members"), by and through the undersigned attorneys, brings this class action against Defendant Sentinel Insurance Company, Limited ("Sentinel or "Defendant") and alleges as follows based on personal knowledge and information and belief:

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because at least one member of the proposed Class and Washington subclass is a citizen of a state different from that of Defendant, the proposed Class COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 1 KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

> 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

and subclass each consist of more than 100 class members, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

- 2. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant registered to do business in Washington, has sufficient minimum contacts in Washington, and otherwise intentionally avails itself of the markets within Washington through its business activities, such that the exercise of jurisdiction by this Court is proper. Moreover, the claims of Plaintiff and all of the Washington subclass members in this case arise out of and directly relate to Defendant's contacts with Washington.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(3) because the Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant, a substantial portion the alleged wrongdoing occurred in this District and the state of Washington, and Defendant has sufficient contacts with this District and the state of Washington.
- 4. Venue is proper in the Western District of Washington pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims at issue in this Complaint arose in this District. Plaintiff's place of business is located in Seattle and Kirkland, King County. This action is therefore appropriately filed in the Seattle Division because a substantial portion of the events giving rise to this lawsuit arose in King County.

III. PARTIES

5. Plaintiff Glow Medispa owns and operates a medical spa business located at 4409 California Ave. SW, Suite 100, Seattle, WA 98116.

- 6. Defendant Sentinel Insurance Company, Limited is an insurance carrier incorporated and domiciled in Connecticut, with its principal place of business in Hartford, Connecticut.
- 7. Sentinel is authorized to write, sell, and issue business insurance policies in Washington, all other forty-nine states, and the District of Columbia. Sentinel conducted business within Washington State and the other municipalities by selling and insuring business insurance to policyholders, including Glow Medispa.

IV. NATURE OF THE CASE

- 8. Glow Medispa is a full-service medical spa that offers services such as laser hair removal, microdermabrasion, dermaplaning, microneedling, temporomandibular joint (TMJ) disorder and migraine relief, and non-invasive facelifts.
- 9. Due to COVID-19 and a state-ordered mandated closure, Plaintiff's medical spa business has been interrupted, curtailed, and suspended.
- 10. Plaintiff intended to rely on its business insurance to maintain income in case of an insured loss. This lawsuit is filed to ensure that Plaintiff and other similarly-situated policyholders receive the insurance benefits to which they are entitled and for which they paid.
- 11. Sentinel issued one or more insurance policies to Plaintiff, including Spectrum Business Owner's Policy and related endorsements, insuring Plaintiff's property and business and other coverages from December 10, 2019 to December 10, 2020.
- 12. Plaintiff's business property includes property owned and/or leased by Plaintiff and used for general business purposes for the specific purpose of medical spa services and other related business activities.

- 13. Sentinel's insurance policy issued to Plaintiff promises to pay Plaintiff for "direct physical loss of or physical damage to" covered property.
- 14. Sentinel's insurance policy issued to Plaintiff includes Business Income
 Coverage, Extra Expense Coverage, Extended Business Income Coverage and Civil Authority
 Coverage.
 - 15. Plaintiff paid all premiums for the coverage when due.
- 16. On or about January 2020, the United States of America saw its first cases of persons infected by COVID-19, which has been designated a worldwide pandemic.
- 17. On February 29, 2020, Washington Governor Jay Inslee issued Proclamation 20-5, declaring a State of Emergency for all counties in the state of Washington as the result of COVID-19. Thereafter, he issued a series of certain proclamations and orders affecting many persons and businesses in Washington, whether infected with COVID-19 or not, requiring certain public health precautions.
- 18. On March 16, 2020, Governor Inslee issued Proclamation 20-14, "Reduction of Statewide Limits on Gatherings." The proclamation prohibits "[gathering] activities of less than 50 people . . . unless organizers of those activities comply with social distancing and sanitation measures established by the United States Center for Disease Control and Prevention of the Washington State Department of Health guidelines."
- 19. On March 23, 2020, Governor Inslee issued Proclamation 20-25, "Stay Home—State Healthy." The proclamation requires that "[a]ll people in Washington State [] immediately cease leaving their home or place of residence except: (1) to conduct or participate in essential activities, and/or (2) for employment in essential business activities." The proclamation prohibits

25

26

"all non-essential businesses in Washington State from conducting business, within the limitations provided herein."

- 20. Governor Inslee has extended Proclamation 20-25 until May 31, 2020.
- 21. Plaintiff was not designated to be an "essential business" by Governor Inslee.
- 22. By order of Governor Inslee, medical spas including Plaintiff were prohibited from operating their businesses except according to the terms of the proclamations and orders.
 - 23. Since March 17, 2020, Plaintiff's business has suffered a suspension.
- 24. Plaintiff has not been able to use its business for its insured purpose of providing medical spa and skin care services to the extent for which Sentinel has insured its premises.
 - 25. No COVID-19 virus has been detected on Plaintiff's business premises.
- 26. Plaintiff's property has sustained direct physical loss and/or damage related to COVID-19 and/or the proclamations and orders.
- 27. Plaintiff's property will continue to sustain direct physical loss or damage covered by the Sentinel policy or policies, including but not limited to business interruption, extra expense, interruption by civil authority, and other expenses.
 - 28. Plaintiff's property cannot be fully used for its intended purposes.
- 29. As a result of the above, Plaintiff has experienced and will experience loss covered by the Sentinel policy or policies.
- 30. Plaintiff submitted a claim to Sentinel for losses covered by the Policy. Sentinel denied coverage.
- 31. Upon information and belief, Sentinel has denied and will deny all similar claims for coverage.

V. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 32. This matter is brought by Plaintiff on behalf of itself and those similarly situated, under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(b)(1), 23(b)(2), and 23(b)(3).
 - 33. The Classes and Subclasses that Plaintiff seeks to represent are defined as:
 - A. Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a Sentinel policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Business Income claim has been denied by Sentinel.
 - B. Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Washington Subclass:

 All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a Sentinel policy with

 Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered

 premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil

 authorities and whose Business Income claim has been denied by Sentinel.
 - C. Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a Sentinel policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
 - D. Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a Sentinel policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered

premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.

- E. Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a Sentinel policy with Extended Business Income coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extended Business Income claim has been denied by Sentinel.
- F. Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a Sentinel policy with Extended Business Income coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extended Business Income claim has been denied by Sentinel.
- G. Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a Sentinel policy with Extended Business Income coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises due to COVID-19 related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- H. Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a Sentinel policy with Extended Business Income coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the

covered premises due to COVID-19 related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.

- I. Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a Sentinel policy with Extra Expense coverage who incurred expenses while seeking to minimize losses from the suspension of business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extra Expense claim has been denied by Sentinel.
- J. Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a Sentinel policy with Extra Expense coverage who incurred expenses while seeking to minimize losses from the suspension of business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extra Expense claim has been denied by Sentinel.
- K. Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a Sentinel policy with Extra Expense coverage who incurred expenses while seeking to minimize losses from the suspension of their business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- L. Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a Sentinel policy with Extra Expense coverage who incurred expenses while seeking to minimize losses from the suspension of

their business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.

- M. *Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class:* All persons and entities in the United States insured under a Sentinel policy with Civil Authority coverage who suffered a loss of business income and/or extra expense related to the impact of COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Civil Authority claim has been denied by Sentinel.
- N. *Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass:* All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a Sentinel policy with Civil Authority coverage who suffered a loss of business income and/or extra expense related to the impact of COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Civil Authority claim has been denied by Sentinel.
- O. *Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class:* All persons and entities in the United States insured under a Sentinel policy with Civil Authority coverage who suffered a loss of business income and/or extra expense related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- P. *Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass:* All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a Sentinel policy with Civil Authority coverage who suffered a loss of business income and/or extra expense related to the impact of COVID-19 19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.
- 34. Excluded from the Classes and Subclasses are Defendant's officers, directors, and employees; the judicial officers and associated court staff assigned to this case; and the COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION 9

 Keller Rohrback L.L.P.

immediate family members of such officers and staff. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the Class definition based on information obtained in discovery.

- 35. This action may properly be maintained on behalf of each proposed Class under the criteria of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 36. **Numerosity**: The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each proposed Class and Subclass contains thousands of members. The precise number of class members can be ascertained through discovery, which will include Defendant's records of policyholders.
- 37. **Commonality and Predominance**: Common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class. Common questions include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - A. Whether the class members suffered covered losses based on common policies issued to members of the Class and Subclass;
 - B. Whether Sentinel acted in a manner common to the Class and Subclass by wrongfully denying claims for coverage relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
 - C. Whether Business Income coverage in Sentinel's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of practice relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
 - D. Whether Extended Business Income coverage in Sentinel's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of practice relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or civil authorities;

- E. Whether Extra Expense coverage in Sentinel's policies of insurance applies to efforts to minimize a loss relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
- F. Whether Civil Authority coverage in Sentinel's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of practice relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or civil authorities;
- G. Whether Sentinel has breached its contracts of insurance through a blanket denial of all claims based on business interruption, income loss or closures related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
- H. Whether, because of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the class members have suffered damages; and if so, the appropriate amount thereof; and
- I. Whether, because of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the class members are entitled to equitable and declaratory relief, and if so, the nature of such relief.
- 38. **Typicality**: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the classes. Plaintiff and all the members of the classes have been injured by the same wrongful practices of Sentinel. Plaintiff's claims arise from the same practices and course of conduct that give rise to the claims of the members of the Class and Subclass and are based on the same legal theories.
- 39. **Adequacy**: Plaintiff will fully and adequately assert and protect the interests of the classes and has retained class counsel who are experienced and qualified in prosecuting class actions. Neither Plaintiff nor its attorneys have any interests contrary to or in conflict with the Class or Subclass.

COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 11

- 40. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(1), the Risk of Inconsistent or Varying Adjudications and Impairment to Other Class Members' Interests: Plaintiff seeks adjudication as to the interpretation, and resultant scope, of Defendant's policies, which are common to all members of the Class and Subclass. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the classes would risk inconsistent or varying interpretations of those policy terms and create inconsistent standards of conduct for Defendant. The policy interpretations sought by Plaintiff could also impair the ability of absent class members to protect their interests.
- 41. **Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2), Declaratory and Injunctive Relief**: Defendant acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to Plaintiff and other members of the proposed classes making injunctive relief and declaratory relief appropriate on a classwide basis.
- 42. **Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3), Superiority**: A class action is superior to all other available methods of the fair and efficient adjudication of this lawsuit. While the aggregate damages sustained by the classes are likely to be in the millions of dollars, the individual damages incurred by each class member may be too small to warrant the expense of individual suits. Individual litigation creates a risk of inconsistent and/or contradictory decisions and the court system would be unduly burdened by individual litigation of such cases. A class action would result in a unified adjudication, with the benefits of economies of scale and supervision by a single court.

3 4

5

7 8

9

1011

1213

14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

CAUSES OF ACTION

Count One—Declaratory Judgment

(Brought on behalf of the Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Class,
Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extended Business
Income Declaratory Relief Class, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington
Subclass, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief
Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class, and Civil Authority
Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass)

43. Previous paragraphs alleged are incorporated herein.

VI.

- 44. This is a cause of action for declaratory judgment pursuant to the Declaratory Judgment Act, codified at 28 U.S.C. § 2201.
- 45. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of the Business Income Coverage
 Declaratory Relief Class, Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass,
 Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Extended Business Income Declaratory
 Relief Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class, and Extra Expense
 Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class, and Civil
 Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass.
- 46. Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment declaring that Plaintiff's and class members losses and expenses resulting from the interruption of their business are covered by the Policy.
- 47. Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment declaring that Sentinel is responsible for timely and fully paying all such claims.

Count Two—Breach of Contract

(Brought on behalf of the Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Class, Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class, and Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass)

8

9

6

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26 48. Previous paragraphs alleged are incorporated herein.

- 49. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of the Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Class, Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class, and Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass.
- 50. The Policy is a contract under which Plaintiff and the class paid premiums to Sentinel in exchange for Sentinel's promise to pay Plaintiff and the class for all claims covered by the Policy.
 - 51. Plaintiff has paid its insurance premiums.
- 52. Upon information and belief, Sentinel denied coverage for other similarly situated policyholders.
 - 53. Denying coverage for the claim is a breach of the insurance contract.
 - 54. Plaintiff is harmed by the breach of the insurance contract by Sentinel.

VII. **PRAYER**

- 1. A declaratory judgment that the policy or policies cover Plaintiff's losses and expenses resulting from the interruption of Plaintiff's business related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other authorities.
- 2. A declaratory judgment that Defendant is responsible for timely and fully paying all such losses.
 - 3. Damages.

1	4. Pre- and post-judgment interest at the highest allowable rate.
2	5. Reasonable attorney fees and costs.
3	6. Such further and other relief as the Court shall deem appropriate.
4	
5	VIII. JURY DEMAND
6	Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all claims so triable.
7	DATED this 12th day of May, 2020.
8	KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.
9	By: s/Amy Williams-Derry
	By: <u>s/ Lynn L. Sarko</u>
10	By: s/Ian S. Birk
11	By: <u>s/ Gretchen Freeman Cappio</u>
	By: <u>s/Irene M. Hecht</u> By: <u>s/Maureen Falecki</u>
12	By: s/Nathan L. Nanfelt
13	Amy Williams-Derry, WSBA #28711
	Lynn L. Sarko, WSBA #16569
14	Ian S. Birk, WSBA #31431
15	Gretchen Freeman Cappio, WSBA #29576
10	Irene M. Hecht, WSBA #11063
16	Maureen Falecki, WSBA #18569 Nathan Nanfelt, WSBA #45273
17	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200
	Seattle, WA 98101
18	Telephone: (206) 623-1900
19	Fax: (206) 623-3384
1)	Email: awilliams-derry@kellerrohrback.com
20	Email: lsarko@kellerrohrback.com Email: ibirk@kellerrohrback.com
21	Email: gcappio@kellerrohrback.com
22	Email: ihecht@kellerrohrback.com
	Email: mfalecki@kellerrohrback.com Email: nnanfelt@kellerrohrback.com
23	
24	
25	
26	

By: s/Alison Chase Alison Chase, pro hac vice forthcoming 801 Garden Street, Suite 301 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Telephone: (805) 456-1496 Fax: (805) 456-1497 Email: achase@kellerrohrback.com Attorneys for Plaintiff 4812-5686-0347, v. 2

Case 2:20-cv-00712 Document 1.1 Filed 05/12/20 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sneet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF TH	HS FORM.)		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS GLOW MEDISPA, LLC, i situated	individually and on bel	nalf of all others similar	DEFENDANTS SENTINEL INSUR	ANCE COMPANY, LIM	ITED
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff King County, WA (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			NOTE: IN LAND CO	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O DNDEMNATION CASES, USE T OF LAND INVOLVED.	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 2) KELLER ROHRBACK L. 1201 Third Avenue, Suite (206) 623-1900	L.P.		Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti <u>j</u>
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		TF DEF ⟨ 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In T	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	✓ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			EODEFITIDE/DENALTV		of Suit Code Descriptions.
CONTRACT X 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 556 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	FORFEITURE/PENALTY □ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 690 Other LABOR □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Management Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION □ 462 Naturalization Application □ 465 Other Immigration Actions	BANKRUPTCY □ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC
	moved from	Appellate Court		erred from	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	28 U.S.C. § 1391 Brief description of call ansurance bad fa		G (= 2 ····· one jii sairein in siir		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE J. Richard Cre	eatura	DOCKET NUMBER 2::	20-cv-00627-JRC
DATE 05/12/2012		signature of attori s/ Amy Williams-D			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- **II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Washington

GLOW MEDISPA, LLC, individually all others similarly	dually and on behalf of situated,)	
)	
Plaintiff(s))) Civ	vil Action No.
V.)	ACTION NO.
SENTINEL INSURANCE C	OMPANY, LIMITED)	
)	
Defendant((s))	
	SUMMONS IN	NA CIVIL	ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	SENTINEL INSURANCE ONE HARTFORD PLAZA HARTFORD, CT 06115	COMPANY \	LTD
A lawsuit has been file	d against you.		
are the United States or a United P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must	ed States agency, or an office serve on the plaintiff an an	cer or emplo	inting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you expect of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
whose name and address are: Amy Williams-Derry, Lynn L. Sarko, Ian S. Birk, Gretchen Freeman Cappio, Irene M. Hecht, Maureen Falecki, Nathan Nanfelt KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P. 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101			an S. Birk, Gretchen Freeman Cappio, than Nanfelt
If you fail to respond, You also must file your answer	•	e entered aga	ainst you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
			CLERK OF COURT
Date:			
·		_	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nan	ne of individual and title, if any)			
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·			
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual	at (place)		
			on (date)		
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or	usual place of abode with (name)		
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or				
	\square I served the summons on (name of individual) , wh				
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)				
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because		; or	
	☐ Other (<i>specify</i>):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00	
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	n is true.		
Date:					
			Server's signature		
			Printed name and title		
			Server's address		

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

v.

LIMITED,

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

NOTICE OF RELATED CASES - 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

GLOW MEDISPA, LLC, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

SENTINEL INSURANCE COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

No.

NOTICE OF RELATED CASES

Defendant.

The below-listed cases against Hartford Casualty Insurance Company and Sentinel Insurance Company involve similar claims and arise out of similar policy forms used by Hartford-related insurers as the above captioned-action. All five actions are against an insurer within the same Hartford corporate family. On information and belief, the ultimate corporate parent of both Sentinel Insurance Company, Limited and Hartford Casualty Insurance Company is The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. In addition to similar claims against a similar or affiliated defendant, there is also a similar nature of relief requested by the plaintiff in the abovecaptioned action and the cases listed below. Accordingly, it appears likely that there could be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense and potential for conflicting results if the cases listed below are conducted before different judges.

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

Pursuant to LCR 3(g), the undersigned counsel therefore notifies the Clerk of Court of the following potentially related cases:

Case Name	Judge	Case No.
Mario D. Chorak, DMD, P.S. v. Hartford	J. Richard Creatura	W.D. WA 2:20-cv-
Casualty Insurance Company	J. Kicharu Cleatura	000627-JRC
Arnell Prato, DDS, PLLC v. Sentinel	Robert J. Bryan	W.D. WA 3:20-cv-
Insurance Company, Limited	Robert J. Bryan	05402-RJB
Lina Kim, DDS, P.S. v. Sentinel Insurance	James L. Robart	W.D. WA 2:20-cv-
Company, Limited	James L. Robart	000657-JLR
Andrew Lee, DDS v. Sentinel Insurance	David W. Christel	W.D. WA 3:20-cv-
Company, Limited	David W. Christei	05422-DWC

DATED this 12th day of May, 2020.

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

By: s/ Amy Williams-Derry
By: s/ Lynn L. Sarko
By: s/ Ian S. Birk
By: s/ Gretchen Freeman Cappio
By: s/ Irene M. Hecht
By: s/Maureen Falecki
By: s/Nathan L. Nanfelt
Amy Williams-Derry, WSBA #28711
Lynn L. Sarko, WSBA #16569
Ian S. Birk, WSBA #31431
Gretchen Freeman Cappio, WSBA #29576
Irene M. Hecht, WSBA #11063
Maureen Falecki, WSBA #18569
Nathan Nanfelt, WSBA #45273
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200

Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 623-1900

Fax: (206) 623-3384

Email: awilliams-derry@kellerrohrback.com

Email: lsarko@kellerrohrback.com
Email: ibirk@kellerrohrback.com
Email: gcappio@kellerrohrback.com
Email: ihecht@kellerrohrback.com
Email: mfalecki@kellerrohrback.com
Email: nnanfelt@kellerrohrback.com

By: s/Alison Chase Alison Chase, pro hac vice forthcoming 801 Garden Street, Suite 301 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Telephone: (805) 456-1496 Fax: (805) 456-1497 Email: achase@kellerrohrback.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org	s searchable <u>class action lawsuit database</u>
---	---