

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MEGHAN GIBBONS, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,	) Case No. 17 1851
Plaintiff	)
	) COMPLAINT – CLASS ACTION
V.	) AND
	) JURY DEMAND
WELTMAN, WEINBERG & REIS CO., LPA.	FILED
Defendant	APR 24 2017
COMPLAINT -	CLASS ACTION By KATE BAPKMAN, Clerk

Plaintiff, Meghan Gibbons ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself, and all others similarly situated, by way of Complaint against Weltman, Weinberg & Reis Co., LPA ("Defendant" or "Weltman"), avers the following:

## INTRODUCTION

- This is a consumer class action brought on behalf of consumers against a debt collector for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA").
- 2. Congress passed the FDCPA to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors. *Douglass v. Convergent Outsourcing*, 765 F.3d 299 (3d Cir. 2014). The FDCPA is remedial legislation and is to be broadly construed in order to give full effect to Congress' purposes. *Caprio v. Healthcare Revenue Recovery Grp., LLC*, 709 F.3d 142 (3d Cir. 2013). Communications subject to the FDCPA are reviewed from the perspective of the least sophisticated debtor. *Rosenau v. Unifund Corp.*, 539 F.3d 218 (3d Cir. 2008). This low standard effectuates the basic purpose of the FDPCA: to protect all consumers,

- the gullible as well as the shrewd. *McLaughlin v. Phelan Hallinan & Schmieg, LLP*, 756 F.3d 240 (3d Cir. 2014).
- 3. Except where the Act expressly requires knowledge or intent, the FDCPA is a strict liability statute to the extent it imposes liability without proof of an intentional violation. *Allen ex rel. Martin v. LaSalle Bank, N.A.,* 629 F.3d 364 (3d Cir. 2011). The intent or knowledge of the debt collector is irreverent when determining whether an amount listed in a demand letter is accurate. *McLaughlin v. Phelan Hallinan & Schmieg, LLP*, 756 F.3d 240 (3d Cir. 2014).
- Section 1692e(3) of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from falsely representing or implying that any individual is an attorney or that any communication is from an attorney.
- 5. A letter from an attorney implies that a real lawyer, acting like a lawyer usually acts, directly controlled or supervised the process through which the letter was sent. That's the essence of the connotation that accompanies the title of "attorney." A debt collection letter on an attorney's letterhead conveys authority. Consumers are inclined to more quickly react to an attorney's threat than to one coming from a debt collection agency. *Lesher v. Law Offices of Mitchell N. Kay, Pc*, 650 F.3d 993, 1000 (3d Cir. 2011) (quoting *Avila v. Rubin*, 84 F.3d 222, 229 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1996)).
- 6. Case law establishes that it is false and misleading, within the meaning of FDCPA, for an attorney to send a debt collection letter without having meaningfully reviewed the case. Bock v. Pressler & Pressler, LLP, 30 F.Supp.3d 283, 287 (D.N.J. 2014) (citing Lesher v. Law Offices of Mitchell N. Kay, PC, 650 F.3d 993, 1001–1003 (3d Cir. 2011).

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. §1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 8. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(2).

## **PARTIES**

- 9. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 10. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiff was a citizen of, and resided within the boundaries of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
- 11. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 12. Weltman regularly collects or attempts to collect consumer debts, and as a result, is a "debt collector" is that term is defined in Section 1692a(6) of the FDCPA.
- 13. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Weltman was a collector of consumer debt within the meaning of Section 1692a(6) of the FDCPA.
- 14. Defendant, Weltman, is a debt collection law firm with offices located at 170 S. Independence Mall W, Suite 874, Philadelphia, PA 19106.

## FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS CONCERNING PLAINTIFF

- 15. At all relevant times, Weltman was attempting to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 16. The debt at issue arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 17. On or around April 1, 2017 Weltman sent Ms. Gibbons a letter demanding payment of alleged debts.

18. The letterhead of the April 2017 demand letter reads:

## WELTMAN WEINBERG & REIS Co., LPA

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

- 19. Upon information and belief, the April 1, 2017 letter to Ms. Gibbons, along with nearly all of Weltman's demand letters send to consumers, was generated through an automated computer process.
- 20. Upon information and belief, no attorney reviewed the April 1, 2017 letter, or the underlying alleged debt, prior to the April 1, 2017 letter being sent to Ms. Gibbons.
- 21. Upon information and belief, in nearly all cases where Weltman has sent initial demand letters to consumers containing the "Attorneys at Law" letterhead, no attorney has reviewed those letters or underlying accounts.
- 22. Upon information and belief, no Weltman attorney reviewed Ms. Gibbons' individual account to reach a professional judgment prior to sending the April 1, 2017 letter.
- 23. Upon information and belief, in nearly all cases where Weltman sent consumers initial demand letters containing the "Attorneys at Law" letterhead, no Weltman attorney reviewed the underlying individual accounts and reached a professional judgment prior to sending the demand letters.
- 24. The April 1, 2017 letter misrepresents that an attorney at Weltman has reviewed the consumer's file.
- 25. The April 1, 2017 letter is not from an attorney.
- 26. Weltman misrepresented that the April 1, 2017 letter is from an attorney.
- 27. The April 1, 2017 letter misrepresents that attorneys at Weltman reviewed the underlying account and determined that Ms. Gibbons owes the amount demanded, when in fact, no such review occurred.

- 28. Weltman's use of its letterhead which falsely implies that an attorney has reviewed the underlying account causes a risk of harm to all recipients of the letter, by making it appear that an attorney made the professional judgment that the underlying debt is accurate and valid, when in fact no such review or judgment has occurred.
- 29. Weltman's misrepresentation regarding attorney involvement is material, as it has the potential to influence consumers who believe an attorney has been involved in attempting to collect the debt.
- 30. Upon information and belief, the form letter was sent to a large number of consumers residing within the boundaries of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

## **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 31. This action is brought as a class action.
- 32. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and on behalf of all other person similarly situated pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 33. This claim is brought on behalf of a Plaintiff Class, consisting of a class of:
  - a. All consumers residing within the boundaries of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit to whom, during the one year period prior to the filing of this action and continuing through resolution of this action, Defendant sent a demand letter with a letterhead substantially in the form of that detailed in paragraph 18 above.
- 34. The identities of all Class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Defendant.

- 35. Excluded from the Class are the Defendant and all of its respective officers, members, partners, managers, directors, and employees, and all of their respective immediate families; and legal counsel for all parties to this action and all members of their immediate families.
- 36. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff Class, which predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal common question includes whether Defendant violated the FDCPA through its use of a standardized collection form letter printed on law firm letterhead without meaningful attorney involvement.
- 37. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
  - a. <u>Numerosity</u>: Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Plaintiff Class defined above is so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical. Although only Defendant knows the precise number of Class members, Defendant regularly collects or attempts to collect debt from consumers throughout the country.
  - b. <u>Common Questions Predominate</u>: Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff Class and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues is whether the FDCPA was violated by Defendant through its use of a standardized collection form letter printed on law firm letterhead without meaningful attorney involvement.

- c. <u>Typicality</u>: The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff Class have claims arising out of the same operative facts and are based on the same legal theories.
- d. Adequacy: Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interest that are adverse to the absent class members. Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has retained counsel, Diehl Law LLC, who is experienced in handling consumer lawsuits and complex legal issues. Neither Plaintiff nor her counsel has any interest that might cause them to not vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.
- e. <u>Superiority</u>: A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender.
- 38. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(1)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is appropriate because adjudications with respect to individual members create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications which could establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant, who, on information and belief, collect debts throughout the United States of America.
- 39. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the class

predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

# COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT AS TO PLAINTIFF AND THE CLASS

- 40. Weltman violated § 1692e of the FDCPA by using false, deceptive, and misleading representations in its debt collection communications.
- 41. Weltman violated § 1692e(3) of the FDPCA by falsely representing or implying that any communication is from an attorney.
- 42. Weltman violated § 1692e(10) of the FDCPA by using false representations or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect a debt.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 43. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Meghan Gibbons, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated requests the Court enter judgment against Defendant, Weltman, Weinberg & Reis Co., LPA as follows:
  - a. An order certifying that the Cause of Action may be maintained as a class action pursuant to F.R.C.P. 23 including defining the class, defining the class claims, and appointing Plaintiff as the class representative and the undersigned attorneys as class counsel;
  - b. An award of statutory damages for Ms. Gibbons and the Class pursuant to 15
     U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2);

- c. Attorneys' fees, litigation expenses, and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3); and
- d. For such further relief as may be just and proper.

## TRIAL BY JURY

44. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

DIEHL LAW LLC

Joseph L. Gentilcore, Esq. Anorney for Plaintiff JS 44 (Rev. 07/16)

4/24/17

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT #



## CIVIL COVER SHEET

17-cv-1851

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) DEFENDANTS I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Meghan Gibbons Weltman, Weinberg + Res, CPA County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) (267) 614-6515 Diehl Law 666 PO Bax 43098 19 19129 14.64 III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) and One Box for Defendant
PTF I (For Diversity Cases Only) DEF PTF U.S. Government AC3 Federal Ouestion DEF Citizen of This State **1** Incorporated or Principal Place □ 4 Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party) of Business In This State Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State 0 5 D 5 Citizen of Another State **D** 2 ☐ 2 U.S. Government Diversity Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) 3 Foreign Nation □ 6 □ 6 Citizen or Subject of a **J** 3 Foreign Country IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT ☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizurc of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 375 False Claims Act PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 365 Personal Injury 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & ☐ 130 Miller Act
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ☐ 690 Other Product Liability 400 State Reapportionment 367 Health Care/ 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking Pharmaceutical PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark & Enforcement of Judgmen 151 Medicare Act Personal Injury Product Liability Slander → 430 Banks and Banking

→ 450 Commerce

→ 460 Deportation

→ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
Corrupt Organizations

→ 480 Cable/Sat TV

→ 450 Securities/Commodities/ ☐ 330 Federal Employers' ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 340 Marine
☐ 345 Marine Product Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY LABOR SOCIAL SECURITY 710 Fair Labor Standards Liability

350 Motor Vehicle

355 Motor Vehicle 861 HIA (1395ff)
 862 Black Lung (923) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 370 Other Fraud Act ☐ 371 Truth in Lending
☐ 380 Other Personal ☐ 720 Labor/Management Relations ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI Exchange Product Liability 190 Other Contract ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise Property Damage

385 Property Damage

Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal □ 865 RSI (405(g)) ☐ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 891 Agricultural Acts
□ 893 Environmental Matters
□ 895 Freedom of Information Injury □ 362 Personal Injury -Medical Malpractic 790 Other Labor Litigation REAL PROPERTY PRISONER PETITIONS ☐ 791 Employee Retirement FEDERAL TAX SUITS Act Habeas Corpus:

☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure 896 Arbitration 440 Other Civil Rights Income Security Act 3 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 899 Administrative Procedure ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609 ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision

950 Constitutionality of Sentence

| 530 General Accommodations ☐ 445 Amer, w/Disabilities IMMIGRATION State Statutes ☐ 290 All Other Real Property 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other Employment ∃ 462 Naturalization Application
∃ 465 Other Immigration ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities 550 Civil Rights Other Actions ☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee -☐ 448 Education Conditions of Confinement V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) ☐ 2 Removed from State Court ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation -Transfer Original Proceeding Remanded from Appellate Court ☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened ☐ 5 Transferred from ☐ 8 Multidistrict Litigation -Direct File Another District (specify) Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: Misrepresentation afterny muslyement regarding CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: VII. REQUESTED IN DEMAND S CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. JURY DEMAND: Yes □ No COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY DOCKET NUMBER JUDGE. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD DATE

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 07/16)

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

  Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
  - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
  - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
  - Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
  - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
  - Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.
  - Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

    PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.



CIV. 609 (5/2012)

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

17

1851

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be assignment to appropriate calendar.	
Address of Plaintiff: 3412 Dichmond St. Philadelphia P Address of Defendant: 170 S. Independence Mall W, Suit	0211 . 01/ 02 19/2/
Address of Defendant: 170 S. Independence / 1911 W/ Suit	c 8+ t w, 124,14, 17106
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Defendant's address (Use Reverse Side For Ac	dditional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation are	
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes□ No□
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No□
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	
Case Number:Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	The state of the s
	Yes□ No 🗸
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior st action in this court?	nt pending or within one year previously terminated
	Yes□ No No
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier m	
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ Not
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	case filed by the same individual?
	Yes□ No□
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1.   Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1.   Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4.   Marine Personal Injury
5.  Patent	5.   Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6.   Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability
8. Phabeas Corpus	8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos
9. / Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10 🗆 Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. All other Federal Question Cases 15 USC 169716	
ARBITRATION CERTI	FICATION
I. Oseph Czenticore, counsel of record do hereby certify  X Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and be	
\$150,000 00 exclusive of interest and costs;	
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DAYE: 14/24/17	311403
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there	e has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or w	ithin one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	
DATE: 4/24/17	311703
Attorney-at-Luw	Attorney I.D.#

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

FOI	R THE EASTERN	N DISTRICT OF P	ENNSYLVANIA	
CAS CAS	E MANAGEME	NT TRACK DESIG	GNATION FORM	
Meghan Gibb	075	1	CIVIL ACTION	
V		:	17 1	851
Weltman, Weinberg	t Reis Co C	PA:	NO.	
In accordance with the plaintiff shall complete filing the complaint and side of this form.) In designation, that defend	Civil Justice Expe a Case Manageme serve a copy on all the event that a de lant shall, with its er parties, a Case M believes the case s	ense and Delay Red nt Track Designation defendants. (See § efendant does not a first appearance, sul Management Track I should be assigned.	duction Plan of this court, couns on Form in all civil cases at the till:03 of the plan set forth on the regree with the plaintiff regarding omit to the clerk of court and set Designation Form specifying the	ime of everse g said rve on
				( )
(a) Habeas Corpus – Ca				( )
(b) Social Security – Ca and Human Services			the Secretary of Health nefits.	( )
(c) Arbitration – Cases	required to be desi	ignated for arbitration	on under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	( )
(d) Asbestos – Cases in exposure to asbestos		personal injury or J	property damage from	( )
(e) Special Managemen commonly referred the court. (See reve management cases.)	to as complex and rse side of this for	that need special or	intense management by	
(f) Standard Manageme	ent – Cases that do	not fall into any on	e of the other tracks.	(00)
4/24/17 Date/	Joseph	Centilcore y-at-law	Malan Cibbons Attorney for	
267-614-6515	908-4	50-1594	jogentilcone gmo	4:1.com
Telephone	FAX N		E-Mail Address	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

#### Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan Section 1:03 - Assignment to a Management Track

- (a) The clerk of court will assign cases to tracks (a) through (d) based on the initial pleading.
- (b) In all cases not appropriate for assignment by the clerk of court to tracks (a) through (d), the plaintiff shall submit to the clerk of court and serve with the complaint on all defendants a case management track designation form specifying that the plaintiff believes the case requires Standard Management or Special Management. In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.
- (c) The court may, on its own initiative or upon the request of any party, change the track assignment of any case at any time.
- (d) Nothing in this Plan is intended to abrogate or limit a judicial officer's authority in any case pending before that judicial officer, to direct pretrial and trial proceedings that are more stringent than those of the Plan and that are designed to accomplish cost and delay reduction.
- (e) Nothing in this Plan is intended to supersede Local Civil Rules 40.1 and 72.1, or the procedure for random assignment of Habeas Corpus and Social Security cases referred to magistrate judges of the court.

#### SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CASE ASSIGNMENTS (See §1.02 (e) Management Track Definitions of the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan)

Special Management cases will usually include that class of cases commonly referred to as "complex litigation" as that term has been used in the Manuals for Complex Litigation. The first manual was prepared in 1969 and the Manual for Complex Litigation Second, MCL 2d was prepared in 1985. This term is intended to include cases that present unusual problems and require extraordinary treatment. See §0.1 of the first manual. Cases may require special or intense management by the court due to one or more of the following factors: (1) large number of parties; (2) large number of claims or defenses; (3) complex factual issues; (4) large volume of evidence; (5) problems locating or preserving evidence; (6) extensive discovery; (7) exceptionally long time needed to prepare for disposition; (8) decision needed within an exceptionally short time; and (9) need to decide preliminary issues before final disposition. It may include two or more related cases. Complex litigation typically includes such cases as antitrust cases; cases involving a large number of parties or an unincorporated association of large membership; cases involving requests for injunctive relief affecting the operation of large business entities; patent cases; copyright and trademark cases; common disaster cases such as those arising from aircraft crashes or marine disasters; actions brought by individual stockholders; stockholder's derivative and stockholder's representative actions; class actions or potential class actions; and other civil (and criminal) cases involving unusual multiplicity or complexity of factual issues. See §0.22 of the first Manual for Complex Litigation and Manual for Complex Litigation Second, Chapter 33.

## **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Weltman, Weinberg & Reis Co. Hit with Second FDCPA Lawsuit in One Day